

Connecticut Department of Public Health

Childhood Lead Poisoning in Connecticut

2010 Surveillance Report





Childhood Lead Poisoning in Connecticut

CY 2010 Surveillance Report

Commissioner Jewel Mullen, MD, MPH, MPA Connecticut Department of Public Health

> Prepared by: Tsui-Min Hung, MHS Epidemiologist Connecticut Department of Public Health Lead and Healthy Homes Program

For additional information about the *Childhood Lead Poisoning in Connecticut CY 20010 Surveillance Report* contact: Connecticut Department of Public Health Lead and Healthy Homes Program 410 Capitol Avenue PO BOX 340308, MS#51LED Hartford, Connecticut 06134 Phone: (860) 509-7299

December 12, 2011

Funding provided by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Grant Number US7/CCU122845-03 and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Grant Number PB-99108507-7 and Connecticut General Fund

Suggested citation: Hung, T 2011. Childhood Lead Poisoning in Connecticut-CY 2010 Surveillance Report. Hartford, CT: Connecticut Department of Public Health.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Connecticut Department of Public Health

Commissioner

Jewel Mullen, MD, MPH, MPA

Regulatory Services Branch

Chief – Ellen Blaschinski, MBA, RS

Environmental Health Section

Chief - Suzanne Blancaflor, MS, MPH

Lead and Healthy Homes Program

Supervisor - Francesca Provenzano, MPH, CHES, RS

Staff

Mark Aschenbach, BS, RS Waynett Bobbs, BS Lisa Bushnell, BS, RS Jimmy Davila, BS Maria Figueroa, BS Tsui-Min Hung, MHS Tina McCarthy, BS Kimberly Ploszaj, BS, NREMT-B Krista Veneziano, MPH, CHES, RS Rhonda Wisniewski, BA

Table of Contents

KEY FINDINGS1
UNDERSTANDING THE LEAD DATA2
PART I: BLOOD LEAD SCREENING4
Blood Lead Screening in 20105
Compliance with Blood Lead Screening Mandate9
Screening by Birth Cohort9
PART II. PREVALENCE OF ELEVATED BLOOD LEAD LEVELS
PART III. INCIDENCE OF ELEVATED BLOOD LEAD LEVELS
PART IV. DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS ASSOCIATED EBLLS
PART V. ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS FOR EBLL CHILDREN
PART VI. APPENDICES
Table 1. Percentage of children under 6 years of age who had a lead screening, by town and by age at test – Connecticut CY 2010
Table 2. Percentage of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead, by town and by blood lead levels – Connecticut CY 2010
Table 3. Percentage of children 1 and 2 years of age with elevated blood lead, by town and by blood lead levels – Connecticut CY 2010
Table 4. Incidence of elevated blood lead among children under six years of age, by town and by blood lead levels –_Connecticut CY 2010

Table of Figures

Figure 1. Percentage of children under 6 years of age who had a lead screening, by calendar year – Connecticut 1995-2010	6
Figure 2. Percentage of children 1-2 years of age who had a lead screening, by calendar year – Connecticut 1996-2010	6
Figure 3 & Figure 4. Percentage of children who have had at least one/two screening(s) by 18/36 months of age, by year of birth – Connecticut 1997-2007	s 0
Figure 7. Number of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead, CY 2010	4
Figure 8. Prevalence of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead, by calendar year and by blood lead levels – Connecticut 1995-2010	5
Figure 9. Number of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead, by calendar year and by blood lead levels – Connecticut 2002-2010	6
Figure 10. Percentage and number of children under 6 years of age with blood lead $\ge 5 \ \mu$ g/dL by detailed breakdown categories – Connecticut 2010	6
Figure 11. Incidence of elevated blood lead among children under 6 years of age, by blood lead levels – Connecticut CY 2010	0
Figure 12. Number of existing and new cases of elevated blood lead among children under 6 years of age, by blood lead levels – Connecticut CY 2010	1
Figure 13. Percentage of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead, by race – Connecticut CY 2010	4
Figure 14. Percentage of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead, by ethnicity – Connecticut CY 2010	5
Figure 15. Percentage of housing style among inspected housing units2	9
Figure 16. Percentage of environmental lead hazards identified by source	0
Figure 17. Percentage of environmental lead hazards related to paint or non-paint	1
Figure 18. Abatement and management activities among dwelling units requiring abatement of lead hazards	2

Table of Maps

Map 1. By Town Blood Lead Screening Rate, Children 1 and 2 Years Old, Connecticut 2010
Map 2. Number of Children under 6 Years Old with Elevated Blood Lead Levels $\geq 10 \ \mu g/dL$ by Town,
Map 3. Number of Children under 6 Years Old with Elevated Blood Lead Levels \geq 15 µg/dL by Town,
Connecticut, 2010
Map 4. Number of New Cases ≥15 μg/dL By Town Among Children Under 6 Years Old, Connecticut 2010
Map 5. Properties Associated with Lead Poisoned Children & Number of Households with Income Below
Poverty Level, Connecticut 2010
Map 6. Properties Associated with Children with Elevated Blood Levels \geq 10 µg/dL & Housing Units Built pre-1960, Connecticut 201027

KEY FINDINGS

The following provides a summary of key findings for lead surveillance conducted by the Lead and Healthy Homes Program during the 2010 calendar year (CY).

• Statewide Blood Lead Screening

- o 82,194 (33.5%) screened among CT children from birth to six years of age
- o 52,744 (66.2%) screened among CT children from one to two years of age
- 89,728 blood lead tests for children under age of 6 received by the Lead and Healthy Homes program

• Prevalence of Elevated Blood Lead Levels (EBLLs)

Among children under 6 years of age who had a confirmed blood lead test:

- $\circ ~~743~(0.9\%)~children \geq 10~\mu g/dL$
- $\circ \quad 315 \text{ (0.4\%) children } \ge 15 \ \mu\text{g/dL}$
- \circ 156 (0.2%) children ≥20 µg/dL

• Incidence of EBLLs

Number of new cases identified and incidence of EBLLs among children under 6 years of age who had a confirmed blood lead test:

- o 504 (0.6%) ≥10 μg/dL
- o 227 (0.3%) ≥15 μg/dL
- o 119 (0.1%) ≥20 μg/dL

Race and Ethnicity Associated with EBLLs

Among children under 6 years of age who had a confirmed blood lead test:

- \circ Blacks (1.6%) were more likely to have EBLLs of ≥10 µg/dL than Whites (0.8%), Native Americans (0.3%), or Asians (0.6%)
- Hispanics (1.5%) were more likely to have EBLLs of \geq 10 µg/dL than Non-Hispanics (0.8%)

• Environmental Lead Hazard Investigations

Among the 157 dwelling units for which environmental investigations were completed and reported for poisoned children:

- o 89.8% were identified with environmental lead hazards
- o 82.1% were multiple-unit dwelling
- o 87.9% were identified with paint hazards
- o 58.6% were identified with dust hazards
- o 35.0% were identified with soil hazards
- o 0% with a drinking water hazard

UNDERSTANDING THE LEAD DATA

Laboratories are mandated to submit blood lead level reports to the Connecticut Department of Public Health (CT DPH) and local health departments per Connecticut General Statutes (CGS) Sec. 19a-110 -- *Report of lead poisoning.* Laboratories that perform blood lead tests are required to submit elevated blood lead test reports (i.e., findings \geq 10 µg/dL of lead in blood) within 48 hours of receipt of the test result to the CT DPH and the local health department serving the town where the person (child) resides. At least monthly, laboratories are required to submit to the CT DPH a comprehensive report of all blood lead test results for Connecticut residents.

The CT DPH has maintained a blood lead surveillance system since 1994. In 2010, the CT DPH Lead and Healthy Homes program upgraded the previous blood lead surveillance system to a comprehensive web-based system. The new system has enhanced the ability to merge birth records and comprehensive environmental data with child blood lead data. The new surveillance system has had a significant positive impact on the Lead and Healthy Homes program's capability to utilize surveillance data to enhance case management efforts, resulting in cleaner and better data. The web-based feature of the new system enables secure and remote access by local health department staff. Case management features are built into the system to enhance both child and property case management activities at the local health department level. The new system has been offered to local health departments since May 2011.

Important Business Rules:

Lead Screening – A person is considered to have a lead screening if he or she was tested for lead with either a venous or capillary blood draw.

Children who had a blood sample collected for a lead screening in 2010 are included in this report regardless of whether the test was analyzed in 2010.

When a child had more than one lead screening in CY 2010, the child was only counted once and the highest confirmed lead result was used. If the child had multiple lead screenings while living in more than one town in CY 2010, the statistics regarding the child were applied to the town where the child lived when tested with the highest confirmed lead result.

A confirmed test result is defined as one of the following:

- 1) A venous blood draw
- 2) A capillary blood draw with a result of <10 μ g/dL
- 3) The second of two capillary blood draws, if both screenings results were $\ge 10 \ \mu g/dL$ and the blood tests were drawn within 12 weeks of one another
- 4) A capillary blood draw with a result of $\ge 10 \ \mu g/dL$, if the previous lead test was a confirmed elevated blood lead level of $\ge 10 \ \mu g/dL$, regardless of the time lag between tests

Remarks:

Children who are 1 to 2 years old refer to those who are 12 through 35 months of age. Unless otherwise specified, "years" refer to calendar years within this report.

Starting with the 2004 report, the Lead and Healthy homes program has slightly modified the statistical analysis methods. The unit of analysis for elevated blood lead levels in the CY 2004 through CY 2009 Surveillance Reports was based on the number of individual children, whereas Surveillance Reports prior to 2004 were based on the number of valid or confirmed blood tests. Also, additional criteria have been added to the definition of confirmed blood tests.

Part I: BLOOD LEAD SCREENING

Blood Lead Screening in 2010

The mandatory universal screening of young children took effect in calendar year 2009. Connecticut law mandates that providers must conduct annual lead screening for each child 9 to 35 months of age, effective January 1, 2009. Any child between 36-72 months of age who has not previously been screened must also have a blood lead screen performed, regardless of risk.

- The Lead and Healthy Homes program received 89,728 blood lead tests for children under age of 6 during CY 2010.
- In CY 2010, 82,194 children under 6 years of age were tested for lead poisoning.
- Of the 82,194 children tested, 52,744 (64%) were 1 or 2 years old.

Demographics of children under 6 years of age who had a lead screening – Connecticut CY 2010 (N=82,194)

Demographics	Number	Percent
Age Group		
<12mo	6,625	8.1%
12-23 mo	27,284	33.2%
24-35 mo	25,460	31.0%
36-47 mo	10,020	12.2%
48-59 mo	8,322	10.1%
60-71 mo	4,483	5.5%
Gender		
Male	40,956	49.8%
Female	38,514	46.9%
Unknown	2,724	3.3%
Race		
White	47,128	57.3%
Black	10,314	12.6%
Asian	2,820	3.4%
Native American	326	0.4%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	9	<0.1%
Other	1969	2.1%
Unknown	19,901	24.2%
Ethnicity		
Hispanic	19,120	23.3%
Non-Hispanic	42,679	51.9%
Unknown	20,395	24.8%

Figure 1. Percentage of children under 6 years of age who had a lead screening, by calendar year – Connecticut 1995-2010



In CY 2010, 82,194 (33.5%) children under 6 years of age had at least one lead screening. There was a 1.9% increase in 2010 when compared to 2009.





In CY 2010, 52,744 (66.2%) children from one to two years of age had at least one lead screening. There was a 4.8% increase in screening in 2010 when compared to 2009. A trend of increased screening rates has been observed since 2003. Over the 7 year period since 2003, the screening rate has increased 22.2%.

By Town Screening

A map illustrating by town screening rates for children 1 and 2 years old is shown on next page. For detailed information on screening by town for children under 6 years of age and 1-2 years of age, see Appendix Table1.



Мар 1.



Compliance with Blood Lead Screening Mandate-Screening by Birth Cohort

Starting January 1, 2009, it became mandatory that all healthcare providers in Connecticut conduct annual lead poisoning screening for every child 9 to 35 months of age. Prior to 2009, lead screening of one and two year old children was recommended rather than mandated. Compliance with this mandate is assessed by measuring the proportion of children born in Connecticut during a given year who have had at least one blood lead test by 18 months of age, and at least two blood lead tests by 36 months of age. In this report, two analysis approaches were used to calculate screening rates by 18 months, 36 months, and 6 years of age.

Method1: Longitudinal analysis

The first method uses a longitudinal analysis approach, following children born in Connecticut from birth to 18 months, 36 months, and 6 years of age. Only children born in Connecticut and tested in Connecticut are included in the numerator. This method doesn't account for children moving out of state after birth. The weakness in this method of calculation is that it can underestimate the screening rate. This is the method used in previously published annual reports.

