

FINAL REPORT

New Haven – Hartford – Springfield Commuter Rail Implementation Study



Wilbur Smith Associates

June 2005

FINAL REPORT

New Haven – Hartford – Springfield Commuter Rail Implementation Study

Prepared for:



Prepared by:



In association with:

Washington Group International
KKO and Associates
URS Corporation
Fitzgerald & Halliday

June 2005



Table of Contents

ES	Executive Summary	ES-1
ES.1	Alternatives	ES-3
ES.1.1	Minimum and Maximum Build Scenarios	ES-3
ES.1.2	Implementation Alternatives	ES-4
ES.1.3	Menu of Additional Options.....	ES-4
ES.2	Commuter Rail Service Implementation Plan.....	ES-6
ES.2.1	Start-up Service Recommended Action	ES-6
ES.2.2	Commuter Rail Ridership Levels	ES-7
ES.3	System Integration Plan.....	ES-8
ES.3.1	Freight Integration	ES-8
ES.3.2	Transit Integration	ES-8
ES.4	Commuter Rail Start-up Service Capital and Operating Costs and Performance Measures.....	ES-9
ES.4.1	Total Start-up Service Recommended Action Capital Costs	ES-10
ES.4.2	Commuter Rail Operating Costs	ES-10
ES.4.3	Commuter Rail Revenue	ES-11
ES.4.4	Connector Bus Costs	ES-11
ES.4.5	Cost Summary	ES-11
ES.5	Environmental Resource Review	ES-12
ES.6	Implementation Next Steps	ES-13
ES.7	Future Full Build Scenario	ES-14
1	Introduction	1-1
1.1	Project Team.....	1-1
1.2	Study Area Definition	1-2
1.3	Project Steering Committee.....	1-2
1.4	Public Involvement.....	1-4
2	Existing Conditions	2-1
2.1	Existing Passenger Services on the Line	2-1
2.2	Existing Freight Services on the Line	2-4
2.3	Existing Stations.....	2-6
2.4	Station Parking and Access for Cars	2-8
2.5	Existing Track Evaluation	2-9
2.6	Existing Communications and Signal Systems Evaluation.....	2-14
2.7	Maintenance Facilities.....	2-15
2.8	Amtrak Bridges Evaluation	2-16
2.9	Connecting Rail Service.....	2-18
2.10	Other Transportation Corridor Services	2-20
2.10.1	Parallel Roadway Traffic Volumes, Speed and Levels of Service.....	2-20
2.10.2	Livery Services.....	2-20
2.10.3	Intercity Bus Operations.....	2-21
2.10.4	Transit Service and Ridership	2-22
2.10.5	ADA Paratransit Services.....	2-24



2.10.6	Ridesharing.....	2-25
2.11	Ridership, Revenue and Cost Database.....	2-25
2.11.1	Overview of Commuter Rail Systems.....	2-26
2.11.2	Performance Measures	2-29
3	Alternatives Evaluation	3-1
3.1	Minimum and Maximum Build Scenarios	3-1
3.2	Implementation Alternatives	3-2
3.2.1	Alternative CT1	3-2
3.2.2	Alternative CT2.....	3-3
3.2.3	Alternative Bi-State1	3-4
3.2.4	Alternative Bi-State2.....	3-5
3.3	Service Alternative Evaluation.....	3-10
3.3.1	Ridership Comparison	3-10
3.3.2	Capital Cost Comparison.....	3-11
3.3.3	Operating Cost Comparison	3-14
3.3.4	Revenue Comparison	3-15
3.3.5	Summary	3-16
3.4	Menu of Additional Elements	3-17
3.4.1	Off-peak Service.....	3-18
3.4.2	Weekend and Holiday Service	3-18
3.4.3	Possible New Stations	3-19
3.4.4	Rail Connection to Bradley International Airport.....	3-20
3.4.5	Full High-Level Platforms at All Stations.....	3-20
3.4.6	Grade Separated Pedestrian Crossing Facilities at All Stations	3-20
3.4.7	Station Buildings at All Stations	3-21
3.4.8	Access Walkway to the Legislative Office Building	3-21
4	Service Implementation Plan	4-1
4.1	Stations	4-1
4.2	Schedule	4-3
4.3	Ridership Levels.....	4-5
4.3.1	Commuter Ridership	4-5
4.3.2	Total Weekday Ridership.....	4-6
4.4	Maintenance Facility	4-7
4.4.1	Maintenance Facility Configuration.....	4-7
4.4.2	Maintenance Facility Location.....	4-9
4.5	Full-build Improvements	4-12
5	System Integration Plan	5-1
5.1	Freight Integration.....	5-1
5.2	Connecting Bus Services.....	5-2
5.2.1	State Street and New Haven Union Stations	5-2
5.2.2	North Haven Station.....	5-6
5.2.3	Wallingford Station	5-7
5.2.4	Meriden Station	5-8
5.2.5	Berlin Station.....	5-9
5.2.6	Newington Station.....	5-10



