

CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN TRAVEL NEEDS ASSESSMENT FORM (BPTNA)**



In accordance with Connecticut General Statutes, Section 13a-153f, Accommodations and Provisions of Facilities for All Users and the Department's Policy Statement No. EX.0-31, It is the policy of the Department to consider the needs of all users of all abilities and ages (specifically including pedestrians, bicyclists, transit users, and vehicle operators) in the planning, programming, design, construction, retrofit and maintenance activities related to all roads and streets as a means of providing a "safe, efficient transportation network which enhances quality of life and economic vitality." Therefore, the need for inclusion of accommodations specifically for bicyclists and pedestrians, including those with disabilities, must be reviewed for every project.

This form shall apply to all Department projects, mainline utility projects within the state right-of-way, the Office of the State Traffic Administration (OSTA) certificate applications receiving state or federal funding, and municipal transportation projects that receive state or federal funding. This form provides designers the documentation and information needed to make decisions on the need and extent of bicycle and pedestrian features that should be included in a project. This form is not intended to dictate what features should be included in a project design, as guidance on those questions can be found in numerous other reference documents. This form should be completed to the extent practical (at least Sections 1 & 2) during the project scoping phase and finalized by the completion of the Preliminary Design. Once signed, this form should be retained with the project documents.

Project Number(s):		Route(s):				
Project Name:						
Municipality(s):		Planning Region	n(s):			
SECTION 1: APPL	SECTION 1: APPLICABILITY					
Although bicycle and pedestrian accommodations should be considered for all projects, certain types of projects (e.g. bridge deck patching, culvert re-lining, projects on expressway mainlines) do not typically provide reasonable opportunity to provide improvements for these travel modes. Considering the <u>project type</u> answer the question below. If the question below is answered <u>no</u> , please explain why, then skip to the last page, sign the form, and file this form with the project documents. If the answer is <u>yes</u> , go to Section 2 and complete the rest of the form.						
Does this project type	provide reasonable opportunity to provide in	nprovements for	non-motorized access?	Yes □	No □	
If no, why?						
SECTION 1: APPLI Although bicycle and p projects on expresswa type answer the quest the project documents Does this project type	pedestrian accommodations should be considery mainlines) do not typically provide reasonablion below. If the question below is answered so the answer is yes , go to Section 2 and con	ered for all project ble opportunity to no, please explain plete the rest of	ts, certain types of projects (e.g. bridge deck provide improvements for these travel mod n why, then skip to the last page, sign the for the form.	les. Consideri rm, and file th	ng the <u>pro</u> nis form w	

SECTION 2: ASSESSMENT OF STUDY AREA

2.1 Study Area Map

Identify any non-motorized and/or transit generators located within the Study Area (Study Area is generally defined as approximately ½ mile radius from the project limits). Using the letters in the code column below, create a map from a location plan or aerial photograph indicating the location of existing or planned non-motorized or transit user generators identified below (for planned facilities, precede the letter with a P-).

Non-Motorized/Transit User Generators	Code
Residential Areas: Indicate any general areas of dense residential housing	R
Parks: Include areas that would attract people, whether officially designated as a park or not	Р
Recreational Areas: Examples include athletic fields, dog parks	RA
Religious Facilities	С
Schools (including public and private schools, colleges, universities, daycare or other educational institution)	S
Health / Medical Facilities	Н
Town Centers: typically would include areas where Town Halls, Libraries and other public facilities exist	тс
Shopping Centers: especially centers with businesses where non-motorized customers might be expected (restaurants, bookstores, drug stores, etc.)	М
<u>Large Employment Businesses:</u> Factories, large office buildings, hospitals, government offices	E
Bus Stops	В
Public Transit Facilities: train/bus stations, airports	Т
Shared-use trail access / parking	TA
Other: other known facilities expected to generate or attract non-motorized users	O

2.2 An	alysis of Study Area		
about location	the map prepared in Section 2.1, and the resources suggested below, answer the follow the study area. [For State/District-wide or Division of Traffic Engineering projects with use the "Multi-location BPTNA Table" at: https://portal.ct.gov/DOT/PP_Policy/Englashboard to answer questions marked with an (*)]	th many	Explain as needed (attach additional sheet(s) if needed)
a.	* Referencing the CTDOT Interactive Bike Map located at: http://www.ctbikepedplan.org/interactivemap.html is this project located on the Connecticut Statewide On-Road or Off-Road Bicycle Planning Network?	Yes □ No □	
b.		Yes □ No □	
c.	* Are there any areas of concern where physical impediments to non-motorized travel through the study area exist? Physical impediments can be excessive grade, limited width of roads/bridges, gaps or need for sidewalks (indicated by worn foot paths), utility poles or other appurtenances restricting access, etc.	Yes □ No □	
d.	* Is there any reason to anticipate an increase in travel by non-motorized and /or transit users through the project limits in the future?	Yes □ No □	
e.	* Based on the U.S. Access Board's <u>Proposed Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way (PROWAG)</u> , are there barriers to mobility inhibiting continuous access between schools, hospitals, senior care, or community centers, etc. for persons with disabilities that <u>cannot</u> be addressed in this project?	Yes □ No □	
f.	* Is there a pattern of bicycle or pedestrian crashes within the project area? Crash information can be found by accessing the UCONN Crash Repository at (https://www.ctcrash.uconn.edu/).	Yes □ No □	