Screening rate= Subset of Children who were tested in CT # of live births in a given year in CT

Method 2: Cross-sectional method

Due to the issue of population relocation, a second analysis was conducted based on the concept of crosssectional analysis. This second method uses the total number of children who received a lead test while residing in Connecticut regardless of where the child was born, divided by total number of births in the given year from the vital registry. The numerator includes all children born in the given year who had a lead test associated with a Connecticut address regardless of the child's birth state. This method accounts for population relocation. This method is adopted by the CDC's National Environmental Public Health Tracking (EPHT) Program to assess lead screening among young children among the grantee states. Contrary to the longitudinal method, the weakness in this method of calculation is that it can overestimate the screening rate^{*}.

Screening rate= Children born in the given year who received a blood lead tests reported with a CT address # of live births in a given year in CT

^{*} CDC EPHT program conducted screening rate analyses at county level and the results indicated some counties had screening rates over 100%. Per CDC, "There are several reasons why the number of children tested in a county may be higher than the number of children born in a county. Using the number of children born in a county doesn't account for children who move into a county before being tested."

Figure 3 & Figure 4. Percentage of children who have had at least one/two screening(s) by 18/36 months of age, by year of birth – Connecticut 1997-2007



Method1: Longitudinal analysis

For children born in 2007, 63.8% had at least one lead screening by 18 months of age and 48.3% had at least two lead screenings by 36 months of age. When comparing the 2006 birth cohort to the 2007 birth cohort, the percentage of children who have been screened at least once by 18 months of age increased 4.9%. When comparing the 2006 birth cohort to the 2007 birth cohort, the percentage of children who have been screened at least twice by 36 months of age increased 7.0%.



Method 2: Cross-sectional method

For children born in 2007, 72.9% had at least one lead screening by 18 months of age and 53.8% had at least two lead screenings by 36 months of age. When comparing the 2006 birth cohort to the 2007 birth cohort, the percentage of children who have been screened at least once by 18 months of age increased 3.7%. When comparing the 2006 birth cohort to the 2007 birth cohort, the percentage of children who have been screened at least twice by 36 months of age increased 6.6%.

Figure 5 & Figure 6. Percentage of children who have had at least one screening by 72 months of age, by year of birth – Connecticut 1997-2004

Method1: Longitudinal analysis



(Note: Birth cohorts beyond 2004 are not included here because those children had not yet reached 71 months of age in CY 2010.)

The result based on the longitudinal method indicates that for children born in Connecticut in 2004, 72.4% had at least one lead screening by 6 years of age. There was a 4.4% increase in screening among the 2004 birth cohort when compared to the 2003 cohort.



Method 2: Cross-sectional method

The result based on the cross-sectional method indicates that among children born in 2004, 93.4% had at least one lead screening by 6 years of age. There was a 2.9% increase in screening among children born in 2004 when compared to children born in 2003.

Part II. PREVALENCE OF ELEVATED BLOOD LEAD LEVELS

Prevalence of Elevated Blood Lead Levels -

Prevalence of elevated blood lead levels is defined as the proportion of children under 6 years of age with a confirmed lead test in CY 2010 whose blood lead levels were $\geq 10 \ \mu g/dL$. Prevalence includes child lead poisoning cases that may have occurred prior to CY 2010, and remained lead poisoning cases into CY 2010.

Prevalence of Environmental Intervention Blood Lead Levels -

Prevalence of elevated blood lead levels \geq 15 µg/dL is defined as the proportion of children under 6 years of age with a confirmed lead test in CY 2010 whose blood lead levels were \geq 15 µg/dL.

Prevalence of elevated blood lead levels \geq 20 µg/dL is defined as the proportion of children under 6 years of age with a confirmed lead test in CY 2010 whose blood lead levels were \geq 20 µg/dL.

Further Explanation -

Per Connecticut General Statutes (CGS) sections 19a-110(d), and 19a-111, local health departments have the responsibility of investigating and eliminating (or remediating) sources of lead exposure for children under the age of six when such children suffer from lead poisoning. Specifically, a local health department must conduct an epidemiological investigation and order action to be taken to ensure the elimination of the sources of lead exposure for a child under 6 years of age, when that child has a venous blood lead level of $\geq 20 \ \mu g/dL$. Additionally, effective January 2009, a local health department must conduct an on-site comprehensive lead inspection and order remediation of the sources of lead exposure for a child under 6 years of age, when that child has two venous blood lead levels of 15 to 19 $\mu g/dL$ for tests taken at least 3 months apart.

Some local health departments opt to conduct investigations and order remediation or abatement at even lower levels of lead poisoning. Those environmental data elements are also included in this report.



Figure 7. Number of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead, CY 2010

Number of children identified with EBLLs in 2010:

- 743 \geq 10 μ g/dL[†]
- $315 \ge 15 \ \mu g/dL^{\ddagger}$
- 156 ≥20 µg/dL

 † Inclusive with blood lead levels ${\geq}15~\mu\text{g/dL}$ and ${\geq}20~\mu\text{g/dL}$

 ‡ Inclusive with blood lead levels $\geq \! 20 \ \mu g/dL$



Figure 8. Prevalence of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead, by calendar year and by blood lead levels – Connecticut 1995-2010^{*}

Among children under 6 years of age who had a confirmed blood lead test in 2010, 0.9%, 0.4%, and 0.2% of children were found to have blood lead levels of \geq 10 µg/dL, \geq 15 µg/dL, and \geq 20 µg/dL, respectively. Since CY 2009, the prevalence of elevated blood lead levels of \geq 10 µg/dL has dropped below 1%. The prevalence did not change from 2009 to 2010.

^{*} Data of 1995-2001 are based on analysis using number of tests instead of number of children screened as the unit of analysis.

Data source of the 1995-2001 data is the previous published reports commonly known as Screening Data by Town.

Figure 9. Number of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead, by calendar year and by blood lead levels – Connecticut 2002-2010



Number of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead $\geq 10 \ \mu g/dL$ decreased by 990 children when comparing 2010 to 2002. There was a small increase from CY 2009 to CY 2010.

Figure 10. Percentage and number of children under 6 years of age with blood lead $\ge 5 \mu g/dL$ by detailed breakdown categories – Connecticut 2010



In CY 2010, a total of 6,144 children under 6 years of age were identified with a blood lead level \geq 5 µg/dL. *Detailed tables of this data are presented on Table 2 and Table 3 in the appendices.*

Мар 2.

Number of Children under 6 Years Old with Elevated Blood Lead Levels ≥10 µg/dL by Town, Connecticut 2010



Мар З.

Number of Children under 6 Years Old with Elevated Blood Lead Levels ≥15 µg/dL by Town Connecticut, 2010



Part III. INCIDENCE OF ELEVATED BLOOD LEAD LEVELS

Incidence of Elevated Blood Lead Levels -

Incidence of elevated blood lead levels (i.e., new cases of elevated blood lead) is defined as the proportion of children under 6 years of age who had a confirmed lead test of $\geq 10 \ \mu g/dL$ for the first time in 2010 compared to all children under 6 years of age who were screened for lead in 2010 *AND* had not had a result of $\geq 10 \ \mu g/dL$ prior to 2010.

Incidence of Environmental Intervention Blood Lead Levels -

Incidence of elevated blood lead levels of $\geq 20 \ \mu g/dL$ (i.e., new cases of blood lead $\geq 20 \ \mu g/dL$) is defined as the proportion of children under 6 years of age who had a confirmed lead test of $\geq 20 \ \mu g/dL$ for the first time in 2010 compared to all children under 6 years of age who were screened for lead in 2010 *AND* who had not had a result of $\geq 20 \ \mu g/dL$ prior to 2010.

Incidence of elevated blood lead levels of \geq 15 µg/dL (i.e., new cases of blood lead \geq 15 µg/dL) is defined as the proportion of children under 6 years of age who had a confirmed lead test of \geq 15 µg/dL for the first time in 2010 compared to all children under 6 years of age who were tested for lead in 2010 *AND* who had not had a result of \geq 15 µg/dL prior to 2010.

Figure 11. Incidence of elevated blood lead among children under 6 years of age, by blood lead levels – Connecticut CY 2010



Number of new cases identified and incidence of EBLLs in 2010:

- 504 (0.6%) ≥10 μg/dL
- 227 (0.3%) ≥15 μg/dL
- 119 (0.1%) ≥20 μg/dL

For by town incidence of elevated blood lead among children under 6 years of age, see Appendix Table 4.

Figure 12. Number of existing and new cases of elevated blood lead among children under 6 years of age, by blood lead levels – Connecticut CY 2010



- Of the 743 children who were found to have blood lead levels ≥10 µg/dL in 2010, 504 (67.8%) were new cases.
- Of the 315 children who were found to have blood lead levels ≥15 µg/dL in 2010, 227 (72.1%) were new cases.
- Of the 156 children who were found to have blood lead levels ≥20 µg/dL in 2010, 119 (76.3%) were new cases.

Map 4.

Number of New Cases ≥15 µg/dL By Town Among Children Under 6 Years Old Connecticut 2010



Part IV. Demographic Characteristics Associated with Elevated Blood Lead Levels

Children who were diagnosed with a blood lead level of $\geq 10 \ \mu g/dL$ are considered to have elevated blood lead levels. The following figures portray the association between elevated blood lead levels and race and ethnicity. Maps illustrating the correlation between lead poisoning and poverty, and lead poisoning and age of housing (pre-1960 housing) are also presented in this section.

Race



Figure 13. Percentage of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead, by race – Connecticut CY 2010

Among children under 6 years of age who had a confirmed blood lead test in 2010, Blacks (1.6%) were more likely to have elevated blood lead levels of \geq 10 µg/dL than Whites (0.8%), Native American (0.3%), or Asians (0.6%).

Ethnicity



Figure 14. Percentage of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead, by ethnicity – Connecticut CY 2010

Among children under 6 years of age who had a confirmed blood lead test in 2010, Hispanics (1.5%) were more likely to have elevated blood lead levels of \geq 10 µg/dL than Non-Hispanics (0.8%).

Household Income below Poverty Level (Map 5)

A correlation between household incomes below poverty level and lead poisoning is observed using geospatial illustration. Map 5, below, depicts the overlay of lead poisoning cases and household incomes below poverty level. Hartford, Bridgeport, New Haven, and Waterbury are Connecticut towns/cities with the highest number of households with incomes below poverty level as well as the highest rates of elevated blood lead levels.

Pre-1978 housing (Map 6)

Lead-based paints were banned for residential use in 1978. EPA reported that 83% of homes built prior to 1980 contain some lead paint (*Report on the National Survey of Lead-Based Paint in Housing, Base Report,* EPA, 1995. EPA 747-R-95-003.). Older houses have an even higher probability of containing lead-based paint. In Connecticut, 46% of housing stock was built before 1960 (*2010 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates*, US Census, 2011). Map 6 depicts the overlay of lead poisong and pre-1960 housing.

Map 5.



Map 6



PART V. ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS FOR CHILDREN WHOSE BLOOD LEAD LEVELS TRIGGER ENVIRONMENTAL INTERVENTION

Environmental Investigations

Per Connecticut General Statutes (CGS) sections 19a-110(d), and 19a-111, and the Lead Poisoning Prevention and Control Regulations (19a-111 et. seq.), local health departments are required to conduct an epidemiological investigation and a lead hazard inspection of the dwelling unit for a child newly identified with a venous blood lead level $\geq 20\mu$ g/dL or two venous blood lead levels $\geq 15\mu$ g/dL at least 90 days apart. Some local health departments opt to respond at one venous blood lead level $\geq 15\mu$ g/dL. Those environmental data elements are included in this report.

In addition, when a poisoned child moves to a new dwelling unit, the new dwelling unit is required to be inspected for lead hazards as well. If a child resides in more than one dwelling unit, multiple investigations are conducted for all the dwelling units where the lead poisoned child resides. In 2010, 182 environmental cases were opened for children who had blood lead levels that triggered environmental intervention.

Among the 182 environmental cases opened in 2010, 6 housing units were built after 1978, 1 was destroyed, and 175 required a comprehensive lead inspection for lead hazards. Of the 175 housing units where comprehensive lead inspections were required, 157 complete inspection reports were received by the Lead and Healthy Homes Program. In order for an inspection to be considered complete, the report must include paint sampling, dust sampling, water analysis, and soil analysis results (where applicable). The analyses of the environmental findings below are based on the environmental investigation reports for the 157 dwelling units for which environmental investigations were conducted for lead poisoned children and where copies of complete inspection reports were provided to the CT Department of Public Health.

Findings of the investigations are portrayed as follows:

Housing style

Of the 157 dwelling units, 129 (82.2%) were multiple-unit dwelling, 13 (8.3%) were attached single family, and 15 (9.6%) were detached single family.



Figure 15. Percentage of housing style among inspected housing units
Environmental lead hazards

Children are most commonly exposed to lead from lead-based paint surfaces, lead dust contamination on interior floors and surfaces, and lead contaminated soil and water. A comprehensive lead inspection minimally consists of a lead paint inspection, as well as dust, soil, and water sampling and analyses. If other less common sources of exposure are identified (e.g., ethnic remedies, pottery, occupation-related) they are also investigated. The Lead and Healthy Homes Program collects, analyzes, and reports on data for the most common sources of lead exposure.

