Note for Procurement

Only work steams 1 through 3 are relevant for the HBPO Procurement

Quality Assurance Plan

Outsourced Health Operations

Version 1.3

1. DOCUMENT CONTROLS

1.1 PURPOSE OF THE DOCUMENT

This Quality Assurance Plan (QAP) defines the sampling methodology and thresholds used to measure the quality of work streams and tasks for the State's outsourced health operations.

1.2 INTENDED AUDIENCE

The expected audience for this document includes:

- DSS Management
- DSS Cadres
- Quality assurance professionals
- Operational trainers
- Operational staff as required
- Access Health CT Staff as required

1.3 VERSION CONTROL

Version	Date	Author(s)	Change
1.0	01/23/2017	DSS	Version 1
1.1	03/30/2017	DSS	The definition of critical errors was changed for data entry/update in the WS-1/Task-1 Verifications process. A data update is only considered critical if the element could directly affect the eligibility outcome, individual identity or addresses.
			The changes will have the effect of reducing the number of reported critical errors and so improve scores.
			Additionally altered the default sampling size table. The change reduced the sampling sizes periods (from 2 weeks to 1 week) and the sample sizes. QA should measure the process and identify issues; it shouldn't be a substitute for supervisory support.
			The change will have the effect of reducing the

			work of the QA workers.
1.2	3/15/2018	Rob Marchant	 Fixed >= (typo) errors in the Target Scores table of Section 4.4 Updated the scoring with respect to: Critical scoring for W/S 1 Tasks 2, 3 and 4 in Section 6. Changed the default levels for A, B and C grades. Added new grade level of F. Captured the (current practice) requirement for sampling a minimum of 25 items for low volume tasks.
1.3	9/6/2018	Rob Marchant Angie Guerrero	 Updated reviewers. Removed legacy tasks. Removed 1095-B reference. Removed legacy system references. Reorganized tasks and works streams under a new naming numbering structure. Consolidated two premium tasks into one.
2.0	12/16/2018	Rob Marchant Angie Guerrero	Expanded the placeholder content of Work Stream 2.0 for full guidance.
2.1	01/21/2019	Rob Marchant	Removed the VLP Step 2 and Step 3 tasks and renumbered accordingly.

1.4 KEY REVIEWERS

Name	Position	Organization
Kristin Dowty	Program Administration Manager	DSS
Jeff Valentine	Executive Account Manager	Conduent

1.5 DOCUMENT SIGN OFF

Name	Kristin Dowty
Organization	DSS
Date	3/27/18
Signature	

Name	Jeff Valentine
Organization	Conduent
Date	
Signature	

2. CONTENTS

1. Doo	cument Co	ntrols 2
1.1	Purpose of	of the Document 2
1.2	Intended	Audience
1.3	Version C	ontrol 2
1.4	Key Revie	ewers
1.5	Documen	t Sign Off 4
2. Cor	ntents	
3. Qua	ality Assura	nce Purpose and Goals7
4. Qua	ality Assura	ance Methodology 8
4.1	QA Repo	ting Period
4.2	Work Iten	a Sample Selection Approach
4.3	Sampled	Work Item Scoring10
4.4	Target Sc	ores13
5. Qua	ality Assura	ince Reports14
6. Tas	k Details	
Work		MAGI Data Entry Support
	Stream 1:	
Tas	Stream 1: sk 1: Pa	MAGI Data Entry Support15
Tas Tas	Stream 1: sk 1: Pa sk 2: Pa	MAGI Data Entry Support15 per Verification Data Entry15
Tas Tas Tas	Stream 1: sk 1: Pa sk 2: Pa sk 3: Pa	MAGI Data Entry Support
Tas Tas Tas Tas	Stream 1: sk 1: Pa sk 2: Pa sk 3: Pa sk 4: Mis	MAGI Data Entry Support
Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas	Stream 1: sk 1: Pa sk 2: Pa sk 3: Pa sk 4: Mis sk 5: Un	MAGI Data Entry Support
Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas	Stream 1: sk 1: Pa sk 2: Pa sk 3: Pa sk 4: Mis sk 5: Un sk 6: He	MAGI Data Entry Support
Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas	Stream 1: sk 1: Pa sk 2: Pa sk 3: Pa sk 4: Mis sk 5: Un sk 6: He sk 7: En	MAGI Data Entry Support15per Verification Data Entry15per Application Data Entry17per Renewal Data Entry20ssing Information Requests23known Item Administration26aring/Appeals Support27
Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas Work	Stream 1: sk 1: Pa sk 2: Pa sk 3: Pa sk 4: Mis sk 5: Un sk 6: He sk 7: En Stream 2:	MAGI Data Entry Support15per Verification Data Entry15per Application Data Entry17per Renewal Data Entry20ssing Information Requests23known Item Administration26aring/Appeals Support27terprise Master Person Index (EMPI) Administration27
Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas Work	Stream 1: sk 1: Pa sk 2: Pa sk 3: Pa sk 3: Un sk 5: Un sk 6: He sk 7: En Stream 2: sk 1: Ag	MAGI Data Entry Support15per Verification Data Entry15per Application Data Entry17per Renewal Data Entry20ssing Information Requests23known Item Administration26aring/Appeals Support27terprise Master Person Index (EMPI) Administration27MAGI Case Maintenance Support27
Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas Tas Work Tas Tas	Stream 1: sk 1: Pa sk 2: Pa sk 3: Pa sk 3: Mis sk 5: Un sk 5: Un sk 6: He sk 7: En Stream 2: sk 1: Ag sk 2: Pre	MAGI Data Entry Support15per Verification Data Entry15per Application Data Entry17per Renewal Data Entry20ssing Information Requests23known Item Administration26aring/Appeals Support27terprise Master Person Index (EMPI) Administration27MAGI Case Maintenance Support27e-Outs27