5.2.7	Hartford Union Station	5-13
5.2.8	Windsor Station	5-18
5.2.9	Windsor Locks Station	5-19
5.2.10	Enfield Station	5-21
5.2.11	Springfield Union Station	5-23
5.3	Transit Integration	5-28
5.3.1	Schedule Coordination	5-28
5.3.2	Fare Coordination	5-33
5.3.3	Common Stations	5-35
5.3.4	Joint Marketing / Information	5-36
5.4	Connector Bus Operating Costs	5-37
5.4.1	Operating Costs	5-38
5.4.2	Vehicle Requirements	5-42
5.4.3	Operating Cost Methodology	5-43
6	Operating Plan Costs and Performance Measures	6-1
6.1	Capital Costs	6-1
6.1.1	Train Set Equipment	6-1
6.1.2	Maintenance Facility / Storage	6-3
6.1.3	Station Costs	6-5
6.1.4	Double Track Extension and Related Signal System Costs	6-7
6.1.5	Bridge Costs	6-12
6.1.6	Airport Connection	6-13
6.1.7	Total Start-up Service Recommended Action Capital Costs	6-14
6.1.8	Total Full-build Capital Costs	6-14
6.2	Commuter Rail Operating Costs	6-15
6.2.1	Train Miles	6-15
6.2.2	Cost per Train Mile	6-15
6.3	Fare Policy and Collection Method	6-16
6.4	Commuter Rail Revenue	6-17
6.5	Cost Summary	6-20
7	Environmental Resource Review	7-1
7.1	Start-up Service Station Resource Review	7-1
7.1.1	New Haven State Street Station	7-1
7.1.2	North Haven Station	7-3
7.1.3	Wallingford Station	7-3
7.1.4	Meriden Station	7-6
7.1.5	Berlin Station	7-6
7.1.6	Newington Station	7-9
7.1.7	Hartford Station	7-9
7.1.8	Windsor Station	7-12
7.1.9	Windsor Locks Station	7-12
7.1.10	Enfield Station	7-16
7.2	Full-build Service Station Resource Review	7-18
7.2.1	Wharton Brook Station	7-18
7.3	Double Tracking	7-18



8	Financing Needs and Next Steps.....	8-1
8.1	Implementation Next Steps	8-1
8.2	Funding Requirements and Timeline	8-2
8.2.1	State Division of Funding.....	8-4
8.3	NEPA Documentation.....	8-4
8.4	Funding Plan.....	8-5
8.4.1	Primary Federal Funding Options	8-6
8.4.2	Flexible Federal Highway Funding.....	8-7
8.4.3	Other Federal Funding Options.....	8-8
8.5	Operator Comparison	8-10
8.6	Rolling Stock Procurement	8-12
8.6.1	Locomotive-Hauled Push-Pull Coach Service.....	8-12
8.6.2	Self-Powered Rail Car / Diesel Multiple Units.....	8-15
8.6.3	Comparison and Evaluation of Options	8-19