Of the 157 dwelling units for which complete lead inspection results were received, 141 (89.8%) were identified with an environmental lead hazard; 15 (9.6%) were identified without any environmental lead hazard in the dwelling unit.

Environmental lead hazards identified by source





Of the 157 dwelling units investigated and reported with complete inspection results, a total of 138 (87.9%) units were identified with a paint hazard, 92 (58.6%) units were identified with a dust lead hazard, 55 (35.0%) units were identified with a soil hazard, and none (0.0%) with a drinking water hazard.

Environmental lead hazards identified by existence of paint hazard



Figure 17. Percentage of environmental lead hazards related to paint or non-paint

Of the 157 dwelling units for which investigations were completed, 40 (25.5%) dwelling units were identified with paint hazards only, 98 (62.4%) of dwelling units were identified with both paint and non-paint hazards, 4 (2.5%) were identified with non-paint hazards only, and 15 (9.6%) had no environmental lead hazard.

Reported abatement and management activities

A dwelling unit would undergo abatement if a lead hazard was identified on the property during the comprehensive lead inspection. Intact lead-based paint surfaces that remain in the home must be placed on a management plan to ensure that they remain intact, and do not become a lead hazard.

Through the lead inspection report information provided to the Department of Public Health, the Lead and Healthy Homes Program identified 638 dwelling units, including cases carried over from previous years, that required abatement in 2010.

Figure 18. Abatement and management activities among dwelling units requiring abatement of lead hazards



Of the 638 dwelling units requiring abatement, 341 (53.4%) dwelling units were identified as requiring postabatement management plans, 157 (24.6%) did not need a post-abatement management plan, and 140 (21.9%) dwelling units did not report on the status of a post-abatement management plan (unknown). Among the dwelling units for which abatement of lead hazards was required, 232 units were completed in 2010

Part VI. Appendices

			Number ar	nd Percent		Number ar	nd Percent		Number	of Children L	Inder Age 6 S	Screened	
		Population	of Children	Under Age		of Childrer	n Age 1-2y		l	Breakdown b	y Age at Test	t	
		Under	6 Scre	eened	Population	Scre	ened	0-11	12-23	24-35	36-47	48-59	60-71
		Age 6 ^a	Number	Percent	Age 1-2 ^a	Number	Percent	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo
	Connecticut										·		
	CY 2002	270,187	69,857	25.9	88,094	40,452	45.9	7,779	22,853	17,599	8,998	7,991	4,637
	CY 2003	270,187	67,592	25.0	88,094	38,742	44.0	7,939	21,791	16,951	8,516	7,942	4,453
	CY 2004	270,187	68,606	25.4	88,094	39,894	45.3	8,170	22,474	17,420	8,320	7,706	4,516
	CY 2005	270,187	69,263	25.6	88,094	42,954	48.8	7,018	23,728	19,226	7,829	7,146	4,316
	CY 2006	270,187	69,315	25.7	88,094	43,193	49.0	6,828	23,739	19,454	7,851	7,121	4,322
	CY 2007	270,187	72,088	26.7	88,094	45,037	51.1	7,100	24,659	20,378	8,117	7,167	4,667
	CY 2008	270,187	76,722	28.4	88,094	48,594	55.2	6,822	26,856	21,738	8,688	7,665	4,953
	CY 2009	270,187	85,354	31.6	88,094	54,106	61.4	6,657	28,425	25,681	10,644	8,598	5,349
	CY 2010	245,428	82,194	33.5	79,676	52,744	66.2	6,625	27,284	25,460	10,020	8,322	4,483
	By-Town, CY 2010												
1	ANDOVER	196	49	25.0	52	34	65.4	3	16	18	1	9	2
2	ANSONIA	1441	544	37.8	453	293	64.7	92	126	167	71	73	15
3	ASHFORD	267	57	21.3	75	39	52.0	6	12	27	4	5	3
4	AVON	1026	198	19.3	287	164	57.1	8	88	76	11	7	8
5	BARKHAMSTED	214	51	23.8	49	40	81.6	1	25	15	7	3	0
6	BEACON FALLS	387	122	31.5	116	75	64.7	17	36	39	11	11	8
7	BERLIN	1094	230	21.0	329	131	39.8	32	72	59	22	18	27
8	BETHANY	302	72	23.8	92	58	63.0	1	33	25	5	5	3
9	BETHEL	1200	348	29.0	398	242	60.8	54	101	141	25	21	6
10	BETHLEHEM	162	39	24.1	56	30	53.6	2	18	12	0	7	0
11	BLOOMFIELD	997	311	31.2	360	215	59.7	32	130	85	33	10	21
12	BOLTON	276	70	25.4	76	41	53.9	10	18	23	3	15	1
13	BOZRAH	136	36	26.5	42	28	66.7	1	15	13	1	3	3
14	BRANFORD	1348	406	30.1	466	323	69.3	15	181	142	26	25	17

Table 1. Percentage of children under 6 years of age who had a lead screening, by town and by age at test – Connecticut CY 2010

			Number ar	nd Percent		Number ar	nd Percent		Number	of Children U	nder Age 6 S	Screened	
		Population	of Children	Under Age		of Childrer	n Age 1-2y		I	Breakdown b	y Age at Test	t	
		Under	6 Scre	eened	Population	Scre	ened	0-11	12-23	24-35	36-47	48-59	60-71
		Age 6 ^a	Number	Percent	Age 1-2 ^a	Number	Percent	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo
15	BRIDGEPORT	12731	6707	52.7	4272	3734	87.4	206	1957	1777	1144	1061	562
16	BRIDGEWATER	76	13	17.1	27	11	40.7	0	6	5	1	0	1
17	BRISTOL	4111	1008	24.5	1333	737	55.3	54	387	350	92	75	50
18	BROOKFIELD	1025	216	21.1	313	177	56.5	22	86	91	7	9	1
19	BROOKLYN	497	155	31.2	162	111	68.5	4	62	49	6	31	3
20	BURLINGTON	608	105	17.3	187	83	44.4	7	47	36	5	7	3
21	CANAAN	57	7	12.3	21	7	33.3	0	4	3	0	0	0
22	CANTERBURY	264	64	24.2	89	42	47.2	6	22	20	7	8	1
23	CANTON	687	127	18.5	217	105	48.4	8	57	48	7	4	3
24	CHAPLIN	150	31	20.7	58	26	44.8	1	16	10	1	3	0
25	CHESHIRE	1649	400	24.3	476	259	54.4	14	132	127	54	40	33
26	CHESTER	195	42	21.5	55	31	56.4	6	12	19	3	2	0
27	CLINTON	867	280	32.3	267	248	92.9	4	132	116	7	9	12
28	COLCHESTER	1123	316	28.1	317	252	79.5	31	130	122	10	11	12
29	COLEBROOK	68	13	19.1	23	10	43.5	0	5	5	2	1	0
30	COLUMBIA	287	48	16.7	91	40	44.0	5	15	25	0	3	0
31	CORNWALL	54	25	46.3	20	15	75.0	1	7	8	5	3	1
32	COVENTRY	815	212	26.0	291	163	56.0	24	78	85	3	17	5
33	CROMWELL	858	237	27.6	295	164	55.6	48	85	79	13	7	5
34	DANBURY	6325	2348	37.1	2185	1559	71.4	215	793	766	272	188	114
35	DARIEN	2108	451	21.4	660	284	43.0	116	100	184	21	20	10
36	DEEP RIVER	290	105	36.2	87	86	98.9	3	41	45	7	7	2
37	DERBY	949	323	34.0	337	183	54.3	53	79	104	38	35	14
38	DURHAM	455	112	24.6	142	84	59.2	13	44	40	10	3	2
39	EAST GRANBY	357	97	27.2	123	67	54.5	9	35	32	14	4	3
40	EAST HADDAM	536	130	24.3	177	110	62.1	13	50	60	2	2	3

			Number ar	nd Percent		Number ar	nd Percent		Number	of Children U	Inder Age 6 S	Screened	
		Population	of Children	Under Age		of Childrer	n Age 1-2y		I	Breakdown b	y Age at Test	t	
		Under	6 Scre	eened	Population	Scre	ened	0-11	12-23	24-35	36-47	48-59	60-71
		Age 6 ^a	Number	Percent	Age 1-2 ^a	Number	Percent	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo
41	EAST HAMPTON	908	235	25.9	293	158	53.9	51	54	104	8	11	7
42	EAST HARTFORD	4026	1450	36.0	1376	986	71.7	59	571	415	202	143	60
43	EAST HAVEN	1751	562	32.1	542	422	77.9	16	234	188	48	47	29
44	EAST LYME	907	234	25.8	284	191	67.3	9	107	84	22	9	3
45	EAST WINDSOR	682	204	29.9	236	125	53.0	19	48	77	28	24	8
46	EASTFORD	109	22	20.2	37	16	43.2	2	7	9	0	4	0
47	EASTON	468	91	19.4	125	80	64.0	3	42	38	2	4	2
48	ELLINGTON	1119	281	25.1	353	190	53.8	39	72	118	20	24	8
49	ENFIELD	2686	876	32.6	833	463	55.6	65	269	194	171	106	71
50	ESSEX	350	90	25.7	105	79	75.2	4	45	34	1	1	5
51	FAIRFIELD	4333	1118	25.8	1325	961	72.5	45	468	493	58	37	17
52	FARMINGTON	1487	273	18.4	445	183	41.1	19	88	95	26	22	23
53	FRANKLIN	110	26	23.6	26	19	73.1	3	10	9	2	2	0
54	GLASTONBURY	2219	499	22.5	673	334	49.6	21	208	126	36	52	56
55	GOSHEN	139	32	23.0	39	28	71.8	1	13	15	2	0	1
56	GRANBY	674	125	18.5	213	89	41.8	7	61	28	14	9	6
57	GREENWICH	4684	1042	22.2	1441	824	57.2	70	455	369	71	42	35
58	GRISWOLD	802	308	38.4	266	217	81.6	36	102	115	22	31	2
59	GROTON	3455	1194	34.6	1184	814	68.8	70	452	362	162	105	43
60	GUILFORD	1295	239	18.5	364	191	52.5	7	121	70	14	14	13
61	HADDAM	564	133	23.6	180	95	52.8	27	33	62	6	4	1
62	HAMDEN	3805	1113	29.3	1246	877	70.4	56	494	383	95	60	25
63	HAMPTON	100	39	39.0	31	31	100.0	1	13	18	0	7	0
64	HARTFORD	11155	5523	49.5	3734	3142	84.1	410	1733	1409	1091	599	281
65	HARTLAND	137	28	20.4	39	17	43.6	1	11	6	4	2	4
66	HARWINTON	335	92	27.5	102	65	63.7	3	22	43	10	7	7

			Number ar	nd Percent		Number ar	nd Percent		Number	of Children U	nder Age 6 S	Screened	
		Population	of Children	Under Age		of Childrer	n Age 1-2y		l	Breakdown b	y Age at Test	t	
		Under	6 Scre	ened	Population	Scre	ened	0-11	12-23	24-35	36-47	48-59	60-71
		Age 6 ^a	Number	Percent	Age 1-2 ^a	Number	Percent	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo
67	HEBRON	600	133	22.2	169	83	49.1	26	35	48	1	11	12
68	KENT	179	35	19.6	46	30	65.2	2	20	10	1	2	0
69	KILLINGLY	1238	463	37.4	412	280	68.0	9	163	117	38	115	21
70	KILLINGWORTH	334	88	26.3	110	76	69.1	0	32	44	1	7	4
71	LEBANON	442	100	22.6	138	72	52.2	15	44	28	4	7	2
72	LEDYARD	1042	294	28.2	342	231	67.5	25	107	124	20	14	4
73	LISBON	223	13	5.8	73	9	12.3	1	7	2	0	2	1
74	LITCHFIELD	426	106	24.9	124	89	71.8	3	50	39	4	7	3
75	LYME	111	0	0.0	27	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76	MADISON	868	186	21.4	251	164	65.3	3	82	82	7	7	5
77	MANCHESTER	4457	1359	30.5	1511	926	61.3	110	500	426	112	168	43
78	MANSFIELD	687	146	21.3	200	121	60.5	14	61	60	6	5	0
79	MARLBOROUGH	472	103	21.8	140	61	43.6	26	29	32	4	8	4
80	MERIDEN	4890	2063	42.2	1681	1299	77.3	79	679	620	358	221	106
81	MIDDLEBURY	461	130	28.2	140	82	58.6	8	46	36	13	23	4
82	MIDDLEFIELD	234	46	19.7	67	31	46.3	11	12	19	2	1	1
83	MIDDLETOWN	3055	1029	33.7	1051	686	65.3	228	302	384	55	39	21
84	MILFORD	3019	986	32.7	1018	712	69.9	74	343	369	165	18	17
85	MONROE	1186	262	22.1	364	240	65.9	6	130	110	10	4	2
86	MONTVILLE	1142	321	28.1	386	226	58.5	35	109	117	35	17	8
87	MORRIS	101	18	17.8	30	15	50.0	0	10	5	3	0	0
88	NAUGATUCK	2265	761	33.6	709	437	61.6	63	249	188	125	95	41
89	NEW BRITAIN	6031	3126	51.8	2017	1334	66.1	386	611	723	505	471	430
90	NEW CANAAN	1479	351	23.7	431	240	55.7	77	83	157	22	6	6
91	NEW FAIRFIELD	823	186	22.6	254	131	51.6	35	40	91	10	7	3
92	NEW HARTFORD	414	94	22.7	112	69	61.6	7	38	31	7	4	7

