CERTIFIED COPY STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY STATE WATER PLANNING COUNCIL Regular Meeting held Via Teleconference on November 3, 2021, beginning at 2:05 p.m. Held Before: JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIRMAN, and PURA VICE-CHAIRMAN 

1	Appearances:
2	WATER PLANNING COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
3	MARTIN HEFT (OPM)
4	LORI MATHIEU (DPH)
5	GRAHAM STEVENS (DEEP)
6	
7	ALSO PRESENT (on record):
8	JOSH CANSLER
9	VIRGINIA de LIMA
10	DAVE RADKA
11	DAN AUBIN
12	JOSH CANSLER
13	ALICEA CHARAMUT
14	ERIC McPHEE
15	MARGARET MINER
16	DAN LAWRENCE
17	
18	Staff:
19	ALYSON AYOTTE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon, everyone. We'll call
2	the meeting of the Water Planning Council, the
3	special meeting for November 3, 2021, to order.
4	The first order of business will be the
5	approval October 5, 2021, meeting transcript.
6	Do I hear a motion to approve?
7	MARTIN HEFT: So moved.
8	THE CHAIRMAN: Moved by Martin.
9	GRAHAM STEVENS: Second.
10	LORI MATHIEU: Second.
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Second by Graham and Lori.
12	Any questions on the motion?
13	
14	(No response.)
⊥ <del>'1</del>	(1.6 165_61-567)
15	(4.6 _ 6.2 _ 6.2 )
	THE CHAIRMAN: If not, all those in favor signify by
15	
15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: If not, all those in favor signify by
15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: If not, all those in favor signify by saying, aye.
15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: If not, all those in favor signify by saying, aye.  THE COUNCIL: Aye.
15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: If not, all those in favor signify by saying, aye.  THE COUNCIL: Aye.  THE CHAIRMAN: The motion carries.
15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: If not, all those in favor signify by saying, aye.  THE COUNCIL: Aye.  THE CHAIRMAN: The motion carries.  I have no correspondence. Does anybody have
115 116 117 118 119 220 221	THE CHAIRMAN: If not, all those in favor signify by saying, aye.  THE COUNCIL: Aye.  THE CHAIRMAN: The motion carries.  I have no correspondence. Does anybody have any correspondence?
115 116 117 118 119 220 221	THE CHAIRMAN: If not, all those in favor signify by saying, aye.  THE COUNCIL: Aye.  THE CHAIRMAN: The motion carries.  I have no correspondence. Does anybody have any correspondence?  The only thing I should say that I have

advisory group for another individual, a Darrell Noonan. So when we get to Alicea's report we can talk a little bit about that. So that's the only thing I have received here.

And we'll get right over to the state water plan. Virginia and David, good afternoon.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Good afternoon. The implementation workgroup met three weeks ago. And one of the things that we had mentioned to you in the past was that we developed a survey to follow up on the rates workshop that was hosted by the Alliance for Water Efficiency last March.

We've gotten ten results from that survey and most exciting is that two of those people actually indicated they were using what they learned in the workshop. So I think that's very exciting.

Then one of our subcommittees is the outreach and education topical subgroup. As we've mentioned before they are looking to target several water events during the course of the year, and put together materials to be shared on that, on that day using primarily resources from websites that others have developed -- or not just websites, but information that others have developed, but getting it all compiled on our

website, which leads to the concern that we need to make sure that we have a commitment that our website is kept active and up to date because we expect that people will be accessing it more often than perhaps they have in the past.

They anticipate being able to handle that gathering of information, perhaps creating some new information for, you know, a small number of events a year.

And so in that regard they are thinking that perhaps the Water Planning Council should consider making this a standing workgroup, rather than just a topical, something workgroup that by definition that is very short in duration because they anticipate this effort being ongoing for years. And so that's something that we want to plug to you and get your input on whether you think that's something that should be done.

That's acknowledging that that education and outreach is perhaps one of the more important things that we could be doing related to the state water plan.

So thoughts, comments?

THE CHAIRMAN: I think it's a good idea. I think we should do it. I think that should be a permanent

group. This is a work in progress. We're always doing new things. We're approving new things, moving forward and we want to educate the public as much as possible in what we are doing. So I don't have any problem making it a permanent group.

I don't know how my colleagues feel.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Let me just point out that some of the key participants in such a workgroup are state agency personnel. And so there is that, that bandwidth issue, not necessarily the people who have been involved in the implementation workgroup process so far, but people in your various organizations that do focus on education and outreach. We'd be tapping their expertise. That would be crucial.

But as I said, they only anticipate doing, you know, a small handful of events a year pulling this stuff together, but I just want to put that out there as a cautionary note.

GRAHAM STEVENS: Yeah. Virginia, I guess that would have been my question as, you know, what do you think the commitment would be? And it may be, you know, it may be three events, you say, per year -- and eventually hopefully we'll have materials that

can be fed into those events.

But it doesn't sound like something which would be a significant time commitment by the agency resources. So -- do you think?

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Well, are either Lou or Denise on the call? They might be able to address that better than I can.

DAVID RADKA: This is David. I think what we really just want to do is tee up this idea, this concept that in our thinking this may be -- it might be desirable to walk this into a more permanent group. And we're not really looking for you to say yes or no today, just to sort flesh that out as a concept.

And you know, they haven't even completed their initial workgroup work yet. We just think in time it probably warrants being more permanent.

GRAHAM STEVENS: Yeah. Thank you, David. And I think I would support, like, a standing e-mail group or committee to make sure that we're, you know, doing what we need to do and getting the message out.

Definitely something -- I think that's already ingrained in the responsibilities and desires of the agencies.

Not to speak for other people, but I mean,

this is kind of one of the main things that we do is public outreach and education.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: So following up on that comment by

Dave, perhaps what we can say is that the topical

workgroup that exists now will identify exactly

what types of things such a permanent workgroup

would be addressing, and then present that in

their report back to you for your consideration.

THE CHAIRMAN: You know, I think Alley just put a chat
here that she spoke to our contact at Aquarion
Water Company about outreach. And I think this is
a combined effort for everybody's part.

