STANDARD MONITORING

DESK REVIEW

Grantee	Project No
Date of Review	Type of Review
Reviewer	Grantee Staff Present
1. GENERAL INFORMATION	
A. Grant/Loan Amount:	
B. Award Date:	
C. Percent of construction complete:	
D. Percent of administrative paperwork complete:	
E. Amount of funds remaining:	
F. Date of previous monitoring visit:	
G. Date findings due:	
H. Date findings resolved:	
I. Type of acquisition involved (easements or fee simple	le title):
J. Source of acquisition funding:	
K. Acquisition done before or after first public hearing?	?
L. Projected close-out date:	
M. Contact person(s):	
Telephone:	
N. Current Mayor/Presiding Commissioner:	
O. Monitoring attendees:	

NATIONAL OBJECTIVE

Pro	oject No	Rev	viewer						
1.	LOW AND MODERATE INCOME BENE	EFIT							
	A. Percent of benefit shown on application (funding approval):								
	B. Benefit is:								
	☐ Citywide ☐ Target Area	☐ Limited Clientele	☐ Housing Only						
	☐ Post Award Certification	☐ Economic Develo	pment (including Microenterprise)						
	C. Benefit determined by census?		☐ Yes	□ No					
	D. LMI survey conducted by grantee?		☐ Yes	□ No					
	100% solicitation proven?		☐ Yes	□ No					
	Applicable return rate achieved?		☐ Yes	□ No					
	E. Actual tabulation of survey in field:								
	Total Persons	# LMI	% LMI						
	Total Families	# LMI	% LMI						
	F. Is this in accordance with application?	(method, numbers, percentage	ges)	□ No					
	If No, explain:								
	G. Did any change in the project scope af	fect a change in the beneficiar	ries?	□ No					
	H. If so, were new beneficiaries surveyed	?	☐ Yes	□ No					
	I. If so, is the national objective still being	g met?	☐ Yes	□ No					
2.	SLUMS AND BLIGHT								
	A. Slums and blight activity is identified a	as: \square Area	□ Spot						
	B. Project includes supporting documenta	tion?	☐ Yes	☐ No					
	C. Spot slums and blight: All structures v	racant for three months prior t	o demolition?	☐ No					
	Area CDBG funds used to address dete	eriorated conditions?	☐ Yes	□ No					
3.	ACTIVITIES DESIGNED TO MEET URG	GENT HEALTH AND SAFE	TY NEEDS						
	(Requirements established at application	on stage)							
	A. Problem identified as:								
	COMMENTS:								
4.	Is the grantee's file for this compliance area	a complete?	☐ Yes	□ No					
	COMMENTS:								

CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

Pro	oject No Reviewer			
1.	Are grant records kept at grantee's office?		☐ Yes	□ No
2.	Is documentation of public hearing available in the grantee files?		☐ Yes	□ No
3.	Has the performance public hearing been held?		☐ Yes	□ No
	Date of publication:	_		
	Date of hearing:	_		
	Place held:	_		
	Affidavit of publication and minutes in file?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
4.	Were all public hearings accessible to handicap (disabled) persons?	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
5.	Were all public hearing notices published or posted sufficiently?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
6.	Is there an indication in the community that an interpreter was needed?	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	If so, was one provided at the hearing?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
7.	Have any criticisms or complaints been received in writing regarding the program?			
	If Yes, explain how they were handled: List any additional ways the grantee has <u>demonstrated</u> efforts to involve citizens through	oughout all stages o	of the project	ct
8.	If Yes, explain how they were handled: List any additional ways the grantee has demonstrated efforts to involve citizens through	oughout all stages o	of the project	ct
	If Yes, explain how they were handled: List any additional ways the grantee has demonstrated efforts to involve citizens through Section 504 Compliance	oughout all stages o	of the project	ct
8.	If Yes, explain how they were handled: List any additional ways the grantee has demonstrated efforts to involve citizens through the section 504 Compliance A. Has the grantee completed a self-evaluation of program access?	oughout all stages o	of the project	ct
8.	If Yes, explain how they were handled: List any additional ways the grantee has demonstrated efforts to involve citizens through the section 504 Compliance A. Has the grantee completed a self-evaluation of program access? B. Does the grantee have 50 or more employees?	□ Yes	of the project	et
8.	If Yes, explain how they were handled: List any additional ways the grantee has demonstrated efforts to involve citizens through the section 504 Compliance A. Has the grantee completed a self-evaluation of program access?	□ Yes	of the project	ct
8.	If Yes, explain how they were handled: List any additional ways the grantee has demonstrated efforts to involve citizens through the section 504 Compliance A. Has the grantee completed a self-evaluation of program access? B. Does the grantee have 50 or more employees? i. If so, has the grantee completed a Section 504 Transition Plan based on the section 504 T	□ Yes	of the project	et
8.	If Yes, explain how they were handled: List any additional ways the grantee has demonstrated efforts to involve citizens through the section 504 Compliance A. Has the grantee completed a self-evaluation of program access? B. Does the grantee have 50 or more employees? i. If so, has the grantee completed a Section 504 Transition Plan based on the results of the self-evaluation?	□ Yes □ Yes he □ Yes	of the projection No No No No	ct
8.	If Yes, explain how they were handled: List any additional ways the grantee has demonstrated efforts to involve citizens through the section 504 Compliance A. Has the grantee completed a self-evaluation of program access? B. Does the grantee have 50 or more employees? i. If so, has the grantee completed a Section 504 Transition Plan based on the results of the self-evaluation? ii. Has the grantee designated a Section 504 Coordinator?	□ Yes □ Yes he □ Yes	of the projection No No No No	ct
8.9.	If Yes, explain how they were handled:	□ Yes □ Yes he □ Yes	□ No □ No □ No	□ N/A

PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

Pro	oject No Reviewer			
1.	Was any personal property purchased with CDBG funds?	☐ Yes	□ No	
	(If Yes, complete the remaining questions.)			
2.	Is CDBG funded, nonexpendable personal property identified with an inventory tag or other control?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	List tagged items:			
3.	If any real property was purchased, was it titled correctly to the owner (either the grantee or not-for-profit)?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	A. If so, is the real property deed restricted to prevent resale for undue enrichment?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	B. Is the deed restriction for twenty years?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
4.	Is the grantee's file for this compliance area complete?	☐ Yes	□ No	
	COMMENTS:			

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Pro	oject No Reviewer		
1.	CASH		
	A. Does the grantee record all cash transactions in a checkbook?	☐ Yes	□ No
	B. Does the grantee use activity ledgers?:	☐ Yes	□ No
	C. Are RFFs based on either work completed and invoiced or projected cash needs?	☐ Yes	□ No
2.	BANK STATEMENT RECONCILIATION		
	A. Are checkbook balances reconciled to bank statements monthly?	☐ Yes	□ No
	B. Is the bank statement reconciliation documented?	☐ Yes	□ No
	C. Is the person reconciling monthly bank statements prohibited from signing checks?	☐ Yes	□ No
	D. Are two signatures required on all checks?	☐ Yes	□ No
	If No, is person writing checks prohibited from signing them?	☐ Yes	□ No
	E. Is the person authorized to sign checks different from the person who signs RFFs?	☐ Yes	□ No
	F. Check a sample of canceled checks. Are all dates, payee, amounts, endorsements, and signatures proper?	☐ Yes	□ No
	G. Did the grantee spend all cash in excess of \$1,000 within the 5 days allowed?	☐ Yes	□ No
	(Local funds placed in the CDBG account will also cause a 5-day violation; therefore, loc funds should also be spent timely.)	cal	
	H. Is the CDBG bank account non-interest bearing? (i.e., escrow accounts must be interest bearing)	☐ Yes	□ No
	I. If other than an escrow account, and interest was earned, was the interest returned to DEC	CD?	□ No
3.	MATCHING FUNDS		
	A. If the grantee was required to use matching funds, is there a record of all matching funds used, including supporting documentation?	☐ Yes	□ No
	B. Documentation:		
	Funding Approval Amount		
	Cash match		
	In-kind match		
	Other		
	C. Did the grantee expend the amount of matching funds pledged in the application (funding approval)?	y Yes □ Yes	□ No
	D. Were construction matching funds used first?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	If no, why?		
	E. Is there any evidence of CDBG funds used to reimburse local funds expended prior to environmental release of CDBG funds?	☐ Yes	□ No
	F. Is there any evidence of CDBG funds used to reimburse local funds expended prior to the grant award date?	Yes	□ No

	G. If Downtown Revitalization, is there a dollar-for-dollar match of eligible private invefunds?	stment	☐ Yes	□ No
4.	. AUDIT TRAIL			
	A. Are all accounting transactions supported by invoice?		☐ Yes	□ No
	B. Are invoices properly referenced with check numbers, dates, RFF numbers, funding s and amounts paid?	sources,	☐ Yes	□ No
	C. Are all expenses allowable per grant agreement?		☐ Yes	□ No
	D. For in-kind or local labor, are payrolls supported by time sheets?		☐ Yes	□ No
5.	. PROGRAM INCOME			
	A. If earned, was program income disclosed in financial records?	Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	B. Was program income used for eligible activities?	Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	C. Was the eligible expenditure of program income approved by DECD prior to use?	1 Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	D. Are all reports on program income current with DECD requirements?	Yes	□ No	□ N/A
6.	5. ESCROW ACCOUNTS (Housing)			
	A. Was an escrow account set up?	Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	B. Was interest earned in excess of \$100 in any calendar year?	Yes	□ No	□ N/A
7.	COMMENTS:		☐ Yes	□ No