Figures

Figure ES-1 Recommended Action Stations	ES-2
Figure 1-1 Study Area	1-3
Figure 2-1 Schematic of Existing Track Layouts, Springfield Line	2-10
Figure 2-2 Study Area Corridor – Bradley Airport Spur	2-13
Figure 2-3 Average Year 2000 Daily Ridership for Selected Commuter Rail Systems .	2-27
Figure 2-4 Annual Ridership per Year	2-28
Figure 4-1 Recommended Action Stations	4-2
Figure 4-2 State Street – New Haven Conceptual Site Plan	follows 4-3
Figure 4-3 North Haven Conceptual Site Plan	follows 4-3
Figure 4-4 Wallingford Start-up Conceptual Site Plan A	follows 4-3
Figure 4-5 Wallingford Start-up Conceptual Site Plan B	follows 4-3
Figure 4-6 Meriden Conceptual Site Plan	follows 4-3
Figure 4-7 Berlin Start-up Conceptual Site Plan	follows 4-3
Figure 4-8 Newington Conceptual Site Plan	follows 4-3
Figure 4-9 Hartford Conceptual Site Plan	follows 4-3
Figure 4-10 Windsor Conceptual Site Plan	follows 4-3
Figure 4-11 Windsor Locks Start-up Conceptual Site Plan	follows 4-3
Figure 4-12 Enfield Conceptual Site Plan	follows 4-3
Figure 4-13 Maintenance Facility Schematic	4-8
Figure 4-14 Wharton Brook Full-build Conceptual Site Plan	follows 4-12
Figure 4-15 Wallingford Full-build Conceptual Site Plan A	follows 4-12
Figure 4-16 Wallingford Full-build Conceptual Site Plan B	follows 4-12
Figure 4-17 Berlin Full-build Conceptual Site Plan A	follows 4-12
Figure 4-18 Berlin Full-build Conceptual Site Plan B	follows 4-12
Figure 4-19 Windsor Locks Full-build Conceptual Site Plan	follows 4-12
Figure 4-20 Proposed New Haven Station Rendering	follows 4-12
Figure 4-21 Proposed North Haven Station Rendering	follows 4-12
Figure 4-22 Proposed Wallingford Station Rendering	follows 4-12
Figure 4-23 Proposed Meriden Station Rendering	follows 4-12
Figure 4-24 Proposed Berlin Station Rendering	follows 4-12
Figure 4-25 Proposed Newington Station Rendering	follows 4-12
Figure 4-26 Proposed Hartford Station Rendering	follows 4-12
Figure 4-27 Proposed Windsor Station Rendering	follows 4-12
Figure 4-28 Proposed Windsor Locks Station Rendering	follows 4-12
Figure 4-29 Proposed Enfield Station Rendering	follows 4-12
Figure 5-1 CTTransit New Haven Service	5-3
Figure 5-2 CTTransit New Haven Alignment Changes	5-4
Figure 5-3 Connecting Bus Service at North Haven Station	5-6
Figure 5-4 Connecting Bus Service at Wallingford Station	5-7
Figure 5-5 Meriden Transit District Meriden Station Service	5-9
Figure 5-6 CTTransit – New Britain Berlin Station Service	5-10
Figure 5-7 Services associated with the New Britain – Hartford Busway	5-11
Figure 5-8 CTTransit – Hartford Alignment Changes	5-15



Figure 5-9 CTTransit Routes serving Windsor Station.....	5-18
Figure 5-10 Bus Routes serving Windsor Locks Station	5-20
Figure 5-11 Bus Routes serving Enfield Station	5-22
Figure 5-12 Existing PVTA Bus Service in Downtown Springfield	5-24
Figure 5-13 PVTA Bus Connections at Union Station	5-25
Figure 6-1 Schematic of Future Track Layouts, Springfield Line	6-9
Figure 7-1 Natural Resources Review – New Haven State Street Station.....	7-2
Figure 7-2 Natural Resources Review – North Haven Station	7-4
Figure 7-3 Natural Resources Review – Wallingford Station.....	7-5
Figure 7-4 Natural Resources Review – Meriden Station.....	7-7
Figure 7-5 Natural Resources Review – Berlin Station	7-8
Figure 7-6 Natural Resources Review – Newington Station	7-10
Figure 7-7 Natural Resources Review – Hartford Union Station	7-11
Figure 7-8 Natural Resources Review – Windsor Station	7-13
Figure 7-9 Natural Resources Review – Windsor Locks Station.....	7-14
Figure 7-10 Natural Resources Review – Enfield Station	7-17
Figure 7-11 Natural Resources Review – Wharton Brook Station	7-19