One thing about Aquarion recently, I had to film a little video for their environmental champion awards. And they've got a new media person down there that's just phenomenal. And he did a great job at it. So we might be able to utilize his services as well.

So I think that this group is very, very important and I think that there might be, again times when our subgroups could very well become permanent groups based upon the recommendations of the committee. But I think this is one that could definitely -- back to what David said, that once you go through and come in with the final

recommendation for all intents and purposes we'd probably make it a permanent group.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Okay. Well, thank you for that feedback.

Are there any other questions related to that?

LORI MATHIEU: One thing. Just to follow along, and what's being said I would agree that the group is important, that I would love to see -- I think, Virginia, what you're leaning toward is providing a work plan of the level of work. A level of commitment is important for us to know at the DPH.

And also to make a connection back to the state water plan. There's a lot of discussion about education and outreach, but to just swing through the plan to see what it says and to bring out those items, we do need to do more outreach.

And as time goes on people are forgetting about the state water plan and how it links to other planning initiatives such as the GC3 and what we heard Rebecca speak to. So I think it is important in a very important group, but before any commitments from our department are made I'd like to see a workplan and talk about that during maybe the next meeting.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Certainly. I don't know exactly
what their timeframe is, but we can certainly -I'll pass that comment along to Lou and Denise.

And yes, I agree with you, Lori, that tying it back to the state water plan is essential, and the group has been doing that. The existing group has been focused on teasing out those kinds of things and also connecting it with the GC3. So that that is something that they are already working on.

Whether they would be able to come back with a workplan by next meeting, as I said, I'd have to leave it up to the chairs of that group -- but a point well taken.

Okay. Then the only other workgroup we currently have is the implementation tracking and reporting workgroup. And as you recall, we did the brainstorming of that back six weeks ago. And Dan had compiled all the topics from the brainstorm reports that we got back in, and you all at your last meeting decided that we would discuss it at this meeting, whether there were any things that you had concerns about that the chairs should know before they really dive into their deliberations.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any comments from Graham, Martin, Lori?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRMAN: I know we're going to have a follow-up meeting at the end of the month. Correct?

VIRGINIA de LIMA: This group will be starting there, their work at the end of the month. The fourth Tuesday of the month is what they were focused on. And in November I guess there's an extra one, so it was going to be the last Tuesday of the month in November.

But as I said back when we were outlining the brainstorming session, the group does not want to spend a lot of time focused on a particular subject if it turns out that that's something that you folks have concerns about. Or if you have concerns, that they be able to take those into account as they are making their recommendations.

GRAHAM STEVENS: There wasn't anything that I saw that
was troubling, and I think it's important to try
to weigh, you know, everyone's perspective to some
extent.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Did any of the others of you see anything that was of concern? And you don't have

to do everything today. If something happens to occur to you, you're welcome to communicate with the topical subgroup at any point you want to if something, you know, comes up that you say, oh, wait a minute. I hope they consider this and such.

LORI MATHIEU: If I could turn to Dan? I see Dan there in the office. Hi, Dan.

DAN AUBIN: Hi, Lori.

LORI MATHIEU: Do you want to just say a few words about what you did share with us?

DAN AUBIN: Sure. And thank you, Water Planning

Council members for your input and attendance for
the brainstorming session on the 28th. We
received -- and thank you to Virginia and David,
too, for facilitating. That was a big deal to get
those comments together.

We received a full spectrum of input and we had five questions that have been summarized and I put in the attachment that was sent out to all of you, I believe, last week.

So our mission going forward on November 30th is to hold our first meeting and to look -
Corinne and I have decided as cochairs as kind of to prime our group to go through all the documents

that exist, the input that came from the brainstorming session. We're also going to go through language from the state water plan, Section 5.2.35, and go through all of the parts that are required, the methodology and the outputs that are expected.

So Corinne and I, and with Allie Hibbert as well have been in close contact and pulling all this information, and look forward to the meeting on the 30th.

And as Virginia mentioned, you are all

welcome to reach out at any time to Corinne or myself if you have any questions or concerns, and we look forward to updating you in the future.

LORI MATHIEU: Dan, so who is invited to that meeting?

VIRGINIA de LIMA: The workgroup is open to anybody who is interested, as all the workgroups are. Ideally we would like the participants to continue to participate and not just, you know, show up for one meeting and then disappear again, because the follow-on discussions can be very important.

But know it is open to anybody, and we encourage people who are interested to e-mail Corinne or Dan, and let them know they're interested and they can be included in any

documents coming out ahead of time.

Dan, anything more you want to add to that?

DAN AUBIN: I guess the only thing I'd add that's left is, if there's any further interest, please, please, anyone is welcome to join. Feel comfortable to reach out to Corinne Fitting or myself.

Attendance and participation is lower than what we're seeing. So we, you know, we're happy to answer any preliminary questions and we welcome anybody to the team, and look forward to having more updates for you in the future.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: I do want to say that originally when we talked about that, about this, we were thinking that we needed to include technical folks right from the beginning.

And then we realized it was really two closely related, but separate issues. That first we wanted to figure out the who, what, where, why kinds of questions, which is what the brainstorm was focused.

And when that starts to come together then we would need to address the how, and get the technical folks involved. How can we actually make this happen in terms of platforms or

1 programs, or you name it? And so that we make 2 sure that before we finalize what the who/whats 3 are, that we realize the potential -- the possible 4 limitations of whatever platform would be used to 5 collect this information, and have it available to 6 interested parties. 7 So that will be sort of phase one and a half 8 to two -- would include technical folks. 9 LORI MATHIEU: So if we could -- Dan, you said, Corinne 10 Fitting? 11 DAN AUBIN: Yes. 12 LORI MATHIEU: If people are interested and would like 13 to see the invite, if you could put both your 14 e-mail addresses, or at least, Dan, your e-mail 15 address in the chat so people know what it is. 16 DAN AUBIN: Sure. Of course. 17 LORI MATHIEU: Thank you. 18 To your point, Virginia, we want to THE CHAIRMAN: 19 reinforce the fact that if people make a 20 commitment they're going to stay with you 21 throughout the whole process. 22 VIRGINIA de LIMA: Well you know, within reason, 23 obviously. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Because obviously, we, members of 25 Council would probably like to be there, if their

schedule permits, and see what's going on, so.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: We wouldn't exclude you from showing up at the meeting --

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I hope not. I would hope not.