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Pro	oject No	Reviewer			
1.	Did the state determine the environmental status of the	ne project as:			
	☐ Regular Environmental Review	☐ Categorical Exclusio	n	□ E	xemption
2.	Does the local environmental review record include:				
	A. The environmental assessment		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	B. The combined notice		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	C. Flood plain notice, if applicable		☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	D. Categorical exclusion concurrence letter, if appli	cable	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	E. Environmental assessment review letter		☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	F. Memorandum of agreement, if applicable		☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	G. Are all the requirements of the MOA complete?		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	H. FONSI notice recipients. List:				
	I. Removal of grant conditions:				
	Public		☐ Yes	□ No	
	Private		☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
3.	CHANGES IN THE ASSESSMENT				
	A. Were there any substantial changes in the project assessment and Removal of Grant Conditions?	t scope after the initial	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	B. If yes, were the new circumstances or changes as received, and publication and public comment co		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
No	<u>ste</u> : If an Environmental Impact Statement was requiremonitoring.	red, the DECD environmental	review office	r will condu	ct a separate
4.	Is the grantee's file for this compliance area complete	e?		☐ Yes	☐ No
	COMMENTS:				
					

EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/CIVIL RIGHTS

Project Number	Reviewer	

1. DIRECT BENEFICIARIES:

"Total Direct Beneficiaries" will include all direct beneficiaries, including those of Hispanic ethnicity. "Hispanic Direct Beneficiaries" should include only those direct beneficiaries of Hispanic ethnicity.

	Total Direct Beneficiaries	Hispanic Direct Beneficiaries
White:		
Black/African American:		
Asian:		
American Indian/Alaskan Native:		
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:		
American Indian/Alaskan Native & White:		
Asian & White:		
Black/African American & White:		
Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am.:		
Asian & Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:		
All Others:		
TOTAL		
Female Head of Household:		
Handicapped (Disabled):		
Elderly:		

2. DIRECT BENEFICIARY APPLICANTS

"Total Direct Beneficiary Applicants" will include all direct beneficiary applicants, including those of Hispanic ethnicity. "Hispanic Direct Beneficiary Applicants" should include only those direct beneficiary

applicants of Hispanic ethnicity.

applicants of Hispanic cumerty.	Total Direct Beneficiary Applicants	Hispanic Direct Beneficiary Applicants
White:		
Black/African American:		
Asian:		
American Indian/Alaskan Native:		
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:		
American Indian/Alaskan Native & White:		
Asian & White:		
Black/African American & White:		
Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am.:		
Asian & Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:		
All Others:		
TOTAL		
Female Head of Household:		
Handicapped (Disabled):		
Elderly:		

3.	CD	BG EMPLOYMENT			
	A.	Were any persons employed by the grantee specifically for the project?	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	B.	If yes, specify:			
		Did the grantee include equal opportunity guidelines in their advertising for positions paid by grantee? (See the Financial Management page, Section 4.D, if yes to above.)	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
4.	FA	IR HOUSING EFFORTS			
	A.	List the actions the grantee has taken to affirmatively further Fair Housing. The each year (12 month period) of the project, beginning with the grant award date.	grantee mu	st conduct a	an action
	B.	Are Fair Housing actions current?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	C.	List the actions the grantee has taken to address the Analysis of Impediments to I must conduct an action each year (12 month period) of the project, beginning with			
	D.	Are the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice actions current?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
5.	СО	MPLAINTS			
	A.	Have any <i>written</i> equal opportunity/civil rights complaints been received?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	В.	Were the complaints handled appropriately?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	C.	Should the CT Fair Housing Center or HUD be contacted?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
6.	Is t	he grantee's file for this compliance area complete?		☐ Yes	□ No
		COMMENTS:			

PROCUREMENT

Pro	oject	No Rev	viewer					
1.	GE	NERAL REQUIREMENTS						
	A.	Does the city have a written procurement policy?		☐ Ye	es	□ No		N/A
	B.	Does the city have a written conflict of interest policy?		☐ Ye	es	□ No		N/A
	C.	Whose procurement policy was used in this project?						
		Was it implemented correctly?		☐ Ye	es	□ No		N/A
2.	PR	OFESSIONAL SERVICES	Admin	istration	<u>Engir</u>	neering	<u>Ot</u>	<u>her</u>
	A.	Did the grantee correctly prepare an RFP for administrators/professional service providers?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	B.	Did the grantee correctly prepare an RFQ for engineers/architects?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	C.	Did the RFP/RFQ identify the appropriate evaluation factors?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	D.	For administration, were all firms on CDBG list contacted?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	E.	Was the RFQ published in the newspaper of widest circulation?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	F.	Was the solicitation of the RFP/RFQ adequate?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	G.	Was the RFP/RFQ published in a minority newspaper?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
		If not, were an adequate number of MBE/WBE firms directly solicited?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	H.	Does the grantee have formal documentation of contract award?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
		If not awarded to the lowest bidder, are selection criteria available?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	I.	Were unsuccessful bidders notified in writing?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	J.	Did the grantee receive approval if less than three bids/proposals were received?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
3.	CO	NSTRUCTION						
	A.	Did the grantee use competitive sealed bids (contracts over \$25,000))?	☐ Ye	es	□ No	□ N	N/A
	В.	3. Did the advertisement require a bid bond, cashier's check, or other acceptable method for 5% of the bid?			es	□ No		N/A
	C.	Did the advertisement contain language relating to labor provisions, Federal prevailing wage certifications, bonding, Section 3, and equal employment opportunity (EEO)?		□ Ye	es	□ No	□ N	J/A
	D.	Were descriptions of items/services on the invitation to bid clear and reference to specific brand requirements unless the brand was used a example of functional or quality requirements?		□ Ye	es	□ No	□ N	N/A
	E.	Were bids advertised in the newspaper of widest circulation or the E Report?	Oodge	□ Ye	es	□ No		N/A
	F.	Were bids advertised in a minority newspaper?		☐ Ye	es	□ No		N/A
	G.	If not, were an adequate number of MBE/WBE/Section 3 firms direction of solicited?	ctly	☐ Ye	es	□ No		J/A

