# Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report Adult Prisons & Jails

	Adult Priso	ons & Jails	•
	☐ Interim	⊠ Final	
	Date of Repor	<b>t</b> 10/04/2019	
	Auditor In	formation	
Name: Sonya Love		Email: sonya.love@outl	ook.com
Company Name: Diversifie	ed Consultant Services		
Mailing Address: P.O. Box	452	City, State, Zip: Blackshea	ar, Georgia 31516
Telephone: 678-200-344	6	Date of Facility Visit: Marc	h 25 – 27, 2019
	Agency In	formation	
Name of Agency:		Governing Authority or Parent	Agency (If Applicable):
Connecticut Department	of Correction	State of Connecticut	
Physical Address: 24 Wol	cott Hill Road	City, State, Zip: Wethersfi	eld, CT 06019
Mailing Address: 24 Wolc	ott Hill Road	City, State, Zip: Wethersfi	eld, CT 06019
The Agency Is:	☐ Military	☐ Private for Profit	☐ Private not for Profit
☐ Municipal	☐ County	⊠ State	☐ Federal
Agency Website with PREA Inf	formation: www.ct.gov/doo		
	Agency Chief E	xecutive Officer	
Name: Rollin Cook			
Email: rollin.cook@ct.go	ov	Telephone: (860) 692-74	180
	Agency-Wide PF	REA Coordinator	
Name: David McNeil			
Email: david.mcneil@cf	i.gov	Telephone: : (203) 250-8	3136
PREA Coordinator Reports to:		Number of Compliance Manage	ers who report to the PREA
Commissioner of Correct	tion, Rollin Cook	18	

		Facil	ity Info	ormat	ion	
Name of	Facility: MacDougal	ll-Walker Correctio	nal Inst	itution	(MWCI)	
Physical	Address: 1153 East S	Street South	City, Sta	te, Zip:	Suffield, CT 060	080
_	ddress (if different from ap here to enter text.	above):	City, Sta	te, Zip:	Click or tap here to	enter text.
The Facil	ity Is:	☐ Military		□ Р	ivate for Profit	☐ Private not for Profit
	Municipal	☐ County		⊠s	ate	☐ Federal
Facility T	ype:	⊠ P	rison			Jail
Facility W	Vebsite with PREA Inform	nation: https://por	tal.ct.go	ov/DO0	:/Facility/MacDou	gall-Walker-Cl
Has the fa	acility been accredited w	vithin the past 3 years?	Ye	s 🗵	No	
				he accre	diting organization(s)	- select all that apply (N/A if
	the facility has not been accredited within the past 3 years):					
	□ ACA □ NCCHC					
	A					
☐ Other	(please name or describe	: Click or tap here to	enter tex	t.		
⊠ N/A						
	lity has completed any in ap here to enter text.	nternal or external aud	lits other	than tho	se that resulted in acc	reditation, please describe:
		Warden/Jail Ad	lministra	ator/Sh	eriff/Director	
Name:	William Mulligan					
Email:	William.Mulligan@	ct.gov	Teleph	one:	860-627-2102	
		Facility PRE	A Com	pliance	Manager	
Name:	Joseph Roach					
Email:	Joseph.Roach@ct	.gov	Teleph	one:	860-292-4266	
Facility Health Service Administrator ☐ N/A						
Name:	Kirsten Shey					
Email:	Kirsten.Shey.ct.go	v	Teleph	one:	860-627-2270	

Facil	ity Characteristics		
Designated Facility Capacity:	2131		
Current Population of Facility:	1947		
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	1954		
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	☐ Yes          No		
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	☐ Females ☐ Males	☐ Both Females and Males	
Age range of population:	19-no maximum		
Average length of stay or time under supervision:	11.49 months		
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:	Level 4/5		
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past	12 months:	2782	
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past in the facility was for 72 hours or more:	12 months whose length of stay	2003	
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past in the facility was for 30 days or more:	12 months whose length of stay	1508	
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?	☐ Yes ⊠ No		
Number of youthful inmates held in the facility during t facility never holds youthful inmates)	he past 12 months: (N/A if the	Click or tap here to enter text.  N/A	
Does the audited facility hold inmates for one or more correctional agency, U.S. Marshals Service, Bureau of Customs Enforcement)?		☐ Yes        No	
	Federal Bureau of Prisons		
	U.S. Marshals Service		
	U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement		
	☐ Bureau of Indian Affairs		
	U.S. Military branch		
Select all other agencies for which the audited facility holds inmates: Select all that apply (N/A if the	State or Territorial correctional agency		
audited facility does not hold inmates for any other agency or agencies):	County correctional or detention agency		
<b>.</b>	☐ Judicial district correctional or detention facility		
	City or municipal correctional or detention facility (e.g. police lockup or city jail)		
	Private corrections or detention	n provider	
		pe: Click or tap here to enter text.	
	∐ N/A		
Number of staff currently employed by the facility who	may have contact with inmates:	710	

Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with inmates:		35	
Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with inmates:		17	
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:		14	
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:		69	
Physical Plant			
Number of buildings:			
Auditors should count all buildings that are part of the facility, whether inmates are formally allowed to enter them or not. In situations where temporary structures have been erected (e.g., tents) the auditor should use their discretion to determine whether to include the structure in the overall count of buildings. As a general rule, if a temporary structure is regularly or routinely used to hold or house inmates, or if the temporary structure is used to house or support operational functions for more than a short period of time (e.g., an emergency situation), it should be included in the overall count of buildings.	4		
Number of inmate housing units:			
Enter 0 if the facility does not have discrete housing units. DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house inmates of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows inmates to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.	25		
Number of single cell housing units:	0		
Number of multiple occupancy cell housing units:	25		
Number of open bay/dorm housing units:	0		
Number of segregation cells (for example, administrative, disciplinary, protective custody, etc.):	61		
In housing units, does the facility maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if the facility never holds youthful inmates)	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A
Does the facility have a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology (e.g. cameras, etc.)?	⊠ Yes	□No	

Has the facility installed or updated a video monitoring system, or other monitoring technology in the past 12		⊠ Yes □ No
Medical and Mental Health	n Services and Forensic Med	dical Exams
Are medical services provided on-site?	⊠ Yes □ No	
Are mental health services provided on-site?	⊠ Yes □ No	
Where are sexual assault forensic medical exams provided? Select all that apply.	☐ On-site ☐ Local hospital/clinic ☐ Rape Crisis Center ☐ Other (please name or describ	oe: Click or tap here to enter text.)
J	Investigations	
Crit	minal Investigations	
Number of investigators employed by the agency and/of for conducting CRIMINAL investigations into allegation harassment:		0
When the facility received allegations of sexual abuse staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), CRIMINAL INVES		<ul><li>☐ Facility investigators</li><li>☐ Agency investigators</li><li>☒ An external investigative entity</li></ul>
Select all external entities responsible for CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for criminal investigations)	<ul> <li>□ Local police department</li> <li>□ Local sheriff's department</li> <li>☑ State police</li> <li>□ A U.S. Department of Justice of</li> <li>□ Other (please name or describe)</li> <li>□ N/A</li> </ul>	component e: Click or tap here to enter text.)
Admin	istrative Investigations	
Number of investigators employed by the agency and/of for conducting ADMINISTRATIVE investigations into all sexual harassment?		11
When the facility receives allegations of sexual abuse staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), ADMINISTRATIV conducted by: Select all that apply		☐ Facility investigators ☐ Agency investigators ☐ An external investigative entity
Select all external entities responsible for ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for administrative investigations)	Local police department  Local sheriff's department  State police  A U.S. Department of Justice of Other (please name or describ	component e: Click or tap here to enter text.)
	N/A     N/A	,

# **Audit Findings**

### **Audit Narrative**

The auditor's description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-onsite audit, onsite audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor's process for the site review.

### Overview

The on-site Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) compliance audit of the Connecticut Department of Correction (CDOC), MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution (MWCI) located in Suffield, Connecticut was conducted on March 25-27, 2019 by U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) certified PREA Auditor, Sonya Love, Diversified Consultant Services. The Auditor conducted an opening meeting, toured the entire facility, interviewed a random sample of staff and inmates, and reviewed PREA related staff and inmate documentation. Upon completion of the onsite audit process, a closing meeting was held with the administrative staff to discuss the audit process and the next step in the audit process.

### **Pre-Audit Phase**

This is the second PREA (Prison Rape Elimination Act) audit for the State of Connecticut Department of Correction (CDOC), MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution. The standards used for this audit became effective August 20, 2012. Before the on-site visit, a representative from the State of Connecticut, Department of Correction, PREA Investigative Unit Director/PREA Coordinator, conducted a "pre-audit" review of the facility to ensure PREA compliance.

The PREA Investigative Unit Director/PREA Coordinator is a certified PREA Auditor. The agency has established the PREA Investigative Unit Director/PREA Coordinator as the liaison for the PREA audit at MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution (MWCI). At the direction of the agency's Commissioner of Correction, the PREA Investigative Unit Director/PREA Coordinator and facility liaisons (PREA Compliance Manager and PREA Liaison Captain) were tasked with providing the Auditor with all policies and supporting documentation for the Auditor to review before the on-site visit.

Policies and documentation were provided in the form of Administrative Directives (AD), Unit Directives, facility handbooks, and other forms/memos. Administrative Directives are agencywide governing policies developed by the Connecticut Department of Correction (CDOC) that stipulate specific policies, in the event, there is no agency-wide policy or site-specific policy required to expand on agency's Administrative Directives. Unit Directives are facility specific policies that mandate procedures and directives at the facility level. An internet search by the Auditor confirmed that MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution 2016 PREA Report was held on February 22-23, 2016 and a copy of the audit was posted to the facility's website.

The facility's PREA Compliance Manager submitted paper copies of the MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution's Inmate Admission and Orientation Handbook in English for the

Auditor to review. In addition, the facility uploaded to the Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) a copy of the Inmate Handbook PREA Addendum, Part III Facility Programs, #14 to update information pertaining to PREA. The handbook contained information such as the facility rules, available programs and services, details on the inmate telephone system (Securus), intake screening and orientation process information and specifics on the inmate. PREA hotlines have been established for inmates to call and report sexual assault or sexual abuse. The phone number is (\*9222#) to call and contact the Connecticut Department of Correction. The hotline to the Connecticut State Police is (\*9333#) or 1800-842-0200. In addition, inmates may call CONNSACS – Connecticut Alliance to End Sexual Violence through their unit counselor at (\*9444) or 1-888-999-5545 (English).

An examination of the inmate handbook revealed that MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution notified all inmates that:

- Telephone calls were subject to monitoring and recording except for unmonitored inmate calls to attorneys.
- CDOC has a zero-tolerance policy and no-tolerance philosophy for sexual victimization.
- CDOC has an Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, pages 1 19, dated 7/20/2015 provides guidance on the implementation of practices in compliance with PREA Standards.
- CDOC has an investigative process when the agency conducts investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
- CDOC provides access information to advocacy services for inmates who have been sexually abused or sexually harassed during their term of incarceration through the local community advocacy organization.
- CDOC has a detailed administrative remedy process for PREA related allegations.
- CDOC lists inmates' rights and responsibilities in the handbook.
- CDOC details in the handbook the guidelines for inmate correspondence.
- CDOC details in the handbook what inmates can do if they have a sensitive complaint.
- CDOC details in the handbook the inmate's healthcare rights and responsibilities.
- Sexual contact with other inmates is not authorized.
- There is no such thing as consensual sexual contact between staff and inmates in the CDOC.

The Auditor completed a document review of the MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ), applicable policies, procedures, administrative directives and supplemental information. Telephone calls and emails were exchanged between the Connecticut Department of Correction (CDOC) PREA Investigative Unit Director\PREA Coordinator assigned to monitor the 2019 PREA onsite portion of the audit. The following documentation was requested for the onsite visit:

- Roster of inmates by unit
- Roster of inmates with disabilities
- Roster of inmates who were Limited English Proficient (LEP)
- LGBTI inmates
- Inmates who reported sexual abuse
- Inmates who reported sexual victimization during risk screening
- Staff roster by shifts
- Specialized staff roster

- Inmate census the first day of the audit
- A roster of new employees hired in the past 12
- Unannounced institutional rounds
- List of contact information for volunteers
- SANE/SAFE point of contact information
- Copies of PREA training acknowledgments for volunteers and contractors

# **Entrance Briefing and Tour (On-site Audit)-First day**

The on-site visit for the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) compliance audit of the State of Connecticut Department of Correction, MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution was conducted on March 25 - 27, 2019 by Diversified Consultant Services, PREA certified Auditor Sonya Love. The population on the first day of the audit was 1942. The rated capacity was 2131. A meeting took place with management staff to outline the Auditor's sampling strategy, logistics for the facility tour, interview schedule and to discuss the need to review additional directives and supplemental documents. The Auditor was provided a private room in which to work and conduct confidential interviews. All requested files and rosters, both staff and inmates were made available to the Auditor for review.

The tour of MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution included the Receiving and Discharge (R&D) intake processing areas, all living units, the Restrictive Housing Unit (RHU), the Health Services Department, Recreation, Food Service, facility support areas, Education, Visiting Room, Psychology Services and other programming areas and areas of MacDougall-Walker CEC (Correctional Enterprises of Connecticut). MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution has an RHU consisting of 61 segregation cells. During the onsite visit zero inmates were housed in RHU as a result of sexual victimization. All living units were equipped with showers, as well as privacy curtains. All living units had toilet and shower stalls that afforded all inmates with privacy screens.