Okay. Anything else on this?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Good, good beginning. This is really

good stuff.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Great. Then one last thing. The implementation tracking and reporting workgroup is expected to be fairly short, you know, maybe four or five months at the largest. So that, and if the outreach and education group morphs into a permanent group that leaves us with no active topical sub workgroups.

So we started talking about possible new ideas recognizing that some new workgroups might come out of the work that the tracking and reporting group is doing. Also some of them may -- one may come out of anything that happens with the federal money that could be coming into the State. So those are things that we're obviously very open to, but we're just starting to

explore ideas.

Another one that might have traction is emerging topics, you know, PFAS, harmful algal blooms so we're just open to things. And certainly, if people -- if you on the Council or anybody on this call has issues that they think would be good to explore in greater depth, please pass those ideas along to us.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Virginia or David?

DAVID RADKA: If I could just add that what we also were going to do is go back and look at the priority list that you all have already developed, and especially with an eye towards trying to potentially tease out those topics that might require less agency, key agency personnel involvement, direct involvement given the sensitivity that you folks have raised in the past about, you know, that potential shortage of staff or staff being stretched too thin.

So we anticipate doing that at our next meeting.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: I also should mention that we've proposed before that we have a group come up with some options for the water chief, the water director -- and I didn't mention that because I

see that it's further down on the agenda today.

THE CHAIRMAN: We will get back to that topic.

LORI MATHIEU: Jack, I don't know if it's appropriate at this time, but given the conversation about next things to work on I think it would be most appropriate for the four of us to have a time where we set aside some time for the four agencies to have a discussion about where we head next for the future.

And I'm just looking at the little summary sheet for the state water plan. And I look back at the goals and I look back at the top ten consensus policy priorities that we probably should refresh our memories on and walk through that, and come to some direction about the future.

So that's one thing that I've been thinking about, and I think that maybe we need to put more thought in as a group of agencies. Given Virginia's point, if and when this infrastructure bill passes there will be funding out there like has never been out there before. And there is opportunity unlike any other time.

And so I think marrying what's in the state water plan with some of those efforts that are coming our way would be a good conversation to

1 have. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, would you like to have a special 3 meeting of the Council and just discuss that topic 4 and basically --5 LORI MATHIEU: Maybe. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: -- or do want to wait until the next? Ι 7 mean, we could. 8 LORI MATHIEU: I don't know. I'd like to hear from 9 Graham and Martin and see what they think. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I think it's probably a good time to put 11 the reset switch here -- it's been a while -- to 12 look at where we've been and where we'd like to 13 go. 14 I mean, there's still a lot of work to do. 15 This could very well tie into the whole idea of 16 giving some type of position to coordinate our 17 efforts here. 18 So Graham, I'll ask you what you think, and 19 then Martin. GRAHAM STEVENS: Thanks, Jack, and then thanks, Lori. 20 21 As the newest member of the Water Planning Council 22 I've been trying to get up to speed on some of the 23 past actions that the Water Planning Council has 24 taken, and one of the things that we discussed at 25 DEEP last month was the priority list.

I think that Lori just alluded to all the agency's top priorities and putting that on a spreadsheet, trying to see what rises to the top with respect to priorities for the Council.

I certainly think it makes sense to reevaluate what the agency's top priorities are, and in light of all of the priorities that are contained in the state water plan, to ensure that when the time does come for swift action, and hopefully lots of money, we can have that as a context to direct the flow of projects and potentially evaluate the projects, so -- and weigh in with respect to the Water Planning Council's opinion as well as help shape the agency's decisions. I'm all for that.

MARTIN HEFT: Great. And I'm in agreement with both Graham and Lori's comments. It is time we take, as we are doing with the drought plan, going through the recommendations, making updates, looking at it, kind of reevaluating that. We should be doing the same, you know, with the same state water plan with those priorities and everything. So now is a great time to do it.

Also just mention that as the Commission on Connecticut's Development and Future is starting

up, which was Public Act 21-29 which is a legislative committee. But they'll be looking at the state plan of conservation and development, a lot of the other state plans including ours, with that as a whole overview of development and the future, and looking at the kind of a path forward. It fits into their timeframe. It fits into our timeframe. So I think it's advantageous for us to do that.

And a side note, it's also a good review for all of us, too, of what those priorities are, and are we meeting those priorities? And what things do we need to work on? So it would be a great overview that way for us.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: That sounds great, because as Dave mentioned the group has been using the existing list of priorities to come up with some of the ideas that have been proposed to workgroups.

But as you said, priorities can change and I think -- I agree that if you folks update that list of priorities it would help the implementation group focus their attention on what's currently high priority, not what was high priority three or four years ago.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any other comments on this?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRMAN: So the question is moving forward, would we like to have a meeting? Do we want to incorporate this into our regular meeting which is going to be coming after December 7th? Or we can just put a portion out, get through the agenda.

We could maybe do it at the end of the meeting?

Why don't we just block out time for the next meeting, and the last thing on the agenda will be a review of the goals and the objectives in the state water plan moving forward.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: My recollection of how it happened before was that each of the agencies created their own list, as did the Water Planning Council advisory group created a list and we put them all together into a spreadsheet. I believe John Hudak led that effort. I don't know if he's on the call.

And then you could see, you could rank the total by seeing the rankings of each of those separate groups.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right.

MARTIN HEFT: Jack, what I'd recommend is that at our next meeting that we just ask everyone to give

shortened reports so we have time to spend on this. Maybe give abbreviated reports for all the other groups that are doing it and then we take the time to just run through the priorities first rather than going through each agency, because some of that has got to go through a larger longer process for us to do that.

So I think it's going to be a multistep process. Let's go through those priorities that are in the plan as our first step, and then the next step, as Virginia says, it's agencies with priorities. Pulling those together, that would kind of be the next step -- would be my advice for this.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Alecia just reminded us when we did it before, we used Survey Monkey to actually tabulate the various agencies' input.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right.