	H. Were wage rates verified prior to opening bids?	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	I. Was a public meeting held to open bids and minutes in the file?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	J. Were fewer than three bids received for any contract?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	K. If so, did the grantee receive approval prior to awarding contract?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	L. Was the contract awarded to the lowest responsible bidder?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	M. If not, explain.			
4. s	SMALL PURCHASES (less than \$2,500)			
	A. Is there documentation of vendors, price quotations, and dates? (telephone bids, faxed bids, e-mails)	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	B. Did the list of vendors include:			
	MBE/WBE firms?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	Section 3 firms?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	C. Was selection purchased fairly?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	D. Was a purchase order/contract issued to the most advantageous vendor?	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
5.	NONCOMPETITIVE PROPOSALS (Must be pre-approved by DECD)			
	A. Was the desired item available from only one source?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	If no, were costs eligible?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	B. Did the grantee receive authorization for noncompetitive negotiation?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	C. Was competition determined to be inadequate after soliciting all known sources?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	D. Were the goods or services needed immediately to meet a public emergency?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
6.	HOUSING REHABILITATION			
	A. Did the grantee annually advertise for rehabilitation contractors?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	B. Was the solicitation adequate?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	C. If not, were bids advertised in a minority newspaper?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	D. Were an adequate number of MBE/WBE/Section 3 firms directly solicited?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	E. Explain other methods used to procure rehabilitation contractors.			
7.				
	COMMENTS:			

CONTRACT MANAGEMENT

Projec	rt No	Reviewer		
1. P	ROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT REVIEW	<u>Admin</u>	Architect/Eng	Other
A	. Name of Contracted Firm:			
В	. Amount of Contract			
C	. Do the RFFs match the amount of CDBG participation in contract (to date)?	the	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Б	Do the RFFs exceed the funding approval line item?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
E	. If so, were grant amendments approved?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
F	. Is there evidence the contract was paid in full using all sou	rces?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
G	i. Is the contract based upon either lump sum or cost plus a f fee?	ixed Yes No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Н	I. Is an original (not photocopy) contract available?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
I.	Is the original properly executed? (signed & dated)	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
J.	Did the grantee follow their own rules for executing this co (council action, attorney review)	ontract?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
K	C. Did the right parties sign? (CEO/Mayor or Presiding Commissioner/assignee)	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
L	. Does the date of the contract precede the award?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Ν	1. If so, is there a contingency clause?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
N	. Is the pricing clear?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
C	. Is the scope of services detailed enough?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
P	. Were contract amendments executed?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	i. Is the amendment clear and specific?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	ii. Did both parties sign the amendment?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	iii. Is amendment attached to the original contract?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Ç	2. Is there an affirmative action plan for the professional serve provider in file? (not necessarily in contract documents)	rice	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
R	Did the contract include the following: (look for CDBG fo II Terms and Conditions from sample admin & engineering contracts)		☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Title VI, Civil Rights Act of 1964	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Section 3 (only applicable over \$100,000, look for similar paragraph 8D p. 108)	· to □ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Section 109	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Section 503	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Section 504	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Age Discrimination Act of 1975	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