		Number and Percent				Number ar	nd Percent		Number	of Children U	nder Age 6 S	Screened	
		Population	of Children	Under Age		of Childrer	n Age 1-2y		I	Breakdown b	y Age at Test	t	
		Under	6 Scre	eened	Population	Scre	ened	0-11	12-23	24-35	36-47	48-59	60-71
		Age 6 ^a	Number	Percent	Age 1-2 ^a	Number	Percent	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo
93	NEW HAVEN	10762	4737	44.0	3712	3046	82.1	146	1745	1301	667	573	305
94	NEW LONDON	1954	671	34.3	656	407	62.0	66	231	176	92	51	55
95	NEW MILFORD	1923	489	25.4	605	421	69.6	23	208	213	19	21	5
96	NEWINGTON	1772	333	18.8	573	207	36.1	46	135	72	33	26	21
97	NEWTOWN	1705	307	18.0	506	258	51.0	17	133	125	20	10	2
98	NORFOLK	91	20	22.0	23	12	52.2	0	4	8	6	1	1
99	NORTH BRANFORD	795	244	30.7	232	191	82.3	8	103	88	14	19	12
100	NORTH CANAAN	203	36	17.7	63	26	41.3	2	16	10	1	7	0
101	NORTH HAVEN	1233	329	26.7	394	236	59.9	39	112	124	15	27	12
102	NORTH	220	01	29.4	00	60	68.0	14	22	20	0	2	4
102	NORWALK	6973	2829	40.6	2417	1731	71.6	322	822	909	341	315	120
104	NORWICH	3084	1161	37.6	1011	678	67.1	134	342	336	153	115	81
105	OLD LYME	375	131	34.9	114	111	97.4	3	49	62	8	5	4
106	OLD SAYBROOK	480	151	31.5	134	138	100.0*	4	75	63	4	2	3
107	ORANGE	770	182	23.6	214	160	74.8	3	94	66	15	3	1
108	OXFORD	867	187	21.6	267	143	53.6	29	67	76	8	6	1
109	PLAINFIELD	1088	392	36.0	360	245	68.1	16	114	131	28	88	15
110	PLAINVILLE	1009	276	27.4	343	155	45.2	49	76	79	30	24	18
111	PLYMOUTH	719	215	29.9	245	145	59.2	10	81	64	19	35	6
112	POMFRET	231	76	32.9	70	45	64.3	2	25	20	5	20	4
113	PORTLAND	598	159	26.6	191	115	60.2	24	44	71	7	6	7
114	PRESTON	239	60	25.1	62	43	69.4	5	21	22	8	2	2
115	PROSPECT	550	168	30.5	169	101	59.8	12	50	51	19	24	12
116	PUTNAM	675	251	37.2	231	159	68.8	5	81	78	21	60	6
117	REDDING	512	99	19.3	153	68	44.4	19	25	43	7	4	1
118	RIDGEFIELD	1700	393	23.1	487	242	49.7	82	117	125	25	23	21

			Number ar	nd Percent		Number ar	nd Percent		Number	of Children U	Inder Age 6 S	Screened	
		Population	of Children	Under Age		of Childrer	n Age 1-2y		l	Breakdown b	y Age at Tes	t	
		Under	6 Scre	eened	Population	Scree	ened	0-11	12-23	24-35	36-47	48-59	60-71
		Age 6 ^a	Number	Percent	Age 1-2 ^a	Number	Percent	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo
119	ROCKY HILL	1135	316	27.8	355	208	58.6	59	122	86	25	14	10
120	ROXBURY	82	22	26.8	25	19	76.0	1	10	9	0	2	0
121	SALEM	267	65	24.3	90	55	61.1	2	24	31	5	2	1
122	SALISBURY	134	18	13.4	41	14	34.1	1	13	1	2	1	0
123	SCOTLAND	102	8	7.8	32	8	25.0	0	3	5	0	0	0
124	SEYMOUR	1051	348	33.1	365	210	57.5	59	75	135	37	30	12
125	SHARON	128	9	7.0	43	6	14.0	3	5	1	0	0	0
126	SHELTON	2295	603	26.3	719	451	62.7	73	215	236	41	30	8
127	SHERMAN	191	40	20.9	58	35	60.3	2	17	18	1	1	1
128	SIMSBURY	1438	266	18.5	419	206	49.2	12	125	81	30	10	8
129	SOMERS	519	148	28.5	169	81	47.9	10	43	38	29	18	10
130	SOUTH WINDSOR	1472	381	25.9	488	284	58.2	24	125	159	17	35	21
131	SOUTHBURY	896	206	23.0	260	176	67.7	6	88	88	11	9	4
132	SOUTHINGTON	2729	574	21.0	808	350	43.3	60	177	173	76	45	43
133	SPRAGUE	241	79	32.8	78	56	71.8	7	26	30	5	9	2
134	STAFFORD	782	237	30.3	248	171	69.0	23	82	89	18	17	8
135	STAMFORD	9810	3562	36.3	3350	2258	67.4	665	1081	1177	332	228	79
136	STERLING	288	74	25.7	94	50	53.2	5	30	20	5	10	4
137	STONINGTON	944	248	26.3	301	149	49.5	36	66	83	34	12	17
138	STRATFORD	3362	1038	30.9	1075	713	66.3	116	349	364	107	72	30
139	SUFFIELD	728	217	29.8	230	111	48.3	21	64	47	42	22	21
140	THOMASTON	456	122	26.8	142	75	52.8	5	47	28	15	21	6
141	THOMPSON	569	151	26.5	184	92	50.0	2	47	45	7	39	11
142	TOLLAND	999	272	27.2	302	205	67.9	29	91	114	5	25	8
143	TORRINGTON	2525	719	28.5	816	514	63.0	21	262	252	93	62	29
144	TRUMBULL	2375	560	23.6	717	472	65.8	26	233	239	26	20	16

			Number ar	nd Percent		Number ar	nd Percent		Number	of Children U	Inder Age 6 S	Screened	
		Population	of Children	Under Age		of Childrer	n Age 1-2y		l	Breakdown b	y Age at Test	t	
		Under	6 Scre	eened	Population	Scre	ened	0-11	12-23	24-35	36-47	48-59	60-71
		Age 6 ^a	Number	Percent	Age 1-2 ^a	Number	Percent	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo	mo
145	UNION	48	2	4.2	14	2	14.3	0	2	0	0	0	0
146	VERNON	1989	614	30.9	640	398	62.2	86	176	222	50	68	12
147	VOLUNTOWN	173	60	34.7	56	38	67.9	6	16	22	3	7	6
148	WALLINGFORD	2729	893	32.7	929	641	69.0	51	342	299	126	45	30
149	WARREN	86	9	10.5	28	7	25.0	0	1	6	1	1	0
150	WASHINGTON	163	46	28.2	40	42	100.0*	0	23	19	2	2	0
151	WATERBURY	9470	5527	58.4	3222	2528	78.5	188	1357	1171	1056	1086	669
152	WATERFORD	1022	220	21.5	316	141	44.6	23	73	68	33	16	7
153	WATERTOWN	1277	319	25.0	410	164	40.0	35	106	58	37	61	22
154	WEST HARTFORD	4320	973	22.5	1367	650	47.5	75	390	260	113	55	80
155	WEST HAVEN	3917	1360	34.7	1325	958	72.3	75	536	422	176	106	45
156	WESTBROOK	352	99	28.1	108	86	79.6	1	43	43	6	4	2
157	WESTON	678	139	20.5	173	103	59.5	17	44	59	12	4	3
158	WESTPORT	1849	477	25.8	530	397	74.9	36	181	216	19	14	11
159	WETHERSFIELD	1608	395	24.6	542	260	48.0	56	154	106	37	27	15
160	WILLINGTON	264	82	31.1	90	63	70.0	6	28	35	3	9	1
161	WILTON	1361	341	25.1	383	223	58.2	80	71	152	17	9	12
162	WINCHESTER	682	200	29.3	249	137	55.0	4	74	63	32	20	7
163	WINDHAM	1907	578	30.3	666	467	70.1	28	225	242	33	34	16
164	WINDSOR	1700	430	25.3	570	294	51.6	31	182	112	53	35	17
165	WINDSOR LOCKS	729	190	26.1	216	102	47.2	21	58	44	31	25	11
166	WOLCOTT	929	276	29.7	281	138	49.1	18	88	50	42	52	26
167	WOODBRIDGE	439	113	25.7	141	87	61.7	8	48	39	9	6	3
168	WOODBURY	502	118	23.5	142	92	64.8	4	52	40	8	9	5
169	WOODSTOCK	421	128	30.4	136	70	51.5	3	24	46	10	36	9
170	UNKNOWN		2		0			1	0	0	1	0	0

^a Population data for 2000 to 2009 obtained from 2000 U.S. Census; for 2010 from 2010 U.S. Census. Statewide and by town statistics are calculated using the U.S. Census population data as the denominator.

* Screening rate rounded down to 100%.

NOTE: Children are counted only once, regardless of the number of times they are tested.

Table 2. Percentage of children under 6 years of age with elevated blood lead, by town and by blood lead levels – Connecticut CY 2010

							Number	s and Pe	rcents of	Confirme	d Blood L	ead Leve	els					
						among	g Children	Aged Le	ss Than S	Six Years	with a Co	onfirmed	Lead Tes	st				
	CY 2010 Data	Number of Children				Confi	rmed Blo	od Lead I	_evels					С	umulativ	e Statistio	cs	
	(<6 years old)	with Confirmed	0-9 µ	ιg/dL	10-14	μg/dL	15-19	μg/dL	20-44	μg/dL	45+ µ	ιg/dL	≥ 10 µ	ιg/dL ^a	≥ 15 µ	lg/dL ^b	≥20 µ	µg/dL
		Test	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
	Connecticut																	
	CY 2002	69,062	67,329	96.4	999	1.4	381	0.5	333	0.5	20	<0.1	1,733	2.5			353	0.5
	CY 2003	66,847	65,402	97.8	878	1.3	295	0.4	252	0.4	20	<0.1	1,445	2.2			272	0.4
	CY 2004	67,688	66,216	97.8 891 1.3 293 0.4 270 0.4 18 <0.1														0.4
	CY 2005	68,757	67,494	98.2	821	1.2	230	0.3	198	0.3	14	<0.1	1,263	1.8			212	0.3
	CY 2006	68,828	67,746	98.4	667	1.0	200	0.3	194	0.3	21	<0.1	1,082	1.6	415	0.6	215	0.3
	CY 2007	71,627	70,607	98.6	575	0.8	237	0.3	190	0.3	18	<0.1	1,020	1.4	445	0.6	208	0.3
	CY 2008	76,367	75,313	98.6	606	0.8	227	0.3	198	0.3	23	<0.1	1,054	1.4	448	0.6	221	0.3
	CY 2009	85,138	84,401	99.1	429	0.5	155	0.2	136	0.2	17	<0.1	737	0.9	308	0.4	153	0.2
	CY 2010	81,999	81,242	99.1	428	0.5	159	0.2	149	0.2	7	<0.1	743	0.9	315	0.4	156	0.2
	By-Town												•					
1	ANDOVER	49	49	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
2	ANSONIA	544	530	97.4	9	1.7	2	0.4	2	0.4	0	0.0	13	2.4	4	0.7	2	0.4
3	ASHFORD	57	57	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
4	AVON	198	198	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
5	BARKHAMSTED	51	51	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

 a Inclusive with blood lead levels ${\geq}15~\mu\text{g/dL}$ and ${\geq}20~\mu\text{g/dL}$

 b Inclusive with blood lead levels ${\geq}20~\mu\text{g/dL}$

					among	Number g Children	s and Pe Aged Le	rcents of ss Than S	Confirme Six Years	d Blood Lo with a Co	ead Leve	ls _ead Tes	t				
CY 2010 Data	Number of Children				Confi	irmed Blo	od Lead I	evels					С	umulative	e Statisti	cs	
(<6 years old)	with Confirmed	0-9 µ	ıg/dL	10-14	μg/dL	15-19	μg/dL	20-44	μg/dL	45+ μ	ιg/dL	≥ 10 µ	lg/dL ^a	≥ 15 µ	g/dL ^b	≥20 µ	ıg/dL
	Test	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
6 BEACON FALLS	122	121	99.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.8	0	0.0	1	0.8	1	0.8	1	0.8
7 BERLIN	230	228	99.1	1	0.4	0	0.0	1	0.4	0	0.0	2	0.9	1	0.4	1	0.4
8 BETHANY	72	72	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
9 BETHEL	348	347	99.7	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0.0
10 BETHLEHEM	39	39	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
11 BLOOMFIELD	309	309	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
12 BOLTON	68	68	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
13 BOZRAH	36	36	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
14 BRANFORD	406	406	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
15 BRIDGEPORT	6685	6557	98.1	76	1.1	30	0.4	20	0.3	2	0.0	128	1.9	52	0.8	22	0.3
16 BRIDGEWATER	13	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
17 BRISTOL	1006	997	99.1	6	0.6	0	0.0	3	0.3	0	0.0	9	0.9	3	0.3	3	0.3
18 BROOKFIELD	216	216	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
19 BROOKLYN	155	153	98.7	0	0.0	1	0.6	1	0.6	0	0.0	2	1.3	2	1.3	1	0.6
20 BURLINGTON	105	105	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
21 CANAAN	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
22 CANTERBURY	64	64	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
23 CANTON	127	127	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
24 CHAPLIN	31	31	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
25 CHESHIRE	400	399	99.8	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
26 CHESTER	42	42	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
27 CLINTON	280	280	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
28 COLCHESTER	316	315	99.7	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
29 COLEBROOK	13	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
30 COLUMBIA	48	48	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
31 CORNWALL	25	25	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