LORI MATHIEU: I like the one that I had -- and I don't want to formalize the heck out of this. So it's more toward what Martin said. Let's, for the four of us, the thought for the four agencies involved, go back and read -- where we have time to read the plan, read the priorities.

Put that in your mind, think about it, and

1 then come to the table and have a discussion. 2 four of us never have a discussion. Right? 3 And then -- then we can figure out there, 4 like to Martin said, then we can figure out from 5 there what do we want to do. I do want to put any 6 form or format and get stuck with, like, a box 7 that we can't make it into a circle. Right? 8 And I would prefer that we just spent some 9 time -- if we can, read the plan, read the 10 priorities. Understand it. Digest it. Come back 11 and let's just talk. You know? And we never have 12 time just to do that, and then set up our game 13 plan for the future. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, let's do that. 15 LORI MATHIEU: Keep it simple. Right? 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Let's start there, doing that at our 17 next meeting. 18 LORI MATHIEU: Thank you. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other discussion on this? 20 Virginia, anything else? VIRGINIA de LIMA: No, I just want to make sure that 21 22 you all heard in this discussion that you all 23 have homework. 24 LORI MATHIEU: No one assigns homework to me. 25 VIRGINIA de LIMA: You all have homework.

LORI MATHIEU: Nobody does. I have a rule for that.

THE CHAIRMAN: This discussion will be followed up as a discussion at our next meeting at the very end of the agenda. We're going to go through quick reports and get to it at the end, and have some allotted time for this, so.

Okay?

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Thank you all.

THE CHAIRMAN: Dave, Virginia, all set?

10 DAVID RADKA: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Water Planning Council advisory group,

Alecia and Josh.

JOSH CANSLER: Okay, Jack. I'll be speaking, giving a quick update. Alecia sent me a note earlier today saying she's under the weather and wouldn't rather speak any more than she has to, but I do believe she wants to make a few comments as we go through this.

We held our last meeting on October 19th and we discussed quite a few topics, many of which have been or will be discussed today including the implementation workgroup update. We delayed discussion of the water chief position pending discussion here within the Water Planning Council, and I see that is on the agenda for later.

We also had a lengthy discussion about the WUCC, and then Dan give us a good update on that.

I think we'll get an update on that as well later.

The nominating committee, you guys approved the slate last month, the WPC did with two positions still to be filled. And I think you should have a letter from the Connecticut Nursery Association -- which I have not seen.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I believe it's the e-mail. I just got this yesterday. So we would forward this to you, you take a look at it and then make a recommendation to us. I think that's the process.

JOSH CANSLER: Okay. That's fine. Great.

Like I say, we still have those two positions to fill and we have had some discussions with the Connecticut Nursery Association, but we haven't made a lot of progress. So hopefully that e-mail will be helpful.

The source water protection outline, we're still working on. Alecia has taken the lead on that. The watershed lands workgroup, Karen could not be here today.

Margaret, did you have anything you wanted to say on that subject?

MARGARET MINER: We're trying to set up a presentation

with Dan and Aaron on the WUCC work in December.

And I think Bruce Witchen has been helpful. Eric

Lindquist is sending out an e-mail.

Bruce, is that correct, that e-mail is going out?

That's the main thing on our agenda right now, is to be sure that we know what the WUCC plan is, which people have been working hard on it, and I think Aaron has a map. So we're looking forward to that presentation, and that's the main thing on our agenda now.

I guess if the e-mail has gone out we would urge people, please let us know. I think it's a Doodle poll when you could attend. It should be a very interesting meeting. I'm looking forward to it.

JOSH CANSLER: I believe Karen said that poll is going out later this week. I don't know if it's gone out yet.

MARGARET MINER: Okay. Well, we'll keep after them. JOSH CANSLER: Okay.

And did you have anything else on that?

MARGARET MINER: Not I. Thank you.

JOSH CANSLER: Okay. Also in our last meeting we did have a lengthy discussion on some of the bills

that passed recently including some bills that extended time limits for land-use permits. And we also talked about the infrastructure package, that it should be coming down the line here pretty soon.

And Alecia, did you have anything you wanted to add?

ALICEA CHARAMUT: Nothing at this time.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Josh and Alecia.

Thank you, and we'll get that into consideration. Thank you.

WUCC update, Lori?

GRAHAM STEVENS: I'm sorry to interrupt, Jack and Lori.

Something that might be of general interest that

wasn't discussed today, but DEEP is leaning this,

this steps for solar development initiative which

I know the Water Planning Council has engaged in

directly.

And I had heard that there was some frustration regarding the progress in that arena, and I just wanted to let everyone know that you should anticipate some additional progress in the next coming weeks. And I'm going to drop into the chat the webpage that will get you to -- to our web presence on that process.

1 And at the bottom of that webpage you can 2 sign up to receive e-mail updates, if you don't 3 already. So you'll be privy to our next public 4 outreach with respect to where we're going with 5 steps. I just wanted to give everyone here a 6 little bit of a heads-up that there's something 7 coming down the pike -- or so I hear. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Graham. 9 LORI MATHIEU: Was this on the agenda? Or is it tied 10 to what Bruce was talking about? 11 GRAHAM STEVENS: No, I was just responding to Josh's 12 comments, and then you know that Karen and 13 Margaret's group has been tracking those and it 14 has come up in the past in response to the report 15 out, but not this, not this month. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay any other comments? 17 18 (No response.) 19 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you Graham. 21 Lori, WUCC update? 22 LORI MATHIEU: I'm looking to see if my staff -- Eric 23 McPhee. ERIC McPHEE: He's here. Got here in the nick of time. 24 25 LORI MATHIEU: There you are. So Eric, take it away.

He is a supervisor at DPH who is in charge of the WUCC process.

ERIC McPHEE: Hi, everybody. So we continue to work on the WUCCs. For those of you that don't know, the state is split into three WUCC planning regions and each WUCC is still functioning, probably meeting less frequently than we did during the development of the plans, but still looking at regional solutions, still looking at development of the water supplies within those regions.

Each WUCC has a chair, and certainly people have water supply planning concerns or want to talk about something. Anyone is free to put an agenda item into the Chair and then we can, at the next meeting, discuss your issue.

