**CROSS AGENCY POPULATION SUBCOMMITTEE**

**MAY 22, 2012 MINUTES**

In attendance: **Subcommittee Members:** Nancy Roberts, Brian Hill, Bill Carbone, Maureen Price-Boreland, Karin Haberlin, Tim Deschenes-Desmond, Anne McIntyre-Lahner, Sue Keane, Kathleen Brennan, Yolanda Caldera-Durant, Mark Polzella, Janet Brancifort, Cathy Foley Geib, Susan Keane, Yvette Bello; **Other participants**: Bennett Pudlin, Julie Wilcox

1. Welcome - 12:35 p.m.

Nancy Roberts began the meeting by framing the next steps for the workgroup.

1. Minutes from meeting on May 1, 2012 approved.
2. Discussion of feedback on the five domains. Nancy Roberts reported that last week the co-chairs and workgroup chairs reviewed the feedback from stakeholders on the work done on the five domains. Most feedback was in regards to programmatic outcomes vs. population outcomes. An additional indicator on housing will be included as a result.

**Safety:**

Brian Hill led the review of the Safety results statement and proposed indicators. The group discussed whether to include an indicator on recidivism, and made the recommendation to include one as #5 on the secondary indicators. The group also recommended adding “disabling population” to headline indicator #3 (data can be gotten from DDS and OPA). Add “all” to the results statement. All in favor of accepting the recommended results statements and indicators as amended.

**Economic Security:**

Yolanda Caldera-Durant led the review of the Economic Security results statement and proposed indicators. New results statement recommended, “All CT residents are economically secure”. The group discussed the inclusion of SNAP utilization as an indicator, but utilization changes are susceptible to policy changes versus population need. Bennett Pudlin suggested using 30% or 50% of income for housing as an indicator and/or look at data regarding affordability of housing stock in particular towns. Subcommittee agreed to inclusion of 30% or 50% of income indicator. Indicator on job creation recommended though no good data for this, so will become data development agenda item. In the meantime, will track unemployment numbers. Include an additional secondary indicator, #5: number of jobs by wage level. Secondary indicator #1 change “degree” to “diploma. #2: take “new” out. #1: add “only” before “high school”. #3: “% of working age residents with affordable childcare child care”. Eligibility doesn’t get to it. Add affordable child care to data development agenda. #4: U.S. census not DOL. Data Development Agenda change: “determine factors related to individual’s ability to work such as disability, educational status, etc.” All in favor of recommended results statement and indicators as amended.

**Healthy:**

Janet Brancifort led the review of the results statement and indicators. All indicators will be disaggregated by race/ethnicity. Get insurance data from DSS and DOI. Don’t include heavy birth weight from gestational diabetes. Headline indictor #3: add “(youth)”. Secondary indicator #3: add “SDE” as a data source. DDA #2: add “percentage of residents that have a medical home”. Remove #3 and #4. Remove “advocate for” section. Take out data sources from back page. Use all % rather than proportion. All in favor with accepted changes. Add introductory statement to report saying “disaggregate by race and ethnicity”.

**Elderly/Disabilities:**

Kathy Brennan led the review of the results statement and indicators. Change headline indicator #1 to “percent of elderly and those with disabilities employed”. Change #2 to “percent of elderly and persons with disability engaged with volunteerism or other community activities”. Get aggregate data from “area agencies on aging” on senior center engagement. Change #3 to “percent of elderly and persons with disabilities receiving home-based services vs. institutional care”. Some data available from DSS, DDS, DMHAS, DCF, OPA. Change #5: Disaggregate by disability condition. Secondary indicator #1: move to DDA if DMHAS doesn’t have age breakdown/disability data regarding mental health. Secondary indicator #4: Change from “rate” to “number”. Secondary indicator #5: Change to ”percent homeless or at-risk of becoming homeless” (DSS, AAA, COA as data sources). DDA #3: remove. Results statement and indicators approved by all with accepted changes.

**Education:**

Headliner #4: stay with 16-24 year olds. DDA #2: add “quality” before “pre-k”. Results statement: “residents” not “learners”. Result statements and indicators approved by all with accepted changes.

1. Recommendations

Discussion about the draft report. Review of recommendation considerations drafted by Bennett Pudlin. Recommendations to include children’s report card as a 6th results statement with indicators, and include as appendix to the report.

Recommendation #1: Human service agencies and non-profit organizations should identify their work under one or more of the recommended results statement to guide policy and budgeting process.

Recommendation #2: The Governor should appoint an entity/workgroup to carry this work forward. The needed data should be collected and used to create the report cards, and assist agencies in adopting this work.

Include a Prologue that acknowledges the work done on children’s report card and includes the Children’s Report Card as an example of the work that needs to be done on the five recommended Results Statements. The work going forward needs to be resourced. The Connecticut Data Collaborative can be a source to collect and organize the needed data.

Recommendations approved by all.

1. Meeting Adjourned (3:05 p.m.)

Respectfully submitted by Cathy Foley Geib, Judicial Branch, Court Support Services Division