STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

IN RE:

ALTERATION OF THE BOUNDARIES OF
THE PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY MANAGEMENT MAY 15, 2014
AREAS AND THE INITIATION OF THE
WATER UTILITY COORDINATING
COMMITTEE PLANNING PROCESS

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

BEFORE: KATHRYN KEENAN, HEARING OFFICER

1	Verbatim Proceedings of a public
2	hearing before the State of Connecticut, Department of
3	Public Health, in the matter of the alteration of the
4	boundaries of the public water supply management areas
5	and the initiation of the Water Utility Coordinating
6	Committee planning process held on May 15, 2014 at 10:00
7	a.m. at the Brookfield Town Hall, 100 Pocono Road,
8	Brookfield, Connecticut
9	
10	
11	
12	HEARING OFFICER KATHRYN KEENAN: Good
13	morning. Today is May 15, 2014. We are at the Brookfield
14	Town Hall located at 100 Pocono Road in Brookfield,
15	Connecticut and it is approximately 10:00 a.m. My name
16	is Kathryn Keenan and I have been appointed by the
17	Commissioner of Public Health to serve as Hearing Officer
18	for this morning's proceeding. With me today are Lori
19	Matthew, the Public Health Section Chief of the
20	Department of Public Health's Drinking Water Section;
21	Eric McFee, a supervisor in the DPH Drinking Water
22	Section and Justin Milardo and Rich Iozzo, staff of the
23	Department of Public Health's Drinking Water Section.
24	The purpose of today's public hearing is

1 to receive public comment on the Commissioner of Public 2. Health's preliminary alteration of the boundaries of the 3 public water supply management areas and priorities for 4 initiation of the Water Utility Coordinating Committee, 5 also known as the WUCC planning process. If you wish to 6 make public comment today, and you haven't already done 7 so, please sign up on the sign-up sheet located by the 8 door. I will call persons who wish to provide public 9 comment up to the podium in the order that they appear on this list. 10 11 I have reserved the right to limit the 12 comments of any speaker in the interest of moving today's 13 hearing along. Questions will not be entertained during 14 the public hearing. As stated in the notice of hearings dated 15 16 April 15, 2014, pursuant to Section 25-33e of the Connecticut General Statutes and Section 25-33h-1(j) of 17 the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies the 18 19 Commissioner of Public Health, in consultation with the 20 Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, the Commissioner 21 of Energy and Environmental Protection and the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management has preliminarily 22 23 altered the boundaries of the public water supply 24 management areas, originally delineated by the

1	Commissioner almost 30 years ago in 1985, as well as the
2	priorities for initiation of the WUCC planning process
3	established in Sections 25-33f to 25-33h of the
4	Connecticut General Statutes.
5	The preliminary altered boundaries can be
6	seen on the large map to my left, and as an attachment to
7	the April 15, 2014 notice of hearings. The preliminary
8	altered priorities established are first the northeastern
9	WUCC, second the western WUCC, third the central corridor
10	WUCC and fourth the southeastern WUCC. In making such
11	preliminary alteration of the boundaries, the
12	Commissioner of Public Health considered the following
13	factors: One, the similarity of water supply problems
14	among water companies operating in the preliminary
15	management area, population density and distribution in
16	the area, the location of existing sources of public
17	water supply service areas or franchise areas. Four,
18	existing interconnections between public water systems;
19	five, municipal and regional planning agency boundaries;
20	six, natural drainage basins; seven, topographic and
21	geologic characteristics and eight, any other factors she
22	deems relevant.
23	In preliminarily altering the priorities,
24	the Commissioner of Public Health considered the

