

Information Technology Capital Investment Program  
Project Close Out Report

---

**To:** Information Technology Strategy and Investment Committee  
John Vittner, Office of Policy and Management

**From:** Dennis Mitchell

**Email:** Dennis.C.Mitchell@ct.gov

**Agency:** Department of Developmental Services

**Project:** DDS Quality of Services Review Transformation

**Project Start Date:** 3/15/2013



**Project End Date:** 3/15/2018



**Project Manager:** Dennis Mitchell

**Total Funds Requested:** \$363,180

**Total Funds Allotted to Agency:** \$349,590

**Accumulative Total Capital Fund Expenditures to Date:** \$349,590

**Brief Project Description/Summary:**

A major data source for the Medicaid Revenue reimbursements is the QSR Waiver Assurance Indicator Report. Federal Medicaid funding returned to the General Fund has grown from \$332M to \$515M as a result of the assurance process. There are more opportunities for waiver reimbursement using criteria reports from QSR.

The technology framework of the application will be adaptable to reviews or inspections throughout state agencies. The mobile workforce will promote acceptance of new tools that solve business issues and requirements. User roles will branch out to include business intelligence, training, scheduling and special interest group collaboration. Quality Monitors will have a quicker and more efficient method to report inspection data. The Quality Monitors will be able to perform more on-site inspections and reduce travel to regional offices. Inspection schedules, reminders and plan of correction follow-up are among the basic metrics for evaluation. The number of inspections completed the timeliness of reporting of citations and plans of correction being accepted will be ready for immediate analysis as opposed to the current method of analysis and reporting

**List Project Goals and Deliverables Completed:**

*(Please provide a brief summary of the goals and deliverables that were implemented. Please reference the IT Capital Investment Brief for the initial goals of the projects.)*

Goal 1: Evaluate readiness to modernize quality-related business processes and information systems

Deliverable 1.1: Blum-Shapiro Assessment of Modernization Readiness

Goal 2: Perform business process improvement via LEAN

Deliverable 2.1: Remediated 10,000 backlogged cases via programming solution

Deliverable 2.2: Reduced follow-ups by 44%

Goal 3: Migrate mission-critical quality-related applications from MS Access to SQL Server

Deliverable 3.1: Created QSR reporting solutions based upon SQL Server Reporting Services

Deliverable 3.2: Created CLA licensing solution with SQL Server backend

Deliverable 3.3: Created CCH licensing solution with SQL Server backend

Goal 4: Provide business intelligence tools to quality review staff

Deliverable 4.1: Created QSR dashboard in Tableau

Deliverable 4.2: Created Abuse/Neglect dashboard in Tableau

Deliverable 4.3: Created (Non-critical) Incidents Dashboard in Tableau

Deliverable 4.4: Created Critical Incidents Dashboard in Tableau

**Project Replication Opportunities:**

*(Are there opportunities to repeat or leverage the project solution by other state agencies? Please provide a brief explanation.)*

- The reporting dashboards for licensing were used by non-profit partners for the provider quality review process
- The abuse/neglect dashboards will be used by Disability Rights Connecticut.

**Key Lessons Learned:**

*(Provide any lessons learned or experienced during this project that may be helpful to other agencies starting a similar project.)*

1. Microsoft Access conversions are not easy. There are numerous issues to take into consideration, including:
  - a. Scope of migration – whether to migrate the database only, reports and database, user interface, reports, and database; whether to migrate the application with existing functionality or address other business needs.
  - b. Security – whether to use file-system-based security, SQL Server security, application-based security or some combination of the two; and how to protect sensitive data.
  - c. Macros/VBA Code – whether to clean-up and properly test existing macros and VBA code
  - d. Data model – whether to normalize, introduce foreign key constraints, and otherwise clean up the data model.
  - e. Maintenance – especially after performing a partial migration, where the Microsoft Access front-end and VBA code/Macros are maintained, system maintenance can be a significant issue, requiring a full-time (or near-full-time) Access developer to maintain the applications.
2. Often business users are not able to identify all mission-critical business requirements during the requirements gathering phase of a project, resulting in a significant amount of unplanned development or configuration work.