Task 1:	Data Entry of HUSKY C and Medicare Savings Program Referrals	30
Task 2:	Premium Billing Support	31
Task 3:	Spend-Down Expense Support	32
Work Strean	n 4: Call Center Services	34
Task 1:	ConneCT MyAccount Call Center Services	34

3. QUALITY ASSURANCE PURPOSE AND GOALS

The goals of the Quality Assurance (QA) approach are two-fold:

- Provide DSS with a quantifiable view into the accuracy and precision of the outcomes/results of the outsourced health operations. This important view is orthogonal to the other measures of the health operations that focus on backlogs and timeliness. Together these perspectives provide a 360° view of contractor performance and contract compliance.
- Provide DSS and the outsourcing contractor with insights into possible process improvements. These process improvements could be training related, procedure related, hiring related, supervisory or involve adjustments in how we measure people or the outsourcing contractor.

4. QUALITY ASSURANCE METHODOLOGY

Each outsourced task, that is part of the QAP, consists of distinct work items and activities of which a sample will be subject to QA measurements.

4.1 QA REPORTING PERIOD

A standard QA reporting period is a calendar month. A calendar month approach has some advantages:

- 1. Aligns with a monthly executive reporting approach.
- 2. Aligns with invoicing periods and to the extent that QA measures are used in the service level agreement (SLAs) this will simplify invoicing and speed payment processing.
- 3. It is a recognized and readily understood period as opposed to say a bi-weekly or four week period, i.e., you readily know what month you are in.

There are some known disadvantages to the calendar month approach:

- 1. Months are variable lengths and even the same month can contain a different number of workdays in different years. This can make month-over-month and year-over-year comparisons problematic. However, since most quality measures are percentage based, as opposed to absolute numbers, this is largely handled.
- 2. A monthly QA period may not align with the outsourcing contractor's staff payment period, e.g., weekly or bi-weekly. To the extent that the outsource contractor wants to provide quality assessment measurements to its staff, that align with their pay periods, the outsourcing contractor will need to find a way to satisfy both goals without incurring material overhead.

4.2 WORK ITEM SAMPLE SELECTION APPROACH

The general approach to sample selection for a task work item is based on ensuring that each individual worker has an appropriate amount of QA oversight for a given work item. Arguably for DSS the performance of any one individual is not as important as compared to the overall performance of the outsourcing vendor. However, by using a worker-centric sampling approach three objectives can be met:

- The outsourcing contractor can use the worker-based information in paymentperformance models.
- The outsourcing contractor can use the worker-based information in order to improve an individual's performance via training or extended supervision.

• The data collected at an individual level can be readily aggregated into a monthly "vendor level" view for each task so that DSS can review performance and meet its contract oversight needs, i.e., if the sample is enough to review an individual's performance then the aggregate is enough to review the vendor.

To determine the sample size for an individual for a particular task a lookup-table is used that crossreferences past performance of the person and his/her experience in a task. The first week of experience is defined as the first week a worker performs tasks alone without supervision.

Table-1 is the default <u>minimum</u> sample-size table but unique tasks could adopt modified approaches. Exact thresholds for each task expectations are described in section 6.

Special Circumstances

The sample size approach is intended for steady-state operations. During the start-up of a task or transition of a task between organizations then a different sampling or quality gating approach could be created.

For example, if a worker was in their third week on a task and they had previously received a B grade, then the sample size for their work would be 50%.

Tenure	Meets	Below Expectations			
Tenure	Expectations	В	С	F	
0 - 2 Weeks	20%	20%	20%	20%	
2 - 3 Weeks	5%	10%	20%	20%	
3 - 4 Weeks	5%	10%	20%	20%	
Over 4 Weeks	5%	10%	20%	20%	

Table 1 – Standard (default) minimum-sample-size table to determine an appropriate sample size for an individual

When a particular task is low volume, the vendor should ensure that a minimum of 25 items (or the total number of items) are sampled. For example, a target sample size of 5% that results in only 10 items being selected is insufficient to measure a given task; select 25 as the minimum number of items.

The approach described thus far is the general approach that emphasizes worker experience, i.e., most errors come from inexperienced staff. However there may be specific tasks that may benefit from the overlaying of an additional *Pareto* approach, i.e., if 80% of the errors come from 20% of a type of work item. In that situation we would alter the general approach and create a unique sampling size for the different types of work items (and possibly a different score aggregation approach for the task as a whole).