1	existence and severity of the following in each
2	management area. One, uncoordinated planning; two,
3	inadequate water supply and three, unreliable water
4	service.
5	We have one document currently in the
6	record and that is a letter dated May 8, 2014. It's from
7	Mr. Gilbert J. Bligh, Chairman of the Upper Connecticut
8	WUCC and it was sent to me, Kathryn Keenan, Hearing
9	Officer, and it's one page. Any other documents received
10	today will also be added to the record. Please note
11	there is a second public comment hearing scheduled for
12	10:00 a.m. on Monday, this coming Monday, May 19, 2014 at
13	the Southeastern Connecticut Council of Governments
14	located at 5 Connecticut Avenue in Norwich, Connecticut.
15	In addition, we are keeping the record
16	open to receive additional public comments, written
17	public comment until Friday, May 23, 2014. Nothing will
18	be added to the record after that date.
19	Following the conclusion of the public
20	hearings and the public comment period, all written and
21	oral public comment will be reviewed, incorporated into
22	the public record and taken into consideration in
23	preparing the final alteration of the boundaries of the
24	public water supply management areas and priorities for

1	initiation of the WUCC planning process.
2	Before we begin receiving public comment,
3	I ask that you please turn off your cell phones during
4	the proceeding. At this point, I would like to hear
5	public comment. As a reminder, this hearing is only
6	intended for public comments to be submitted on the
7	Commissioner of Public Health's preliminary alteration of
8	the boundaries of the public water supply management
9	areas and priorities for the initiation of the WUCC
10	planning process.
11	The first person on the list is First
12	Selectman Barlow.
13	MR. RICHARD BARLOW: Good morning. My name
14	is Richard J. Barlow. I'm the First Selectman of the Town
15	of Canton. I am here to offer testimony on behalf of the
16	Farmington Valley Collaborative, which is a collaboration
17	of first selectman and town administrators in the towns
18	of Barkhamstead, Canton, Avon, Burlington, Farmington,
19	Simsbury and Granby and East Granby.
20	The Farmington Valley Collaborative is
21	opposed to the alteration of the public water supply
22	management areas as proposed on the notice of public
23	hearing from the Commissioner of Health dated April 15,

1 Statutes, the Commissioner in making such alterations is 2 required to consider the following factors. I've 3 indicated those in my testimony, but the Hearing Officer 4 has already read them so I won't pursue those other than 5 to say that natural drainage basins and topographic and 6 geologic characteristics are included in that list. 7 The public notice included a map of Connecticut containing the altered boundaries. 8 The 9 Department of Health was provided -- has provided no 10 supporting background information to explain how the 11 proposed altered boundaries were developed or evaluated 12 in accordance with the statutory criteria. In fact, this 13 morning I just heard the Hearing Officer indicate that 14 inadequacy of supply was considered, but nowhere was that shown in any of the documents I've been able to have 15 16 access to at this point in time. In fact, at a meeting of the Upper 17 Connecticut River Water Utility Coordinating Committee, 18 19 held at the New Britain Water Company on May 1, 2014, 20 staff from the Department of Health could not identify 21 how the new boundaries had been developed. To date, in a 22 response to a FOIA request for such information, the 23 Department has only responded to the FOIA request as 24 being evaluated to determine if such information is

1	discloseable.
2	Pursuant to the applicable Connecticut
3	General Statutes, the Commissioner of Public Health is
4	required to develop alterations to boundaries in
5	consultation with the Secretary of Policy and Management
6	and the Commissioner of Energy and Environmental
7	Protection. The Commissioner provided notice to these
8	individuals by a letter dated April 17, 2014 which is
9	about the time the public notice was issued. There has
10	been no information provided to the public regarding any
11	responses from these two agencies.
12	Absent such information, the ability of
13	the public to comment on the proposed boundaries is
14	hampered. Interestingly, copies of the April 17, 2014
15	letters to the Secretary and Commissioner provided by the
16	Department of Health state that the recent realigning of
17	the Regional Council of Government (COG) boundaries
18	coupled with an analysis of the existing network of
19	public water supply systems and major river bound basins
20	has led the DPH to propose consolidation of current
21	WUCC's in several areas of the state.
22	That information would have been helpful
23	to the public to have access to this analysis before
24	being required to testify at the public hearing. What