At the same time we had convened a WUCC implementation group, and that group meets officially every quarter. And what we're doing with that is we are prioritizing some of the recommendations from the WUCC coordinated plans and trying to implement them across the state. So members from all three WUCCs are included in that.

I think I mentioned last time there are two focus areas that we're looking on right now, municipal outreach and regional interconnections.

Regional interconnection one is pretty far along.
We've developed a roadmap looking at the hardships
and the opportunities in, you know, having water
systems evaluate the benefit, the cost and the
hardships in connecting with other systems.

At the last meeting, for example, some members talked about that, you know, most of the time these are very expensive and there aren't funding mechanisms to help support mutual interconnection. And then others talk about perceived hardships with permitting, either sewer or water permitting as being impediments to developing more regional connections.

So the roadmap is to try to allow communities, municipalities and water utilities to start conversations to talk about where they're most needed in accordance with the already published coordinated plans, and how do we make these interconnections happen when appropriate?

The other issue is municipal outreach. We did have a law change I detailed last time I spoke. I'm not going to do that again, but we have now a new process for any projects that are being proposed within a drinking water watershed under the EPA. We're using that law

change as an excuse to sort of get back to doing more municipal outreach and working with municipalities to make sure that they understand what's going on in their communities both from a development perspective and also creation of a public water system.

If there's a proposal for a property, commercial, industrial or residential within their community and it's proposing an on-site source, how do they make informed decisions about that development and how water is best served in that, that new development?

So there's going to be a number of documents that we're working on that would be geared towards municipalities and then we'll follow that up with some outreach specifically to the COGS and into the municipalities to help them along, and have them have the best tools to make the best decisions.

Our next meeting is on Wednesday,

November 17th. It's on Teams. I can share the
link with you. I'll drop it in this chat. It's
from one to three. Anyone is welcome to join the
meeting. Anyone is welcome to bring up issues
related to the WUCC implementation and water

supply planning.

We welcome the discussion, or just come and have a listen and, you know, we'll do the talking.

LORI MATHIEU: So Jack, if I could?

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.

LORI MATHIEU: Eric, I don't know if you were on, but I believe Margaret mentioned that there will be a presentation on the WUCC by a couple people. This was sort of news to me.

Margaret, do you want to repeat what you were talking about, because I hadn't heard of this before.

MARGARET MINER: Yeah, I think it came up on the last -- well, probably at the last Water Planning Council advisory group. What we understand the WUCCs are working on is of interest in protecting watershed lands.

And particularly I think the work that Aaron has been doing outlining -- Aaron, if you're on, perhaps you could describe it better than I can.

I recall the word "map" in there.

And so we had invited -- Karen had invited, and we had invited Dan Lawrence and Aaron if they could come to a meeting of the watersheds lands group and tell us what they're doing, which sounds

great to us.

And we want to know how we can be aware of what they're doing and help them, or use it. So that we're sending out a Doodle poll -- it's supposed to have gone out, but I guess it will go out soon -- to pick out a date in December.

DAN LAWRENCE: Hey, Lori. This is Dan Lawrence. So I kind of look at it as a subgroup from the WUCC and, you know, a subgroup just getting together to share resources and ferret what's going on.

So I think it's not a WUCC presentation, so just to clarify.

LORI MATHIEU: Okay.

DAN LAWRENCE: But we are talking about what the WUCC is working on with the watershed lands group, so.

LORI MATHIEU: Perfect. Good.

ERIC McPHEE: And I can speak for WUCC implementation.

If, you know, if we want to talk about building bridges with other efforts, that's certainly appropriate for an agenda item for WUCC implementation. So we would love to have a conversation like that, and feel free to add that to our agenda.

LORI MATHIEU: That's an excellent tie in, Margaret,

Dan, and Eric, to the law that was passed and what

1 Eric just mentioned about source water protection. 2 Really a very important law. It's a minor 3 tweak, but as we know, Margaret, we've talked 4 about that law change and it changes planning and 5 zoning statutes 8-3i and inland/wetlands law 6 22a42f. And it just says, provide this 7 information electronically to DPH. 8 But we know that we're not getting 9 notifications across the State like we should. 10 know the utilities are not getting those 11 notifications. 12 So as Eric mentioned, we're going to take 13 this opportunity to educate the towns about this 14 requirement fundamentally, and I think that will 15 change the game really. And Eric is doing a great 16 job with that, so --17 MARGARET MINER: Yes. 18 LORI MATHIEU: -- just bringing that together makes 19 sense to me. 20 MARGARET MINER: Yes, thanks. I'm hoping it will 21 really be helpful. I'm looking forward to it. 22 LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, good. Excellent. 23 Thank you, Eric. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Nice job, Eric. Thank you very much.

Lori, private well update?

25

LORI MATHIEU: What to say? So what did I say last month? It's probably the same.

THE CHAIRMAN: That's okay. You can say the same as last month.

LORI MATHIEU: Martin laughs at it, but yeah. When I can say more I will. We're still working on it.

It's a big effort and more to come as we continue.

When I can, when we gain all the approvals and we can talk about it. If we don't, we don't. You know? But we are working on it, working really hard taking the white paper and the information that Dr. Dietz had put together and all of you working on private wells that focused in on it.

So there's more to come. More to come and I can talk more probably in January, if not February. And we're hoping to be successful in part with some of the efforts. And so just please know that we really thank the efforts and all of the input from the workgroup led by Dr. Dietz and the white paper.

It's very much appreciated and when we can speak to it and present on it we will when everything receives the approvals, and when we're able to speak to it publicly we certainly will do

1 that. So more to come, I guess. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Lori, thank you very much. 3 LORI MATHIEU: Thank you. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Lori? 5 6 (No response.) 7 8 THE CHAIRMAN: I think we're going have the same thing 9 about water conservation and fixtures in terms of 10 what's going on. 11 Maybe Graham wants to weigh in a little bit 12 on this? 13 GRAHAM STEVENS: Yeah, can I just press repeat on what 14 Lori just said? 15 Yeah, but joking aside, I think we're in the 16 same, same place. I do think that there's some 17 issues of timing and prioritization with some of 18 the other agency partners that we need to be 19 respectful of. 20 At the same time I think that we continue to 21 look at engaging with parties who are potentially 22 impacted by any of these changes, to do more 23 education outreach to those, to those groups that 24 may not have been part of the discussions at large

that the Water Planning Council and it's various

members and associated folks have been engaged in.