The Auditor interviewed the following categories of specialized and random staff, during the on-site phase of the audit:

Category of Staff Interviewed	# Interviews Conducted
Random Staff	25
Specialized Staff	23
Total Staff Interviewed	48

Note: Selected from all shifts

Other staff interactions during the facility tour	# Interviews Conducted
Staff Interactions during the facility tour	3
Staff who refused to be interviewed	0
Total Staff Interviewed	3

Category of Specialized Staff Interviewed	# Interviews Conducted
Agency Contract Administrator (previously interviewed) in 2019	1
Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting an announced round to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment	1
Line staff who supervise youthful inmates, if any	0
Education staff who work with youthful inmates, if any	0
Program staff who work with youthful inmates, if any	0
Medical staff	1
Mental health staff	1
Administrative (human resource) staff	1
SAFE and SANE staff	1
Volunteers who have contact with inmates	3
Contractors who have contact with inmates	2
Investigative staff	2
Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	2
Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing	3
Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation	1
First responders, security staff	1
First responders, non-security staff	1
Intake staff	2
Total Staff Interviewed	23

### **Site Review**

Immediately following the opening meeting, a tour of the facilities was completed. The Auditor was escorted throughout the facility by the Deputy Warden/PREA Compliance Manager and the PREA Liaison Captain. During the tour, the Auditor reviewed PREA related documentation and materials located on bulletin boards. The telephone lines were tested on all living units for access to outside reporting entities. The Auditor observed camera surveillance, physical supervision, and electronic monitoring capabilities throughout the facility. Other areas of focus during the facility tour included, but were not limited to, levels of staff supervision, and limits to cross-gender viewing.

All signs and postings were in both English and Spanish. Inmates affirmed the ability to shower, dress, and use the toilet facilities without exposing themselves to employees of the opposite gender. Informal and formal conversations with employees and inmates regarding the PREA standards were conducted. Postings regarding how inmates can report PREA violations and the agency's zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment were prominently displayed in all living units, meeting areas, and throughout the facility.

Audit notice postings with the PREA Auditor's contact information were posted in the same areas. The Auditor noticed PREA audit postings were posted well in advance of the on-site

visit. Inmate interviews confirmed the placement of the PREA notices. Observations noted during the tour:

- Zero inmates were housed in the restrictive housing unit RHU because of an allegation of sexual victimization during the audit.
- CONNSACS Connecticut Alliance to End Sexual Violence can be reached by dialing (\*9444) and 1-888-999-5545 (English) or 1-888-568-8332 (Spanish); in addition to dialing (\*9222#) to reach the CDOC PREA Investigative Unit and (\*9333) to reach the Connecticut State Police (CSP) were posted on all living units.
- There are no youthful offenders.
- The Auditor tested telephone access to outside entities to report abuse was available to inmates from the living units.

## **Inmate Interviews**

At the time of the audit there were 1942 male inmates housed at MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution. A total of 45 male inmates were interviewed. Interviews were conducted using the Department of Justice (DOJ) protocols to access inmate's knowledge of PREA and the reporting mechanisms available to them.

Category of Inmates Interviewed	# Interviews Conducted
Random inmates	30
Targeted inmates	15
Youthful inmates	0
Total inmates interviewed	45
Towards discuss to luter viscos Duschdown	# Interviews Conducted
Targeted Inmate Interviews-Breakdown	# Interviews Conducted
Youthful Inmates	0
Inmates with a Physical Disability	5
Inmates who are Blind, Deaf, or Hard of Hearing	0
Inmates who are Limited English Proficient (LEP)	2
Inmates with a Cognitive Disability	2
Inmates who Identify as Lesbian, Gay, or Bisexual	3
Inmates who Identify as <b>Transgender</b> or Intersex	0
Inmates in Segregated Housing for High Risk of Sexual Victimization	0
Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse that occurred at the Facility	0
Inmates who Reported Sexual Victimization During Risk Screening	3
Total Number of Targeted Inmates Interviews	15

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Inmates selected from various living units

# **Staff Interviews**

MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution employs on average a staff of 710 individuals. A total of 16 random staff members were interviewed to include Correctional Officers (from all shifts) and 25 administrative/specialized staff. The administrative staff included positions such as the Deputy Warden/PREA Compliance Manager, PREA Liaison Captain, Human Resources Manager, Lieutenants, Health Services Administrator, Psychologist, and Unit Team Managers. All staff interviewed confirmed having been trained to act as first responders in the

event of a PREA related incident. Both random and specialized staff were interviewed on each day of the on-site audit.

The Auditor conducted a telephone interview with the local community victim advocacy organization, CONNSACS, regarding the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) that exists with MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution. The conversation confirmed that MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution has a provider relationship with an advocacy organization that will provide, a 24 hour per day, seven days per week Sexual Assault Hotline, medical accompaniment and advocacy for an inmate victim of sexual assault.

### File Review

Following the interviews, the Auditor reviewed the files requested during the pre-audit phase. The Auditor reviewed 48 training records to establish compliance with PREA training mandates. This Auditor confirmed that five new employees of MacDougall-Walker CI completed background checks before hire, and all received National Crime Information Clearance before working around inmates. The Auditor reviewed four random files for facility volunteers. Zero of the volunteers selected for interview met the five-year background check review mandate. Screening and intake procedures were evaluated by reviewing 45 inmate files which included a vulnerability assessment instrument and inmate education verification documentation.

# <u>Investigations</u>

During the current auditing period, there was 16 reported allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. All investigations are handled by the CDOC PREA Investigative Unit and Connecticut State Police Department. Information is transmitted quickly to the appropriate investigating agency. The agency's PREA Investigative Unit Director\PREA Coordinator is responsible for receiving verbal and telephonic referrals 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Additionally, abuse investigation outcomes and general protective services assessment outcomes are submitted to, reviewed by, and finalized by the agency's PREA Investigative Unit Director\PREA Coordinator.

### Closeout

A closing meeting was held with the Auditor and the administrative staff on March 27, 2019. Discussions centered around the audit process, preliminary findings, and the post-audit process. The Auditor thanked the staff for their hard work and dedication to the PREA process and participation in the second phase of the audit process.

# **Facility Characteristics**

The auditor's description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate, resident or detainee population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

The Connecticut Department of Correction, MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution, is located in Suffield, Connecticut. It is a high/maximum security level 4/5 institution and in one of the largest correctional facilities in New England based on its offender population. The facility is named after the Walker Reception and Special Management Unit and the MacDougall Correctional Institution that consolidated on May 15, 2001. The institution sits on a combined 140 acres.

The MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution staffing is composed of 710 fulltime staff who are committed to the institution agenda which stresses safety of staff, inmates, and the public. The facility's Industries program employs up to 70 inmates, some of whom graduated from various Vocational Education programs. A Regional Commissary employs up to 60 inmates. Others are employed in various capacities in the Food Service Operation, commercial cleaning and other janitorial functions essential to the hygiene, sanitation and cleanliness of the facility. The MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution (MacDougall building) commissary-processing center serves as a regional hub for the northern district of the state, handling more than 24,000 orders per month.

The MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution provides a highly structured environment to manage long-term sentenced offenders, protective custody offenders and high bond unsentenced offenders with programs designed to address the needs of each population. The facility focuses on education both academic (ABE, Pre-GED, GED, Special Education, TESOL), and at the MacDougall Building (Vocational Maintenance, Electromechanical, Micro Computers, Electronic Repair, Dental, and Commercial Cleaning). Programming is also a vital component of our mission and includes Parenting Skills, Sex Offender Treatment, Family Issues, Beyond Fear, Addiction Services, Aftercare and Fellowship AA/NA meetings.

## **Academic Programs**

## Adult Basic Education (ABE) (Both Buildings)

The ABE Program is designed to provide training to achieve grade eight proficiency in the basic academic areas of reading, mathematics, language arts, general science, and social studies. Inmates who need to develop English language proficiency are provided education services in ABE.

## **General Educational Development (GED) (Both Buildings)**

The GED program provides instruction to achieve grade twelve (12) proficiency. The focus is on writing, reading skills, mathematics, science and social studies in preparation for the GED examination. The new computer-based GED examination is offered throughout the year to those that qualify.

### TESOL/ESL (English as a Second Language) (MacDougall Building only)

ESL helps students whose primary language is not English develop skills to speak, read, and write English.

# **Vocational Education (VE) (MacDougall Building only)**

The VOC/ED programs combine classroom instruction and occupational training in the following trades and workplace skills.

# Parenting Skills Program (MacDougall Building only)

The Parenting Skills Program helps inmates' parent from a distance by providing adequate parenting tools and fostering literacy among their children.

## **Extension Curriculum (Both buildings)**

The Extension Curriculum is an education program, integrated into the regular curriculum, which covers the topics of Family Education, Transition Skills, Communication Skills, Sexual Harassment, HIV-AIDS and Building Climates of Respect. The education program coordinates with other institutional services to provide instruction in these functional social skills.

# **Special Education (Both buildings)**

This component provides programs in Special Education and related services for individuals referred, identified, and recommended for such programming in accordance with **Section 10-76** of the Connecticut General Statutes.

## Post-Secondary/College/Distance Learning

Post-Secondary, College and Distance Learning opportunities exist at the inmates' expense. Write to the School Principal or School Counselor for information, availability, and approval to sign up for a course. A \$3.00 fee will be charged for enrollment.

### **Industries**

The MacDougall-Walker Correctional Enterprises of Connecticut Program (CEC) offers inmates an opportunity to learn real lifework skills while earning an hourly wage. Correctional Industries is a "self-sustaining entity" selling products and services to other state agencies, non-profit groups, municipalities and the federal government, and as such, operates much like any other business.

# **Summary of Audit Findings**

The summary should include the number and list of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number and list of standards not met.

During the auditing period, MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution reported sixteen (16) allegations of sexual abuse/harassment in the Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ). There is a well-established zero-tolerance culture throughout the institution with documentation addressing all areas of PREA and the inmates interviewed confirmed this fact. The agency, Connecticut Department of Correction (CDOC), maintains agency policies and the institution has developed more institution specific PREA supplements. A random review of 48 employee training files established compliance with PREA training mandates and revealed that hiring and promotion practices are consistent with sexual abuse safety measures. From the pre-audit phase to the completion of the on-site visit, the Correction and administrative staff were very professional.

### **Staff Interviews**

Interviews with random and specialized staff confirmed that MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution's staff understood the agency position regarding the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA). Random and specialized staff were all knowledgeable about their roles in the prevention, reporting, and detection of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and their responsibilities in the event of a PREA related incident, particularly as a first responder. Each staff member was able to verbalize the steps they would take if they were the first responder to a PREA related incident. Reporting mechanisms were displayed in all common areas and throughout the facility. A review of the MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution staff training curriculum was completed by the Auditor. The training records support the finding that all staff have received general PREA training.

### **Inmate Interviews**

Interviews with inmates revealed that they fully understand PREA safeguards and the facility's zero-tolerance policy. Comprehensive inmate PREA education is provided in written form (i.e. MacDougall-Walker CI Inmate Handbook), personal instruction, videos, and posters. Forty-five vulnerability assessment instruments were examined by the Auditor which confirmed that intake and classification assessments are efficient and seamless in addressing referrals based on victimization or abusiveness screening data. Inmates (Random and Targeted) interviewed acknowledged the admissions screening process included questions regarding any history of sexual abuse or victimization and whether they would like to identify a sexual preference. Inmates expressed during interviews that they were aware of how to report abuse internally and externally. Random and targeted inmates expressed trust in the staff, and they felt comfortable reporting sexual abuse and harassment to facility staff. Twenty percent of inmates were very much aware of the services provided by the local victim advocacy organization. Conversely, 80% of inmate had only a vague knowledge of community advocacy services available to victims of abuse, but each knew how to obtain more information about advocacy services from a Unit Team member in the facility or from information posted in their living units.

**Auditor Note:** No standard should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA". A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

#### Standards Exceeded

Number of Standards Exceeded: 0

**List of Standards Exceeded:** Click or tap here to enter text.

#### **Standards Met**

Number of Standards Met: 45

### **Standards Not Met**

Number of Standards Not Met: 0

**List of Standards Not Met:** 

# **Corrective Actions (only)**

# Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring

Corrective action: MWCI revised the 2019 staffing plan to include the eleven point criteria outlined in Standard 115.13: (1) Generally accepted detention and correctional practices; (2) Any judicial findings of inadequacy; (3) Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies; (4) Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies; (5) All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated); (6) The composition of the inmate population; (7) The number and placement of supervisory staff; (8) Institution programs occurring on a particular shift; (9) Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards; (10) The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse; and (11) Any other relevant factors. The facility provided the Auditor with a copy of the revision to the 2019 MWCI Staffing Plan. Corrected

# Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

Corrective action: MWCI institutional inmate handbook was limited to English but corrected this problem with the inclusion of an addendum in Spanish for Spanish speaking inmates. The facility also identified staff by shift that a fluent a language other than English and post this information in each control room. Shift supervisors will be advised of the location of the staff interpretive roster. More, MWCI has developed a supplement to the inmate handbook in Spanish. More, the State of Connecticut, Department of Mental Health and Addictive Services (DMHAS), Office of the Commissioner, Office of Multicultural Health Equity (OMHE) has a protocol to accept request from other DMHAS state-operated facilities/or other entities to provide interpretive services on a case-by-case basis. Local authorized Deaf/Deaf-Blind/Hard of Hearing (DHOH) vendors. Corrected

# Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

115.41 (f)-2 There were 30 inmate institutional files originally reviewed, out of those thirty (30) files zero of the sample inmates received inmate re-assessments within 30 days of their arrival. The facility implemented a re-assessment procedure after the onsite portion of the audit. The written procedure has been in practice for (180) days. An audit of the inmate files after corrective action demonstrated (30) re-assessment were completed within 30 days and all necessary referrals were made to mental health by the facility. MWCI now meets the requirements of Standard 115.41. Corrected

# PREVENTION PLANNING

# Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

115.11	(a)	
•		he agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual and sexual harassment? $\ oxtimes$ Yes $\ oxtimes$ No
•		the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding ual abuse and sexual harassment? $\ oxdot \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
115.11	(b)	
•	Has th	e agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? $oxdot$ Yes $oxdot$ No
•	Is the I	PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? $oxtimes$ Yes $oxtimes$ No
•	overse	the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and see agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities? $\Box$ No
115.11	(c)	
•		agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance ger? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	facility'	the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the 's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) $\Box$ No $\Box$ NA
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

# **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, pages 1-19, and Connecticut Department of Correction, Unit Directive 6.12.1, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention address the requirements of Standard 115.11.