4.3 SAMPLED WORK ITEM SCORING

Example work items include applications entered, documents verified, calls answered, and notices printed. For each work item sampled the outsourcing contractor must keep a detailed tracking of appropriate quality measurement. We recognize two types of scoring:

- 1. *Elemental* these are low-level measurements and each task will typically consist of multiple elemental measures. Elemental items can carry a weighting, i.e., not all elemental items are scored the same.
- 2. Outcome this is the overall pass-fail type scoring of a work item.

Elemental type errors could include, for example, how accurately individual data fields were entered into a computer system; each mistyped field would be an elemental error. The aggregation of these elemental-level errors provides one measure of quality for a completed work item.

In designing the elemental scoring for a given task there are various considerations to balance:

• Not all elements need to be scored/weighted the same. For example, the accuracy of a social security number is more important than say a middle name and a social security number is also more prone to mistyping.

- It is not necessary to strictly adhere to a score per field. A set of fields could be bundled together, e.g., treat an address as one (important) item rather than five individually scored fields.
- It may be necessary to score optional fields as a success when they are 'blank'. For example, we may leave a mailing address blank when it is the same as the residential address, and so when it is (correctly) blank we need to award it a full score.

The more elemental measurements that are scored the less important any individual measurement, i.e., as more items are measured it is possible to lose sight of issues. Therefore the design of the elemental scoring for a task seeks to find a balance between breadth or measured elements, weighting of elements and bundling of elements.

In addition to the weighted elemental measurements, each work item will also receive an *Outcome Score* as input into the overall scoring. There are two possible outcome scores for each work item:

- 1. Pass, i.e., no errors and 100% correct. This has a score of 1.
- 2. Fail, i.e., there were one or more errors that affected the perceived success of the work item. This will typically result in a score of zero (0), but individual tasks could define alternative weights for this, e.g., a multiplier of 0.5 rather than zero (0). The exact definition of what constitutes critical is unique per type of work item.

Each work item is evaluated as a whole into a single score as per the following formula.

Work Item Score % = (Outcome) *
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (Earned \ Elemental_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (Total \ Elemental_i)}$$

Tasks that differ from this general approach are noted in the particular Task Details section.

As an example, consider a fictitious task that involves the data entry of documents into a legacy computer system. In our example there is only one type of work item that is measured, and each work item (document) has 11 data elements. Three of these data elements are considered critical (e.g., the eligibility result and the start and end dates) and were weighted at 60 points (20 points each). The remaining 8 elements are weighted at 5 points apiece bringing the total to 100 possible points.

If someone types in all 11 fields correctly then the score is:

If someone types in 10 fields correctly but not the eligibility start date (received 0/20 points for that field, but since it's a critical item, received an Outcome score of 0):

If someone types in all 11 fields apart from a minor error on one of the 5 point fields (received 2/5 points for that field):

When a task consists of a number of types of work items then the different scores for the different work items will have to be combined to create a single resultant score. The aggregation approach will be unique to the task and the work items be measured. Note that you could have multiple work items for a task if it was a two-step process (one person does step 1 and another person does step 2) or if there were different artifacts being processed, e.g., a Pareto-based separation or work item artifacts such as the types of verification documents or the types of "unknown documents".

The individual work item scores are aggregated into the following measures:

- Person Task Quality Score this is a person's total score for a given task. When someone performs more than one task they will have more than one score. <u>This score is</u> <u>compared against the target for the task.</u>
- *Monthly Task Quality Score* this is the total score for a given task for the whole organization. This score is compared against the organizational target for the task and would be the basis of any contractual quality SLAs.

The Person Task Quality Score is calculated as the average of all their measured scores for the period:

$$Person Task \ Quality \ Score \ \% = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{K} (WorkItemScore_i)}{K}$$

The Monthly Task Quality Score is calculated as the average of all measured scores for the period across all people:

Monthly Task Quality Score
$$\% = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (WorkItemScore_i)}{N}$$

4.4 TARGET SCORES

Every month a Monthly Task Quality Score will be calculated for a Task. Similarly individual workers will have a monthly Person Task Quality Score calculated for the tasks they are involved in. These actual task and person scores are compared against a *Minimum Monthly Task Quality Score Target* and a *Minimum Person Task Quality Score Target* respectively.

Based on the deviation from the monthly target a task may be shown on the monthly dashboards as Green, Amber or Red. Similarly SLAs may be tied to meeting or exceeding targets.

Table-2 is the default thresholds for judging the monthly task quality score and person task quality score. Note that when the total number of work items being QA'd during the time period is less than 100, any critical errors would result in missing expectations.

Maggura	Meets	Below Expectations		
Measure	Expectations	В	С	F
Monthly Task Score Targets	>= 96%	93% - <96%	90% - <93%	<90%
Person Task Score Targets	>= 96%	93% - <96%	90% - <93%	<90%

Table 2 – Standard (defaul	t) expectation thresholds
----------------------------	---------------------------

5. QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORTS

QA tasks will be ongoing throughout a month. Measurements and results will be recorded on a daily basis and will be included in the daily end-of-day Excel-based operational report.