And I do think that although I wasn't a party to this, you know I have heard the stories of some of the pushback and consternation and a few bumps along the way when some of these water conservation standards were first put into place, so we certainly don't want to repeat that.

And one of the ways that we can ensure that is ensuring the that trades and others in the building, folks understand that this is not going to be a repeat of that. That these fixtures are of higher-quality and also going to be in high demand by the customers.

So we will continue to work with our sister agencies to highlight the importance of this initiative and welcome any suggestions that anyone may have. They can reach out to me directly if you get any ideas, or if there's events or activities that are ongoing where maybe we should look at putting some agency resources in a meeting to talk about water conservation and fixture standards.

Please highlight that to us, because obviously we don't necessarily work in all the circles where folks who may have concerns about

this initiative may be. So I think we need to find ways to find better connections and interconnection. So it would be great if anybody could tee up some ideas for us.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Graham?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Interagency drought working group update. Martin, I know you have a meeting tomorrow.

MARTIN HEFT: We have a meeting tomorrow at two o'clock, and then the meeting after that is on December 2nd. So those are our next two meetings.

We're continuing to review the recommendations. We've completed our first run through of charges one, two and three. So we've had an aggressive approach. We've had a couple special meetings.

We'll go through charge four tomorrow. Most of that we've kind of gone through some of it already, because some of it's repetitive and some are, you know, statements versus full recommendation. So we hope to have that done as our first month and have that completed after

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tomorrow's meeting. And then we'll kind of do a whole other run through again with that coming up in our December meeting, and continue moving forward with that. And that's what's going on.

Luckily, we've had enough rain. So the conditions have been good at this point, but we do continue to monitor them.

THE CHAIRMAN: We certainly have. Thank you very much, Martin. Any questions for Martin? Any questions?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Water chief update.

GRAHAM STEVENS: Jack, do you want me to go into that?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I do.

GRAHAM STEVENS: I think as folks recall from our last meeting, we were asked as individual members of the Water Planning Council to go back and chat internally with our agencies about this initiative.

And I think the question before the Water Planning Council is actually, you know, should we further flesh out what a potential water planning chief's job duties or objectives would be? And I know there's been some work around that topic

already.

But I think that -- I don't want to speak for any other agency, but I think that from my perspective, it's certainly something that is intriguing. And I think as we mentioned in past meetings, funding of that position is always a question.

I know that based on the research that I've done since the last meeting we've had MOUs amongst the agencies in the past that have helped kind of set up how that chief or role functions.

Certainly something that I think is doable going forward, and I guess some of the -- with the models we've used in the past may be good models for the future, you know, bringing in a contractor to serve in that capacity, provided the charge and the direction and the structure is set up in place.

So I'm definitely -- from DEEP's perspective we're definitely interested in pursuing this conversation. And I think that the individual agencies may need to strategize a little bit about funding options and what a potential MOU or MOA would look like.

But still I think that it makes sense to

continue the effort to further refine what this chief's job duties and objectives should be. So I think that that's something that I don't think should be held up while the agencies try to figure out and find, you know, money in our proverbial cushions.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, one thing I think we should make clear right -- again, reaffirm the fact that the Council agrees that we should have a position like this.

Is everybody in agreement with that, Lori Martin, that we should have this position, a position to this effect?

GRAHAM STEVENS: Yes.

MARTIN HEFT: For OPM, I can't comment yes or no on that at this point. I can't speak on behalf of the Office of Policy and Management without reviewing this with the Secretary -- which has not been done yet for this.

I mean, conceptually it's -- yes. It's something that we should be looking at, but to say, yes, fully support it or not, I cannot offer that from the OPM perspective at this time.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Lori?

LORI MATHIEU: It was a fundamental piece of the state

water plan. We knew that we could not really, like, you see how much -- we've done a lot of work actually, but there's so much more to do. And this, the chief was mentioned many times by many of our stakeholders and it was a big part of our plan and became one of the priorities for implementation.

So as part of approving the plan that we did, of course, the Department of Public Health supports that effort. Now the question is, how do you do it? How do you find the money?

I have no doubt that this plan is important because Governor Malloy told us, you better start implementing it. Right? There's an executive order that told us to get going two years ago -- or three years ago now.

So it's fundamental to moving forward with implementation, you know, similar to Eric McPhee who you see there. Without him implementation it doesn't work for us. Right? You've got to be able to have people in place and have somebody that can work with us.

If you remember so many years ago -- Jack, you'll remember. I'll probably get his name wrong -- but David Goldstein?

1 THE CHAIRMAN: David Goldberg. 2 LORI MATHIEU: David Goldberg. Remember him? People 3 around a long time remember him. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: He worked for us. 5 LORI MATHIEU: He worked for you, But he was like a 6 driving force of implementation of the Council 7 when it first formed. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sure Margaret Miner remembers him. 9 LORI MATHIEU: If Margaret remembers some people who 10 have been around since the beginning. Somebody 11 like that who would just take it on and run with 12 And then Sharon Mann -- Sharon. it. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Sharon, we had an MOU for Sharon. 14 LORI MATHIEU: We had an MOU, as Graham mentioned, an 15 So if we can find that MOU -- I don't know MOU. 16 if we can, but maybe we should look at what that 17 said and how it works and the efforts over the 18 years to try to pull together people to help with 19 implementation. 20 I think having a dedicated person makes sense 21 to me. It makes sense to the plan. The plan 22 deserves it. We've spent a lot of time and money 23 on this, and right up from the Governor he said, 24 let's get implementing. So I think it's 25

important.

THE CHAIRMAN: Lori, thank you.

Margaret?

MARGARET MINER: This is Margaret. Yeah, right from the very beginning from after the first meetings in 2000, 2001, people recognized we did have -- we needed a leader. We talked about a water tzar. Because we had a couple of people, particularly David Goldberg who were good, and nobody wants to spend any money on water.