g.	Does the project provide <u>unique or primary access</u> (defined as access which is not otherwise available within approximately one-half mile of the project): • across a river, highway corridor or other natural and/or man-made barrier? • into or out of any of the bicycle and pedestrian generators listed above? • between communities?	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	
h.	Is the project located near or provide new access or connectivity to state parks, forests or CT Designated Greenways? Information on State Parks, Forests and Greenways can be found at: <pre>http://www.ct.gov/deep/cwp/view.asp?a=2707&q=323852</pre> and http://www.ct.gov/deep/parkmaps If yes, please notify the Trails and Greenways Program Coordinator at the Department of Energy & Environmental Protection, State Parks Division, by sending a location and description of the project to: deep.stateparks@ct.gov . This is for notification and not intended to be a formal review and /or concurrence.	Yes □ No □	
i.	In accordance to the Complete Streets Policy, the Department will include non-motorized users in traffic counts to the extent possible. Has the existing pedestrian and/or bicyclist usage patterns within the project limits, particularly at intersection and midblock crossings, been observed / collected?	Yes □ No □	
j.	Has there been any documented public concern or comments about non-motorized and/or transit needs in the area?	Yes □ No □	
k.	Are there any comprehensive regional or local planning documents (such as Complete Streets Plan, Sidewalk Plan, Plan of Conservation & Development, etc.) that address bicyclists, pedestrian or transit user conditions within or proximate to the project limits? (Can usually be found on applicable website) Contact the RPO Coordination or Intermodal Planning units in the Bureau of Policy and Planning if assistance is needed.	Yes □ No □	

SECTION 3: NON-MOTORIZED AND TRANSIT ACCOMMODATIONS Identify any non-motorized and/or transit user accommodations/improvements that may be considered as part of this project. This section is provided as a list of countermeasures that may be appropriate and is not intended to dictate what features should be included in the project design. [For State/District-wide or Division of Traffic Engineering projects with many locations answer this section by considering all sites as if they were one location] 3.1 Pedestrian Facilities and Crossina Treatments 3.2 Bike Facilities (Cont.) a. New sidewalks Yes 🗆 N/A □ e. Signage and/or pavement markings Yes 🗆 N/A □ Bicycle parking, bike racks/lockers Pedestrian median crossing island Yes 🗆 N/A 🗆 Yes 🗆 N/A □ N/A □ c. Curb extension/bulb-outs Yes 🗆 N/A □ g. Trail Improvements, including parking Yes 🗌 N/A □ N/A 🗆 d. Reduced Corner Radius Yes 🗌 h. Special height railings Yes 🗆 e. Pedestrian bridge/tunnel Yes 🗆 N/A □ 3.3 Bike & Pedestrian Treatments New or relocated unsignalized or mid-block Yes 🗆 N/A □ Yes 🗆 N/A □ a. Road diet crossing Enhanced illumination at pedestrian crossings Yes 🗆 N/A □ b. Narrowing travel lane width Yes 🗆 N/A □ Yes 🗆 N/A □ Yes 🗆 N/A □ h. Pedestrian signing and yield lines c. Corridor-wide speed calming Parking restrictions near crossings Yes 🗆 N/A □ 3.4 Transit Facilities i. Pedestrian hybrid beacon [PHB; also known as a. New or revised bus stops Yes \square N/A □ the High intensity Activated crossWalk Yes 🗆 N/A □ b. Bus shelters Yes 🗆 N/A □ (HAWK)] N/A □ k. Rectangular rapid flashing beacon (RRFB) Yes 🗆 c. Standing pads Yes 🗆 N/A □ d. New or revised crossing for bus stop Pedestrian fencing on bridges Yes 🗌 N/A □ Yes 🗌 N/A □ 3.5 Streetscape Elements a. Landscaping, street trees, planters, buffer 3.2 Bike Facilities Yes 🗆 N/A □ strips, etc. a. Dedicated bike lane or cycle track Yes 🗌 N/A □ b. Decorative lighting Yes \square N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ Yes 🗆 b. Shared-used lanes Yes 🗆 c. Public seating or benches c. Shared-used path Yes 🗆 N/A □ 3.6 Other (please specify):

Yes 🗆

N/A □

d. Wider shoulders

Once completed this form should be signed, attached to the Preliminary Design Statement, and filed with the project documents in ProjectWise. If the answer to the question under Section 1 "Applicability" is "Yes", please email the link to the completed form in ProjectWise (or a PDF copy) to:

CTDOT.BikePedReviews@ct.gov. Comments will be provided if necessary however, designers are not required to obtain concurrence to move forward with design. This form will be maintained and periodically updated by the Office of Strategic Planning & Projects in the Bureau of Policy & Planning.

Prepared By:			
	Project Engineer - Print Name		
		Date:	
	Signature		
Approved By:			
	Project Manager - Print Name		
		Date	
	Signature		