consideration was given to the other criteria required by 1 2. law? 3 In a discussion with the Hearing Officer, 4 I was advised that the public hearing was simply to seek 5 public input on altered boundaries. If that was the 6 case, why did the public notice and the letters to OPM 7 and DEEP include recommended boundary changes? 8 The proposed boundaries, as presented, are 9 flawed in that they have removed the Farmington River towns of New Hartford, Barkhamsted, Colebrook and 10 11 Hartland, presently in the existing Upper Connecticut 12 WUCC, from the proposed Central Connecticut Corridor WUCC 13 containing the remainder of the Farmington Valley River 14 basin towns. These towns share topographic and geologic characteristics and are in the same drainage, natural 15 16 drainage basin as the other Farmington River watershed 17 towns. In addition, the towns in the eastern 18 19 portion of the proposed Central Connecticut Corridor are 20 within the Thames River basin, but they were moved into 21 the Central Corridor. The Housatonic and Thames basins have been divided north and south which defies the 22 statutory criteria to be used in the establishing WUCC's. 23 24 Many of the towns in the western and southern portions of

1	the proposed new Western Connecticut WUCC are located in
2	the Naugatuck River/Housatonic River/Quinnipiac River
3	basins. These towns do not share similar criteria to the
4	Farmington River/Connecticut river basin towns that were
5	relocated into the Western Connecticut WUCC.
6	The reality is that the political
7	subdivisions established by the COG realignment are
8	significantly less pertinent than the characteristics of
9	the various watersheds.
10	For the above reasons, I respectfully
11	request that the Commissioner of Public Health suspend
12	the public hearing process until such time as adequate
13	information on the DPH's proposal and the responses of
14	the state agencies have been made part of the public
15	record in order that the public may be better informed
16	prior to providing testimony.
17	Thank you.
18	HEARING OFFICER KEENAN: Thank you. Next
19	on our list is Kenneth Skov.
20	MR. KENNETH SKOV: Hi. I'm Kenneth Skov.
21	I'm manager of Water Resource and Infrastructure Planning
22	at Aquarion Water Company. And we are going to be
23	submitting written comment, but I did want to speak today
24	also.

1	Basically, the placement of the City of
2	Shelton in the proposed Central Connecticut Corridor of
3	WUCC does not make sense to Aquarion, especially
4	considering the factors which are required to be
5	considered pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes 25-
6	33e(a). Shelton is part of the Aquarion's Greater
7	Bridgeport system and has existing sources of public
8	water supplies that supply that system. However, the
9	proposed WUCC boundary change will separate the supply
10	from the area it serves. It would be difficult, if not
11	impossible, to do water supply planning for Aquarion's
12	Greater Bridgeport system without inclusion, without the
13	inclusion of Shelton.
14	Shelton is also located on the west side
15	of the Housatonic River and thus separated from all of
16	the other towns in the proposed Central Connecticut WUCC
17	which are located on the east side of the Housatonic.
18	Also, Shelton is the only town that is currently in the
19	southwest WUCC that is proposed being moved to the
20	proposed Central Connecticut Corridor WUCC.
21	Anyway to summarize, the Aquarion Water
22	Company believes that the City of Shelton should be
23	located in the proposed Western Connecticut WUCC.
24	HEARING OFFICER KEENAN: Thank you very