Tables

Table ES-1 Minimum and Maximum Build Commuter Rail Scenarios	ES-3
Table ES-2 Commuter Rail Implementation Alternatives Service Characteristics and Performance.....	ES-5
Table ES-3 Components of Total Weekday 2025 Ridership	ES-8
Table ES-4 Commuter Rail Start-up Service Recommended Action Capital Costs	ES-10
Table ES-5 Projected Annual Operating Costs and Vehicle Requirements.....	ES-11
Table ES-6 Commuter Rail Ridership, Costs, Revenue and Performance Measures ..	ES-12
Table ES-7 Additional Full-build Capital Costs	ES-15
Table 2-1 Amtrak One-Way Fare Table for Intra-Corridor Travel.....	2-2
Table 2-2 Detailed Amtrak Ridership, FY 2002 (October 2001 – September 2002).....	2-2
Table 2-3 Change in Annual Amtrak Station Passenger Volumes	2-3
Table 2-4 Top 20 Destinations of Springfield Line Riders	2-3
Table 2-5 Station Parking Availability and Use.....	2-9
Table 2-6 Bridges on Springfield Line Rated as “Poor”	2-17
Table 2-7 Bridges on Springfield Line Rated as “Serious”.....	2-17
Table 2-8 I-91 2004 Average Daily Traffic at Continuous Count Stations	2-20
Table 2-9 Livery Services at Bradley International Airport.....	2-20
Table 2-10 CTTransit New Haven Bus Routes with Ridership	2-22
Table 2-11 Wallingford, Meriden and New Britain Transit Routes with Ridership	2-23
Table 2-12 CTTransit Hartford Bus Routes with Ridership	2-23
Table 2-13 Pioneer Valley Transit Springfield Bus Routes Ridership	2-24
Table 2-14 Summary of Commuter Rail Systems Surveyed	2-26
Table 2-15 Year 2000 Annual Operating Costs for Selected Commuter Rail Systems..	2-30
Table 3-1 Minimum and Maximum Build Scenarios.....	3-2
Table 3-2 Alternative CT1 Illustrative Schedules	3-6
Table 3-3 Alternative CT2 Illustrative Schedules	3-7
Table 3-4 Alternative Bi-State1 Illustrative Schedules	3-8
Table 3-5 Alternative Bi-State2 Illustrative Schedules	3-9
Table 3-6 Implementation Alternatives Service Characteristics	3-10
Table 3-7 Summary of Capital Costs	3-11
Table 3-8 Parking Costs	3-12
Table 3-9 Bridge Costs.....	3-14
Table 3-10 Rolling Stock Costs.....	3-14
Table 3-11 Operating Cost Comparisons	3-15
Table 3-12 Revenue Comparison	3-15
Table 3-13 Implementation Alternatives Results	3-17
Table 3-14 Possible New Station Costs	3-19
Table 4-1 Illustrative Schedules	4-4
Table 4-2 Components of Total Weekday Ridership.....	4-6
Table 4-3 Recommended Action Weekday Ridership by Station.....	4-7
Table 5-1 Connecting Transit Services	5-29
Table 5-2 Changes to Provide Coordinated Bus Connections	5-30
Table 5-3 Potential Joint Monthly Pass Types.....	5-35



Table 5-4 Projected Annual Operating Costs and Vehicle Requirements	5-37
Table 5-5 Operating Cost Increases by Operator	5-38
Table 5-6 CTTRANSIT-Hartford Operating Cost Increases by Route.....	5-39
Table 5-7 CTTRANSIT-Wallingford and -Meriden Operating Cost Increases.....	5-39
Table 5-8 CTTRANSIT-New Britain Operating Cost Increases by Route.....	5-40
Table 5-9 CTTRANSIT-Hartford Operating Cost Increases by Route.....	5-40
Table 5-10 Pioneer Valley Transit Authority Operating Cost Increases by Route	5-42
Table 5-11 Vehicle Requirement Increases by Operator	5-43
Table 5-12 Operating Cost Allocation	5-44
Table 6-1 Train Set Equipment Needs	6-2
Table 6-2 Minimum Rolling Stock Summary.....	6-3
Table 6-3 Maintenance Facility Costs.....	6-4
Table 6-4 Station Area Costs.....	6-6
Table 6-5 Start-up Service Double Track Extension and Signal System Costs.....	6-10
Table 6-6 Full-build Double Track Extension and Signal System Costs.....	6-12
Table 6-7 Bridge Costs.....	6-13
Table 6-8 Commuter Rail Start-up Service Recommended Action Capital Costs.....	6-14
Table 6-9 Additional Full-build Capital Costs	6-15
Table 6-10 Shore Line East Operating Costs per Train Mile.....	6-16
Table 6-11 Recommended Action One-Way Fare Matrix	6-18
Table 6-12 Recommended Action Approximate Monthly Fare Matrix.....	6-19
Table 6-13 Ridership, Costs, Revenue and Performance Measures.....	6-21
Table 8-1 Start-up Service Recommended Action Timeline	8-3
Table 8-2 Start-up Service Recommended Action Capital Costs by Time Component ...	8-3
Table 8-3 Start-up Service Recommended Action Capital Costs by State	8-4
Table 8-4 Operator Comparison.....	8-11
Table 8-5 Comparison of Locomotive-hauled Train Set and SPRC/DMU Train Set.....	8-20
Table 8-6 Summary Comparison of Locomotive-Hauled Train Sets and SPRC/DMU Technologies	8-21