		Execu	utive Order 11246 (only over \$10,000) (p. 102)	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
		Anti-	Lobbying (only over \$100,000) (p. 30)	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
2.	CO	NSTR	UCTION CONTRACT REVIEW	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
	A.	Name	e of Contracted Firm:			
	В.	Amoi	unt of Contract:			
(C.	Do th	ne RFFs match the amount of CDBG participation in the act?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	D.	Do th	ne RFFs exceed the Funding Approval line item?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	E.	If so,	were grant amendments approved?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	F.	Is the	ere evidence contract was pd in full using all sources?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	G.	Is the	contract based upon either lump sum or unit price?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	H.	Is an	original contract available?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	I.	Is the	e original properly executed (signed & dated)?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	J.		he grantee follow their own rules for executing this contract neil action, attorney review)?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	K.		he right parties sign (CEO/Mayor or Presiding missioner/assignee)?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	L.	docui	neral, are all of the parts of the contract included (original bid ments, contract form, general conditions, plans and fications) and bound to one set of contract documents?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	M.	Were	addenda a part of the bid process?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	N.	If so,	are they noted clearly in the contract?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	O.	Were	contract amendments (change orders) executed?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
		i.	Are they clear and specific?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
		ii.	Do they detail cost?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
		iii.	Are they dated and numbered?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	P.		e the change orders approved by the grantee (not just neer)?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Q.	Is the	change order attached to the original contract?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	R.	Speci	ifically, does the construction contract include:			
		i.	A copy of the bid bond, irrevocable letter of credit, or other acceptable instrument? (<i>look at bid tab or in contract documents</i>)	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
		ii.	Performance bond (over \$100,000) (p. 65)	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
		iii.	Payment bond (over \$100,000) (p. 67)	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
		iv.	Labor Standards Provisions (p. 90)	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
		v.	Anti-Kickback Act (p. 93)	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
		vi.	Anti-Lobbying Certification (p.64)	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

vii.	Contr	actor (may be on bidder form) certification on:						
	1.	EEO (p. 45)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	2.	Section 3 (p. 46)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	3.	Labor Standards (p. 57)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
viii.	Subco	ontractor certifications on:						
	1.	EEO (p. 57)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	2.	Section 3 (p. 60)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	3.	Labor Standards (p 61)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
viii. ix. x. xi. xii. xiv. xv.	Section	on 3 plan (p. 50)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
х.	Section	on 3 contractor forms (A,B,C,D) (p. 52-55)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
xi.	Have	forms C & D been updated at end of contract?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
xii.	Relev	ant state prevailing wage determination	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
xiii.	Relev	ant Federal prevailing wage determination	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
xiv.	Gener	cal Conditions (CDBG or equivalent)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
XV.	HUD	Supplemental Conditions, containing at least:						
	1.	Executive Order 11246 (p. 101)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	2.	Affirmative Action Goals (p. 102)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	3.	Section 3 (p. 108)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
xvi.	Appe	ndix 1, containing at least:						
	1.	Title VI, Civil Rights of 1964 (p. 111)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	2.	Title VIII, Civil Rights of 1968 (p. 111)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	3.	Section 109 (p. 111)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	4.	Section 503 (p. 111)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	5.	Section 504 (p. 112)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	6.	Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (p. 112)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
	7.	Executive Order 11063 (p. 112)	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No
s the gra	ntee's f	ile for this compliance area complete?			☐ Yes		□N	O
CON	1MENT	TS:						

LABOR STANDARDS

Pro	ject No		ewer			
1.	GE	NERAL				
	A.	Are wage rages correct as shown in the contract(s)?	I	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	B.	Are all contractors and subcontractors licensed to do business in Missing good standing with the Secretary of State's Office? (Exception: so proprietorship with non-fictitious name.)	ouri una	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	C.	Is the contractor verification clearance correspondence in the file?	1	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	D.	Is the Start of Construction Notice on file?	I	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	E.	Is the Pre-Construction Conference Report on file?	I	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	F.	Is there a bulletin board in a central location at the work site where EE provisions, wage determinations, health & safety regulations, Dept. of wage notices, and the bilingual EEO notice are posted?	20	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	G.	If an irrevocable letter of credit from a FDIC bank was issued in place performance bond, do irrevocable status and dates cover the life of the	or a	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
2.	PA	YROLL REVIEW				
	A.	Was the first payroll submitted to DECD for each contractor and subc	ontractor?	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	B.	Are payrolls signed by employer or authorized representative?	١	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	C.	Was a statement of compliance submitted with each payroll?	1	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	D.	Is the employer IRS identification number on record?	1	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	E.	Do the payrolls contain the following for each employee:				
		i. Name?	1	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		ii. Address?	1	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		iii. Social Security Number?	1	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		iv. Work classification?	I	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		V. Hourly rates of wages paid?	I	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		vi. Daily number of hours worked (including any overtime)?	I	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		Vii. Weekly number of hours worked (including any overtime)?	I	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		viii. Deductions made?	I	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		ix. Gross and net wages paid?	ĺ	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	F.	Do wage rates on payrolls equal the rates in the wage decisions?	I	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	G.	If split work classifications, have separate daily time records been kep	t?	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	Н.	If fringe benefits are paid into a plan, is amount/hour documented?	I	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	I.	Has grantee reviewed payrolls?	I	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	J.	Has overtime been correctly paid?	I	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A