The agency's zero-tolerance policy against sexual abuse was confirmed during staff interviews. The agency's zero-tolerance toward sexual abuse is clearly established and the policy also outlines the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. The Deputy Warden serves as the PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). In addition to the PCM, there is a designated agency wide Director of the PREA Investigative Unit\PREA Coordinator to ensure adherence to PREA. The Deputy Warden reports to the Warden of the facility and the PREA Liaison Captain reports to the Deputy Warden, PCM. Zero-tolerance posters are displayed throughout every area of the facility. The agency and facility directives outline a zero-tolerance policy for all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Inmates are informed orally about the zero-tolerance policy and the PREA program during in-processing and are required to view a video during admission and orientation presentations. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.11.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, pages 1-19
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, Unit Directive 6.12.1, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention
- 4. Employee PREA Training Curriculum and Sign-in sheets
- 5. Inmate Admission and Orientation (A&O) Handbook
- 6. Organizational Chart
- 7. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain

Corrective action: None required

# Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

### 115.12 (a)

If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies
or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's
obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on

		r August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other is for the confinement of inmates.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115.12	(b)	
•	agency (N/A if	any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for $\gamma$ contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement ates.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
Audito	r Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
l 4	-4: <i>4</i>	iou Overell Compliance Determination Nametive

## **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency and MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution meet the requirements of this standard. An interview with the PREA Liaison Captain, Deputy Warden/PCM, and the MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution PAQ substantiates that the agency and facility require contractual entities which they contract for the confinement of inmates (privatized prisons and/or inmate re-entry centers) to adopt and comply with the PREA standards. The Deputy Warden/PCM confirmed that the agency's contractual agreements were modified effective February 1, 2013, to incorporate the language requiring contractors to adopt and comply with PREA standards. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution has contracts for the confinement of inmates. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.12.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Interviews with the following:
  - a. PREA Liaison Captain
  - b. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)

# **Corrective action:** None required

# Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring

# All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.13 (a
-----------

•	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
-	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
-	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No

	()		
•	justify	umstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) $\Box$ No $\Box$ NA	
115.13	3 (c)		
•	assess	past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, sed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan ished pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	assess	past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, sed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's ment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	assess	past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, sed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
115.13	3 (d)		
•	level s	be facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-upervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual and sexual harassment? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? $oxin Yes \ \Box$ No		
•	these	the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate ional functions of the facility? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
l <b>4</b>		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

### **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.13 (b)

Connecticut Department of Correction and MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention" Section 4, "Staffing Plan", dated 20 July 2015; CT DOC Administrative Directive 2.15 "Custodial Staff Deployment" Section 5 "Staffing Plan"; Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.1, Tours and Inspections Section 4, "General Principles" and Section 5, "Tours, Inspections and Visits"; and MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 4, "Staffing Plan" collectively address the requirements of this standard.

The agency policy requires MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution to review the staffing plans on an annual basis. Interviews with the Deputy Warden/PCM and PREA Liaison Captain confirmed compliance with PREA and that other safety and security issues are always a primary focus when they consider and review their respective staffing plans.

MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution developed, documented, and made its best efforts to comply on a regularly with a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse and that fact is indisputable. Problematic was the staffing plan did not clearly address each of the eleven-point factors outlined in Standard 115.13 when calculating adequate staffing levels and other considerations. The Deputy Warden/PCM confirmed that he has been provided with all necessary resources to support the programs and procedures to ensure compliance with PREA standards. The audit included an examination of all video monitoring systems, inmate access to telephones, and staff interviews.

Supervisory/Administrative staff members routinely make unannounced rounds covering all shifts and these rounds are documented. Interviews with staff confirmed unannounced rounds to all areas of the facility are conducted on a weekly basis, with no warning to employees. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution has video cameras to augment staff presence, and mirrors. These cameras were pointed out during the tour with the Auditor. The facility utilizes convex mirrors to supplement security in areas where there are numerous corners or potential blind spots. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution now meets the requirements for Standard 115.13.

### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, pages 3 and 10, Section 4 -Staffing Plan, and Section 13 - Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse)
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 2.15. Hazardous Duty Staff Deployment, Section 5 Staffing Plan
- 4. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.1, Tours and Inspections Section 4, "General Principles" and Section 5, "Tours, Inspections and Visits"
- 5. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12.1, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention
- 6. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution Post Plans
- 7. Officer Unannounced Rounds samples from logbook

a.	erviews with the following: Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM) PREA Liaison Captain	
Corrective action: MWCI revised the 2019 staffing plan to include the eleven point criteria outlined in Standard 115.13: (1) Generally accepted detention and correctional practices; (2) Any judicial findings of inadequacy; (3) Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies; (4) Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies; (5) All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated); (6) The composition of the inmate population; (7) The number and placement of supervisory staff; (8) Institution programs occurring on a particular shift; (9) Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards; (10) The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse; and (11) Any other relevant factors. The facility provided the Auditor with a copy of the revision to the 2019 MWCI Staffing Plan.		
Stan	dard 115.14: Youthful inmates	
All Ye	s/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report	
115.14	l (a)	
•	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ NA	
115.14	ł (b)	
•	In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ NA	
•	In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ NA	
115.14 (c)		
•	Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ NA	
•	Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ NA	

•	possib	athful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent le? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) $\square$ No $\square$ NA
Audit	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	uctions	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
compl conclu not m	liance or usions. T eet the si	pelow must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does tandard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
	_	Walker Correctional Institution does not house youthful inmates. MacDougallectional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.14.
Polic	y, Mate	rials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed
2. In a. b.	terview PREA Deputy	Questionnaire with the following Coordinator Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM) Liaison Captain
Corr	ective a	ection: None required
Star	dard 1	115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches
All Ye	es/No Qı	uestions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.1	5 (a)	
•	body c	he facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual avity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? $\Box$ No
115.1	5 (b)	

 Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)

	⊠ Yes □ No □ NA	
•	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA	
115.15	5 (c)	
•	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
•	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ NA	
115.15	5 (d)	
•	Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
115.15	5 (e)	
•	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
115.15 (f)		
-	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ⊠ Yes □ No	

# Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

## **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.7, Searches Conducted in Correctional Facilities, pages 1 – 11; Connecticut Department of Correction, MacDougall-Walker C.I. Post Orders, dated March 3, 2017, titled A1 Control Officer (Walker Building); Connecticut Department of Correction, MacDougall-Walker C.I. Post Orders, dated March 3, 2017, titled General Post Orders; Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.7, Searches Conducted in Correctional Facilities, pages 1 – 11 and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12.1, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention collectively address Standard 115.15.

Cross-gender strip or cross-gender body cavity searches are prohibited, except in emergency situations or when performed and documented by a medical practitioner. Staff interviews indicated they received cross-gender pat search training during initial and annual training. The Auditor observed that each unit has individual shower stalls for privacy while showering. The facility has implemented a policy that all staff working the unit will announce themselves prior to walking the range to allow inmates the opportunity to prepare themselves from a privacy perspective. The inmates interviewed acknowledged they can shower, dress, and use the toilet privately, without being viewed by staff of the opposite gender. Staff (random and specialized), coupled with a majority of the inmates interviewed, indicated that employees of the opposite gender announce their presence before entering a housing unit. Likewise, staff interviewed also affirmed that MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution trains security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs. All staff interviewed confirmed that they were aware of the policy prohibiting the search of a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status. During the past 12 months, the PAQ indicated there was zero cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity search of an inmate by a staff member at the MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution. The Deputy Warden/PCM indicated that there were no exigent circumstances in which a cross-gender strip search or cross-gender-body cavity search took place at MacDougall-Walker CI in the past 12-month period. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.15.

## Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.7, Searches Conducted in Correctional Facilities, pages 1 11
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MacDougall-Walker C.I. Post Orders, dated March 3, 2017, titled A1 Control Officer (Walker Building);
- 4. Connecticut Department of Correction, MacDougall-Walker C.I. Post Orders, dated March 3, 2017, titled General Post Orders;
- 5. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.7, Searches Conducted in Correctional Facilities, pages 1 11
- 6. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12.1, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention
- 7. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. Staff interviews (random)
  - c. PREA Liaison Captain

Corrective action: None required

# Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

### 115.16 (a)

•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? $\boxtimes$ Yes. $\square$ No

•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes)? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Are blind or have low vision? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
115.16	(b)	
•	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
115.16	(c)	
•	Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
	☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	

$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

### **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, page 7, Section 10 – Inmate Education; Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 10.19, Americans with Disabilities Act; Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 10.12, Inmate Orientation; Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 8.17, Gender Non-Confirming; and MCTSD Lesson Plan CSP 301-H Searching Technique collectively address the requirements in Standard 115.16.

MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution takes appropriate steps to ensure inmates with disabilities and inmates with LEP have an opportunity to participate in and benefit from the facility's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Staff interviewed were aware of the policy that, under no circumstances, are inmate interpreters or assistants to be used when dealing with PREA issues. Several documents were submitted to and reviewed by the Auditor such as PREA handouts, bulletin board postings, and an inmate handbook written in English. Inmates who have intellectual or psychiatric disabilities are referred to mental health providers to evaluate the best method to provide PREA education to the inmates. Problematic, MWCI could not provide the Auditor with documented evidence of services for deaf and blind inmates or provide documentation of steps taken by the facility, when necessary, to ensure effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing.

Several LEP inmates were interviewed during this audit. Each inmate from this targeted population confirmed that they received PREA education in a language they understood but the institutional inmate handbook was limited to English. The facility corrected this problem by the inclusion of an addendum in Spanish for Spanish speaking inmates. The PCM provided the review of documentation, as well as staff and inmate interviews, both support a finding that MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution now meets the requirements for Standard 115.16.

### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, page 7, Section 10 Inmate Education

- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 10.12, Inmate Orientation
- 4. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 10.19, Americans with Disabilities Act
- 5. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 8.17, Gender Non-Confirming
- 6. MCTSD Lesson Plan CSP 301-H Searching Technique
- 7. CDOC PREA Poster English
- 8. CDOC PREA Poster Spanish
- 9. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution Inmate A & O Handbook
- 10. Interpretation Services telephone numbers and instructions
- 11. Interviews with the following:
  - a. PREA Liaison Captain
  - b. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - c. Staff (Random and Specialized)
  - d. Inmates (Random and Targeted)
- 12. State of Connecticut, Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services, Office if the Commissioner, Office of Multicultural Health Equity (OMHE), Deaf or Hard of Hearing Program, protocol to request DHOH interpretive services.

Corrective action: MWCI institutional inmate handbook was limited to English but corrected this problem with the inclusion of an addendum in Spanish for Spanish speaking inmates. The facility also identified staff by shift that a fluent a language other than English and post this information in each control room. Shift supervisors will be advised of the location of the staff interpretive roster. More, MWCI has developed a supplement to the inmate handbook in Spanish. More, the State of Connecticut, Department of Mental Health and Addictive Services (DMHAS), Office of the Commissioner, Office of Multicultural Health Equity (OMHE) has a protocol to accept request from other DMHAS state-operated facilities/or other entities to provide interpretive services on a case-by-case basis. Local authorized Deaf/Deaf-Blind/Hard of Hearing (DHOH) vendors include:

### **CODA Link Conn, Inc.**

160 Batterson Dr. New Britain, CT 06053

Donna Fernandez, RID CI/CT, Executive Director

E-Mail: donnaroma13@gmail.com 16PSX0150 www.codalinkinc.com

Phone: (954)557-5166 / Cell: (860) 682-4499

Fax: (954)-333-7172

### Cosign CT, LLC

34 Shelley Rd. Middletown, CT 06457

Leslie Warren

E-Mail: lawcrdr@gmail.com www.cosignct.com

FAX: (860) 649-3797

Submit Requests for DHOH Interpreting Services to:

FAX # - 890-845-5394

Tim Warren inquiry@cosignct.com DAS/DMHAS Contractual Agreement – Scope of Services: 1. Contractors shall provide certified, experienced and qualified interpretation services for persons who are deaf or hard of hearing. 2. Providers or consumers may discuss their satisfaction or lack thereof with the requester or staff clinician that requested the DHOH interpreting services on their behalf. 3. DMHAS/OMHE encourages requesters/staff/clinicians to share feedback, compliments and complaints in order to help ensure high quality services and compliance with contractual agreement. Feedback may be directed to Marlene F. Jacques, RN, MSN, MPH, L.M.S.W. Director, DMHAS/OMHE DHOH Program Tel #: (860) 418-6974 Fax #: (860) 418-6780 E-mail: marlene.jacques@ct.gov Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.17 (a) Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ⊠ Yes □ No Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? 

✓ Yes 

✓ No Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in

did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ⊠ Yes □ No

described in the question immediately above?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement

Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim

Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity

facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ⊠ Yes □ No

the question immediately above?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

115.17	(b)
•	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? $\ oxiny \ Yes \ oxin \ No$
115.17	(c)
•	Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.17	(d)
•	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.17	(e)
•	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.17	(f)
•	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No
115.17	(g)
•	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No

### 115.17 (h)

•	■ Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee i prohibited by law.)   Yes □ No □ NA	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

### **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, pages 4, Section 6 Staff Selection, and page 5, Section 7, Staff Promotion and Section 8, Selecting Contractors, Vendors and Volunteers and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 2.3, Employee Selection, Transfer and Promotion both address Standard 115.17.

Employment with the CDOC is subject to satisfactory completion of a background investigation to determine suitability for employment as a law enforcement official. Background investigations includes law enforcement and criminal record checks, credit checks, and inquiries with previous employers and personal references. Suitability determinations are made on a case-by-case basis and are based upon an individual's character or conduct that could affect how the agency accomplishes its duties or responsibilities.

A Human Resources representative confirmed that the agency centrally initiates and coordinate all recruitment activities in conjunction with the CDOC Affirmative Action Unit. All applications are processed by the Human Resources Unit prior to hiring consideration by the approving Unit Administrator or higher authority. Information on recruitment activities are logged on the applicant flow sheet for forwarding to the Affirmative Action Unit for review. At a minimum, when an applicant is an ex-inmate and/or has any criminal history, the selection process shall include guidelines such as:

- an applicant with an undeclared criminal history shall not be considered
- an applicant who is known by the Connecticut Department of Correction to have previously engaged in sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment in an institutional setting shall not be considered for hiring.

