

**FY2004 – 05 Budget Adjustments  
Governor’s Budget Summary**

***ERRATA SHEET***

1. Page 4, 1<sup>st</sup> full sentence on page should read: “In fact, stock market growth was rather robust in the last calendar year, with the Dow growing 25 percent, the S&P 500 up **26** percent, and the NASDAQ leaping **51** percent.”

*Explanation: The percentage amounts for S&P and the NASDAQ were reversed.*

2. Page 6, last para. Should read: “When looking at the FY 2004-05 budget that was finally adopted in August of 2003, the structural gap was closed with about **\$850** million in permanent or temporary tax increases;...”

*Explanation: The amount of permanent or temporary tax increases should be \$850 million, not \$900 million.*

3. Page 10, 8<sup>th</sup> para. Should read: “...enhanced mental health services as a result of fewer public personnel. **The potential General Fund shortfall based on approved ERIP plans is \$19.4 million in FY 2003-04. The actual shortfall should be less due to delays in hiring. We are currently anticipating a shortfall of no more than \$18.8 million in FY 2003-04 based on current holdbacks.**”

*Explanation: Clarifies the fact that \$19.4 million is the approved plan General Fund shortfall in FY 2003-04. Current holdbacks project a shortfall of \$18.8 million.*

4. Page 29, 7<sup>th</sup> para. Should read: “The increase in the other tobacco products, which impacts chewing tobacco, cigars, self-roll cigarettes, pipe tobacco, **and the increase in snuff**, would raise a total of \$500,000 in FY 2003-04...”

*Explanation: Snuff should be included in the sentence to match the revenue numbers.*

5. On page 39 and 40, in the sections entitled “Early childhood expansion” and “More money for early reading success and summer school,” it should be noted that the additional monies are going to all current priority school districts but not to districts with priority schools.

*Explanation: Clarifies what is meant by “communities who are the poorest in the state” and explains under statute what districts receive the increased funding.*

6. Page 40, 2<sup>nd</sup> para. Should read: “...There are currently over **6,300** slots already in existence,...”

*Explanation: This number includes part-year and part-time slots, and more accurately reflects slots funded.*

7. Page 54, 2<sup>nd</sup> para. Should read: “Higher education authorizations will total \$366.7 million for FY 2003-04 and \$260.7 million **for FY 2004-05** when Governor Rowland’s...”

*Explanation: The addition clarifies which fiscal year the higher education authorization is for.*

8. Page 78, 2<sup>nd</sup> para. Should read: “...The Governor proposes to use these funds for the initiatives identified in the accompanying chart. **The FY 2004-05 funds can begin to be used in the current fiscal year.**”

*Explanation: Some funding will begin to be expended in the current fiscal year.*

9. Page 101, 1<sup>st</sup> bullet. Should read: “About **\$3.7** million is included to pay for Single Cost Accounting System rate increases for residential providers required by the legislature but not funded in the FY 2003-04 budget.”

*Explanation: Typographical error in the amount included to pay for Single Cost Accounting System rate increases.*

10. Page 124, 1<sup>st</sup> full para. Conclude the paragraph with: “...\$6.7 million in FY 04 and \$16.0 million in FY 05.” **[delete from this point to end of paragraph]. Insert the following new paragraph: “In total, the ERIP savings may miss its target in the General Fund and Special Transportation Fund by a total of \$15.2 million in FY 2003-04 and \$25.2 million in FY 2004-05. (This is the potential General Fund shortfall based on approved ERIP plans. The actual shortfall in the current fiscal year should be less because of delays in hiring. Based on current holdbacks, we are anticipating an additional \$600,000 in General Fund savings in the current fiscal year.) Without a doubt, from an ongoing savings and permanent personnel reduction standpoint, this ERIP was the most successful the state has ever seen.”**

*Explanation: Clarifies the fact that \$19.4 million is the approved plan General Fund shortfall in FY 2003-04. Current holdbacks project a shortfall of \$18.8 million.*

11. Page 124, 3<sup>rd</sup> para. Should read: “...Total savings in the general fund and transportation fund will be **[almost] \$246 million, [about] \$65 million** less than the original estimate.”

*Explanation: To provide exact numbers.*

12. Page 132, below chart. Should read: “**NOTE: The FY 2003-04 General Fund loss of \$19.4 million is based on approved ERIP plans. The actual shortfall should be less based on delays in hiring. We are currently projecting no more than an \$18.8 million shortfall in the General Fund for the current fiscal year based on current holdbacks.**”

*Explanation: Clarifies the fact that \$19.4 million is the approved plan General Fund shortfall in FY 2003-04. Current holdbacks project just a shortfall of \$18.8 million, \$600,000 less.*