Numbers and Percents of Confirmed Blood Lead Levels among Children Aged Less Than Six Years with a Confirmed Lead Test Number of Children CY 2010 Data Confirmed Blood Lead Levels Cumulative Statistics with \geq 10 μ g/dL^a \geq 15 µg/dL^b (<6 years old) 0-9 μg/dL 10-14 µg/dL 15-19 μg/dL 20-44 µg/dL 45+ μg/dL \geq 20 μ g/dL Confirmed Number Percent Test 32 COVENTRY 212 99.5 0.0 211 1 0.5 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 0.5 0 0.0 0 33 CROMWELL 237 237 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 34 DANBURY 2345 2331 99.4 2 0 2 8 0.3 3 0.1 0.1 0.0 13 0.6 5 0.2 0.1 35 DARIEN 451 451 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 36 DEEP RIVER 105 104 99.0 0 0.0 1 1.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 1.0 1 1.0 0 0.0 37 DERBY 322 98.8 0.0 318 3 0.9 1 0.3 0 0 0.0 4 1.2 1 0.3 0 0.0 38 DURHAM 112 112 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 39 EAST GRANBY 97 96 99.0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 1 1.0 0 1 1.0 0.0 0.0 40 EAST HADDAM 130 130 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 41 EAST HAMPTON 235 234 99.6 1 0.4 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 0.4 0 0.0 0 0.0 42 EAST HARTFORD 1448 1441 99.5 1 0.1 3 0.2 3 0.2 0 0.0 7 0.5 6 0.4 3 0.2 43 EAST HAVEN 561 560 99.8 1 0.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 0.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 44 EAST LYME 233 233 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 45 EAST WINDSOR 204 201 98.5 1 0.5 1 0.5 1 0.5 0 0.0 3 1.5 2 1.0 1 0.5 46 EASTFORD 22 22 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 47 EASTON 91 91 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 48 ELLINGTON 280 279 99.6 1 0.4 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 0.4 0 0.0 0 0.0 49 ENFIELD 874 1 0.1 0 3 2 1 870 99.5 1 0.1 1 0.1 0.0 0.3 0.2 0.1 50 ESSEX 90 90 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 51 FAIRFIELD 1117 1115 99.8 0 0.0 0.1 0 0.0 2 0.2 1 0.1 1 0.1 1 1 0.1 52 FARMINGTON 273 273 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 53 FRANKLIN 26 26 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 54 GLASTONBURY 0 0 499 499 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 55 GOSHEN 32 31 96.9 0 0.0 1 3.1 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 3.1 1 3.1 0 0.0 56 GRANBY 125 125 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 57 GREENWICH 1038 1036 99.8 2 0.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 2 0.2 0 0.0 0 0.0

					among	Number Children	rs and Pe	rcents of ss Than S	Confirme Six Years	d Blood L with a Co	ead Leve onfirmed I	ls _ead Tes	t				
CY 2010 Data	Number of Children				Confi	rmed Blo	od Lead I	_evels					С	umulativ	e Statisti	cs	
(<6 years old)	with Confirmed	0-9 µ	.g/dL	10-14	μg/dL	15-19	μg/dL	20-44	μg/dL	45+ µ	ιg/dL	≥ 10 µ	ιg/dL ^a	≥ 15 µ	ιg/dL ^b	≥ 20 µ	.ıg/dL
	Test	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
58 GRISWOLD	307	306	99.7	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
59 GROTON	1192	1189	99.7	2	0.2	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	0.3	1	0.1	0	0.0
60 GUILFORD	238	236	99.2	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.8	1	0.4	0	0.0
61 HADDAM	133	133	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
62 HAMDEN	1112	1107	99.6	5	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
63 HAMPTON	39	39	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
64 HARTFORD	5514	5459	99.0	32	0.6	11	0.2	12	0.2	0	0.0	55	1.0	23	0.4	12	0.2
65 HARTLAND	28	28	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
66 HARWINTON	92	92	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
67 HEBRON	132	132	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
68 KENT	35	35	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
69 KILLINGLY	461	456	98.9	1	0.2	3	0.7	1	0.2	0	0.0	5	1.1	4	0.9	1	0.2
70 KILLINGWORTH	88	88	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
71 LEBANON	100	99	99.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
72 LEDYARD	293	293	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
73 LISBON	13	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
74 LITCHFIELD	106	105	99.1	1	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
75 LYME	0																
76 MADISON	186	185	99.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	1	0.5	1	0.5	1	0.5
77 MANCHESTER	1353	1345	99.4	4	0.3	2	0.1	2	0.1	0	0.0	8	0.6	4	0.3	2	0.1
78 MANSFIELD	146	146	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
79 MARLBOROUGH	103	103	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
80 MERIDEN	2055	2027	98.6	16	0.8	8	0.4	3	0.1	0	0.0	27	1.3	11	0.5	3	0.1
81 MIDDLEBURY	130	127	97.7	2	1.5	0	0.0	1	0.8	0	0.0	3	2.3	1	0.8	1	0.8
82 MIDDLEFIELD	46	45	97.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.2	0	0.0	1	2.2	1	2.2	1	2.2
83 MIDDLETOWN	1028	1025	99.7	1	0.1	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0	3	0.3	2	0.2	1	0.1

						among	Number 9 Children	s and Pe Aged Le	rcents of ss Than \$	Confirme Six Years	d Blood L with a Co	ead Leve onfirmed I	ls ₋ead Tes	t				
	CY 2010 Data	Number of Children				Confi	rmed Blo	od Lead I	₋evels					С	umulativ	e Statisti	cs	
	(<6 years old)	with Confirmed	0-9 µ	ιg/dL	10-14	μg/dL	15-19	μg/dL	20-44	μg/dL	45+ µ	ιg/dL	≥ 10 µ	g/dL ^a	≥ 15 µ	ıg/dL ^b	≥ 20 j	ug/dL
		Test	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
84	MILFORD	986	981	99.5	3	0.3	0	0.0	1	0.1	0	0.0	4	0.4	1	0.1	1	0.1
85	MONROE	262	262	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
86	MONTVILLE	320	320	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
87	MORRIS	18	17	94.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.6	0	0.0	1	5.6	1	5.6	1	5.6
88	NAUGATUCK	760	758	99.7	2	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
89	NEW BRITAIN	3125	3090	98.9	16	0.5	6	0.2	10	0.3	2	0.1	34	1.1	18	0.6	12	0.4
90	NEW CANAAN	351	351	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
91	NEW FAIRFIELD	184	183	99.5 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0													0	0.0
92	NEW HARTFORD	94	94	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
93	NEW HAVEN	4721	4592	97.3	65	1.4	32	0.7	32	0.7	0	0.0	129	2.7	64	1.4	32	0.7
94	NEW LONDON	670	660	98.5	7	1.0	2	0.3	1	0.1	0	0.0	10	1.5	3	0.4	1	0.1
95	NEW MILFORD	489	488	99.8	0	0.0	1	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
96	NEWINGTON	333	332	99.7	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0.0
97	NEWTOWN	307	307	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
98	NORFOLK	20	19	95.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
99	NORTH BRANFORD	243	243	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
100	NORTH CANAAN	36	35	97.2	1	2.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
101	NORTH HAVEN	329	328	99.7	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
102	NORTH	91	90	98.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11	0	0.0	1	11	1	11	1	11
103	NORWALK	2826	2811	99.5	7	0.2	5	0.2	2	0.1	0	0.0	14	0.5	7	0.2	2	0.1
104	NORWICH	1150	1133	98.5	13	1.1	0	0.0	4	0.3	0	0.0	17	1.5	4	0.3	4	0.3
105	OLD LYME	131	131	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
106	OLD SAYBROOK	151	151	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
107	ORANGE	182	182	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
108	OXFORD	185	184	99.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

					amonę	Number g Childrer	s and Pe	rcents of	Confirme Six Years	d Blood Lo with a Cc	ead Leve	ls Lead Tes	;t				
CY 2010 Data	Number of Children				Conf	irmed Blo	od Lead I	evels					C	umulative	e Statisti	cs	
(<6 years old)	with Confirmed	0-9 µ	.ıg/dL	10-14	μg/dL	15-19	μg/dL	20-44	μg/dL	45+ μ	ıg/dL	≥ 10 µ	ιg/dL ^a	≥ 15 µ	ιg/dL ^b	≥20 µ	.ıg/dL
	Test	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
109 PLAINFIELD	392	387	98.7	5	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
110 PLAINVILLE	276	276	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
111 PLYMOUTH	215	213	99.1	0	0.0	2	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.9	2	0.9	0	0.0
112 POMFRET	76	76	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
113 PORTLAND	159	158	99.4	1	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
114 PRESTON	60	60	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
115 PROSPECT	168	168	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
116 PUTNAM	249	247	99.2	2	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
117 REDDING	98	98	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
118 RIDGEFIELD	393	391	99.5	2	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
119 ROCKY HILL	316	316	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
120 ROXBURY	22	22	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
121 SALEM	65	65	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
122 SALISBURY	18	18	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
123 SCOTLAND	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
124 SEYMOUR	347	347	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
125 SHARON	9	7	77.8	0	0.0	1	11.1	1	11.1	0	0.0	2	22.2	2	22.2	1	11.1
126 SHELTON	602	600	99.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
127 SHERMAN	40	39	97.5	1	2.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
128 SIMSBURY	265	264	99.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
129 SOMERS	147	145	98.6	1	0.7	1	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.4	1	0.7	0	0.0
130 SOUTH WINDSOR	380	378	99.5	0	0.0	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0.0	2	0.5	2	0.5	1	0.3
131 SOUTHBURY	206	206	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
132 SOUTHINGTON	573	570	99.5	1	0.2	2	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	0.5	2	0.3	0	0.0
133 SPRAGUE	78	77	98.7	1	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
134 STAFFORD	235	232	98.7	2	0.9	0	0.0	1	0.4	0	0.0	3	1.3	1	0.4	1	0.4

					among	Number 9 Children	rs and Pe	rcents of ss Than S	Confirme Six Years	d Blood L	ead Leve	ls _ead Tes	t				
CY 2010 Data	Number of Children				Confi	rmed Blo	od Lead I	_evels					С	umulative	e Statisti	cs	
(<6 years old)	with Confirmed	0-9 µ	.g/dL	10-14	μg/dL	15-19	μg/dL	20-44	μg/dL	45+ µ	ıg/dL	≥ 10 µ	lg/dL ^a	≥ 15 µ	.g/dL ^b	≥20 µ	.ıg/dL
	Test	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
135 STAMFORD	3559	3540	99.5	11	0.3	4	0.1	3	0.1	0	0.0	18	0.5	7	0.2	3	0.1
136 STERLING	74	73	98.6	1	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
137 STONINGTON	246	246	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
138 STRATFORD	1037	1030	99.3	4	0.4	2	0.2	1	0.1	0	0.0	7	0.7	3	0.3	1	0.1
139 SUFFIELD	217	217	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
140 THOMASTON	121	119	98.3	2	1.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
141 THOMPSON	151	150	99.3	0	0.0	1	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.7	1	0.7	0	0.0
142 TOLLAND	272	272	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
143 TORRINGTON	715	700	97.9	11	1.5	0	0.0	4	0.6	0	0.0	15	2.1	4	0.6	4	0.6
144 TRUMBULL	559	559	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
145 UNION	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
146 VERNON	610	602	98.7	6	1.0	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0	8	1.3	2	0.3	1	0.2
147 VOLUNTOWN	60	60	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
148 WALLINGFORD	892	892	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
149 WARREN	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
150 WASHINGTON	46	45	97.8	1	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
151 WATERBURY	5492	5392	98.2	60	1.1	19	0.3	19	0.3	2	0.0	100	1.8	40	0.7	21	0.4
152 WATERFORD	220	219	99.5	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.0
153 WATERTOWN	319	318	99.7	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
154 WEST HARTFORD	973	971	99.8	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.2	1	0.1	0	0.0
155 WEST HAVEN	1354	1341	99.0	6	0.4	2	0.1	5	0.4	0	0.0	13	1.0	7	0.5	5	0.4
156 WESTBROOK	99	99	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
157 WESTON	139	139	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
158 WESTPORT	477	477	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
159 WETHERSFIELD	394	393	99.7	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0.0
160 WILLINGTON	82	80	97.6	2	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0