It slipped away until this point, but we've, in the water plan and at other times said, we're not functioning as an entity. We don't have a CEO. So what would you call it? Perhaps a right-hand -- a right-hand for the Water Planning Council, a chief of staff.

So I think we really do need someone like that who will tell, well, you have to make a decision and do something -- and then help us do it.

THE CHAIRMAN: So it's a matter of the funding, as we've talked about in the past and I certainly have some ideas how we can do that.

Virginia, a question to you. I know you worked on some roles and responsibilities. Is that complete?

VIRGINIA de LIMA: For the water director position?
THE CHAIRMAN: Correct.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: No, and that's one of the reasons
why we've proposed a workgroup to look at that, to
basically do a lot of the background stuff that
we're talking about today, to come up with
possibilities, options of what kinds of roles
would be included.

What kinds of responsibilities, what kinds of funding mechanisms may be possible, what kinds of reporting procedures would be appropriate; and come up with that information, and also perhaps research what other states have done in a similar vein to give you a full suite of options from which you make the final decisions.

So basically creating that background to make it easier for you folks to make a final list of roles and responsibilities.

MARGARET MINER: So Jack, this is Margaret again. I know that we did that study some years ago and studied the other states. And one thing that we observed was that the states that were most successful in putting together a policy and implementing it were states where the administration, the executive had taken a strong

interest on the lead.

So I'm hoping that -- you know, I think
Malloy came around to that. It took him a couple
of years. I'm hoping that the administration, OPM
will be helpful. Without that it's a little bit
of a three-legged race.

GRAHAM STEVENS: Just one thing. Can I respond to that, Jack, for a few moments?

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.

GRAHAM STEVENS: I think, Margaret, I think that the administration is represented here today with four agencies who are deeply invested in the outcomes that we've laid forward in the state water plan.

And I think that any dedicated resource we can bring to help us with our organization and implementation is going to be fantastic for increased outcomes and better coordination, and to make sure that we don't have missed opportunities.

So I think that we have the commitment from the administration, and what we need to do as a group is ask for what we need to augment what we're doing right now.

And I think, Virginia, to your point I think it would be great from my perspective if you continued some of your work to look at, what would

this water chief do? And that would help us in any future potential recruitment effort to really write the job spec for that person.

And you know I think that the quickest and easiest way to bring in that resource is through a contracting process. I think there's some really great, great folks who got direct Connecticut experience -- or not, who could probably bring a lot to our efforts, and we could do that quickly.

And I think the one thing I would say is that I probably think that the funding piece probably doesn't need to be tackled by the group. That's something that you know the four agencies can try to think of independently and certainly the rest, though, is something that I would look favorably upon.

THE CHAIRMAN: So Virginia, I think you're hearing from us, keep the sub workgroup working on this.

Okay. And I'd like to get to the point where -- I know people get frustrated and we say we're looking at this, and we're looking at this. Let's set a date January 1 of 2022. That's a date that we'd like to have this in place. I have some ideas about funding.

When they set up the Connecticut Economic

Resource Center years ago the Governor decided to just put assessments on utility companies. It was done. We have public utility funds available. This is not like millions of dollars that we need here, so I think we can come to a consensus how to fund this position and how to fund it equitably. It's not going to break anybody's bank account.

So I think you look at that, and then we have to look as a Council, perhaps dust off the MOU from years past and I think we could get this done at the beginning of next year.

LORI MATHIEU: And Jack, if I might? Page 622 speaks to the next steps in the state water plan and it says, near-term goals.

Hire a water plan chief to oversee all aspects of plan implementation, serve as a liaison between the Water Planning Council and the Legislature, and help ensure consistent interpretation of its information and recommendations.

THE CHAIRMAN: See, that -- I mean, Lori, thank you for that because that's a good -- I mean, that just substantiates the need that we can make to our respective agencies that this is a plan that has been approved by the Legislature, and obviously we

need some money to implement it with this water tzar, or whatever.

LORI MATHIEU: And I want to respect that from my agency I haven't had enough opportunity, I'll say, to get to our leadership.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, I know, Lori, but we can't be -- you know we've got to move along here. We've got to move this along.

LORI MATHIEU: I want to respect what Martin said.

THE CHAIRMAN: I know. We all have to respect our agency, but you know at some point we just have to decide by January 1, let's go back to our agencies and decide what we're going to do.

I mean, Martin -- or Graham can go in and talk to Katie, and Martin can talk to his wonderful secretary, and you can talk to your Commissioner, or your Deputy Commissioner and see what we come back with.

So I mean, I don't think -- Martin, your agency came up with a million bucks. This is nothing. They've got lot's of money in the State right now.

MARTIN HEFT: You as you said, Jack, I think the funding source is, you know, obviously there's different areas out there, but I think we need to

THE CHAIRMAN: Understood.

know as part of this -- and I think the work that can continue here while the group is looking at this -- is a more fanned out job description; what the cost is going to be, a recommended state agency where this person is going to be housed, and who's going to be responsible for it. The MOU can be there obviously to work with all the different agencies.

That's done now. An OPM person is farmed out, if you will, to a different agency on it, but the salary and the oversight is under one agency. I mean, that happens all the time. So that stuff can happen that way.

So I think, flesh that stuff out. See, that's the information I need to bring into the next step on it. I can ask conceptually, but those are all going to be the questions that I'm going to be asked right up front. So it's kind of like I need to avoid that process because I need this information in order to bring -- here's the proposal. You know that's the information I need.

GRAHAM STEVENS: Well, could I commit to drawing up a straw proposal for the consideration of the Water Planning Council on some of the back-office state

action type pieces, Martin, to your point?

Because I think that some of those nuances are kind of best addressed by those in the state system.

And I can -- maybe I can interview each of the other water planning commission representatives as part of that process and put together a couple of options for consideration.

And then maybe during that time period, like Jack said, Virginia and her group can continue to conceive some of the job description duties responsibilities and processes piece.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Graham, you see that happening before the workgroup would start working? That was sort of a process --

GRAHAM STEVENS: No, I see them kind of in parallel.

Right? I don't think that -- if we move forward on this, like I think everyone has generally indicated we're interested in figuring a way through, I don't think how we funded and where the person sits and what the MOU says is really consequential to what fundamentally the person is going to do. Right?