3. EMPLOYEE INTERVIEWS

	A. List number of em	plovee interviews	s conduct	ted:								
	B. Were a representat							☐ Yes		□ No	□ N/A	A
C. Were interviews compared against payrolls for compliance?										□ No	□ N/A	A
	D. Were interviews co		☐ Yes		□ No	□ N/A	A					
	E. Were interviews signed by payroll examiner (labor standards officer)?									□ No	□ N/A	A
4.	Is the grantee's file for COMMENTS:	_		_						☐ Yes	□ No	
	WAGE RATE COMPLI A. Federal Wage Decis						State Ar	nnual Wa	age Orde	r:		
		G 6		Rate Paid			State Rate		F	ederal Ra	te	
Pay No.	Name	Craft or Classification		Fringe	Total	Basic	Fringe	Total	Basic	Fringe	Total	App
	<u> </u>			 Overtime	e Compli	iance						

NOTES:

ACQUISITION

1	ject No Reviewer		
1.	What type of acquisition was required:		
	Full Title	☐ Yes	□ No
	Permanent Easement	☐ Yes	□ No
	Long Term Lease	☐ Yes	□ No
	Right of Way	☐ Yes	□ No
	Temporary Easement (If checked, not subject to Uniform Act)	☐ Yes	□ No
	Acquired from another public entity	☐ Yes	□ No
	(If checked, not subject to Uniform Act)		
2.	Is there a separate file of each necessary acquisition?	☐ Yes	□ No
3.	Does each file contain the following:		
	File Name:		
	Proof of ownership (Title or Deed)		
	HUD Brochure (hand delivered or certified mail)		
	Waiver of Rights to Just Compensation (if applicable)		
	Waiver Right to an Appraisal (if applicable)		\bot
	If appraisal is not waived, a copy of the appraisal or determination of value in file.		
	Copy of Review appraisal (if applicable)		
	Was value of property valued at \$2500 or less? If yes, was the value based on a review of available market data (e.g., recent sales data, court awards, etc.)		
	Written offer to purchase (hand delivered or certified mail)		
	Proof of receipt of payment (if applicable)		
	Recorded appropriate acquisition instrument (full title, permanent easement, long term lease, and right-of-way) (this should correspond to question #1)		
4.	Were all grantee's costs paid related to the acquisition and transfer of title (e.g., recording feed boundary surveys, legal descriptions, mortgage penalties, transfer fee, pro-rated taxes, litigation expense, etc.)?		□ No
5.	Were any grant funds used to clear the title? (no grant funds may be used to clear title)	☐ Yes	□ No
5.	If condemnation was required, does the judgment equal the amount paid?	☐ Yes	□ No
7.	Is the grantee's file for this compliance area complete?	☐ Yes	□ No
	COMMENTS:		

RELOCATION

Pro	ject	No	Review	ver			
1.	GE	NERA	L				
	A.	Is the	ere a separate relocation file for each displacee?		☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
2.	NC	TICE	S				
	A.		he displacee receive the grantee's Notice of Eligibility For Relocate stance?	tion	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		i.	Hand delivered signed receipt?		☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
		or					
		ii.	Certified mail receipt?		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	B.	Did t	he displacee receive the applicable HUD brochure?		☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	C.	If yes	s, check the brochure received.				
			"Relocation Assistance to Tenants Displaced from Their Homes"	,			
			"Relocation Assistance to Displaced Homeowners"				
			"Relocation Assistance to Displaced Businesses, Nonprofit Orga and Farms"	nizations,			
		i.	Hand delivered signed receipt?		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		or					
		ii.	Certified mail receipt?		☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	D.	If app	plicable, did the displacee receive the 90-day Advance Notice to M	Iove?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		i.	Hand delivered signed receipt?		☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
		or					
		ii.	Certified mail receipt?		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		iii.	Date of Notice:				
		iv.	Date occupant required to move:				
3.	RE	NTAL	AGREEMENTS				
	A.		grantee permitted an owner or tenant to occupy the real property a he rent charged equivalent to the fair rental value of the property?	acquired,	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	B.	Is the	ere a short-term lease agreement in the file?		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
4.	DE	TERM	IINATION OF DISPLACEE NEEDS BY GRANTEE				
	A.	For f	amilies and individuals, are the following claim forms in the file:				
		i.	Tenant Assistance or Downpayment Assistance?		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		ii.	Replacement Housing Payment for 180-Day Homeowners?		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		iii.	Moving and Related Expenses?		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
			☐ Fixed				
			□ Actual				