The HR representative indicated as outlined in MWCI Unit Directive 2.3, Employee Selection, Transfer and Promotion, CDOC will not hire anyone who may have contact with inmates who is known to the Department of Correction to have:

- Engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, institution housing persons who are mentally ill or disabled or retarded or chronically ill or handicapped, or institution providing skilled nursing or intermediate or long-term care or custodial or residential care;
- Been convicted of engaging in or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or
- Was civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in subsection (2) of this section.

Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, the Connecticut Department of Correction shall:

- Perform a criminal background check; and
- Consistent with Federal, State and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of resident or detainee sexual abuse/harassment or any resignation pending an investigation of such allegations.
- CDOC ask the applicant in a written application or interview directly about whether they
  have been found to have engaged in sexual abuse/harassment in a prison, jail, lockup,
  community confinement facility, juvenile facility, institution housing persons who are
  mentally ill or disabled or retarded or chronically ill or handicapped, or providing skilled
  nursing or intermediate or long-term care or custodial or residential care.

Material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information regarding such misconduct may be grounds for termination.

The Deputy Warden/PCM indicated after closure of an investigation when applicable, MacDougall-Walker would notify the appropriate licensing and certifying agencies when professional employees are terminated for substantiated allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. Documentation on file supports a finding that the facility follows this standard. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.17.

### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, pages 4, Section 6 Staff Selection, and page 5, Section 7, Staff Promotion and Section 8, Selecting Contractors, Vendors and Volunteers.

3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 2.3, Employee Selection, Transfer and Promotion 4. Interviews with the following: a. PREA Liaison Captain b. Human Resource Manager c. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM) Corrective action: None required Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.18 (a) If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA 115.18 (b) If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No  $\square$  NA

### **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

### **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 20, Upgrades to Facilities and Technologies and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 20, Upgrades to Facilities and Technologies both address Standard 115.18.

MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution utilizes an electronic camera system for video surveillance. Cameras are placed strategically throughout the complex to ensure the safety and security of both inmates and staff. The PAQ indicated that since the last PREA audit there were no substantial expansions, modifications, or updates to the existing facility. The PCM affirmed that MWCI considered how video technology could enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. More, the PCM also confirmed the addition of cameras in correctional industries and in the inmate visitation room to enhance PREA prevention and detection of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.18.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 20, Upgrades to Facilities and Technologies
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 20, Upgrades to Facilities and Technologies
- 4. Auditor's observations during the facility tour
- 5. Interviews with the following:
  - a. PREA Liaison Captain
  - b. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)

<u>Corrective</u>	action:	None	required

# RESPONSIVE PLANNING

# Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.21 (a)

•	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow
	a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence
	for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not
	responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)
	∀es □ No □ NA

115.21	(b)
•	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115.21	(c)
•	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? $oximes$ Yes $\odots$ No
115.21	(d)
•	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No
•	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency <i>always</i> makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No
115.21	(e)
•	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? ⊠ Yes. □ No.

•	agency through	gency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) in (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND strative sexual abuse investigations.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115.21	(g)	
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.
115.21	(h)	
•	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency <i>always</i> makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	П	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

**Does Not Meet Standard** (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

CTDOC Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Evidence Protocol/Securing the Area; Administrative Directive 6.9, Control of Contraband and Physical Evidence, Physical Evidence; Administrative Directive 8.1 Scope of Health Service Care, Scope of Services and Access To Care and University of Connecticut Health Center (UCONN) Correctional Managed Health Care (CMHC), Policy B5.01, Response to Sexual Abuse; and MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Medical Staff Action and Evidence Protocol/Securing the Area all collectively address Standard 115.21.

The PREA Unit is responsible for investigating administrative allegations of sexual abuse in the facility. The protocol is developmentally appropriate for youthful inmates when applicable. Further, the protocol, as appropriate, was adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or

115.21 (f)

similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011. Through a MOU agreement with the Connecticut State Police conducts criminal investigations that follows the PREA guidelines. The PREA Unit follows the requirements for investigating administrative allegations.

CDOC does not conduct criminal investigation according to the PREA Coordinator. The CDOC PREA Coordinator outlined how the agency follows the uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings as well as protocols and requirements for forensic medical exams. MWCI medical providers do not conduct forensic examines. MWCI medical and mental health providers role in a sexual assault is limited to triage, emergency stabilization, after care and follow-up. Sexual assault victims are taken to the closest emergency hospital, stabilized then transferred to St. Francis Hospital, Hartford, CT or UCONN Medical Center in Farmington, CT as their primary provider where SAFE/SANE staff are on duty or on call. CDOC has a contract for services with UCONN.

Correctional and medical staff members were interviewed concerning this standard and all were knowledgeable of the procedures required to secure and obtain usable physical evidence when sexual abuse is alleged. CDOC and MacDougall-Walker CI both affirm their responsibility to follow a uniform evidence protocol for administrative prosecutions. Likewise, CDOC and MacDougall-Walker CI policy and procedures to include the uniform evidence protocol extends to the best efforts of the Connecticut State Police investigation of criminal prosecutions on behalf of CDOC and MacDougall-Walker CI. The CDOC PREA Investigative Unit will serve as an investigative liaison between the Connecticut State Police and correctional facilities in Connecticut. Medical services to victims of sexual abuse are provided free of charge as confirmed by specialized interviews with medical and mental health practitioners during the onsite audit period. An interview with the SANE/SAFE examiner at St. Francis/Mt. Sinai Hospitals and Hartford Hospital was conducted and the health care provider confirmed a comprehensive awareness of PREA standards. The representative indicated that a SANE/SAFE is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week or on call. MacDougall-Walker CI medical healthcare practitioners utilize forensic sexual assault medical services (SANE/SAFE) from the University of Connecticut (UCONN) if a victim is transferred to UCONN Hospital for extended care. An interview with the SANE/SAFE examiner at St. Francis/Mt. Sinai Hospitals and Hartford Hospital was conducted and the health care provider confirmed a comprehensive awareness of PREA standards. The representative indicated that a SANE/SAFE is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week. There was zero SANE/SAFE examination conducted during the past 12 months as was evident in the investigations reviewed by the Auditor and the facility PAQ.

JDI (Just Detention International), a national victim advocacy agency, was contacted by this Auditor via email, but the agency had no information related to MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution. Victim advocacy is available to all inmates via an MOU with Connecticut Alliance to End Sexual Violence (CONNSACS). CONNSACS agreed to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center that will be available to accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews and to provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals. The MOU with Connecticut State Police (CSP) and CONNSACS demonstrate that the agency has requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of

Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention, Section 15, paragraphs (a) through (e). Based on the interviews conducted and reviews of applicable policy and related documentation, it is apparent that MacDougall-Walker CI achieves substantial compliance with the standard for the review period. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.21.

#### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.6, Reporting of Incidents, 2018
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.9, Collection and Retention of Contraband and Physical Evidence, 2018
- 4. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, 2015, Section 15, Evidence Protocol/Securing the Area
- 5. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, 2015, Section 15, Evidence Protocol/Securing the Area
- 6. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 8.1, Scope of Health Care Services 2014
- 7. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 8.5, Mental Health Services, 2015
- 8. Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), 2003, Public Law 108-79
- 9. Standards for Health Services in Prisons (P-B-04). 2014
- 10. National Commission on Correctional Health Care. Chicago, IL.
- 11. Memorandum of Understanding between CDOC and the Connecticut State Police (CSP)
- 12. Memorandum of Understanding between CDOC and Connecticut Alliance to End Sexual Violence (CONNSACS)
- 13. Telephone interview with SANE\SAFE examiner at St. Francis/Mt. Sinai Hospital and Hartford Hospital
- 14. Telephone interview with Connecticut Alliance to End Sexual Violence (CONNSACS)
- 15. Interviews with the following:
  - a. PREA Liaison Captain
  - b. Specialized medical and mental health providers
  - c. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)

**Corrective action:** None required

# Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.22	(a)	
		ne agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all ions of sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
		ne agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all ions of sexual harassment? $oximes$ Yes $\oximes$ No
115.22	(b)	
	or sexu conduc	The agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse all harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to set criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal or? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
		e agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy le through other means? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
-	Does th	ne agency document all such referrals? $oxtimes$ Yes $\oxtimes$ No
115.22	(c)	
,	the res	parate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe ponsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is sible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115.22	(d)	
• ,	Auditor	is not required to audit this provision.
115.22	(e)	
• .	Auditor	is not required to audit this provision.
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
lootuus	tiona f	or Overall Compliance Determination Negrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 1.10, Investigations, paragraph 4, Criminal Investigations; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.6, Reporting of Incidents; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.9, Collection and Retention of Contraband and Physical Evidence; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, 16. Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment; and Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, 16. Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment collectively address the requirements of Standard 115.22.

The PREA Investigative Unit Director/PREA Coordinator, Statewide PREA Investigative Unit, Captain/PREA Investigator and the CSP conduct all sexual abuse investigations. The PREA Investigative Unit Director/PREA Coordinator was interviewed and proved very knowledgeable concerning the protocols for conducting investigations of alleged sexual abuse/sexual harassment. There are 11 agency investigators in the CDOC. The Auditor interviewed one trained PREA investigator for the CDOC. The CDOC/CSP MOU, dated August 1996. delineates each agency's responsibilities relative to an incident involving a criminal act. There was 16 administrative (5 sexual harassment) and zero criminal allegation of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in the past 12 months. All sixteen investigations were closed before the posting of this report. The documentation related to the investigations was contained in each investigative file and was reviewed by the Auditor. The facility utilizes a tracking log to ensure all required steps of the investigation process is completed and are timely. The tracking form is maintained by the PREA Liaison Captain. The information tracked includes the date of the allegation, name of the victim/perpetrator, RHU placement/reviews, initial two-day after-action review, full protocol, investigation outcome/date, date inmate notified of outcome, and retaliation monitoring. The closed PREA investigation results in a written notification to the inmate. A review of training documents confirmed that all investigators received specialized training instruction in conducting sexual assault investigations in confined spaces/prisons. Interviews with staff (specialized and random), an investigator, and an examination of supporting documentation confirm compliance with this standard. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.22.

#### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 1.10, Investigations, paragraph 4, Criminal Investigations
- 3. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.6, Reporting of Incidents
- 4. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.9, Collection and Retention of Contraband and Physical Evidence
- 5. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, 16. Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment
- 6. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, 16. Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment
- 7. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 9.6, Inmate Administrative Remedies, page 1 14
- 8. Interviews with the following:
  - a. PREA Liaison Captain
  - b. PREA Coordinator

c. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM) d. Captain PREA Unit
Corrective action: None required
Cautionary Note:
TRAINING AND EDUCATION
Standard 115.31: Employee training
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.31 (a)
■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? ⊠ Yes □ No
<ul> <li>Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?   ☑ Yes □ No
■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?   ☑ Yes □ No
■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?   ✓ Yes   ✓ No
■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?   ✓ Yes   ✓ No
■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates?   ☑ Yes □ No

	gency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with s related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?  No	
115.31 (b)		
<ul><li>Is such train</li></ul>	ning tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility? $oxtimes$ Yes $oxtimes$ No	
-	byees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
115.31 (c)		
■ Have all cur	rent employees who may have contact with inmates received such training? No	
all employed	gency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that es know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and $\mathbb{R}^2$ $\mathbb{R}^2$ Yes $\mathbb{R}^2$ No	
•	which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide formation on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? $oximes$ Yes $\oximes$ No	
115.31 (d)		
_	gency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that understand the training they have received? $oximes$ Yes $oxdot$ No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
☐ Exce	eeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	ts Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the dard for the relevant review period)	
□ Doe	s Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
Instructions for O	verall Compliance Determination Narrative	

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 9, page 6, Staff Training Curriculum; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 9, page 6, Staff Training Curriculum; and Connecticut Department of Connecticut Administrative Directive 2.7, Training

and Staff Development collectively address the requirements of Standard 115.31. MacDougall-Walker CI provides PREA training via electronic and roll calls. CDOC trains all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures. Furthermore, staff interviewed confirmed that training also includes topics such as; inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims, how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates, and how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities. All newly hired employees must attend and successfully complete the course curriculum. Additionally, contractors and volunteers are provided training relative to their duties and responsibilities. All staff are mandated to receive training annually and the curriculum includes an extensive review of PREA requirements. Training curriculum, training sign-in sheets, and other related training documentation were reviewed by the Auditor. Interviewed staff (random and specialized) verified the requirement to acknowledge, in writing, not only that they received the PREA training, but that they understood it. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.31.

#### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 9, page 6, Staff Training Curriculum
- 3. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 9, page 6, Staff Training Curriculum
- 4. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 2.7, Training and Staff Development
- 5. Staff sign-in training acknowledgement
- 6. Staff Training Curriculum
- 7. Interviews with the following:
  - a. PREA Liaison Captain
  - b. Staff (random and specialized)

**Corrective action:** None required

# Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.32 (a)

•	been tra	e agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have ained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment tion, detection, and response policies and procedures? ⊠ Yes □ No	
115.32	2 (b)		
•	agency how to contract	Il volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the 's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and tors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with s)? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
115.32	2 (c)		
•	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
		<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative			

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 9 B, page 6, In-Service Training; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 9 B, page 6, In-Service Training; and Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 2.7, Training and Staff Development Section 6 D, page 6, Volunteers collectively address the mandates of this standard.

The Deputy Warden/PCM confirmed that sixty-nine volunteers and fourteen contractors received the PREA training, including the zero-tolerance policy, detection, prevention, response, reporting, and responding requirements. Training is documented and maintained on file at MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution. Copies of training sign-in sheets and other related documents were reviewed by the Auditor at the facility. Four volunteers were interviewed, and all indicated that they received PREA training. Each volunteer confirmed that

they understood the training they received. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.32.

#### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 9 B, page 6, In-Service Training
- 3. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 9 B, page 6, In-Service Training
- 4. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 2.7, Training and Staff Development Section 6 D, page 6, Volunteers
- 5. VIP (Volunteers, Interns, Professional Partners) Handbook
- 6. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain
  - c. Volunteers

Corrective action: None required	

#### Standard 115.33: Inmate education

#### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.33 (a)

- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No
- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

#### 115.33 (b)

- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⋈ Yes □ No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? 

  ✓ Yes 

  ✓ No

•	Vithin 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in erson or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.33	c)
•	lave all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)? $oximes$ Yes $oximes$ lo
•	To inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
115.33	d)
•	loes the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those tho are limited English proficient? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	loes the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those tho are deaf? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No
•	loes the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those tho are visually impaired? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	loes the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those tho are otherwise disabled? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	loes the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those tho have limited reading skills? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.33	e)
•	loes the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? Yes $\ \square$ No
115.33	f)
•	addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is ontinuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or ther written formats? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
Audito	Overall Compliance Determination
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 10, Inmate Education; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 10, Inmate Education; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 9.3, Inmate Admissions, Transfers and Discharges, Section 5 J, page 5, PREA Screening of Newly Admitted Inmates; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 9.3, Inmate Admissions, Transfers and Discharges, Section 7, page 8, Transfers; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 10.12, Section 3, Initial Orientation; and the Inmate A&O Handbook (English) explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment collectively address the requirements of this standard. The Auditor noted during her tour of the facility that the facility provided other PREA related information explaining sexual abuse and reasons to report abuse on the living units and throughout the facility. Advocacy information was stenciled and posted in each living unit near the telephones for easy accessibility.

After receiving orientation and inmate education, each inmate signed an acknowledgement education training form addressing the mandates of Standard 115.33. The facility puts forth its best efforts to educate the inmates regarding PREA. Inmates receive information during the intake process including a pamphlet and inmate handbook, printed in English.

A Unit Team staff member conducts PREA education for all inmates within 30 days of their arrival at MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution. Random and targeted inmates interviewed by the Auditor confirmed receiving PREA education within 30 days of their arrival. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution inmate PREA education includes definitions of sexually abusive behavior and sexual harassment, prevention strategies, and reporting modalities. Inmate education also includes viewing a PREA orientation video. The PREA video explains the facility's zero-tolerance policy and covers the inmate's right to be free from sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation. PREA posters were also displayed throughout the facility and in each housing unit. Inmates also have access to a "PREA Hotline" telephone number, which may be called to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

The facility also provides over-the-phone interpretive service for inmates that require a translator with limited English proficiency. The Auditor was provided a random sampling of A&O Checklists/Signature Sheets to verify that inmates, admitted during the auditing period, received the PREA education and relevant written materials. All inmates are required to acknowledge, in writing, completion of PREA education. During the interview process, random and targeted inmates indicated they received information about MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution's rules against sexual abuse/sexual harassment, when they arrived at the facility. All random and targeted inmates interviewed also indicated they were advised

about their right not to be sexually abused/sexually harassed, how to report sexual abuse/sexual harassment, and their right not to be punished for reporting sexual abuse/sexual harassment. Some inmates were aware of available services outside of the facility for dealing with sexual abuse. Other inmates indicated that the facility staff conducted inmate education, but they were not listening attentively and therefore could have missed the information provided about outside services for emotional support. These same inmates confirmed seeing PREA education on their living unit and they detailed how to gain additional information if needed. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.33.

#### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 10, Inmate Education
- 3. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 10, Inmate Education
- 4. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 9.3, Inmate Admissions, Transfers and Discharges, Section 5 J, page 5, PREA Screening of Newly Admitted Inmates
- 5. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 9.3, Inmate Admissions, Transfers and Discharges, Section 7, page 8, Transfers
- 6. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 10.12, Section 3, Initial Orientation
- 7. Inmate Admission and Orientation (A&O) Handbook and Handbook Addendum
- 8. Auditor tour and observation
- 9. Interviews with the following:
  - a. PREA Liaison Captain
  - b. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - c. Staff (Intake)
  - d. Inmates (Random and Targeted)

Corrective action: None required

# Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations

#### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.34 (a)

•	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the
	agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its
	investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if
	the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations.
	See 115.21(a).) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

#### 115.34 (b)

•	the age	his specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if ency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. $  5.21(a). \rangle$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA	
•	agency	his specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the $\prime$ does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. 5.21(a).) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA	
•	(N/A if	his specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse gations. See 115.21(a).) $\ \boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$ NA	
•	for adn	his specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case ninistrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form inistrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) $\square$ No $\square$ NA	
115.34	(c)		
•	require not cor	he agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the ed specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does nduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) $\square$ No $\square$ NA	
115.34	(d)		
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
Instrud	Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative		

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 16, page 14, Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit

Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 16, page 14, Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment; and Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 1.10, Investigations Section 11, page 6, Training collectively met the requirements of this standard.

In addition to the general training provided to all CDOC employees pursuant to §115.31, CDOC ensures that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings. Administrative Directive 1.10, Investigations, Section 11, requires each investigator who is assigned to work with the Security Division and/or PREA Unit is required to complete an approved training program prior to investigating an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The PREA Coordinator indicated during his interview that investigators are trained through the Connecticut Department of Correction and the National Institute of Correction (NIC).

The Department curriculum for training outline provided included; techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, how to properly conduct interviews, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action and prosecution referral. The facility maintains documentation of investigators having completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations.

Moreover, a review of CDOC and MacDougall-Walker CI directives confirmed policies are in place that require PREA investigators to receive specialized investigative techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims. During an interview with the PREA Coordinator, he confirmed investigative training included the proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection of crime scenes in correctional settings and the evidence and criteria needed to substantiate an incident for criminal or administrative proceeding. Specialized investigative training was also confirmed by reviewing signatures verifying participation in a specialized training program for PREA investigators. The CDOC PREA Investigative Unit has three (3) trained PREA investigators but the total number of statewide investigators is 11. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.34.

#### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 16, page 14, Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment
- 3. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 16, page 14, Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment
- 4. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 1.10, Investigations Section 11, page 6, Training
- 5. Training Logs/Records of Investigative Staff
- 6. Interviews with the following:
  - a. PREA Liaison Captain

b. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM) c. PREA Coordinator d. Staff (Random and Specialized) Corrective action: None required Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.35 (a) Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) 🛮 Yes 🖂 No 🖂 NA Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) 

Yes 

No 

NA Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No  $\square$  NA Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any fullor part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) ⋈ Yes □ No □ NA 115.35 (b) If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.) ⋈ Yes □ No □ NA 115.35 (c) Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have

115.35 (d)

work regularly in its facilities.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who

•	manda medica	edical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training ated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time all or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.) $\square$ No $\square$ NA		
•	also re does r	edical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency eceive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or eering for the agency.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA		
Audito	Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
		<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 2.7, Training and Staff Development Section 8 C, page 7, All Employees; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 9 B, page 6, In-Service Training; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 9 B, page 6, In-Service Training; and Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 8.6, Credentials for Health Services Staff, Section 6, Training of Health Services Staff collectively address the requirements of the standard.

The medical staff at MacDougall-Walker CI does not conduct forensic examinations. Exams are conducted in a local hospital with a qualified Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) or Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE). The examiners are qualified SAFE and SANE practitioners that comply with the National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations. MacDougall-Walker maintains documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the specialized training referenced in Standard 115.35. Training rosters, staff meetings sign in sheets and acknowledgments was submitted to the Auditor for her review. The agency ensures all full and part-time medical and mental health practitioners, who work regularly in its facilities, have been trained according to the practitioner's status in the agency. All mental health and medical staff have received the required specialized training on victim identification, interviewing, reporting and clinical interventions.

MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution employees receive training annually and support documentation was reviewed by the Auditor on site and is on file at the facility. Medical and mental health staff interviewed acknowledged completing specialized training for medical and mental health providers. The same staff also signed written acknowledgement forms acknowledging that they received and understood the training as it relates to PREA. Furthermore, interviews with medical and mental health staff confirmed awareness of their responsibilities to detect, assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse and how to respond and report PREA related incidents. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.35.

#### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 2.7, Training and Staff Development Section 8 C, page 7, All Employees
- Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 9 B, page 6, In-Service Training
- 4. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 9 B, page 6, In-Service Training
- 5. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 8.6, Credentials for Health Services Staff, Section 6, Training of Health Services Staff
- 6. Staff Training Agenda 2018 Annual Refresher Training
- 7. Training Logs/Records for Medical and Mental Health Practitioners
- 8. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Psychologist
  - b. Health Services Administrator
  - c. Staff (Random and Specialized)

**Corrective action:** None required

# SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

# Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.41 (a)

■ Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? 

⊠ Yes □ No

•	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.41	(b)
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?  ☑ Yes □ No
115.41	(c)
•	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.41	(d)
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No

•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.41	(e)
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior acts of sexual abuse? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior convictions for violent offenses? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.41	(f)
110.41	(i)
•	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.41	(a)
•	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral? $\hfill \boxtimes$ Yes $\hfill \square$ No
•	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request? $\hfill \hfill \$
•	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No
•	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.41	(h)
•	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.41	(i)
•	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? ⊠ Yes □ No

# □ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) □ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

**Does Not Meet Standard** (Requires Corrective Action)

#### **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination** 

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11, page 7, Screening for Risk and Abusiveness and subsection B, After Intake to the Facility; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11, page 7, Screening for Risk and Abusiveness and subsection B, After Intake to the Facility; and Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 9.3, Inmate Admissions, Transfers and Discharges, Section 7 A-3, page 8, PREA Screening of Inmates Transferred Between Facilities collectively address the requirements of Standard 115.41. CDOC and MacDougall-Walker policies require the use of a screening instrument to determine proper housing, bed assignment, work assignment, education and other program assignments, with the goal of keeping inmates at a high risk of being sexually abused/sexually harassed separate from those inmates who are at a high risk of being sexually abusive. MacDougall-Walker assesses all inmates during intake screening to include inmates that transfer from other prisons for risk of being sexually abused.

Interviews with staff and inmates (targeted and random) confirmed that intake screenings are conducted within 72 hours of the inmate's arrival at the facility. In addition, during intake screening, procedures require staff to review available documentation (judgment and sentence, commitment orders, criminal records, investigation reports, field and medical files) for any indication that an inmate has a history of sexually aggressive behavior. Housing assignments are made accordingly.

MacDougall-Walker utilizes a CDOC PREA Screening Form (CN 9306/2) as the objective screening instruments to screen for risk of victimization and abusiveness. Staff interviews and documentation review confirmed that the CN 9306/2 form included the required information outlined in Standard 115.41. Documentation did not support inmate reassessment for risk of victimization and abusiveness were conducted within 30 days of arrival or when even warranted. MWCI was followed by the Auditor for full compliance. A second sample of reassessments was reviewed for units A1, A2, B1, C1, C2 and RHU.

Interviews with targeted and random inmates denied being disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to any questions as stated in section (d).

The Deputy Warden/PCM indicated during his interview that the facility has implemented appropriate controls to monitor the dissemination of sensitive information within the facility. Inmate information is password protected and physical files are monitored by medical staff using a login and out system to prevent information being exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates.

Housing and program assignments are made on a case-by-case basis and inmates are not placed in housing units based solely on their sexual identification or status. Interviews with risk management staff and a random review of 30 risk screening assessments support the finding that the facility follows Standard 115.41. After corrective action, MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.41.

#### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11, page 7, Screening for Risk and Abusiveness and subsection B, After Intake to the Facility
- 3. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11, page 7, Screening for Risk and Abusiveness and subsection B, After Intake to the Facility
- 4. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 9.3, Inmate Admissions, Transfers and Discharges, Section 7 A-3, page 8, PREA Screening of Inmates Transferred Between Facilities
- 5. HR001 Intake Screening Form
- 6. CN 9306 PREA Screening Form
- 7. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Medical staff
  - b. Staff (Random and Specialized)
  - c. Unit Team members
  - d. Inmates (random and targeted)

#### **Corrective action:**

115.41 (f)-2 There were 30 inmate institutional files originally reviewed, of those thirty (30) files zero of the sample inmates received inmate re-assessments within 30 days of their arrival. The facility implemented a re-assessment procedure after the onsite portion of the audit. The written procedure has been in practice for greater than 90 days. An audit of the inmate files after corrective action demonstrated 11 or 100% of re-assessment were completed within 30 days and all necessary referrals were made to mental health by the facility. The facility now reassesses an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness now meets the requirements of Standard 115.41.

# All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.42 (a)		
■ Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? ⊠ Yes □ No		
■ Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?   Yes □ No		
■ Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? ☑ Yes ☐ No		
■ Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?   ☑ Yes □ No		
■ Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?   Yes □ No		
115.42 (b)		
<ul> <li>Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?</li></ul>		
115.42 (c)		
When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? ⋈ Yes □ No		
When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? ☑ Yes □ No		
115.42 (d)		
<ul> <li>Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>		
115.42 (e)		

serious	ch transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given is consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming ments? $oxtimes$ Yes $oxtimes$ No
115.42 (f)	
	insgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other as? $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Yes $\oxed{\square}$ No
115.42 (g)	
conser bisexualesbiar such ic the pla	s placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a nt decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, al, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: n, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of dentification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for incement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal ment.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
conser bisexu transge identifi placen	s placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a nt decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, al, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: ender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such cation or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the nent of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal nent.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
conser bisexu interse or statu LGBT	s placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a nt decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, al, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: ex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification us? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) $\square$ Yes $\square$ NA
Auditor Over	all Compliance Determination
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions 1	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

#### Ins

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11 C, page 9, Use of Screening Information; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11 D, page 9, Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11 C, page 9, Use of Screening Information; and Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11 D, page 9, Transgender and Intersex Inmates collectively address the requirements of this standard.

Connecticut Department of Correction Administrative Directive 6.12 requires MWCI to use information from the risk screening instrument to inform housing, bed, work, education and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk for being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11 C, page 9, Use of Screening Information requires MacDougall-Walker to make individualized determinations about how to ensure the sexual safety of each inmate. Staff interviewed confirmed that they understood their responsibility to adhere to Administrative Directive 6.12 and Standard 115.42.