1	much. The next person is Eileen Fielding.
2	MS. EILEEN FIELDING: Thank you. I'm
3	Eileen Fielding, Executive Director of the Farmington
4	River Watershed Association. These are preliminary
5	remarks about some concerns we have. We'll follow this up
6	with written testimony.
7	The Farmington River Watershed Association
8	is a non-profit citizen's group and its mission is to
9	preserve, protect and restore the Farmington River and
10	its watershed. So, our interest in water resources goes
11	beyond drinking water. We're really interested in
12	maintaining the function and quality of river systems as
13	a whole.
13 14	a whole. So, looking at the proposed new boundaries
14	So, looking at the proposed new boundaries
14 15	So, looking at the proposed new boundaries we have a couple of concerns. One is that the Farmington
14 15 16	So, looking at the proposed new boundaries we have a couple of concerns. One is that the Farmington basin in this plan is now divided into the Western
14 15 16 17	So, looking at the proposed new boundaries we have a couple of concerns. One is that the Farmington basin in this plan is now divided into the Western Connecticut and the Central Connecticut WUCC's and those
14 15 16 17 18	So, looking at the proposed new boundaries we have a couple of concerns. One is that the Farmington basin in this plan is now divided into the Western Connecticut and the Central Connecticut WUCC's and those are both larger areas. And so, what we have the concern
14 15 16 17 18 19	So, looking at the proposed new boundaries we have a couple of concerns. One is that the Farmington basin in this plan is now divided into the Western Connecticut and the Central Connecticut WUCC's and those are both larger areas. And so, what we have the concern with is that this can pave the way for the abundant
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So, looking at the proposed new boundaries we have a couple of concerns. One is that the Farmington basin in this plan is now divided into the Western Connecticut and the Central Connecticut WUCC's and those are both larger areas. And so, what we have the concern with is that this can pave the way for the abundant surface drinking water resources in the Farmington Valley
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So, looking at the proposed new boundaries we have a couple of concerns. One is that the Farmington basin in this plan is now divided into the Western Connecticut and the Central Connecticut WUCC's and those are both larger areas. And so, what we have the concern with is that this can pave the way for the abundant surface drinking water resources in the Farmington Valley to be distributed into two much larger areas and thus put

1 know more about the criteria that were used for setting 2. the boundaries. And we are somewhat concerned that this 3 decision for the boundary changes might prematurely limit 4 decisions to be made later on in the state water planning process. And, as I said, we'll follow up with more 5 6 remarks. 7 Thank you. 8 HEARING OFFICER KEENAN: Thank you. The 9 next person is Aaron Budris. 10 MR. AARON BUDRIS: I'm with the Central 11 Naugatuck Valley Council of Governments. We will be 12 presenting --13 COURT REPORTER: Excuse me, please state 14 your name for the record. MR. BUDRIS: Aaron Budris. We will be 15 16 supplying written testimony as well. We have just a 17 couple of comments, one being that our entire new region, which will be 19 towns, with the exception of Cheshire 18 19 and Bristol, are located in the Housatonic watershed. And 20 for that reason we feel like we might be better suited to 21 be in the Western Connecticut WUCC. 22 And our other comment is that our largest 23 water supplier in our region is the City of Waterbury. 24 And under the proposed WUCC areas that system's supply

1	would be cut off from its its customers. So, for that
2	reason as well, we would like for the DPH to consider
3	placing our new region in the Western Connecticut WUCC.
4	And that's all.
5	HEARING OFFICER KEENAN: Thank you.
6	Is there anyone else that would like to
7	make public comment at this time? Why don't we go off
8	the record for a few minutes in case somebody else comes?
9	(Off the record)
10	HEARING OFFICER KEENAN: Okay. We're back
11	on the record. Is there anyone else that would like to
12	provide public comment? Okay. I don't see anybody
13	interested at this time.
14	So, I'll just remind everybody that there
15	is a second public comment hearing scheduled for 10:00
16	a.m. on this coming Monday, May 19, 2014 at the
17	Southeastern Connecticut Council of Governments located
18	in at 5 Connecticut Avenue in Norwich, Connecticut.
19	And just as a reminder also, we're keeping the record
20	open to receive additional written public comment until
21	Friday, May 23, 2014. After that date, nothing will be
22	added to the record. So, thank you everyone.
23	(Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at
24	10:43 a.m.)