A Monthly Quality Assurance Dashboard will be provided within 5 business days of the end of the month in Microsoft PowerPoint format. It will be possible to directly map/calculate/aggregate the monthly report from the information captured in the daily report.

6. TASK DETAILS

Work Stream 1: MAGI Data Entry Support

Task 1: Paper Verification Data Entry

Scoring

The artifacts described in the QA Methodology are as follows:

Work Item Score Calculation - Standard

Verifications Elemental vs Outcome

Section	Category	Error	Critical
	Did the verification meet acceptable criteria?	Document used does not meet the acceptable criteria	Yes
Verification Evaluation	Electronic sources used? (EMS/SAVE/Impact)	Did not use electronic source	
	Was the correct action selected?	Incorrect action was selected	Yes
Manage VCL	Was the correct Document ID added?	Document ID incorrect/blank	
	Was the correct Document Type selected?	Document type incorrect/blank	
Report a Change	Was a change reported (if applicable)?	Changes were not made – (possible) impact to Eligibility or Identity. Specifically: Social Security Number Date of Birth First & last name Detailed income amounts, i.e., not the APTC annual amount. S-year bar question Citizenship/Immigration type and document details Tax details when forced by system to update a new year.	Yes

		Changes were not made – No impact to Eligibility or Identity.	
	Was the proper updates/changes made?	 Partial / incorrect changes were made – (possible) impact to Eligibility or Identity. Specifically: Social Security Number Date of Birth First and last name Detailed income amounts, i.e., not the APTC annual amount. 5-year bar question Citizenship/Immigration type and document details Tax details when forced by system to update a new year. 	Yes
		Partial / incorrect changes were made – no Impact to Eligibility or Identity	
	Enrollment	Enrollment was processed in error	Yes
	Linomient	Enrollment was not processed	
		Partial info was requested	
Client Follow-Up	Manage Case Comments	Notes are incomplete	
Cheffel Tollow-Op		Notes are incorrect/blank	
	Outbound Call	No outbound call was made	
Work Item Details	Was the correct action taken?	Incorrect action was selected	Yes

Uses the standard default sample-table.

Quality Targets

Uses the standard default quality-table.

Task 2: Paper Application Data Entry

Scoring

The artifacts described in the QA Methodology are as follows:

Work Item Score Calculation – Standard formula, but use the critical Outcome multiplier of 0.5

Paper Application Elemental vs Outcome

Section	Category	Error	Critical
	Application Info	Application filing date incorrect	Yes
	Document Info	Document ID incorrect	
	Channel	Channel is incorrect	
	Subsidy Question	Subsidy question is incorrect	Yes
Application and		Resides with primary question is incorrect	Yes
Family		Gender is incorrect	Yes
	Household Members	SSN is incorrect	Yes
	Household Members	Name is incorrect	Yes
		DOB is incorrect	Yes
		Needs coverage question is incorrect	Yes
	Relationships	Relationships were set incorrectly	Yes
Household	Marital Status/Details	Marital status is incorrect	Yes
Relationships		Caretaker relative box is incorrect	Yes
	Caretaker Relatives/Foster Care Info	Foster care information is incorrect	Yes
		Phone number wasn't entered	
		Paperless question wasn't selected	
	Primary Applicant Contact Info	Preferred language is incorrect	Yes
Contact		Email wasn't entered/Incorrect	Yes
Information		Phone number is incorrect	
		Mailing address is incorrect	Yes
	Primary Applicant Home Address	Primary address is incorrect	
	Decidency / Living Arrangement	Residency info is incorrect	Yes
	Residency/ Living Arrangement	Living arrangement is incorrect	

	To Shaha	Tax status is incorrect	Yes
	Tax Status	Yearly income is incorrect	Yes
	D	Due date wasn't added	
	Pregnancy	Number of babies is incorrect	Yes
Damaa	Disability	Disability question is incorrect	
Person Information		Alien number is incorrect	
	Citizenship	Citizenship and status questions are incorrect	Yes
		Document type is incorrect	
		Race is incorrect	
	Ethnicity/Race	Ethnicity is incorrect	
		Deduction wasn't added	Yes
		Income question is incorrect	Yes
		Type is incorrect	
	Current Income	Employer name is incorrect	
Income	Current income	Person name is incorrect	Yes
Information		Amount is incorrect	Yes
		Additional questions were left blank	
-	Previous month's income/Deductions	Retro box was selected in error	Yes
		Retro box wasn't selected	Yes
		Received Indian Health Services question is incorrect	
		State is incorrect	
Detailed Person	Tribal Status	Name of tribe is incorrect	
Info		Eligible for Indian Health Services question is incorrect	
-	Impairments/Accommodations	Incorrect check box was selected	
	Veteran Status	Veteran status is incorrect	Yes
		Health insurance questions are incorrect	Yes
Family Health	Health Insurance Questions & Employer Health	Coverage type is incorrect	Yes
Coverage	Insurance Info	Who is covered is incorrect	Yes
		Policy holder is incorrect	
		Effective date is incorrect	Yes