Housing and program assignments at MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution are made on a case-by-case basis and inmates are not placed in housing units based solely on their sexual identification or status. The Auditor interviewed random and targeted inmates during the onsite audit. Each targeted inmate interviewed during the audit denied being placed in a dedicated living unit because of their gender identity, whether the inmate is or was perceived to be gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming. The Deputy Warden/PCM confirmed MacDougall-Walker was not operating under a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment that required the facility to establish a dedicated facility, unit, or wing for gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates.

All staff interviewed to include the PREA Liaison Captain and Deputy Warden/PCM each confirmed during individual interviews that a transgender or intersex inmate would be reassessed twice a year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate. Serious consideration would be given by staff of these inmates with respect to their own safety. Additionally, transgender or intersex inmates would be given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates. Staff and inmate interviews, the review of supporting documentation and the Auditor's observations confirm that the facility follows Standard 115.42. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.42.

#### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11 C, page 9, Use of Screening Information

3.	Ab	nnecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual use/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11 D, page 9, Transgender
4.	Co Ab	d Intersex Inmates Innecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual use/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11 C, page 9, Use of reening Information
5.	Co Ab	nnecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual use/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11 D, page 9, Transgender d Intersex Inmates
6.	a. b. c.	erviews with the following: Staff (Random and Specialized) Inmates (Random and Targeted) PREA Liaison Captain Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
Ca	\rro	ative action. None required
<u> </u>	ле	<u>ctive action:</u> None required
St	an,	dard 115.43: Protective Custody
		·
All	Ye	s/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
11	5.43	(a)
	•	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
	•	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
11:	5.43	s (b)
	•	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
	•	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
	•	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
	•	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
	•	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA

•	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA		
•	the fac	facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does cility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> restricts access grams, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA	
115.43	3 (c)		
•	housin	the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated ng only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged? $\Box$ No	
•	Does	such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? $oxtimes$ Yes $\odots$ No	
115.43	3 (d)		
•	section	involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this in, does the facility clearly document the basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
•	section	involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this in, does the facility clearly document the reason why no alternative means of separation arranged? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
115.43	3 (e)		
•	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? ⊠ Yes □ No		
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination	
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
	-4:	for Overell Compilers of Determination Nametics	

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11, page 7, Screening for Risk and Abusiveness and subsection B, After Intake to the Facility and Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11, page 7, Screening for Risk and Abusiveness and subsection B, After Intake to the Facility both address the requirements of this Standard 115.43.

CDOC policy states that inmates at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be placed in the Restrictive Housing Unit (RHU) unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and there is no available means of separating the inmate from the abuser. The inmates are reassessed every seven days after entering the RHU. The Deputy Warden/PCM and the PREA Liaison Captain both confirmed that zero inmates at risk of sexual victimization were placed in RHU in the past 12 months.

The Auditor also interviewed custody staff who supervised inmates in RHU who also denied the placement of inmates at high risk for sexual victimization being placed in RHU as a first choice. There were no inmates at risk of sexual victimization who were assigned to the RHU during the onsite portion of the audit. Specialized staff (medical and mental health) indicated that if a victim was placed in RHU he would be seen by staff at least weekly and more frequently if necessary. A Safeguarding of Inmates Alleging Sexual Abuse/Assault Allegation Form is completed by health care providers when considering all appropriate alternatives for safeguarding alleged inmate victims. Interviews with staff, an examination of the RHU operations during the facility tour and an examination of policy/documentation confirmed that MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.43.

#### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11, page 7, Screening for Risk and Abusiveness and subsection B, After Intake to the Facility
- 3. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 11, page 7, Screening for Risk and Abusiveness and subsection B, After Intake to the Facility
- 4. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Segregated Housing Officers
  - b. Staff (Random and Specialized)
  - c. Inmates (Random and Targeted)
  - d. RHU staff
  - e. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - d. PREA Liaison Captain

**Corrective action:** None required

#### **REPORTING**

# Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting

## All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.51	(a)			
■ Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No				
•	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No			
•	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No			
115.51	(b)			
•	Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No			
•	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No			
•	Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No			
•	Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA			
115.51	(c)			
•	■ Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No			
•	Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\ \ \Box$ No			
115.51	(d)			
•	■ Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?   Yes □ No			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
	☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			

$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 10, page 7, Inmate Education; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 12, page 9, Inmate and Third-Party Reporting of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment; Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse); PREA Notices; and the Inmate A&O Handbook collectively address the requirements of the standard.

A review of supporting documentation and staff/inmate interviews indicated that there are multiple ways (verbally, in writing, anonymously, privately and from a third party) for inmates to report sexual abuse/sexual harassment. The facility has procedures in place for staff to document all allegations. There are posters and other documents on display throughout the facility which also explain reporting methods. During a tour of the facility, the Auditor observed a row of telephones on each housing unit. The Auditor tested phones on every living unit for accessibility to third-party reporting entities. MacDougall-Walker also has stenciled in bright colored paint and easily visible to a bank of telephones multiple ways for inmates to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Interviews with random and targeted inmates confirmed that each inmate could give examples of at least three methods of privately reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Staff members interviewed during the audit were aware of their responsibility to promptly accept and document all verbal, written, anonymous, private, and third-party reports of alleged abuse. Family and friends of inmates may report sexual abuse/sexual harassment by using the CDOC website, phoning the CDOC Investigative Unit or CSP, or contacting facility staff. Moreover, all interviewed inmates confirmed awareness of the multiple methods of reporting sexual abuse/assault allegations. Inmates at MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution are not detained solely for civil immigration purposes. Interviews with staff and inmates, observations of posters addressing reporting methods, and an examination of policy/documentation confirmed that MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.51.

#### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

#### 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

- 2. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 10, page 7, Inmate Education
- 3. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 12, page 9, Inmate and Third-Party Reporting of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment
- 4. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse) Inmate and Third-Party Reporting of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment
- 5. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 10, page 7, Inmate Education
- 6. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 12, page 9, Inmate and Third-Party Reporting of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment
- 7. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse) Inmate and Third-Party Reporting of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment
- 8. CDOC PREA zero tolerance Policy poster (English)
- 9. CDOC PREA zero tolerance Policy poster (Spanish)
- 10. Inmate A&O Handbook and Addendum
- 11. Auditor's observations during the facility tour
- 12. Interviews with the following:
  - a. PREA Coordinator
  - b. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - c. PREA Liaison Captain
  - d. Staff (Random and Specialized)
  - e. Inmates (Random and Targeted)

**Corrective action:** None required

#### Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.52 (a)

■ Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. ✓ Yes □ No

#### 115.52 (b)

•	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115.52	2 (c)
•	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115.52	2 (d)
•	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate
	decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
•	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115.52	2 (e)
•	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA

document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA		
115.52 (f)		
■ Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that ar inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)   Yes □ No □ NA		
• After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.).		
<ul> <li>After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)</li></ul>		
■ After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  ☑ Yes □ No □ NA		
■ Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)   ☑ Yes □ No □ NA		
■ Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA		
■ Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)   ✓ Yes   ✓ No   ✓ NA		
115.52 (g)		
• If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⋈ Yes □ No □ NA		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 9.6, Inmate Administrative Remedies, Section 6, page 5, Inmate Grievance Procedure and the Administrative Remedy Form CN 9602 both address the requirements of this standard. The policy requires that all PREA grievances be processed in accordance with 115.52 (a-f).

Inmates may file a grievance, however, all allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment, when received by staff, will immediately be referred for investigation. Inmates are not required to use an informal grievance process and procedures also allow an inmate to submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. Additionally, policy prohibits the investigation of the allegation by either staff alleged to be involved in the incident or any staff who may be under their supervision. This may be accomplished by completing and depositing form CN 9602, Inmate Administrative Remedy Form, in the Administrative Remedies box. Policy states that there is no time frame for filing a grievance relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Allegations of physical abuse by staff shall be referred to the PREA Investigative Unit, in accordance with procedures established for such referrals. Policy addresses the filing of emergency administrative remedy requests. The Deputy Warden/PCM indicated that if an inmate files the emergency grievance with the institution and believes he is under a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse; an expedited response is required to be provided within 48 hours. CDOC best efforts are made to provide expedited appeal responses within five calendar days.

If an inmate reasonably believes the issue is sensitive and the inmate's safety or well-being would be placed in danger, if the remedy became known at the institution, the inmate may submit the remedy directly to the PREA Coordinator. Third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys and outside victim advocates shall be permitted in assisting inmates to file administrative remedies related to allegations of sexual abuse. During the onsite audit the Deputy Warden/PCM confirmed information contained in the PAQ (Standard 115.52) indicating there were zero grievances filed involving PREA related issues during the past 12 months. There were zero grievances alleging sexual abuse that involved an extension due to the final decision not being reached within 90 days. Additionally, there were zero grievances alleging sexual abuse filed by inmates in which the inmate declined third-party assistance. Inmates are held accountable for manipulative behavior and false allegations. Generally, disciplinary action would be taken if a grievance was filed in bad faith. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.52.

#### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Connecticut, Administrative Directive 9.6, Inmate Administrative Remedies, Section 6, page 5, Inmate Grievance Procedure
- 3. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Staff (Random and Specialized)
  - b. Inmates (Random and Targeted)

a. PR	REA Liaison Captain	
Correcti	ive action: None required	
<u>ooncen</u>	ive detion:	
Standa	ard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
All Yes/N	No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report	
115.53 (a		
se ind	oes the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support ervices related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or apper crisis organizations? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
ac St	oes the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing ddresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, tate, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> has persons detained olely for civil immigration purposes.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA	
	oes the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations nd agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
115.53 (b	o)	
CC	oes the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such ommunications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to uthorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
115.53 (c		
ag	■ Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidentia emotional support services related to sexual abuse?   ☑ Yes □ No	
	oes the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter to such agreements? $oxine$ Yes $oxine$ No	
Auditor (	Overall Compliance Determination	
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
×	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	

c. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)

	<b>Does Not Meet Standard</b>	(Requires Corrective Actio	n)
ш	Dues Not Meet Standard	(Neguires Corrective Action	"

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 10, page 7, Inmate Education and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 10, page 7, Inmate Education both address the requirements of Standard 115.53. The facility has a MOU with a local victim advocacy group. The Auditor reviewed the signed MOU document. The inmate handbook provides the contact information for alternate services and the information is also posted in each housing unit. The Auditor noted victim advocacy information on each living unit. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.53.

### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 10, page 7, Inmate Education
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 10, page 7, Inmate Education
- 4. MOU between MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution and Connecticut Alliance to End Sexual Violence (CONNSACS)
- 5. Inmate Handbook (English)
- 6. Inmate Handbook (Spanish) supplemental addendum
- 7. Inmate Handbook Addendum
- 8. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Staff (Random and Specialized)
  - b. Inmates (Random and Targeted)
  - c. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - d. PREA Liaison Captain

Corrective action: None required

# Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.54 (a)

		e agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual ment? $oxtimes$ Yes $\oxtimes$ No
		e agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual ment on behalf of an inmate? $oxtimes$ Yes $\oxtimes$ No
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 12, page 9, Inmate and Third-Party Reporting of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 12, page 9, Inmate and Third-Party Reporting of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment both address the requirements of Standard 115.54.

MWCI Inmate A&O Handbook (English), PREA posters (English and Spanish), CSP address, CDOC website, and the Connecticut Alliance to End Sexual Violence (CONNSACS) MOU collectively meet the mandates of Standard 115.54. The CDOC website, posted notices (inside living units and visiting room) and the MacDougall-Walker Inmate A&O Handbook provide inmates with contact information to the Connecticut State Police (\*9333#), toll free numbers to CDOC (\*9222#), and Connecticut Alliance to End Sexual Violence (CONNSACS) (\*9444) and their 24 Hour Hotline (1-888-999-5545/English or 1-888-568-8332/Spanish). The website and posted notices assist third party reporters in reporting allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. The inmates interviewed indicated they were aware of third-party reporting methods and would probably feel more comfortable reporting an incident of sexual abuse to someone outside the facility. RAINN, a National Sexual Abuse Hotline (800-656-HOPE) is also available to the inmate population for reporting incidents of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.54.

### Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 12, page 9, Inmate and Third-Party Reporting of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 12, page 9, Inmate and Third-Party Reporting of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment
- 4. MOU between MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution and Connecticut Alliance to End Sexual Violence (CONNSACS)
- 5. CDOC website
- 6. Inmate Handbook (English)
- 7. Inmate Handbook (Spanish) supplemental addendum
- 8. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Staff (Random and Specialized)
  - b. Inmates (Random and Targeted)
  - c. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - d. PREA Liaison Captain

**Corrective action:** None required

# OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING AN INMATE REPORT

# Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

# 115.61 (a)

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency Policy any
   Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? 

  ✓ Yes 

  ✓ No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?

  ☑ Yes □ No

### 115.61 (b)

Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? ⋈ Yes □ No

	\ - /	
•	practiti	otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health oners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?  □ No
•		edical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty ort, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.61	(d)	
	If the a	lleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or ulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State I services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.61	(e)	
•		he facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including thirdnd anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.6 Reporting of Incidents and Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse) both address Standard 115.61.

CDOC requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether it is part of the agency. Likewise, CDOC requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Further, CDOC requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation

115.61 (c)

of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?

Staff, contractors and volunteers must report and respond to allegations of sexually abusive behavior, regardless of the source of the report. Staff (random and specialized) members interviewed were aware of their duty to immediately report all allegations of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation relevant to the PREA standards. The PCM indicated that unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, CDOC medical and mental health providers at MWCI are required to report sexual abuse pursuant to Standard 115.61. More, CDOC/MWCI medical and mental health providers affirmed that they are required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services. Staff typically report allegations of sexual abuse to the Shift Commander, Lieutenant or Captain, but reports can also be made privately or by a third party.

CDOC policy requires the information concerning the identity of the alleged inmate victim and the specific facts of the case to be shared with staff on a need-to-know basis, because of their involvement with the victim's welfare and/or the investigation of the incident.