		businesses, nonprofit organizations, and farm operations, are the following m forms in the file:			
	i.	Actual Reasonable Moving and Related Expenses?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	ii.	Fixed Payment in Lieu of Payment for Actual Moving and Related Expenses?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
5.	DONAT	TION OF DISPLACEE PROPERTY			
	A. Did	the displacee donate their property in lieu of relocation payment?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	i.	If yes, was a signed donation/waiver in the file?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	ii.	Hand delivered signed receipt?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	or				
	iii.	Certified mail receipt?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
6.	PAYME	NT DOCUMENTATION			
	A. Is the	here payment documentation for: (i.e., copy of canceled check)	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	i.	Relocation assistance?	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	ii.	Moving expenses?	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
7.	COMPA	RABLE REPLACEMENT UNIT DOCUMENTATION			
		ne "Selection of Most Representative Comparable Replacement Dwelling" in the file?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		s a referral to at least one comparable replacement dwelling included in the ce of eligibility for relocation assistance?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		here evidence that the grantee inspected the replacement dwelling to determine it met the decent, safe, and sanitary standards?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
8.	LAST R	ESORT UNIT DOCUMENTATION			
	A. Has	the grantee determined that:			
	i.	The unit is not feasible to rehabilitate? (i.e., rehabilitation cost estimate exceeds \$15,000)	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	ii.	No comparable replacement unit exists in the grantee's jurisdiction? (i.e., copy of letter from realtor addressing the unavailability of comparable replacement units)	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
9.	INTERV	VIEW OF PROJECT DISPLACEE			
	A. Did	the displacee receive notice of eligibility for relocation assistance?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	B. Was	s the grantee's relocation assistance payment adequate?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	C. Was	s the grantee's moving expenses payment adequate?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	D. Wei	re the grantee's advisory services sufficient?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
		s the displacee consider the grantee's treatment and relocation assistance to be and reasonable? (interview)	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A

10. SE	CTION 104(d) ANTIDISPLACEMENT COMPLIANCE			
A.	Has the grantee demolished or converted any occupiable low to moderate income dwellings?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
В.	Has DECD determined that the grantee has a sufficient number of low to moderate income units to grant an exception to the one-for-one replacement requirements?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
C.	If not, does grantee have a specific one-for-one replacement plan approved by DECD?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
D.	Was the displacee notified of relocation assistance available under 104(d), including option to choose Uniform Act Relocation Assistance?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
E.	Which relocation assistance did the displacee choose?	□ 104(d)	☐ Uniform	n Act
11. Is t	the grantee's file for this compliance area complete? COMMENTS:		☐ Yes	□ No
				

DEMOLITION

Pro	ject No Review	eviewer					
1.	How many units or structures were demolished with CDBG funds?						
2.	Is there evidence that the units were inspected for asbestos?		Yes	□ No	□ N/A		
	If so, state address of units or structures:						
		House #	Hous	e #	 House # _		
		Yes, No, N/A	Yes,	No, N/A	Yes, No, N		
	Was the asbestos inspector certified?						
	Did the inspector find friable asbestos?						
	If so, was a licensed abatement contractor procured?						
ĺ.	Are the abatement contractor and demolition contractor the same?						
7.	If not, was a demolition contractor procured?						
8.	Was the asbestos waste disposed of at a sanitary landfill, demolition landfill, or a hazardous waste facility?						
٠.	Is an asbestos post-notification form in the file for each demolition unit or structure?						
0	Was the demolition debris disposed of at a sanitary landfill or demolition landfill?						
1	Are landfill receipts in each demolition file?						
2	. Was there hazardous waste in any demolition debris?						
3	If so, was the hazardous waste disposed of at a facility that specializes in hazardous waste disposal?						
4	Are receipts from the hazardous waste facility in the file of each such demolition?						
5	If local in kind included fire department exercises:						
	A. Is there a copy of the DNR burn permit in the file?						
	B. Was asbestos/hazardous material removed prior to burning?						
	C. Has the site been completely cleared?						
6	. Is the grantee's file for this compliance area complete?		☐ Ye	es	□ No		
	COMMENTS:						

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Project No	Review	wer		
1. GENERAL				
A. Company(s) Involved:				
Name	Jobs to be Created/Retained		Existing En	nployees
B. LMI Company Owners:				
Name				
C. Private Investment Release Date:_				
D. Pledged private investment:				
E. Amount of private investment doc	umented:			
F. Does this amount meet or exceed	he pledged amount?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
G. Other contributions:				
2. ON-SITE REVIEW				
A. Number of pledged jobs created/re	etained:			
B. If pledged job creation/retention g	oals have not been reached, explain v	why not and wh	en this goal will	be achieved.
C. Was payroll listing, including date	s of hire, available and reviewed?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
D. Was payroll listing compared to E	mployment Status Statements?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
E. Is job documentation on file with	he grantee?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A

Name	Present Employment	New/Retained Jobs	Number Low/Mod Income	Number	
		Jo	bs	Appl	icants
		Total Direct Beneficiaries	Hispanic Direct Beneficiaries	Total Direct Beneficiaries	Hispanic Direct Beneficiaries
White:					
Black/African American:					
Asian:					
American Indian/Alaskan Nati	ve:				
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander:				
American Indian/Alaskan Nati	ve & White:				
Asian & White:					
Black/African American & Wh	ite:				
Am. Indian/Alaskan Native &	Black/African Am.:				
Asian & Native Hawaiian/Othe	er Pacific Islander:				
All Others:					
	TOTAL				
Female Head of Household:					
Handicapped (Disabled):					
Elderly:					