		Plan name is incorrect	
		Policy number is incorrect	
		Group number is incorrect	
		Payment frequency or Premium cost for APTC is incorrect	Yes
		Name of carrier is incorrect	
		Work status is incorrect	
		EIN is incorrect	
		Employer name is incorrect	
		Contact name is incorrect	
		Insurance type is incorrect	
		Who is eligible is incorrect	Yes
		End date is incorrect	Yes
		Employer phone number is incorrect	
		Contact phone number is incorrect	
		Contact email is incorrect	
		Employee name is incorrect	
		Employer address is incorrect	
	Yearly Renewal Confirmation	Incorrect amount of years was selected	
		Signature question is incorrect	Yes
	Signature & Assignment of Interest	Signature type is incorrect	
Confirm All		Incorrect selection was made	Yes
Committi All	Authorized Representative	AREP info wasn't added	
	Autionzeu Representative	AREP info is incorrect	
		Enrollment wasn't processed	Yes
	Enrollment	Enrollment was processed in error	Yes
	Managa Casa Comments	Notes were not added	
	Manage Case Comments	Notes are incomplete	
Client Follow- Up/Outcome		Unnecessary info was requested	
	Manage Missing Info/Notices	The VCL wasn't managed	Yes
		Notice wasn't generated	Yes

Partial Info was requested	Yes
Incorrect notice was generated	Yes

Uses the standard default sample-table.

Quality Targets

Uses the standard default quality-table.

Task 3: Paper Renewal Data Entry

Scoring

The artifacts described in the QA Methodology are as follows:

Work Item Score Calculation – Standard formula, but use the critical Outcome multiplier of 0.5

Renewal Elemental vs. Outcome

Section	Category	Error	Critical
	Application Info	Application filing date incorrect	Yes
	Document Info	Document ID incorrect	
	Channel	Channel is incorrect	
	Subsidy Question	Subsidy question is incorrect	Yes
Application and	Household Members	Resides with primary question is incorrect	Yes
Family		Gender is incorrect	Yes
		SSN is incorrect	Yes
		Name is incorrect	Yes
		DOB is incorrect	Yes
		Needs coverage question is incorrect	Yes
	Relationships	Relationships were set incorrectly	Yes
Ususshald	Marital Status/Details	Marital status is incorrect	Yes
Household — Relationships		Caretaker relative box is incorrect	Yes
	Caretaker Relatives/Foster Care Info	Foster care information is incorrect	Yes
Contact	Primary Applicant Contact Info	Phone number wasn't entered	

Information		Paperless question wasn't selected	
		Preferred language is incorrect	Yes
		Email wasn't entered/Incorrect	Yes
		Phone number is incorrect	
		Mailing address is incorrect	Yes
	Primary Applicant Home Address	Primary address is incorrect	
	Posidonov / Living Arrangement	Residency info is incorrect	Yes
	Residency/Living Arrangement	Living arrangement is incorrect	
	Tay Status	Tax status is incorrect	Yes
	Tax Status	Yearly income is incorrect	Yes
	Drognonov	Due date wasn't added	
	Pregnancy	Number of babies is incorrect	Yes
Dercen	Disability	Disability question is incorrect	
Person — Information		Alien number is incorrect	
	Citizenship	Citizenship and status questions are incorrect	Yes
		Document type is incorrect	
	Ethnicity/Race	Race is incorrect	
		Ethnicity is incorrect	
		Deduction wasn't added	Yes
		Income question is incorrect	Yes
		Type is incorrect	
	Current Income	Employer name is incorrect	
Income		Person name is incorrect	Yes
Information		Amount is incorrect	Yes
		Additional questions were left blank	
		Retro box was selected in error	Yes
	Previous month's income/Deductions	Retro box wasn't selected	Yes
		Received Indian Health Services question is incorrect	
Detailed Person	Tribal Status	State is incorrect	
Info		Name of tribe is incorrect	
		Eligible for Indian Health Services	

		question is incorrect	
	Impairments/Accommodations	Incorrect check box was selected	
	Veteran Status	Veteran status is incorrect	Yes
		Health insurance questions are incorrect	Yes
		Coverage type is incorrect	Yes
		Who is covered is incorrect	Yes
		Policy holder is incorrect	
		Effective date is incorrect	Yes
		Plan name is incorrect	
		Policy number is incorrect	
		Group number is incorrect	
		Payment frequency or Premium cost for APTC is incorrect	Yes
	Health Insurance Questions & Employer Health Insurance Info	Name of carrier is incorrect	
Family Health		Work status is incorrect	
Coverage		EIN is incorrect	
		Employer name is incorrect	
		Contact name is incorrect	
		Insurance type is incorrect	
		Who is eligible is incorrect	Yes
		End date is incorrect	Yes
		Employer phone number is incorrect	
		Contact phone number is incorrect	
		Contact email is incorrect	
		Employee name is incorrect	
		Employer address is incorrect	
	Yearly Renewal Confirmation	Incorrect amount of years was selected	
		Signature question is incorrect	Yes
Confirm All	Signature & Assignment of Interest	Signature type is incorrect	
		Incorrect selection was made	Yes
	Authorized Representative	AREP info wasn't added	

		AREP info is incorrect	
		Enrollment wasn't processed	Yes
	Enrollment	Enrollment was processed in error	Yes
	Manage Case Comments	Notes were not added	
		Notes are incomplete	
	Manage Missing Info/Notices	Unnecessary info was requested	
Client Follow- Up/Outcome		The VCI wasn't managed	Yes
		Notice wasn't generated	Yes
		Partial Info was requested	Yes
		Incorrect notice was generated	Yes

Uses the standard default sample-table.

