I-95 New Haven Harbor Crossing Corridor Improvement Program
Construction Phase Environmental Process

Environmental Team: Beverly Flowers
Heather Falzono
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-95 Branford (14-173)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>$44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-95 East Haven (43-122)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>$64.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preloading &amp; Drainage (92-581)</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>$32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-95 New Haven (92-533/569)</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>$98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPCA Force Main (92-613)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB West Approach (92-618)</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>$156.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rte. 34 Flyover (92-619)</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>$114.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-Bridge (92-532)</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$524.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-95/I-91/Rte 34 (92-531/622/627)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>$516.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-95 Long Wharf (92-649)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSM (92-638)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Due to the schedule, size and complexity of the New Haven Corridor Program, a Memorandum of Understanding was implemented between DOT and DEP, to assign a dedicated DEP Environmental Analyst.

DEP was assigned to District 3A to keep the Program in compliance with permit conditions and minimize impacts to cost and schedule while responding to changing conditions.

The original DOT/DEP relationship was such that all environmental requests were funneled through OEP to coordinate with all regulatory agencies. The MOU removed OEP from the process and District 3A Environmental Coordinator filled this role.

RE: I-95 Corridor Environmental Coordinator MOU
DOT District 3A Projects

Dear Commissioner Redeker:

Enclosed please find an executed original for your files of the Environmental Coordinator for District 3A Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). Thank you for executing the MOU.

As you may be aware a DEEP Environmental Coordinator has been on-site in New Haven assisting DOT on the Q-Bridge and associated projects since 2004. DEEP on-site Environmental Coordinators have enabled DOT to move smoothly ahead with projects while meeting environmental objectives.

We remain committed to continuing the positive working relationship between our two agencies; and we look forward to assisting your staff in successfully meeting planned improvements to the State’s transportation infrastructure in a manner consistent with the State’s environmental requirements and goals.
**Permit Revision Process for Compliance with OLISP, FM, USCG and ACOE:**

### TRADITIONAL Path
- OEP is responsible for all State projects
- OEP receives change request from all five state districts, 1, 1A, 2, 3, 3A.
- OEP approves or comments on request for change
- OEP forwards package to DEEP
- DEEP Supervisor assigns project to DEEP Analyst
- DEEP Analyst adds the project to their que of work
- DEEP approves the request or submits comments to OEP
- OEP consults with DEEP to satisfy comments
- OEP sends packages to ACOE and USCG
- OEP is responsible for entering permits into a computer system
- Permits and documents are available to Construction

### REVISED Path
- Proposed changes were submitted to Heather
- Heather makes any necessary comments for District 3A for coordination with the District Staff, Design Team, CEI and Contractor
- Heather also forwards District 3A’s request to Beverly and tracks the transaction on a status sheet.
- Beverly reviews the request and provide comments either in an email or in workshop in collaboration with District’s comments.
- The Draft request is provided to Beverly who coordinates responses with appropriate DEEP Personnel to streamline the process.
- Final package is compiled for District Engineer’s signature.
- Bev hand delivers the package within 24 hours, stamps it in, and delivers it to the appropriate person. Thereby eliminating the processing time.
- DEEP administrative staff will e-mail the signed letter to Bev, who e-mails to Heather.

### In Summary
- DEEP analyst on-site decreased DEEP response time for preliminary and final authorizations. Thereby saving money to Contractor’s design aspect of the Project request revisions.
MOU Encouraged Transparency

**Drivers of Transparency**
- DOT/DEEP site reviews
- DEEP Progress Meeting Attendance
- DEEP Physical office in building
- DOT open door policy
- DEEP’s accessibility and DOT’s expectation to do it right

**Positive Actions**
- Training at all levels
- Lack of knowledge of the special conditions and Contractor progress meeting discussions did not reflect the actual field conditions led to Bi-weekly Environmental Meetings.
- Utilized Beverly’s expertise to create solutions in the field.
Evolution of the Environmental Process

- This discovery of additional environmental input and education needed for the Contractor's upcoming work led to the Bi-weekly Environmental Meetings for all projects to discuss more detail of the projects work.