Administrative Directive 6.6, Reporting of Incidents, outlines the levels or classifications of inmate disciplinary violations. Class 1 Incidents include sexual abuse with immediate evidence that it occurred; Class 2, where there is sexual abuse, however, there is no immediate evidence that it occurred; and Class 3; sexual harassment. This policy described the required reporting procedures for each level of offense. A review of policy and interviews with staff support the finding that the facility follows this standard. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.61.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.6 Reporting of Incidents
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse)
- 4. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse)
- 5. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Staff (Random and Specialized)
  - b. Inmates (Random and Targeted)
  - c. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - d. PREA Liaison Captain

Corrective action: None required

# Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

sexual

### **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

# **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 11, page 7, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 11, page 7, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness both address the requirements of Standard 115.62.

Staff (random and specialized) members interviewed were very aware of their duties and responsibilities when they become aware or suspect that an inmate is being sexually abused or sexually harassed. All staff (random and specialized) indicated they would act immediately to protect the inmate, including separating the victim/predator, securing the scene to protect possible evidence, preventing the destruction of potential evidence and contacting the Shift Supervision, Lieutenant, PREA Liaison Captain, Deputy Warden/PCM and medical staff. During the Auditor interview the Deputy Warden/PCM confirmed information contained in the PAQ that in the past 12 months, there were zero instances in which MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution staff determined that an inmate was subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.62.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 11, page 7, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness

3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 11, page 7, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness 4. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 9.9 Protective Management, Section 6, Determination of Substantial Risk 5. Interviews with the following: a. Staff (Random and Specialized) b. Inmates (Random and Targeted) c. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM) d. PREA Liaison Captain Corrective action: None required Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.63 (a) Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No 115.63 (b) Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No 115.63 (c) • Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No 115.63 (d) Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? ⊠ Yes □ No **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)  $\boxtimes$ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative** 

**Does Not Meet Standard** (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.6 Reporting of Incidents; Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse); and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse) collectively address the requirements of Standard 115. 63.

Policy requires that any inmate allegation of sexual abuse occurring while confined at another facility be reported to the Warden of the facility where the alleged abuse occurred, within 72 hours of receipt of the allegation. The Auditor interviewed the PCM to established procedures that require the Warden to immediately notify the Chief Executive Officer of the other confinement facility, in writing, of the nature of the sexual abuse allegation. When the inmate reports sexual abuse/sexual harassment from state, non-bureau privatized facilities, jails, juvenile facilities, or inmate reentry centers, the Warden contacts the appropriate office of the facility and/or notifies the CDOC PREA Investigative Unit, if appropriate. The notification is to occur as soon as possible, but always within 72 hours of receiving the allegation. Policy also requires that an investigation be initiated. In the past 12 months, MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution received zero allegation from an inmate that he was abused while confined at another facility. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.63.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.6 Reporting of Incidents
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse)
- Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse)
- 5. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain
  - c. PREA Coordinator

Corrective action: None required

# Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.64 (a)			
<ul> <li>Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>			
■ Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?   Yes □ No			
■ Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?			
Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ⋈ Yes □ No			
115.64 (b)			
• If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? ⋈ Yes □ No			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative			

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse) and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse) both address the requirements of Standard 115.64.

All staff (random and specialized) interviewed were extremely knowledgeable concerning their first responder duties and responsibilities upon learning of an allegation of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. Staff (random and specialized) indicated they would separate the inmates, protect the victim, secure the crime scene, prevent the destruction of usable physical evidence, and contact the Shift Commander, Lieutenant, Deputy Warden/PCM, PREA Liaison Captain, and medical and mental health staff. The Shift Commander, Lieutenant or Captain would also be responsible to protect the inmate and notify medical, mental health, the Emergency Response Team (ERT), and administrative/executive staff. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.64.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse)
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse)
- 4. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain
  - c. Staff (Random and Specialized)
  - d. Shift Commander (intermediate or upper-level manager)

Corrective action: None required		

# Standard 115.65: Coordinated response

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

11	5.	.65	(a)

•	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first
	responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken
	in response to an incident of sexual abuse? $oximes$ Yes $oximes$ No

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)

— Does Not weet Standard (Requires Corrective Action		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
------------------------------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------------------------

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse) and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse) both address Standard 115.65.

CDOC Incident Report or Sexual Allegation of Sexual Abuse, PREA Incident Checklist, CN 61201, revised on 8/18/17, protocol addresses the requirements of this standard. The checklist was examined by the Auditor. The PREA Incident Checklist, form CDOC form CN 61201 guides staff through action steps in the advent of a PREA incident. The Auditor examined a written institutional plan MWCI developed to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse. Interviews with specialized and random staff confirmed each group (medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership) understood their role in a coordinated response. MWCI meets the requirements of Standard 115.65.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse)
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13, page 10, Staff Monitoring and Intervention (Sexual Abuse)
- 4. PREA Incident Checklist
- 5. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain
  - c. Staff (Random and Specialized)

Corrective action: None required

# Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

# All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

# 115.66 (a)

• Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? ⋈ Yes □ No

# 115.66 (b)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

# **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction conducts collective bargaining activities at the State level. There are no current agreements that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted. There have not been any new collective bargaining agreements since August 20, 2012. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.66.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain

# **Corrective action:** None required

# Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation

# All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.67	(a)
•	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No
115.67	(b)
•	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services, for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.67	(c)
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate

program changes? ⊠ Yes □ No

■ Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor performance reviews of staff? ⊠ Yes □ No			
■ Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor of staff? ⊠ Yes □ No			
■ Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring continuing need? ⊠ Yes □ No	g indicates a		
l15.67 (d)			
■ In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?  ☑ Yes □ No	?		
115.67 (e)			
<ul> <li>If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of rethe agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation</li></ul>	·		
115.67 (f)			
Auditor is not required to audit this provision.  Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways w standard for the relevant review period)	ith the		
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
nstructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative			

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 18, page 15, Post Allegation Protection of Inmates and Staff From Retaliation and Connecticut Department of Correction. MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 18, page 15, Post Allegation Protection of Inmates and Staff From Retaliation both address Standard 115.67.

The policies prohibit any type of retaliation against any staff person or inmate who reports sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperates in related investigations. The Deputy Warden/PCM is charged with monitoring retaliation. During the interview, he indicated that he follows up on all 30, 60 and 90-day reviews to ensure policy is being enforced and conducts periodic status checks on the frequency of incident reports, housing reassignments, and negative performance reviews/staff job reassignments, as required in 115.67c. In the event of possible retaliation, the Deputy Warden/PCM indicated he would monitor the situation indefinitely. There have been no incidents of retaliation in the past 12 months. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation and staff interviews. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.67.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 18, page 15, Post Allegation Protection of Inmates and Staff from Retaliation
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 18, page 15, Post Allegation Protection of Inmates and Staff from Retaliation
- 4. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)

Corrective action: None required

# Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

4	4	_	.68	101
1	1	ວ.	oo.	(a)

Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? ⋈ Yes □ No

### **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

# **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 11, page 7 – 8, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness, and page 8 – 9, After Intake to the Facility and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 11, page 7 – 8, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness, and page 8 – 9, After Intake to the Facility both address the requirement of Standard 115.68.

The facility's use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse is based on the requirements of Standard 115.43. Interviews and documentation reviewed at MacDougall-Walker indicated that inmates at high risk for sexual victimization are prohibited from being placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers.

Interviews with staff that supervise inmates in segregation indicated during an interview that if an assessment cannot be immediately completed, MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution would hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment. The mentioned above require staff to assess and consider all appropriate alternatives for safeguarding alleged inmate victims of sexual abuse/sexual harassment.

The Deputy Warden/PCM confirmed with the Auditor that staff must first consider other alternatives based on the circumstances of the allegation before considering the placement of an inmate in protective custody (RHU), alternatives such as placing the inmate in another housing unit, or transferring the inmate to another facility. Interviews with staff and the tour of the facility confirmed that there are usually viable alternatives to placing victims of sexual abuse/sexual harassment in RHU. The Deputy Warden/PCM confirmed that to the extent possible, access to programs, privileges, education and work opportunities would not be limited to inmates placed in RHU for the purpose of protective custody for reasons of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Restrictions of programs, privileges, education or work would be documented by the facility. There were zero inmates placed in post-allegation protective custody status within the last twelve months. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy and supporting documentation, as well as a tour of the facility and staff interviews. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.68.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 11, page 7 8, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness, and page 8 9, After Intake to the Facility
- Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 11, page 7 – 8, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness, and page 8 – 9, After Intake to the Facility

a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manger (PCM)
<ul><li>b. PREA Liaison Captain</li><li>c. Staff (Random and Specialized)</li></ul>
c. Stall (Natidotti and Specialized)
Corrective action: None required
INVESTIGATIONS
Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.71 (a)
When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
■ Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
115.71 (b)
■ Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.71 (c)
lacktriangle Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? $oximes$ Yes $oximes$ No
<ul> <li>■ Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
■ Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.71 (d)
When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? ☑ Yes ☐ No
115.71 (e)

4. Interviews with the following:

•	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.71	<b>(f)</b>
•	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.71	(g)
•	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.71	(h)
•	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No
115.71	(i)
•	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.71	(j)
-	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.71	(k)
•	Auditor is not required to audit this provision.
115.71	(I)
•	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination** 

Ш	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 1.10 Investigations, Section 6, pages 2 – 6, Initial Inquiries and Administrative Investigations; Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 1.10 Investigations, Section 8, pages 5 – 6, PREA Unit Investigations; Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 16, page 14, Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 16, page 14, Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Collectively address the requirement of Standard 115.71.

The Connecticut State Police (CSP) is identified by directive and agreement as the primary investigative authority (criminal) for the CDOC and MacDougall-Walker CI. The CDOC PREA Investigative Unit serves as the principle investigators for initial inquiries and administrative investigations. The Statewide PREA Investigative Unit Director/PREA Coordinator indicated that administrative reports that are investigated by his unit but thought to be criminal are forwarded to CSP for review and triage.

CDOC investigators utilize The Uniform Evidence Protocol. Where sexual abuse is alleged, CDOC uses investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by Standard 115.34, investigative training that outlines how best to investigate reports of sexual abuse and harassment in correctional confinement settings.

The PREA Investigative Unit Director/PREA Coordinator indicates that all referrals to CSP is well-documented. According to the PREA Coordinator, CSP investigations would include but not be limited to gathering and preserving direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data, assessing the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis, review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator and make referral for prosecutable criminal offenses to the prosecutor for action. Furthermore, the PREA Coordinator indicated that investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports would be investigated by the agency.

A total of 16 allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment were documented in the last 12 months. Eleven sexual abuse allegations investigations were generated at MacDougall-

Walker Correctional Institution during the last 12 months. Five allegations of sexual harassment occurred in the last 12 months. Five sexual harassment investigation were investigated, closed and each inmate was notified of the outcome of the investigation in writing. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation, investigative files, and staff interviews. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.71.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 1.10 Investigations, Section 6, pages 2 6, Initial Inquiries and Administrative Investigations
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 1.10 Investigations, Section 8, pages 5 6, PREA Unit Investigations
- 4. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 16, page 14, Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment
- 5. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 16, page 14, Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment
- 6. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain
  - c. PREA Coordinator
  - d. Staff (Random and Specialized)

**Corrective action:** None required

# Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

### 115.72 (a)

•	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the
	evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are
	substantiated? ⊠ Yes □ No

### **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)

□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 1.10 Investigations, Section 3, pages 1 – 2, Definitions and Acronyms; Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 1.10 Investigations, Section 6, pages 2 – 6, Initial Inquiries and Administrative Investigations. CDOC policies; and the interview with the PREA Coordinator collectively address the requirement of Standard 115.72. The evidence standard is a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment are substantiated. When interviewed, the PREA Coordinator was aware that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. The evidence standard was utilized in the cases reviewed by the Auditor. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.72.
Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed
<ol> <li>Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 1.10 Investigations, Section 3, pages 1 – 2, Definitions and Acronyms</li> <li>Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 1.10 Investigations, Section 6, pages 2 – 6, Initial Inquiries and Administrative Investigations</li> <li>Interviews with the following:         <ul> <li>PREA Coordinator</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
Corrective action: None required
- Mone required
Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.73 (a)
■ Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.73 (b)

agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency

If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an

	in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115.73	(c)
•	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.73	(d)
•	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.73	(e)
•	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? $oximes$ Yes $\odots$ No
115.73	(f)
•	Auditor is not required to audit this provision.
Audito	or Overall Compliance Determination
	☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 1.10 Investigations, Section 6, pages 2 - 4, Initial Inquiries and Administrative Investigations; Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 1.10 Investigations, Section 8, PREA Unit Investigations; Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 16, page 14, Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment; and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 16, page 14, Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment collectively address the requirements of Standard 115.73.

A total of 16 allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment were documented in the last 12 months. Eleven sexual abuse allegations investigations were generated at MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution during the last 12 months. Five allegations of sexual harassment occurred in the last 12 months. Five sexual harassment investigation were investigated, closed and the inmates was notified of the outcome of the investigation in writing. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation, investigative files, and staff interviews. Documentation is maintained in the investigative file. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy, an examination of the written notices, and staff interviews. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.73.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 1.10 Investigations, Section 6, pages 2 4, Initial Inquiries and Administrative Investigations
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 1.10 Investigations, Section 8, PREA Unit Investigations
- 4. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 16, page 14, Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment
- 5. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 16, page 14, Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment
- 6. PREA Investigation Tracking Log
- 7. Interviews with the following:

		Coordinator
Corre	ective a	ction: None required
		DISCIPLINE
Stan	dard 1	115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff
All Ye	s/No Qı	uestions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.76	6 (a)	
•		aff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency abuse or sexual harassment policies? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.76	6 (b)	
•		ination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual $$
115.76	6 (c)	
•	harass circum	sciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual ment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and stances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions and for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.76	6 (d)	
•	resigna	terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or ations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: aforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	resigna	terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or ations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: ant licensing bodies? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)

□ Does	<b>Not Meet S</b>	tandard (Red	quires Correc	tive Action)
--------	-------------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 2.17 Employee Conduct, Section 6, Staff Discipline; Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 21, page 16, Disciplinary Sanctions; and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 21, page 16, Disciplinary Sanctions collectively address the requirements of Standard 115.76.