Quality Targets

Uses the standard default quality-table.

Task 4:Missing Information Requests

Scoring

The artifacts described in the QA Methodology are as follows:

Work Item Score Calculation – Standard formula, but use the critical Outcome multiplier of 0.5

MIN Elemental vs. Outcome

Section	Category	Error	Critical
	Application Info	Application filing date incorrect	Yes
	Document Info	Document ID incorrect	
	Channel	Channel is incorrect	
Application and Family	Subsidy Question	Subsidy question is incorrect	Yes
		Resides with primary question is incorrect	Yes
	Household Members	Gender is incorrect	Yes
		SSN is incorrect	Yes
		Name is incorrect	Yes

		DOB is incorrect	Yes
		Needs coverage question is incorrect	Yes
	Relationships	Relationships were set incorrectly	Yes
Household	Marital Status/Details	Marital status is incorrect	Yes
Relationships		Caretaker relative box is incorrect	Yes
	Caretaker Relatives/Foster Care Info	Foster care information is incorrect	Yes
		Phone number wasn't entered	
		Paperless question wasn't selected	
	Primary Applicant Contact Info	Preferred language is incorrect	Yes
Contact		Email wasn't entered/Incorrect	Yes
Information		Phone number is incorrect	
		Mailing address is incorrect	Yes
	Primary Applicant Home Address	Primary address is incorrect	
		Residency info is incorrect	Yes
	Residency/ Living Arrangement	Living arrangement is incorrect	
	Tax Status	Tax status is incorrect	Yes
		Yearly income is incorrect	Yes
	Drognangy	Due date wasn't added	
	Pregnancy	Number of babies is incorrect	Yes
Derreen	Disability	Disability question is incorrect	
Person — Information	Citizenship	Alien number is incorrect	
		Citizenship and status questions are incorrect	Yes
		Document type is incorrect	
		Race is incorrect	
	Ethnicity/Race	Ethnicity is incorrect	
		Deduction wasn't added	Yes
		Income question is incorrect	Yes
Income	Current Income	Type is incorrect	
Information	current income	Employer name is incorrect	
		Person name is incorrect	Yes
		Amount is incorrect	Yes

		Additional questions were left blank	
	Previous month's income/Deductions	Retro box was selected in error	Yes
	Previous month's income/ Deductions	Retro box wasn't selected	Yes
		Received Indian Health Services question is incorrect	
	Tribal Status	State is incorrect	
Detailed Person		Name of tribe is incorrect	
Info		Eligible for Indian Health Services question is incorrect	
	Impairments/Accommodations	Incorrect check box was selected	
	Veteran Status	Veteran status is incorrect	Yes
		Health insurance questions are incorrect	Yes
		Coverage type is incorrect	Yes
		Who is covered is incorrect	Yes
	Health Insurance Questions & Employer Health Insurance Info	Policy holder is incorrect	
		Effective date is incorrect	Yes
		Plan name is incorrect	
		Policy number is incorrect	
		Group number is incorrect	
		Payment frequency or Premium cost for APTC is incorrect	Yes
Family Health Coverage		Name of carrier is incorrect	
Coverage	insurance into	Work status is incorrect	
		EIN is incorrect	
		Employer name is incorrect	
		Contact name is incorrect	
		Insurance type is incorrect	
		Who is eligible is incorrect	Yes
		End date is incorrect	Yes
		Employer phone number is incorrect	
		Contact phone number is incorrect	

		Contact email is incorrect	
		Employee name is incorrect	
		Employer address is incorrect	
	Yearly Renewal Confirmation	Incorrect amount of years was selected	
		Signature question is incorrect	Yes
	Signature & Assignment of Interest	Signature type is incorrect	
Confirm All		Incorrect selection was made	Yes
Confirm All	Authorized Representative	AREP info wasn't added	
		AREP info is incorrect	
	Enrollment	Enrollment wasn't processed	Yes
		Enrollment was processed in error	Yes
	Manage Case Comments	Notes were not added	
		Notes are incomplete	
		Unnecessary info was requested	
Client Follow- Up/Outcome		The VCI wasn't managed	Yes
	Manage Missing Info/Notices	Notice wasn't generated	Yes
		Partial Info was requested	Yes
		Incorrect notice was generated	Yes

Uses the standard default sample-table.

Quality Targets

Uses the standard default quality-table.

Task 5:Unknown Item Administration

Scoring

The artifacts described in the QA Methodology are as follows:

Work Item Score Calculation - Standard

Unknown Elemental vs. Outcome

Section	Category	Error	Critical
Document	Was the correct Application ID saved?	Application ID incorrect	Yes

Search	Was the correct Document Type selected?	Document ID incorrect	
--------	---	-----------------------	--

Uses the standard default sample-table.

Quality Targets

Uses the standard default quality-table.