MICROENTERPRISE

Project No		No	Reviewer						
1.	GE	NERAL							
	A.	Non LMI Company(s) Involved: Name	Jobs to be Created/Retained	Existing Employees					
	В.	LMI Company Owners: Name	Jobs to be Created/Retained	Exi	sting Emplo	yees			
	C.	Is there an environmental assessmen	nt and release of funds for each company?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
	D.	Pledged private investment:							
	E.	Other contributions:							
2.	ON	N-SITE REVIEW							
	A.	Is there a job created for every \$15,	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A				
	B.	How many microenterprise loans w							
	C.	Were Loans made for eligible activ	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A				
	D.	Are there an income surveys available	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A				
	E.	How many loans were made to LM							
	F.	Number of pledged jobs created/retained:							
	G.	G. If pledged job creation/retention goals have not been reached, explain why not and when this goal will be achieved.							
	Н.	Was payroll listing, including dates	of hire, available and reviewed?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
	I. Was payroll listing compared to Employment Status Stat		nployment Status Statements?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
	J.	Is job documentation on file with the	e grantee?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
	K.	Do jobs meet the full time permane	e full time permanent definition?			□ N/A			
	L.	·			□ No	□ N/A			
	M.	If job retention has occurred, is there adequate "but for" documentation?			□ No	□ N/A			
	N.	Has the local microenterprise program guidelines established?	the local microenterprise program delivery been consistent with the local gram guidelines established?			□ N/A			
	O. Is there evidence of a loan review board representing fair loan decisions?				□ No	□ N/A			

P. Is there an executed contract t	for every microent	terprise loan mad	de?	☐ Ye	s 🗖 1	No [□ N/A	
Q. Has a RLF been established and is there a tracking		ing method for repayment?		☐ Ye	s 🗖 1	No [□ N/A	
R. Has a file been established for each microenterpr		orise applicant?	prise applicant?		s 🗖 1	No [□ N/A	
S. Does each microenterprise file contain the requir income status statements, current payroll register		,		☐ Ye	s 🔲 1	No [□ N/A	
Γ . Has the original \$100,000 of Γ	aned to microen	☐ Ye	s 🗖 1	No [□ N/A			
U. Of the loans without LMI own to LMI persons (list below)	ners, have 51% of	the jobs created	been provided					
Name Present Employment		New/Retained Jobs Number Low/Mediancom		ı N	Number Low Income		Number Very Low Income	
		1		1				
		Company Name		Company Nam		Name		
White: Black/African American: Asian: American Indian/Alaskan Native:		Total Direct Beneficiaries	Hispanic Direct Beneficiaries	Total I Benefic		Hispanic Direct Beneficiarie	es	
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:								
American Indian/Alaskan Native &	White:							
Asian & White:								
Black/African American & White:								

TOTAL

Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am.:
Asian & Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:

All Others:

Elderly:

Female Head of Household: Handicapped (Disabled):

	Compan	y Name	
	Total Direct Applicants	Hispanic Direct Applicants	
White:			
Black/African American:			
Asian:			
American Indian/Alaskan Native:			
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:			
American Indian/Alaskan Native & White:			
Asian & White:			
Black/African American & White:			
Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am.:			
Asian & Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:			
All Others:			
TOTAL			
Female Head of Household:			
Handicapped (Disabled):			
Elderly:			

MICROENTERPRISE

(complete this form for each loan)

Grantee		Project No.						
Use of funds:								
Loan made to (name of company)		Amount of Loan	:	\$				
		Pledged Private Inves	tment	\$				
		Other Contributions	:	\$				
Total amount of project:	:	x 70% =						
Does Microenterprise loan exceed 70	% of the total project cost?		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
Does loan exceed \$25,000?			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
Non LMI Company?	☐ Yes ☐ No	LMI Company Ow	ners?	☐ Yes ☐	l No			
Name	Name Jobs to be Created/Retained			Existing Employees				
Are income surveys available to prov		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A				
Was payroll listing, including dates o	f hire, available and review	red?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
Is there a job created for every \$15,00	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A					
Was Loan made for eligible activities	3?		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
If pledged job creation/reten	tion goals have not been re	ached, explain why not a	and when this	s goal will be	achieved			
Was payroll listing compared to Emp	loyment Status Statements	?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
Do jobs meet the full time permanent	definition?		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
(Two permanent part-time jo	obs are equal to one perman	nent full-time position)						
Does file contain the following:								
Executed contract?			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
Proof of ownership? (land & building	g purchases)		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
Environmental assessment?			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
SHPO clearance?			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
Has a RLF been established and is the	ere a tracking method for re	epayment?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
Has a file been established for each n	nicroenterprise applicant		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
Has educational component been imp	elemented and documentation	on of file?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
Was construction or rehab a part of the	ne project?		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
Was prevailing wage paid correctly?				□ No	□ N/A			