And I think that's what we need to -- we would rely on you and your group's advice on what

```
1
         that person should actually do. And I think Lori
2
         took a big step and showed us where we should
3
         first look in determining what that person should
4
         do, but fleshing that out a little bit further
5
         would be helpful.
6
              So I can commit to trying to bring that back,
7
         if other -- if Lori and Martin and Jack, if that
8
         sounds good to you?
9
    THE CHAIRMAN: I'm fine with that.
10
    MARTIN HEFT: Yeah, I'm fine.
11
    LORI MATHIEU: Graham, if I could offer to work with
12
         you on that?
13
    GRAHAM STEVENS: Absolutely.
14
    LORI MATHIEU: Great.
15
    THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
16
    VIRGINIA de LIMA: So am I correct in saying that what
17
         I hear you saying is that --
18
    THE CHAIRMAN: What we're saying, Virginia, go back to
19
         work and do (unintelligible) --
    LORI MATHIEU: Go back to work.
20
21
    VOICES:
             (Unintelligible.)
22
    GRAHAM STEVENS: Sounds like homework.
23
    LORI MATHIEU: Right? Jack, you have an assignment for
24
         Virginia.
25
    THE CHAIRMAN: Right, you have an assignment.
```

1 VIRGINIA de LIMA: Thank you. DAVID RADKA: So if I may? Just so everyone is aware 2 3 of this. We did not form a workgroup yet. We 4 gave you a proposal a few months ago to form the 5 workgroup, and you asked us to hold off on that 6 until you had --7 THE CHAIRMAN: So we're blessing it. We're blessing it 8 today. 9 DAVID RADKA: Just understand, we will be meeting next 10 week. We will then form this workgroup. They 11 will probably not meet -- maybe by the end of this 12 month they might go for a meeting together. So I 13 don't think early January is realistic, but --14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 15 DAVID RADKA: -- we can maybe backtrack it and get it 16 maybe within a few months. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I'm just trying to move it along 18 That's all. So thank you for that, Dave. here. 19 LORI MATHIEU: Jack, could I ask a question on that for 20 David? 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. 22 LORI MATHIEU: Who is on the workgroup? 23 DAVID RADKA: We haven't formed it yet. We discussed 24 it and we decided --25 THE CHAIRMAN: We held it up. In fairness to them, we

1 held it up. 2 LORI MATHIEU: That's why we need a water chief. 3 Right? Who's keeping track of all this stuff. So 4 I would say to form the group to sort of reach out 5 and make sure you're inclusive of everybody who 6 would love to participate. 7 Because it is an important position, because 8 as you can tell, we need help coordinating and 9 keeping track and moving things forward in 10 priority fashion. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. Okay. We're all clear on 12 this moving forward. Thank you. 13 Any other business, new business? 14 LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, there is, actually. Who would 15 like to sing Happy Birthday to Chairman Betkoski? 16 Nobody? 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Why don't you just wave? That's all. You don't have to sing. Happy birthday. 18 19 LORI MATHIEU: It is his birthday today. There we go. 20 There's our business, our new business. Happy 21 birthday to you. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. 23 MARGARET MINER: Happy birthday. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Thank you, Margaret. 25 And thank you, Lori for doing -- actually last

1	year the Water Planning Council fell on my
2	birthday as well.
3	So anyway, any other new business besides my
4	birthday?
5	Public comment any public comment?
6	
7	(No response.)
8	
9	THE CHAIRMAN: I don't think we have a need for an
10	executive session. I think we've covered a lot of
11	ground here today. Anything else to come before
12	us?
13	
13	(No response.)
	(No response.)
14	(No response.)  THE CHAIRMAN: Our next meeting will be on December 7,
14 15	
14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: Our next meeting will be on December 7,
14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: Our next meeting will be on December 7,
14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: Our next meeting will be on December 7, 2021. Anything else to come before us?
14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: Our next meeting will be on December 7, 2021. Anything else to come before us?
14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: Our next meeting will be on December 7, 2021. Anything else to come before us?  (No response.)
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: Our next meeting will be on December 7,  2021. Anything else to come before us?  (No response.)  THE CHAIRMAN: If not, I entertain a motion to adjourn.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: Our next meeting will be on December 7, 2021. Anything else to come before us?  (No response.)  THE CHAIRMAN: If not, I entertain a motion to adjourn. MARTIN HEFT: So moved.

1	(No response.)				
2					
3	THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor signify by saying,				
4	aye?				
5	THE COUNCIL: Aye.				
6	THE CHAIRMAN: The motion to adjourn, and we are				
7	adjourned. And everyone please have a safe and				
8	happy and healthy Thanksgiving. So thank you.				
9					
10	(End: 3:12 p.m.)				
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18 19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing 57 pages are a

_		
	•	
_	•	

complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original verbatim notes taken of the Regular Meeting of the WATER PLANNING COUNCIL, which was held before

JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIRMAN, and PURA

VICE-CHAIRMAN, via teleconference, on November 3, 2021.

Robert G. Dixon, CVR-M 857

Notary Public

BCT Reporting, LLC

55 Whiting Street, Suite 1A

Plainville, CT 06062

ſ		
1	INDEX	
2		
3	VOTES TAKEN	
3	(Unanimous Approval)	
4	Description	Page
5	10/5/'21 Transcript Approval	3
6	Adjournment	57
7		
8		
9		
10	TOPICS OF DISCUSSION	( )
11	DESCRIPTION	PAGE(s)
12	V. del Lima: State water plan	4-10
13	Standing committee Brainstorming session Nov. 30	10-16
1.4	Priority list review	16-25
14 15	J. Cansler: WPAG update	25-28
16	G. Stevens: Solar initiative progress	28-29
	E. McPhee: WUCC update	30-35
17	L. Mathieu: Private wells	36
18	M. Heft: IDWG update	39
19	G. Stevens: Water Chief update	40-42
20	Council discussion	42-48 48
20	Chair: Funding proposals soft deadline Council discussion	48-51
21	Chair: D. Radka, form workgroup	51
22		
23		
24		
25		