Members Present: Elsa Stone, Anne Klee

Other Participants: Faina Dookh; Mark Schaefer; Leigh Dubnicka

Purpose of Today’s Meeting
Faina Dookh reviewed the purpose of the webinar, which was to provide a space for dialogue and questions regarding the Community Consensus Standards of CCIP.

Presentation & Summary
Faina described the purpose of the Community Consensus, which is to better standardize the linkage to and provision of socio-economic services related to the health needs of patients and care transition coordination among community participants. The Community Health Collaborative will be provide a venue and structure for shared decision-making to further the integration of community services with healthcare services and may prepare communities for the next stage of shared accountability under population health related SIM initiatives.

Elsa Stone brought up funding concerns and sustainability concerns of the collaborative. Faina responded that the transformation vendor, funded through SIM, will convene stakeholders and provide the structure and venue for community and clinical partners to come together. The protocols they decide to create will be mutually beneficial to the providers, as alternative payment models hold them increasingly accountable for patient outcomes, and community services who have a mission to improve the outcomes and coordination of the individual. These protocols also aim to benefit the healthcare consumer, with increased coordination and reduced fragmentation of services. In addition, one of the standards includes a transition and sustainability plan for the vendor to finalize that will ensure the collaborative is ongoing.

Faina described the email comment from Heather Gates to include Local Mental Health Authorities (LMHA’s) on the list of required participants. This would be added to the standard.

Faina reviewed the specific components of the standard, including the transformation vendor expectations; identifying and convening stakeholders impacted by Community Health Collaborative model in defined service area(s); developing standardized protocols and processes for network linkages to shared services and; implementing long-term assessment and improvement process.

Anne Klee mentioned that United Way (211) should be changed to United Way 211 (formerly known as InfoLine) to reduce confusion. This comment will be incorporated.

Elsa Stone said she thought the standards were good.

There were no other comments, the webinar was concluded.