- At this time, Beverly and myself began our own Corridor-Wide Project workshops at least weekly and if necessary prompt-to meetings. During the workshops the project modification status was tracked using this worksheet.
## Lessons Learned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>BIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>Protect ground with liner during steel removal demolition</td>
<td>Use liner capable of sustaining large load and heat from torch cutting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>Dust control during concrete demolition</td>
<td>Apply water closer to the demolition operation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>Paint chip cleanup general</td>
<td>Clean up daily. Contractor to be proactive rather than reactive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>Paint chip cleanup vacuum</td>
<td>HEPA vacuums need to be maintained regularly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>Fumes from cutting steel with torch without removing paint first.</td>
<td>Suction hose to collect fumes must be held very close to the cutting operation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>Demolition of Trestle</td>
<td>Debris falling into water</td>
<td>Use enough floats below work, clean floats regularly to prevent buildup of debris and use care with hoe ram.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>Erosion Control</td>
<td>Silt fence installation</td>
<td>Install silt fence in trench rather than on ground with backfill works best.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>Erosion Control</td>
<td>Hay bale installation</td>
<td>Trench hay bales into ground and abut them tightly together.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>Erosion Control</td>
<td>Silt fence attachment to posts</td>
<td>Use staples to secure fence to posts. Tie wire accelerates deterioration of fabric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>Cost Plus Work</td>
<td>Cost Plus work</td>
<td>Contractor needs to coordinate with inspector prior to starting the work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WSP the Program Consultants: Quarterly Environmental Meetings for All Projects: Tracked response times, Inspection Reports, Federal commitments, friendly project competition

Monitored Revisions, COP’s, and other Environmental Documents necessary for the program in Primavera Contract Manager

Attended Environmental meetings with Contractors, and workshops for sensitive issues

WSP made all documents readily accessible to The District, DEEP and the Contractor

Created Environmental Management Plan
Environmental Processes

Flow Chart
Education and Communication

- Led to quality alternatives
- Led to true compliance through proactive measures
- Less Impacts to the Environment
Cost Benefits for the Taxpayers

$534,628 in Claims

Project No. 92-538 – Buckeye pipeline relocation of (2) 12” Pipes. The project was experiencing frac outs were beninite a clay used to cut through the bottom of the river would break through the sub-surface and spill into the water. The permit required the contractor to stop and figure how to contain the material. The had a claim of $534,628 for 217.5 hours in delays in receiving COP’s and approvals to resume construction operations.

No Claims

Savings of $534,628

92-532 Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Force main Relocation

Kevin Zawoy
(Bev his seeing eye dog)

Could make quick assessments and recommendations. We encountered the same problems but the impacts were minimized. There were no claims for environmental delays including, receiving COPs, and approval.

With Team

Savings of $100,000

Project No. 92-532- Surface and Drainage Revision (east side Swale).

Working with Jeff Caiola the team saved $100,000 for eliminating additional drainage and railroad crossing.

Revised system substitutes surface treatment systems with greater particulate removal properties for the design hard piping system.
**Specific Examples** projected savings by DOT

**Two for one Trestle**

Project No 92-618 and 92-532-Construction Access Trestle COPs

*Kevin Zawoy*

accepted only one COP for the construction access trestles built under the two different contracts. The standard process would require 2 separate COP’s. One for the contractor’s proposed trestle layout in project 92-618, and another for the contactors proposed layout in project 92-532.

---

**$1,000,000**

**State Street Remediation**

DEEP *Don Gonyea* Specialized personal attended Corridor meetings to work with the project and permit conditions to resolve dewatering treatment measures. Re-evaluating the discharging limits.

---

**$1,000,000**

**Eliminate Stone Removal**

DOT DEEP Hartford Visit: Kevin Zawoy, Dan Biron and Mike Grzywinski

Agreement to allow two inch stone surrounding the new pier footings. Thereby eliminating the cost for different contractor to remove the material.
State Wide Innovation

- New seeding Mixes for high wind and steep slopes, earth guard, geo matrix and flexterra.
- Z110 soil stabilizer
- On-site Experimented with Mechanical Sweeper: Mega-Wind
- GWTF On site
- Management of Re-use Stockpile Areas
- Latex Modified Concrete Handling during placement and disposal
- Emergency Form
**Value to the Departments**

**Opportunity to continue this model**

- Exceeded DEEP processing times. The team’s turnaround from request to application was less than 30 days
- Improved Schedule
- Less impacts to Environment
- Cost Savings
- Contractor Cooperation with problem solving being responsible
- DOT/DEEP Education: Constructibility

- Relationships are the most important
- Patience, passion, and taking responsibility led to creating a positive environment for the work to get done in compliance.

Opportunity to continue this model
Future Partnering Model

- Incorporate OEP as part of the team Expertise
- Program Management Software includes an pathway Environmental documentation
- Patience with all the moving parts
- Team Support
- Cooperative nature of all parties involved
- Deep has a path to paperless
- Deep anticipated mitigation project list
- Designer Initiated Change Orders
  - Specific environmental package
  - Have an environmental review cycle
Beverly’s Interaction with the DOT

Argue

Talk

Works with others