							Number	s and Pe	rcents of	Confirme	d Blood L	ead Leve	ls					
						among	g Children	Aged Le	ss Than S	Six Years	with a Co	onfirmed I	_ead Tes	t				
		Number of												_		_		
CY 2	2010 Data	Children				Confi	rmed Blo	od Lead I	evels					С	umulative	e Statisti	CS	
(<6 y	/ears old)	with Confirmed	0-9 µ	ιg/dL	dL 10-14 μ g/dL 15-19 μ g/dL 20-44 μ g/dL 45+ μ g/dL \geq 10 μ g/dL ^a \geq 15 μ g/dL ^b \geq 20 μ g/dL ^a												ug/dL	
		Test	Number	Percent	ent Number Percent Nu												Percent	
161 WILT	TON	340	339	99.7	1 0.3 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 0.3 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 0.3 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 0.3 0 0.0 0 <t< td=""></t<>													
162 WIN0	CHESTER	199	196	98.5	3	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
163 WINI	DHAM	575	568	98.8	2	0.3	1	0.2	3	0.5	1	0.2	7	1.2	5	0.9	4	0.7
164 WINI	DSOR	430	429	99.8	1	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
165 WINI	DSOR LOCKS	189	189	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
166 WOL	COTT	275	275	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
167 WOC	ODBRIDGE	113	112	99.1	1	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
168 WOC	ODBURY	117	117	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
169 WOC	ODSTOCK	128	128	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
170 UNK	NOWN	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

Table 3. Percentage of children 1 a	nd 2 years of age with ele	evated blood lead, by town an	d by blood lead levels -	 Connecticut CY 2010

							Numbers	s and Pe	ercents o	f Confirn	ned Bloo	d Lead I	evels					
						among	g Childre	n Aged (One to T	wo Year	s with a (Confirme	ed Lead	Test				
		Number of																
	CY 2010 Data	Children				Confir	med Blo	od Lead	Levels					С	umulative	e Statisti	ics	
	(1 to 2 years old)	with Confirmed	0-9 μ	g/dL	10-14	μ g/dL	15-19	μ g/dL	20-44	μg/dL	45+ µ	ug/dL	≥ 10 µ	ιg/dL ^a	≥ 15 µ	ιg/dL ^b	≥ 20	μg/dL
		Test	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
	Connecticut														•		•	
	CY 2002	39,984	39,002	97.5	539	1.3	228	0.6	203	0.5	12	<0.1	982	2.5			215	0.5
	CY 2003	38,299	37,480	97.9	476	1.2	159	0.4	171	0.4	13	<0.1	819	2.1			184	0.5
	CY 2004	39,344	38,485	97.8	504	1.3	177	0.4	166	0.4	12	<0.1	859	2.2			178	0.5
	CY 2005	42,639	41,870	98.2	477	1.1	151	0.4	133	0.3	8	<0.1	769	1.8			141	0.3
	CY 2006	42,901	42,267	98.6	379	0.9	116	0.3	128	0.3	11	<0.1	634	1.5	255	0.6	139	0.3
	CY 2007	44,777	44,156	98.6	343	0.8	146	0.3	124	0.3	8	<0.1	621	1.4	278	0.6	137	0.3
	CY 2008	48,390	47,699	98.6	389	0.8	144	0.3	146	0.3	12	<0.1	691	1.4	302	0.6	158	0.3
	CY 2009	53,968	53,484	99.1	282	0.5	101	0.2	90	0.2	11	<0.1	484	0.9	202	0.4	101	0.2
	CY 2010	52,626	52,132	99.1	280	0.5	102	0.2	98	0.2	4	<0.1	484	0.9	204	0.4	102	0.2
	By-Town																	
1	ANDOVER	34	34	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
2	ANSONIA	293	283	96.6	6	2.0	1	0.3	2	0.7	0	0.0	9	3.1	3	1.0	2	0.7
3	ASHFORD	39	39	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
4	AVON	164	164	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
5	BARKHAMSTED	40	40	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
6	BEACON FALLS	75	74	98.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.3	0	0.0	1	1.3	1	1.3	1	1.3
7	BERLIN	131	130	99.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.8	0	0.0	1	0.8	1	0.8	1	0.8
8	BETHANY	58	58	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

 a Inclusive with blood lead levels ${\geq}15~\mu\text{g/dL}$ and ${\geq}20~\mu\text{g/dL}$

 $^{\text{b}}$ Inclusive with blood lead levels ${\geq}20~\mu\text{g/dL}$

			Numbers and Percents of Confirmed Blood Lead Levels among Children Aged One to Two Years with a Confirmed Lead Test															
h						among	g Childrer	n Aged (One to T	wo Years	s with a C	Confirme	d Lead	Test				
	CY 2010 Data	Number of Children				Confir	med Bloo	od Lead	Levels					С	umulativ	e Statist	ics	
(1 to 2 years old)	with Confirmed	0-9 μ	.g/dL	10-14	μ g/dL	15-19	μg/dL	20-44	μg/dL	45+ μ	.g/dL	≥ 10 µ	ιg/dL ^a	≥ 15 µ	ιg/dL ^b	≥ 20 µ	ug/dL
		Test	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
9	BETHEL	242	242	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
10	BETHLEHEM	30	30	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
11	BLOOMFIELD	213	213	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
12	BOLTON	40	40	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
13	BOZRAH	28	28	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
14	BRANFORD	323	323	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
15	BRIDGEPORT	3724	3645	97.9	97.9 44 1.2 24 0.6 10 0.3 1 0							0.0	79	2.1	35	0.9	11	0.3
16	BRIDGEWATER	11	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
17	BRISTOL	736	731	99.3	4	0.5	0	0.0	1	0.1	0	0.0	5	0.7	1	0.1	1	0.1
18	BROOKFIELD	177	177	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
19	BROOKLYN	111	110	99.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.9	0	0.0	1	0.9	1	0.9	1	0.9
20	BURLINGTON	83	83	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
21	CANAAN	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
22	CANTERBURY	42	42	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
23	CANTON	105	105	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
24	CHAPLIN	26	26	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
25	CHESHIRE	259	258	99.6	1	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
26	CHESTER	31	31	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
27	CLINTON	248	248	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
28	COLCHESTER	252	251	99.6	1	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
29	COLEBROOK	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
30	COLUMBIA	40	40	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
31	CORNWALL	15	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
32	COVENTRY	163	162	99.4	1	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0

			Numbers and Percents of Confirmed Blood Lead Levels															
						among	g Childrer	n Aged (One to Ty	wo Years	s with a C	Confirme	d Lead	Test				
		Number of																
	CY 2010 Data	Children				Confir	med Bloo	od Lead	Levels					Cı	umulativ	e Statisti	cs	
	(1 to 2 years old)	with Confirmed	0-9 μ	.g/dL	10-14	μg/dL	15-19	μg/dL	20-44	μg/dL	45+ μ	g/dL	≥ 10 µ	ιg/dL ^a	≥ 15 µ	ւg/dL ^b	≥ 20 µ	ug/dL
		Test	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
33	CROMWELL	164	164	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
34	DANBURY	1557	1549	99.5	6	0.4	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0	8	0.5	2	0.1	1	0.1
35	DARIEN	284	284	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
36	DEEP RIVER	86	85	98.8	0	0.0	1	1.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.2	1	1.2	0	0.0
37	DERBY	182	180	98.9	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.1	1	0.5	0	0.0
38	DURHAM	84	84	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
39	EAST GRANBY	67	66	98.5	100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 0 0 98.5 1 1.5 0 0.0 0 0.0 0									1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
40	EAST HADDAM	110	110	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
41	EAST HAMPTON	158	157	99.4	1	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
42	EAST HARTFORD	984	980	99.6	1	0.1	2	0.2	1	0.1	0	0.0	4	0.4	3	0.3	1	0.1
43	EAST HAVEN	421	421	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
44	EAST LYME	190	190	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
45	EAST WINDSOR	125	123	98.4	0	0.0	1	0.8	1	0.8	0	0.0	2	1.6	2	1.6	1	0.8
46	EASTFORD	16	16	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
47	EASTON	80	80	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
48	ELLINGTON	189	189	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
49	ENFIELD	462	459	99.4	1	0.2	0	0.0	1	0.2	0	0.0	2	0.4	1	0.2	1	0.2
50	ESSEX	79	79	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
51	FAIRFIELD	960	958	99.8	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.1	0	0.0	2	0.2	1	0.1	1	0.1
52	FARMINGTON	183	183	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
53	FRANKLIN	19	19	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
54	GLASTONBURY	334	334	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
55	GOSHEN	28	27	96.4	0	0.0	1	3.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.6	1	3.6	0	0.0
56	GRANBY	89	89	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

Numbers and Percents of Confirmed Blood Lead Levels among Children Aged One to Two Years with a Confirmed Lead Test Number of Children CY 2010 Data Confirmed Blood Lead Levels **Cumulative Statistics** with \geq 10 μ g/dL^a ≥ 15 µg/dL^b (1 to 2 years old) 0-9 µg/dL 10-14 μg/dL 15-19 μg/dL 20-44 µg/dL 45+ μg/dL \geq 20 μ g/dL Confirmed Number Percent Number Test 57 GREENWICH 822 820 99.8 2 0.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 2 0.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 58 GRISWOLD 216 215 99.5 1 0.5 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 0.5 0 0.0 0 0.0 59 GROTON 812 809 99.6 2 0.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 3 0.4 1 0.1 0 0.0 1 0.1 60 GUILFORD 190 188 98.9 1 0.5 1 0.5 0 0.0 0 0.0 2 1.1 1 0.5 0 0.0 61 HADDAM 95 95 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 62 HAMDEN 877 874 99.7 3 0.3 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 3 0.3 0 0.0 0 0.0 63 HAMPTON 31 31 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 64 HARTFORD 3135 3101 98.9 23 0.7 5 0.2 0.2 0 34 11 6 0.2 6 0.0 1.1 0.4 65 HARTLAND 100.0 0.0 17 17 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 66 HARWINTON 65 65 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 67 HEBRON 83 100.0 0.0 83 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 30 68 KENT 30 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 69 KILLINGLY 279 275 98.6 0 0.0 3 1.1 1 0.4 0 0.0 4 1.4 4 1.4 1 0.4 70 KILLINGWORTH 76 76 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 71 LEBANON 72 0 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 71 98.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 72 LEDYARD 230 230 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 73 LISBON 9 9 0 0 100.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 74 LITCHFIELD 89 88 98.9 1 1.1 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 1.1 0 0.0 0 0.0 75 LYME 0 76 MADISON 0 164 163 99.4 0.0 0 0.0 1 0.6 0 0.0 1 0.6 1 0.6 1 0.6 77 MANCHESTER 923 2 920 99.7 1 2 0.2 0 0.0 0.0 3 0.3 0.2 0 0.0 0.1 0 78 MANSFIELD 121 121 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 MARLBOROUGH 79 61 61 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 80 MERIDEN 1296 1278 98.6 11 0.8 6 0.5 1 0.1 0 0.0 18 1.4 7 0.5 1 0.1