Task 6: Hearing/Appeals Support

The QA methodology for appeals support is under review.

Task 7: Enterprise Master Person Index (EMPI) Administration

The QA methodology for EMPI administration is under review.

Work Stream 2: MAGI Case Maintenance Support

Task 1: Age-Outs

Scoring

The artifacts described in the QA Methodology are as follows:

Work Item Score Calculation - Standard

Unknown Elemental vs. Outcome

Section	Category	Error	Critical
	Application Defaults	Filing Date incorrect	Yes
		Channel is incorrect (not online)	
		E-signature not selected	
	Case Processed correctly	Case was not processed	Yes
HIX	Case comment is correct?	Incomplete or blank Case Comments	
		Case Comments list incorrect information	
	Enrollments completed?	Enrolment not processed correctly	Yes

Uses the standard default sample-table.

Quality Targets

Uses the standard default quality-table.

Task 2: Pregnancy Income Lock-In

Scoring

The artifacts described in the QA Methodology are as follows:

Work Item Score Calculation - Standard

Unknown Elemental vs. Outcome

Section	Category	Error	Critical
HIX	Case processed correctly?	Case was not processed or processed incorrectly	Yes
HIX Application	Application Info	Application filing date incorrect	Yes
and Family	Channel	Channel is incorrect	
HIX Confirm All	Signature & Assignment of Interest	Signature type is incorrect (Not E-Signature)	
HIX Case Comments	Manage Case Comments	Notes were not added, are incorrect or incomplete	

Sampling

Uses the standard default sample-table.

Quality Targets

Uses the standard default quality-table.

Task 3: Past Due Pregnancy

Scoring

The artifacts described in the QA Methodology are as follows:

Work Item Score Calculation - Standard

Unknown Elemental vs. Outcome

Section	Category	Error	Critical
	Application Info	Application filing date incorrect	Yes
	Channel	Channel is incorrect	
ніх	Subsidy Question	Subsidy question is incorrect	Yes
Application and Family		Resides with primary question is incorrect	Yes
	Household Members	Demographic information is incorrect	
		Needs coverage question is incorrect	Yes
HIX Household	Relationships	Relationships were set incorrectly	Yes
Relationships	Marital Status/Details	Marital status is incorrect	
HIX		Residency info is incorrect	Yes
Contact Information	Residency/ Living Arrangement	Living arrangement is incorrect	
	Tax Status	Tax status is incorrect	Yes
HIX	Pregnancy	Incorrect selection was made	
Person	Disability	Disability question is incorrect	
Information –	Citizenship	Citizenship and status questions are incorrect	Yes
HIX Family Health Coverage	Health Insurance Questions & Employer Health Insurance Info	Health insurance questions are incorrect	
		Signature question is incorrect	Yes
	Signature & Assignment of Interest	Signature type is incorrect	
HIX		Incorrect selection was made	Yes
Confirm All	F U C	Enrollment wasn't processed	Yes
	Enrollment	Enrollment was processed in error	Yes
HIX Case Comments	Manage Case Comments	Notes were not added, incorrect or incomplete	

Database	Reviewing in AHCT	Incorrect selection for "Overall Status"	
Database	Reviewing in Arci	"Overall Status"	

Uses the standard default sample-table.

Quality Targets

Uses the standard default quality-table.

Work Stream 3: General Administrative Support

Task 1: Data Entry of HUSKY C and Medicare Savings Program Referrals

Scoring

The artifacts described in the QA Methodology are as follows:

Work Item Score Calculation - Standard

HUSKY C Elemental vs. Outcome

Section	Category	Error	Critical
	Start Date	Incorrect start date was entered	Yes
		Case was not screened	Yes
	Case screened correctly	Incorrect consumer was screened	Yes
		Incorrect coverage was screened	
	Coverage	Coverage not screened (Different filing month)	
ENAS		Spouse not listed as NM	
EMS		Subscriber not listed as NM (Applicant the son/daughter)	
	Narratives	Narratives were not entered	
		Incorrect abbreviation used in narratives	
		Narratives list incorrect information	
		Narratives Missing Information	
	AREP	Name not entered correctly (includes last name and middle	

	initial)	
	AREP address not	
	entered/incorrect	
	AREP phone number not	
	entered/incorrect	
	AREP was not entered /	
	Incorrect	
	Incorrect Residential/Mailing	
Address	Address/Consumer not active	Yes
	in other programs	

Uses the standard default sample-table.

Quality Targets

Uses the standard default quality-table.

Task 2: Premium Billing Support

Scoring

The artifacts described in the QA Methodology are as follows:

Work Item Score Calculation - Standard

S05 Outcome vs. Elemental

Section	Category	Error	Critical
	Lock Box Date	Lock Box date does not mirror the date in the lock box file.	
	EMS Client ID	EMS ID / Name used to process Doesn't match in PPS	YES
PPS	Payment Form Type	Selected the incorrect payment type (Personal, Money Order, etc.)	
	Check Date	Incorrect Check date was used to process payment	YES
	Invoice Payment	Payment applied incorrectly in EMS	YES
		Payment applied incorrectly	YES
EMS	Payment Amount	Amount applied incorrectly	YES
Tracking	Issues Log	Case was not added in the Issues	

	Log	
Documents receive Log	Case was not added in the Documents received Log	

Uses the standard default sample-table.

Quality Targets

Uses the standard default quality-table.

Task 3: Spend-Down Expense Support

Scoring

The artifacts described in the QA Methodology are as follows:

Work Item Score Calculation - Standard

Spend Down Outcome vs. Elemental

Section	Category	Error	Critical
		Date of service is incorrect	
		Expense not applied/applied in error	
		Expense listed as paid/unpaid in error	
Spend down /		Expense received date incorrect	
Excel Expense Worksheet	Worksheet Review	Expenses not listed in chronological order	
		No worksheet on file	
		The amount entered is incorrect on the worksheet	
		TPL info on worksheet incorrect/blank	
	Was the SDS name selected in the prepared by drop-down for each AU?	Case was not assigned	
ConneXion Details	Expense Date & Period	Expense applied to incorrect spend down period	
		Expense date entered incorrectly	
		Expense was applied in error	

	Applied Amount	Amount applied incorrect	
	Expense Type	The Expense type is incorrect	
	Verification type	The Verification type is incorrect	
	Expense Paid	Paid/Unpaid incorrect	
	Provider Name	The provider name is incorrect	
	Medical Expense For	Expense wasn't applied to the correct HH member	
	Were appropriate notes added (if applicable)?	Case notes were not added	
		Phone call was not made to consumer/provider	
ConneXion	Follow-Up Call	Call notes are incorrect / Incomplete / Not entered	
Interactions		Partial info requested	
	Request for Information	Incorrect / Partial RFI was sent	Yes
		RFI was not sent/sent in error	Yes
	Individual Information	Incorrect Name selected	
	Spend down Period	Spend-down period selected is incorrect	Yes
	Medical Bills/Expense dates	Circumstances Start/Change Date is incorrect / Reported On date is incorrect / Date Client Became Aware is incorrect / Verification Received On date is incorrect	
		Expense not entered / applied Incorrectly / in error	Yes
ImpaCT		Expense not entered / applied Incorrectly / in error (Does not impact eligibility)	
	Medical Bills/Expense Information	Provider name Incorrect / not entered	
		Date of service is Incorrect	Yes
		Frequency selected is incorrect	Yes
		Amount per frequency is incorrect	Yes
		Medicaid Covered service selection is incorrect	

	Bill Status is incorrect	Yes
	Verification type is not "Hard Copy"	
Were the case notes entered correctly?	Case note is missing / incorrect/incomplete	
	Spelling/grammar / typo incorrect	

Uses the standard default sample-table.

Quality Targets

Uses the standard default quality-table.

Work Stream 4: Call Center Services

Task 1: ConneCT MyAccount Call Center Services

Scoring

The artifacts described in the QA Methodology are as follows:

Work Item Score Calculation - Standard

MyAccount Outcome vs. Elemental

Section	Category	Error	Critical
Opening Statement	Was the opening script followed?	Did not greet the client / Did not follow opening Script (thank you for calling)	
		Did not provide your name to the client	
		Did not request the client's name	
		Side conversation at the beginning of the call	
		Did not state "how may I help you"	
	Consumer with EMS ID - Was the call authenticated and an explanation provided? - Was	Did not obtain Client ID to open account	Yes

	AREP verified (If applicable)?	Last 4 numbers of SSN were not verified	Yes
		Date of birth not verified	Yes
		Did not verify Community partner info	Yes
		Did not state why call needs to be authenticated	
		AREP Name was not verified	Yes
		Full SSN or last 4 digits of SSN was not verified	Yes
		Date of birth not verified	Yes
	Consumer without EMS ID - Was the call authenticated and an explanation provided? - Was AREP verified (If applicable)?	Complete email address was not verified	Yes
		Did not verify Community partner info	Yes
		Did not state why call needs to be authenticated	
		Consumer's Full Name was not verified	Yes
		AREP's Name was not verified	Yes
Customer Service	Courteous tone & Empathy	Did not show empathy	
		Did not use a courteous tone	
	Displayed active listening skills	Asked client to repeat information	
		Did not address consumer by Mr/Mrs. Or Correct Name	
	Was appropriate language used?	Did not use appropriate language or used Slang words	
	Hold & Long Pauses	Did not ask permission to place client on hold	
		Did not thank consumer for Holding	
		Long pauses or long holds during call	

		Side conversation during pending transfer	
	Interrupted client while he or she was speaking	Spoke over the client	
	Did the Rep control the call?	Client moved off topic/Rep did not redirect conversation	
Research & Case info	Password reset and Account information	Provided incorrect Username to consumer	Yes
		Password reset on incorrect account (HIX vs. MyAccount)	Yes
		Provided incorrect instructions & could not find client but client is in system	
	Narratives in EMS / MyAccount Database	Did not document in EMS	Yes
		Did not document in Tracking Database	
Closing	Do you have any further questions?	Did not ask the client if any further questions	
	Thank you for calling.	Did not state the "Thank You" closing Script	

Uses the standard default sample-table.

Quality Targets

Uses the standard default quality-table.