			Numbers and Percents of Confirmed Blood Lead Levels among Children Aged One to Two Years with a Confirmed Lead Test															
						amonę	g Childrer	n Aged (One to T	wo Years	s with a (Confirme	ed Lead	Test				
		Number of																
	CY 2010 Data	Children				Confir	med Bloo	od Lead	Levels					C	umulativ	e Statisti	CS	
(1 to 2 years old)	with Confirmed	0-9 μ	g/dL	10-14	μg/dL	15-19	μg/dL	20-44	μg/dL	45+ μ	ιg/dL	≥ 10 µ	ιg/dL ^a	≥ 15	μg/dL ^b	≥ 20 j	ug/dL
		Test	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Numbe	r Percent	Number	Percent
81	MIDDLEBURY	82	80	97.6	2	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
82	MIDDLEFIELD	31	30	96.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.2	0	0.0	1	3.2	1	3.2	1	3.2
83	MIDDLETOWN	685	682	99.6	1	0.1	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0	3	0.4	2	0.3	1	0.1
84	MILFORD	712	708	99.4	2	0.3	0	0.0	1	0.1	0	0.0	3	0.4	1	0.1	1	0.1
85	MONROE	240	240	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
86	MONTVILLE	226	226	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
87	MORRIS	15	15	100.0	00.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0								0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
88	NAUGATUCK	436	434	99.5	2	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
89	NEW BRITAIN	1333	1310	98.3	11	0.8	4	0.3	6	0.5	1	0.1	22	1.7	11	0.8	7	0.5
90	NEW CANAAN	240	240	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
91	NEW FAIRFIELD	130	129	99.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
92	NEW HARTFORD	69	69	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
93	NEW HAVEN	3038	2955	97.3	46	1.5	13	0.4	24	0.8	0	0.0	83	2.7	37	1.2	24	0.8
94	NEW LONDON	406	399	98.3	4	1.0	2	0.5	1	0.2	0	0.0	7	1.7	3	0.7	1	0.2
95	NEW MILFORD	421	420	99.8	0	0.0	1	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
96	NEWINGTON	207	206	99.5	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.0
97	NEWTOWN	258	258	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
98	NORFOLK	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
99	NORTH BRANFORD	191	191	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
100	NORTH CANAAN	26	25	96.2	1	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
101	NORTH HAVEN	236	235	99.6	1	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
102	NORTH STONINGTON	62	61	98.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.6	0	0.0	1	1.6	1	1.6	1	1.6
103	NORWALK	1728	1716	99.3	5	0.3	4	0.2	2	0.1	0	0.0	11	0.6	6	0.3	2	0.1

			Numbers and Percents of Confirmed Blood Lead Levels among Children Aged One to Two Years with a Confirmed Lead Test															
h						amonę	g Childrer	n Aged (One to T	wo Year	s with a C	Confirme	d Lead	Test				
		Number of																
	CY 2010 Data	Children				Confir	med Bloo	od Lead	Levels					Cı	umulativ	e Statisti	ics	
	(1 to 2 years old)	with Confirmed	0-9 µ	ιg/dL	10-14	μg/dL	15-19	μg/dL	20-44	μg/dL	45+ μ	ıg/dL	\geq 10 μ	ιg/dL ^a	≥ 15 µ	ιg/dL ^b	≥ 20 j	ug/dL
		Test	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
104	NORWICH	673	661	98.2	10	1.5	0	0.0	2	0.3	0	0.0	12	1.8	2	0.3	2	0.3
105	OLD LYME	111	111	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
106	OLD SAYBROOK	138	138	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
107	ORANGE	160	160	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
108	OXFORD	141	140	99.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
109	PLAINFIELD	245	242	98.8	3	1.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	1.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
110	PLAINVILLE	155	155	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
111	PLYMOUTH	145	144	99.3	0	0.0	1	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.7	1	0.7	0	0.0
112	POMFRET	45	45	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
113	PORTLAND	115	114	99.1	1	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
114	PRESTON	43	43	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
115	PROSPECT	101	101	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
116	PUTNAM	158	156	98.7	2	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
117	REDDING	67	67	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
118	RIDGEFIELD	242	240	99.2	2	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
119	ROCKY HILL	208	208	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
120	ROXBURY	19	19	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
121	SALEM	55	55	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
122	SALISBURY	14	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
123	SCOTLAND	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
124	SEYMOUR	210	210	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
125	SHARON	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
126	SHELTON	450	448	99.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
127	SHERMAN	35	34	97.1	1	2.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.9	0	0.0	0	0.0

			Numbers and Percents of Confirmed Blood Lead Levels among Children Aged One to Two Years with a Confirmed Lead Test															
						among	g Childrer	n Aged (One to Ty	wo Years	s with a C	Confirme	ed Lead	Гest				
		Number of																
	CY 2010 Data	Children				Confir	med Bloo	od Lead	Levels					С	umulative	e Statisti	cs	
(1 to 2 years old)	with Confirmed	0-9 μ	.g/dL	10-14	μg/dL	15-19	μg/dL	20-44	μg/dL	45+ μ	ιg/dL	≥ 10 µ	lg/dL ^a	≥ 15 µ	ւg/dL ^b	≥ 20 j	ug/dL
		Test	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
128	SIMSBURY	205	205	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
129	SOMERS	80	79	98.8	0	0.0	1	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.3	1	1.3	0	0.0
130	SOUTH WINDSOR	284	282	99.3	0	0.0	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0	2	0.7	2	0.7	1	0.4
131	SOUTHBURY	176	176	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
132	SOUTHINGTON	349	346	99.1	1	0.3	2	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	0.9	2	0.6	0	0.0
133	SPRAGUE	56	55	98.2	1	1.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
134	STAFFORD	170	167	98.2	2	1.2	0	0.0	1	0.6	0	0.0	3	1.8	1	0.6	1	0.6
135	STAMFORD	2257	2243	99.4	10	0.4	2	0.1	2	0.1	0	0.0	14	0.6	4	0.2	2	0.1
136	STERLING	50	49	98.0	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
137	STONINGTON	147	147	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
138	STRATFORD	712	707	99.3	2	0.3	2	0.3	1	0.1	0	0.0	5	0.7	3	0.4	1	0.1
139	SUFFIELD	111	111	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
140	THOMASTON	74	74	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
141	THOMPSON	92	91	98.9	0	0.0	1	1.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.1	1	1.1	0	0.0
142	TOLLAND	205	205	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
143	TORRINGTON	511	501	98.0	8	1.6	0	0.0	2	0.4	0	0.0	10	2.0	2	0.4	2	0.4
144	TRUMBULL	471	471	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
145	UNION	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
146	VERNON	395	389	98.5	4	1.0	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0.0	6	1.5	2	0.5	1	0.3
147	VOLUNTOWN	38	38	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
148	WALLINGFORD	641	641	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
149	WARREN	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
150	WASHINGTON	42	41	97.6	1	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
151	WATERBURY	2511	2453	97.7	30	1.2	12	0.5	15	0.6	1	0.0	58	2.3	28	1.1	16	0.6

Numbers and Percents of Confirmed Blood Lead Levels among Children Aged One to Two Years with a Confirmed Lead Test Number of Children CY 2010 Data Confirmed Blood Lead Levels **Cumulative Statistics** with \geq 10 μ g/dL^a \geq 15 µg/dL^b (1 to 2 years old) 0-9 µg/dL 10-14 μg/dL 15-19 μg/dL 20-44 µg/dL 45+ μg/dL ≥ 20 μg/dL Confirmed Number Percent Number Test 152 WATERFORD 141 141 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 153 WATERTOWN 164 163 99.4 1 0.6 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 0.6 0 0.0 0 0.0 154 WEST HARTFORD 650 648 99.7 1 0.2 1 0.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 2 0.3 1 0.2 0 0.0 155 WEST HAVEN 952 945 99.3 2 0.2 1 0.1 4 0.4 0 0.0 7 0.7 5 0.5 4 0.4 156 WESTBROOK 86 86 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 157 WESTON 103 103 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 158 WESTPORT 397 397 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 159 WETHERSFIELD 259 259 100.0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 160 WILLINGTON 96.8 2 2 0 0.0 63 61 3.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 3.2 0 0.0 161 WILTON 222 221 99.5 1 0.5 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 0.5 0 0.0 0 0.0 162 WINCHESTER 136 99.3 0.7 0.0 0.0 135 1 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 0.7 0 0 2 2 163 WINDHAM 464 458 98.7 0.4 1 0.2 0.4 1 0.2 6 1.3 4 0.9 3 0.6 164 WINDSOR 294 294 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 165 WINDSOR LOCKS 101 101 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 166 WOLCOTT 138 100.0 0 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 0 0.0 138 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 167 WOODBRIDGE 87 98.9 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 0 0.0 0 0.0 86 1 1.1 1.1 168 WOODBURY 91 0 0 0 91 100.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 169 WOODSTOCK 70 70 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0

Table 4. Incidence of elevated blood lead among children under six years of age, by town and by blood lead levels – Connecticut CY2010

			Nun	bers and Percents o	f New Confirmed Bl	ood Lead Le	evels		
				among Children	Less Than Six Year	s of Age			
	Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children	
	with BLL	Screened with No	\geq 10 μ g/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	\geq 15 μ g/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	\geq 20 μ g/dL
	\geq 10 μ g/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	≥ 15 μg/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	≥ 20 μg/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence
CY 2010 Data	For the First Time	\geq 10 μ g/dL	(%)	For the First Time	\geq 15 μ g/dL	(%)	For the First Time	\geq 20 μ g/dL	(%)
Connecticut	1	1		Л	1		<u>I</u>	1	<u></u>
	504	81,760	0.6	227	81,816	0.3	119	81,860	0.1
By-Town		I	1	0			u	I	
1ANDOVER	0	49	0.0	0	49	0.0	0	49	0.0
2ANSONIA	10	541	1.8	3	542	0.6	2	543	0.4
3ASHFORD	0	57	0.0	0	57	0.0	0	57	0.0
4AVON	0	198	0.0	0	198	0.0	0	198	0.0
5BARKHAMSTED	0	51	0.0	0	51	0.0	0	51	0.0
6BEACON FALLS	1	122	0.8	1	122	0.8	1	122	0.8
7BERLIN	1	229	0.4	0	229	0.0	0	229	0.0
8BETHANY	0	72	0.0	0	72	0.0	0	72	0.0
9BETHEL	1	348	0.3	1	348	0.3	0	348	0.0
10BETHLEHEM	0	39	0.0	0	39	0.0	0	39	0.0
11BLOOMFIELD	0	309	0.0	0	309	0.0	0	309	0.0
12BOLTON	0	68	0.0	0	68	0.0	0	68	0.0
13BOZRAH	0	36	0.0	0	36	0.0	0	36	0.0
14BRANFORD	0	406	0.0	0	406	0.0	0	406	0.0
15BRIDGEPORT	80	6637	1.2	38	6647	0.6	15	6662	0.2
16BRIDGEWATER	0	13	0.0	0	13	0.0	0	13	0.0
17BRISTOL	5	1002	0.5	2	1003	0.2	2	1003	0.2
18BROOKFIELD	0	216	0.0	0	216	0.0	0	216	0.0
19BROOKLYN	0	153	0.0	1	154	0.6	1	155	0.6
20BURLINGTON	0	105	0.0	0	105	0.0	0	105	0.0

			Num	bers and Percents of	New Confirmed Bl	ood Lead Le	evels		
				among Children I	ess Than Six Year	s of Age			
	Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children	
	with BLL	Screened with No	\geq 10 μ g/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	≥ 15 µg/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	≥ 20 μg/dL
	≥ 10 μg/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	≥ 15 μg/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	≥ 20 μg/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence
CY 2010 Data	For the First Time	\geq 10 μ g/dL	(%)	For the First Time	\geq 15 μ g/dL	(%)	For the First Time	\geq 20 μ g/dL	(%)
21CANAAN	0	7	0.0	0	7	0.0	0	7	0.0
22CANTERBURY	0	64	0.0	0	64	0.0	0	64	0.0
23CANTON	0	127	0.0	0	127	0.0	0	127	0.0
24CHAPLIN	0	31	0.0	0	31	0.0	0	31	0.0
25CHESHIRE	0	399	0.0	0	399	0.0	0	399	0.0
26CHESTER	0	42	0.0	0	42	0.0	0	42	0.0
27CLINTON	0	280	0.0	0	280	0.0	0	280	0.0
28COLCHESTER	1	316	0.3	0	316	0.0	0	316	0.0
29COLEBROOK	0	13	0.0	0	13	0.0	0	13	0.0
30COLUMBIA	0	48	0.0	0	48	0.0	0	48	0.0
31CORNWALL	0	25	0.0	0	25	0.0	0	25	0.0
32COVENTRY	1	212	0.5	0	212	0.0	0	212	0.0
33CROMWELL	0	237	0.0	0	237	0.0	0	237	0.0
34DANBURY	10	2342	0.4	3	2342	0.1	1	2342	0.0
35DARIEN	0	451	0.0	0	451	0.0	0	451	0.0
36DEEP RIVER	0	104	0.0	0	104	0.0	0	105	0.0
37DERBY	4	322	1.2	1	322	0.3	0	322	0.0
38DURHAM	0	112	0.0	0	112	0.0	0	112	0.0
39EAST GRANBY	1	97	1.0	0	97	0.0	0	97	0.0
40EAST HADDAM	0	130	0.0	0	130	0.0	0	130	0.0
41EAST HAMPTON	1	235	0.4	0	235	0.0	0	235	0.0
42EAST HARTFORD	2	1443	0.1	3	1445	0.2	2	1446	0.1
43EAST HAVEN	1	561	0.2	0	561	0.0	0	561	0.0
44EAST LYME	0	233	0.0	0	233	0.0	0	233	0.0
45EAST WINDSOR	3	204	1.5	2	204	1.0	1	204	0.5
46EASTFORD	0	22	0.0	0	22	0.0	0	22	0.0

			Nun	bers and Percents of	New Confirmed Bl	ood Lead Le	evels		
				among Children I	ess Than Six Year	s of Age			
	Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children	
	with BLL	Screened with No	\geq 10 μ g/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	≥ 15 µg/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	≥ 20 µg/dL
	≥ 10 μg/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	≥ 15 μg/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	\geq 20 μ g/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence
CY 2010 Data	For the First Time	\geq 10 μ g/dL	(%)	For the First Time	\geq 15 μ g/dL	(%)	For the First Time	\geq 20 μ g/dL	(%)
47EASTON	0	91	0.0	0	91	0.0	0	91	0.0
48ELLINGTON	1	280	0.4	0	280	0.0	0	280	0.0
49ENFIELD	1	872	0.1	0	872	0.0	0	873	0.0
50ESSEX	0	90	0.0	0	90	0.0	0	90	0.0
51FAIRFIELD	2	1117	0.2	1	1117	0.1	1	1117	0.1
52FARMINGTON	0	273	0.0	0	273	0.0	0	273	0.0
53FRANKLIN	0	26	0.0	0	26	0.0	0	26	0.0
54GLASTONBURY	0	499	0.0	0	499	0.0	0	499	0.0
55GOSHEN	1	32	3.1	1	32	3.1	0	32	0.0
56GRANBY	0	125	0.0	0	125	0.0	0	125	0.0
57GREENWICH	2	1038	0.2	0	1038	0.0	0	1038	0.0
58GRISWOLD	1	307	0.3	0	307	0.0	0	307	0.0
59GROTON	2	1191	0.2	1	1191	0.1	0	1191	0.0
60GUILFORD	2	238	0.8	1	238	0.4	0	238	0.0
61HADDAM	0	133	0.0	0	133	0.0	0	133	0.0
62HAMDEN	2	1109	0.2	0	1110	0.0	0	1110	0.0
63HAMPTON	0	39	0.0	0	39	0.0	0	39	0.0
64HARTFORD	36	5495	0.7	13	5496	0.2	7	5498	0.1
65HARTLAND	0	28	0.0	0	28	0.0	0	28	0.0
66HARWINTON	0	92	0.0	0	92	0.0	0	92	0.0
67HEBRON	0	132	0.0	0	132	0.0	0	132	0.0
68KENT	0	35	0.0	0	35	0.0	0	35	0.0
69KILLINGLY	3	459	0.7	3	459	0.7	1	460	0.2
70KILLINGWORTH	0	88	0.0	0	88	0.0	0	88	0.0
71LEBANON	0	100	0.0	0	100	0.0	0	100	0.0
72LEDYARD	0	293	0.0	0	293	0.0	0	293	0.0

	Numbers and Percents of New Confirmed Blood Lead Levels								
	among Children Less Than Six Years of Age								
	Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children	
	with BLL	Screened with No	\geq 10 μ g/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	≥ 15 µg/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	\geq 20 μ g/dL
	≥ 10 μg/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	≥ 15 μg/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	≥ 20 μg/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence
CY 2010 Data	For the First Time	\geq 10 μ g/dL	(%)	For the First Time	≥ 15 µg/dL	(%)	For the First Time	\geq 20 μ g/dL	(%)
73LISBON	0	13	0.0	0	13	0.0	0	13	0.0
74LITCHFIELD	1	106	0.9	0	106	0.0	0	106	0.0
75MADISON	1	186	0.5	1	186	0.5	1	186	0.5
76MANCHESTER	6	1351	0.4	2	1351	0.1	1	1351	0.1
77MANSFIELD	0	146	0.0	0	146	0.0	0	146	0.0
78MARLBOROUGH	0	103	0.0	0	103	0.0	0	103	0.0
79MERIDEN	15	2043	0.7	6	2044	0.3	1	2046	0.0
80MIDDLEBURY	1	128	0.8	0	128	0.0	1	129	0.8
81MIDDLEFIELD	1	46	2.2	1	46	2.2	1	46	2.2
82MIDDLETOWN	2	1027	0.2	1	1027	0.1	0	1027	0.0
83MILFORD	3	985	0.3	1	986	0.1	1	986	0.1
84MONROE	0	262	0.0	0	262	0.0	0	262	0.0
85MONTVILLE	0	320	0.0	0	320	0.0	0	320	0.0
86MORRIS	1	18	5.6	1	18	5.6	1	18	5.6
87NAUGATUCK	2	760	0.3	0	760	0.0	0	760	0.0
88NEW BRITAIN	27	3118	0.9	13	3120	0.4	10	3121	0.3
89NEW CANAAN	0	351	0.0	0	351	0.0	0	351	0.0
90NEW FAIRFIELD	0	184	0.0	0	184	0.0	0	184	0.0
91NEW HARTFORD	0	94	0.0	0	94	0.0	0	94	0.0
92NEW HAVEN	81	4673	1.7	45	4687	1.0	26	4692	0.6
93NEW LONDON	6	666	0.9	3	668	0.4	1	670	0.1
94NEW MILFORD	1	489	0.2	1	489	0.2	0	489	0.0
95NEWINGTON	1	333	0.3	1	333	0.3	0	333	0.0
96NEWTOWN	0	307	0.0	0	307	0.0	0	307	0.0
97NORFOLK	0	19	0.0	0	19	0.0	0	20	0.0
98NORTH	0	243	0.0	0	243	0.0	0	243	0.0

	Numbers and Percents of New Confirmed Blood Lead Levels								
	among Children Less Than Six Years of Age								
	Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children	
	with BLL	Screened with No	\geq 10 μ g/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	\geq 15 μ g/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	\geq 20 μ g/dL
	\geq 10 μ g/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	\geq 15 μ g/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	\geq 20 μ g/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence
CY 2010 Data	For the First Time	\geq 10 μ g/dL	(%)	For the First Time	≥ 15 µg/dL	(%)	For the First Time	\geq 20 μ g/dL	(%)
BRANFORD									
99NORTH CANAAN	1	36	2.8	0	36	0.0	0	36	0.0
100NORTH HAVEN	1	329	0.3	0	329	0.0	0	329	0.0
NORTH									
101STONINGTON	1	91	1.1	1	91	1.1	1	91	1.1
102NORWALK	13	2825	0.5	7	2826	0.2	2	2826	0.1
103NORWICH	15	1148	1.3	4	1149	0.3	4	1149	0.3
104NOT_AVAILABLE	0	2	0.0	0	2	0.0	0	2	0.0
105OLD LYME	0	131	0.0	0	131	0.0	0	131	0.0
106OLD SAYBROOK	0	151	0.0	0	151	0.0	0	151	0.0
107ORANGE	0	182	0.0	0	182	0.0	0	182	0.0
108OXFORD	0	185	0.0	0	185	0.0	0	185	0.0
109PLAINFIELD	0	387	0.0	0	388	0.0	0	388	0.0
110PLAINVILLE	0	276	0.0	0	276	0.0	0	276	0.0
111PLYMOUTH	1	214	0.5	2	215	0.9	0	215	0.0
112POMFRET	0	76	0.0	0	76	0.0	0	76	0.0
113PORTLAND	1	159	0.6	0	159	0.0	0	159	0.0
114PRESTON	0	60	0.0	0	60	0.0	0	60	0.0
115PROSPECT	0	168	0.0	0	168	0.0	0	168	0.0
116PUTNAM	2	249	0.8	0	249	0.0	0	249	0.0
117REDDING	0	98	0.0	0	98	0.0	0	98	0.0
118RIDGEFIELD	2	393	0.5	0	393	0.0	0	393	0.0
119ROCKY HILL	0	316	0.0	0	316	0.0	0	316	0.0
120ROXBURY	0	22	0.0	0	22	0.0	0	22	0.0
121SALEM	0	65	0.0	0	65	0.0	0	65	0.0
122SALISBURY	0	18	0.0	0	18	0.0	0	18	0.0

	Numbers and Percents of New Confirmed Blood Lead Levels								
	among Children Less Than Six Years of Age								
	Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children	
	with BLL	Screened with No	\geq 10 μ g/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	≥ 15 µg/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	\geq 20 μ g/dL
	\geq 10 μ g/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	≥ 15 μg/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	≥20 μg/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence
CY 2010 Data	For the First Time	\geq 10 μ g/dL	(%)	For the First Time	≥ 15 µg/dL	(%)	For the First Time	\geq 20 μ g/dL	(%)
123SCOTLAND	0	8	0.0	0	8	0.0	0	8	0.0
124SEYMOUR	0	347	0.0	0	347	0.0	0	347	0.0
125SHARON	2	9	22.2	2	9	22.2	1	9	11.1
126SHELTON	0	602	0.0	0	602	0.0	0	602	0.0
127SHERMAN	0	39	0.0	0	40	0.0	0	40	0.0
128SIMSBURY	0	265	0.0	0	265	0.0	0	265	0.0
129SOMERS	2	147	1.4	1	147	0.7	0	147	0.0
130 WINDSOR	2	380	0.5	2	380	0.5	1	380	0.3
131SOUTHBURY	0	206	0.0	0	206	0.0	0	206	0.0
132SOUTHINGTON	1	571	0.2	1	572	0.2	0	572	0.0
133SPRAGUE	1	78	1.3	0	78	0.0	0	78	0.0
134STAFFORD	2	234	0.9	0	234	0.0	0	234	0.0
135STAMFORD	16	3557	0.4	6	3557	0.2	2	3557	0.1
136STERLING	1	74	1.4	0	74	0.0	0	74	0.0
137STONINGTON	0	246	0.0	0	246	0.0	0	246	0.0
138STRATFORD	5	1035	0.5	2	1035	0.2	1	1036	0.1
139SUFFIELD	0	217	0.0	0	217	0.0	0	217	0.0
140THOMASTON	1	120	0.8	0	121	0.0	0	121	0.0
141 THOMPSON	1	151	0.7	1	151	0.7	0	151	0.0
142TOLLAND	0	272	0.0	0	272	0.0	0	272	0.0
143TORRINGTON	12	712	1.7	2	712	0.3	2	712	0.3
144TRUMBULL	0	559	0.0	0	559	0.0	0	559	0.0
145UNION	0	2	0.0	0	2	0.0	0	2	0.0
146VERNON	7	609	1.1	2	610	0.3	1	610	0.2
147VOLUNTOWN	0	60	0.0	0	60	0.0	0	60	0.0

	Numbers and Percents of New Confirmed Blood Lead Levels								
	among Children Less Than Six Years of Age								
	Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children		Number of Children	Total # Children	
	with BLL	Screened with No	\geq 10 μ g/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	≥ 15 μg/dL	with BLL	Screened with No	≥ 20 µg/dL
	\geq 10 μ g/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	\geq 15 μ g/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence	\geq 20 μ g/dL	Previous BLL of	Incidence
CY 2010 Data	For the First Time	≥ 10 μ g/dL	(%)	For the First Time	≥ 15 µg/dL	(%)	For the First Time	\geq 20 μ g/dL	(%)
148WALLINGFORD	0	892	0.0	0	892	0.0	0	892	0.0
149WARREN	0	9	0.0	0	9	0.0	0	9	0.0
150WASHINGTON	0	45	0.0	0	45	0.0	0	45	0.0
151WATERBURY	66	5458	1.2	31	5465	0.6	18	5472	0.3
152WATERFORD	1	220	0.5	1	220	0.5	0	220	0.0
153WATERTOWN	1	319	0.3	0	319	0.0	0	319	0.0
154WEST HARTFORD	2	973	0.2	1	973	0.1	0	973	0.0
155WEST HAVEN	6	1347	0.4	4	1350	0.3	4	1351	0.3
156WESTBROOK	0	99	0.0	0	99	0.0	0	99	0.0
157WESTON	0	139	0.0	0	139	0.0	0	139	0.0
158WESTPORT	0	477	0.0	0	477	0.0	0	477	0.0
159WETHERSFIELD	1	394	0.3	1	394	0.3	0	394	0.0
160WILLINGTON	2	82	2.4	0	82	0.0	0	82	0.0
161WILTON	1	340	0.3	0	340	0.0	0	340	0.0
162WINCHESTER	2	198	1.0	0	198	0.0	0	198	0.0
163WINDHAM	6	574	1.0	5	575	0.9	4	575	0.7
164WINDSOR	1	430	0.2	0	430	0.0	0	430	0.0
165WINDSOR LOCKS	0	189	0.0	0	189	0.0	0	189	0.0
166WOLCOTT	0	275	0.0	0	275	0.0	0	275	0.0
167WOODBRIDGE	1	113	0.9	0	113	0.0	0	113	0.0
168WOODBURY	0	117	0.0	0	117	0.0	0	117	0.0
169WOODSTOCK	0	128	0.0	0	128	0.0	0	128	0.0



The children in the photos in this report are **not** lead poisoned. The goal of the Department of Public Health is for **all** children to be safe from lead poisoning.

Additional lead poisoning data can be found at http://www.ct.gov/dph/lead