Employees are subject to disciplinary sanctions for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. There have been no reported cases of inmates engaging in sexual activity with staff in the past 12 months and no staff members were disciplined or terminated for violation of agency policy. All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff that would have been terminated if not for their resignation, may be reported to criminal investigators and to any law enforcement or relevant professional/certifying/licensing agencies by the CDOC, unless the activity was clearly not criminal. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation and staff interviews. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.76.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 2.17 Employee Conduct, Section 6, Staff Discipline
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 21, page 16, Disciplinary Sanctions
- Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 21, page 16, Disciplinary Sanctions
- 5. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain
  - c. PREA Coordinator

**Corrective action:** None required

# Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

# All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.77	15.77 (a)					
•	•	contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with es? $\ oxed{\boxtimes}$ Yes $\ oxed{\square}$ No				
•	•	contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement ies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No				
•	-	contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing $\mathbb{R}^2$ Yes $\mathbb{R}^2$ No				
115.77	5.77 (b)					
•	contra	case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a ctor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider er to prohibit further contact with inmates? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No				
Audito	ditor Overall Compliance Determination					
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)				
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				

### **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

**Does Not Meet Standard** (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 21, page 16, Disciplinary Sanctions and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 21, page 16, Disciplinary Sanctions both address requirements of Standard 115.77.

Any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse/sexual harassment would be prohibited from contact with inmates and would be reported to the appropriate investigator, law enforcement, or relevant professional/licensing/certifying bodies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal in nature. In non-criminal cases, the Connecticut Department of Correction would take appropriate remedial measures and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates. During the past 12 months, there were zero incidents where a contractor or volunteer

was accused or found guilty of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy and volunteer/contractor training files and volunteer/contractor and staff interviews. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.77.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 21, page 16, Disciplinary Sanctions
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 21, page 16, Disciplinary Sanctions
- 4. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain

Corrective action: None required
----------------------------------

# Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

# All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

# 115.78 (a)

■ Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? ⊠ Yes □ No

### 115.78 (b)

Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? ⋈ Yes □ No

# 115.78 (c)

When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? ⋈ Yes □ No

### 115.78 (d)

• If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require

the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No				
115.78 (e)				
■ Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? ⊠ Yes □ No				
115.78 (f)				
■ For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? ⊠ Yes □ No				
115.78 (g)				
■ If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA				
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)				
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)				
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative				

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 21 C, page 16, Inmate Discipline; Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 21 C, page 16, Inmate Discipline; and Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 9.5 Code of Penal Discipline collectively address Standard 115.78.

The Code of Penal Discipline defines sexual abuse as persons regardless of gender, consent, coercion, force of threat involving non-consensual touching by force or threat of force, as the greatest severity level prohibited act. The code identifies inmates engaging in sexual acts and making sexual proposals or threats to another as a high severity level prohibited act. Non-consensual sex or sexual harassment of any nature is prohibited and will result in a disciplinary

action. CDOC prohibits consensual sex between inmates but it does not constitute sexual abuse. CDOC disciplinary sanctions are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, along with the inmate's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories. Inmates are subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to the formal disciplinary process defined in the Inmate Penal Code. MacDougall-Walker does not discipline inmates who make an allegation in good faith, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. Interviews with the PREA Coordinator support compliance with this standard. The PREA Coordinator and the Deputy Warden/PCM indicated that an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness would be considered when determining the type of sanction, if any, should be imposed. Specialized staff (mental health) interviewed confirmed that if mental disabilities or mental illness is a factor, the facility considers the offer of therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation, an examination of the inmate penal code, and staff interviews. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.78.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 21 C, page 16, Inmate Discipline
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 21 C, page 16, Inmate Discipline
- 4. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 9.5 Code of Penal Discipline
- 5. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain
  - c. PREA Coordinator
  - d. Specialized staff interviews

Corrective action: None required

# **MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE**

Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.81 (a)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative				
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
Audito	uditor Overall Compliance Determination			
•	■ Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? ⊠ Yes □ No			
115.81	(e)			
•	setting inform educat	information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, ion, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law? $\square$ No		
115.81	(d)			
•	victimize that the	creening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual zation, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure e inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within is of the intake screening? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No		
115.81	(c)			
•	sexual that the	creening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure e inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of ake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA		
115.81	(b)			
•	sexual ensure practiti	creening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health oner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)  □ No □ NA		

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 11, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness; Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 11, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness; Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 8.5 Mental Health Services, page 1-6; and Connecticut Department of Correction, Unit Directive 9.3 Inmate Admissions, Transfers and Discharges, Section J, pages 6-7, PREA Screening of Newly Admitted Inmates collectively address the requirements of Standard 115.81.

Interviews with health and psychology services staff confirmed that MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution has a system for collecting medical and mental health information on inmates. The system allows medical and mental health staff to provide an initial assessment and continued re-assessment and follow up care with inmates.

Intake Screening. All newly admitted and interfacility transferred inmates are required to be screened by health services staff upon admission to the facility prior to placement in general population. A mental health referral and evaluation by mental health staff within 24 hours of referral is also required for the following circumstances such as:

- A. Inmates incarcerated for the first time;
- B. Inmates discharged from a psychiatric facility within the last 30 days;
- C. Inmates who, within 30 days of incarceration, have displayed or indicated a suicidal ideation but lacked a plan to carry out the suicide;
- D. Inmates with mental health concerns as identified by the court, or as reported by a concerned party;
- E. Inmates with a history (within the past three (3) years) of suicide attempts or plans, either self-reported or reported by a concerned party.

Inmates with a history of suicide attempts or ideation beyond three (3) years or inmates currently participating in outpatient mental health programs or services must be seen by mental health staff within 72 hours of admission.

Inmates indicating having experienced prior sexual victimization or prior perpetration of sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, shall be offered a follow up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the initial screening.

Any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting shall be strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff, as necessary, to inform treatment plans and security and management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law. Mental health practitioners are required to obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting. All mandatory reporting laws for allegations of sexual abuse must be followed.

Health care providers who were interviewed during the audit confirmed that treatment services are offered without financial cost to the inmate. When indicated, specialized medical and mental health providers confirmed a duty to offer a follow-up meeting with a mental health provider within 14 days of the intake screening with inmates having experienced prior sexual victimization or prior perpetration of sexual abuse.

Specialized staff interviews with medical and mental health providers confirmed that inmates signed and dated informed consents before reporting prior sexual victimization which did not occur in an institutional setting is disclosed to need-to-know staff. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution does not house inmates under the age of 18. Electronic medical records are password protected. All medical, mental health and PREA related information is handled confidentially and interviews with the intake screening staff support this fact. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.81.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 11, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 11, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness
- 4. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 8.5 Mental Health Services, page 1-6
- 5. Connecticut Department of Correction, Unit Directive 9.3 Inmate Admissions, Transfers and Discharges, Section J, pages 6-7, PREA Screening of Newly Admitted Inmates
- 6. PREA Emergency Medical and Mental Health Services Log Sample
- 7. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. Specialized (medical/mental health) staff interviews

Corrective		

# Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	82	(a)
		ν.	~	14

•	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical
	treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by
	medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?

### 115.82 (b)

•	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to $\S$ 115.62? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No				
•		curity staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health oners? $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Yes $\oxed{\square}$ No			
115.82	(c)				
•	emerg	mate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to ency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with sionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No			
115.82	(d)				
•	<ul> <li>Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>				
Audito	Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
l 4	.4! 4	for Overall Compliance Determination Nametics			

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13 C, page 12 – 13, Medical Staff Action and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13 C, page 12 – 13, Medical Staff Action both address Standard 115.82.

The facility medical and mental health personnel provide service to all inmates placed at MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution. Medical personnel are on duty daily, seven days a week and are available for consultation or call-back on off duty hours. Mental health providers are on-site five days per week and are also available for call-back on off duty hours. Information and access to emergency medical care are offered to all inmate victims, as clinically indicated. Victim advocacy services are offered through trained staff members. Agency policy prohibits inmate co-pays for medical treatment from being applied to victims of sexual abuse and all treatment is offered at no financial cost to the inmate. Inmate victims of

sexual abuse, while incarcerated, are offered information about and timely access to information on sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. There was no allegation of sexual abuse that required referral for forensic examination and evidence collection by a SANE/SAFE examiner in the past 12 months. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation and interviews with a SANE/SAFE provider and facility medical staff. Secondary materials documenting compliance are on file. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.82.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13 C, page 12 13, Medical Staff Action
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13 C, page 12 13, Medical Staff Action
- 4. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. Specialized staff (medical and mental health)
  - c. SANE/SAFE interview

# **Corrective action:** None required

# Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

 , o (a)
Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile
facility? ⊠ Yes □ No

# 115.83 (b)

115 83 (a)

■ Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? ⊠ Yes □ No

### 115.83 (c)

■ Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? ⊠ Yes □ No

### 115.83 (d)

• Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA			
115.83 (e)			
If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all-male" facility. <i>Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.</i> ) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA			
115.83 (f)			
■ Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? ⊠ Yes □ No			
115.83 (g)			
<ul> <li>Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>			
115.83 (h)			
• If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative			

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13 C, Medical Staff Action, page 12-13 and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13 C, Medical Staff Action, page 12-13 both address Standard 115.83.

The MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution offers medical and mental health evaluations and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse. Services are consistent with a community level of care, without financial cost to the inmate. The facility houses male inmates. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution has fully staffed medical and mental health departments and offers victims of sexual abuse/sexual harassment medical and mental health services consistent with the standard of care available in the community. Inmate victims, while incarcerated, would be offered testing for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. Mental health evaluations are conducted on all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within at least 14 days of learning of such abuse history, but usually immediately, when staff members become aware of this information. When appropriate, treatment is offered by mental health practitioners. A review of documentation and interviews with medical/mental health staff support the finding that this facility is in compliance with this standard. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.83.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13 C, Medical Staff Action, page 12-13
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 13 C, Medical Staff Action, page 12-13
- 4. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Specialized staff (medical/mental health)

**Corrective action:** None required

# DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

### Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.86 (a)

■ Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.86	(b)			
•	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation $oxtimes$ Yes $\ \Box$ No	1?		
115.86	(c)			
•	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? $oxtimes$ Yes $\oxtimes$ No			
115.86	(d)			
•	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a nechange policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? $oxtimes$ Yes			
•	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by rethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? $oxtimes$ Yes $oxtimes$ In	, status, or		
•	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly ocassess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? $oxtimes$ Yes $\oxtimes$ No	curred to		
•	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? $\ oxed{\boxtimes}\ {\sf Yes}\ oxdot$ No			
•	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No			
•	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily lindeterminations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance managed $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	for		
115.86	(e)			
•	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its renot doing so? $oxtimes$ Yes $\oxtimes$ No	asons for		
Audito	Overall Compliance Determination			
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	ne		
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 22, Review by Facility of Sexual Abuse Incidents and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 22, Review by Facility of Sexual Abuse Incidents address Standard 115.86.

Administrative and criminal investigations are completed on all allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. The PREA Investigative Unit and CSP conduct all investigations. The PREA Investigative Unit Director was interviewed and found to be extremely knowledgeable concerning his duties and responsibilities. The MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution conducts a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation was proven to be unfounded. Based on interviews with members of the facility incident review team, the review is conducted within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation and consideration is given as to whether the incident was motivated by race, ethnicity, gender identity, status, perceived status, or gang affiliation. The team also decides as to whether additional monitoring technology should be added to enhance staff supervision. The incident review team is comprised of upper-level management officials, including the Deputy Warden/PCM, Captain, PREA Unit, medical and mental health representatives, and the Unit Manager of the alleged victim. All required sexual abuse incident reviews were completed at the conclusion of each substantiated and unsubstantiated allegation and each incident was thoroughly documented. The Deputy Warden/PCM indicated that the incident review team would seek additional information from other staff, as needed, to ensure a thorough review has been completed. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.86.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 22, Review by Facility of Sexual Abuse Incidents
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12 Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 22, Review by Facility of Sexual Abuse Incidents
- 4. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain
  - c. PREA Coordinator

# Corrective action: None required

# Standard 115.87: Data collection

# All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.87	(a)	
110101	(ω)	
•		he agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.87	(b)	
•		he agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? $\hfill\Box$ No
115.87	(c)	
•	from th	he incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions be most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ Yes $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$
115.87	(d)	
	,	
•	docum	he agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based ents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? $\Box$ No
115.87	(e)	
•	which i	he agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the ement of its inmates.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115.87	(f)	
•	Depart	he agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the ment of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) $\Box$ No $\Box$ NA
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

# **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's

conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 3, Definitions and Acronyms; Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 3, Definitions and Acronyms; and Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 23 A, Internal Reporting collectively address the requirements of Standard 115.87.

CDOC collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse/sexual harassment by using a standardized instrument. As confirmed by a review of the and State of Connecticut, Judicial Branch, Prison Rape Elimination Act, 2018 Report and the 2018 CDOC Annual Investigative Report, the facility collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse/sexual harassment by using a standardized instrument. The agency tracks information concerning sexual abuse using data from the facilities CDOC PREA Investigative Unit using computerized data management program. The data collected includes the information necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence, conducted by the Department of Justice. The agency aggregates and reviews all data annually. Upon request, the agency would provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice. Facility compliance with this standard was also determined by a review of policy, a review of the tracking documentation and staff interviews. The PREA Investigative Unit Director works in conjunction with PREA Compliance Managers to maintain and collect data required to meet this standard. The PREA Investigative Unit Director/PREA Coordinator confirmed the process by interview. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.87.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 3, Definitions and Acronyms
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 3, Definitions and Acronyms
- 4. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 23 A, Internal Reporting
- 5. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain
  - c. PREA Coordinator

# **Corrective action:** None required

# Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action

# All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.00 (a	.)		
ar	bes the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, actices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No		
ar pr	bes the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to $\S$ 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, actices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? Yes $\square$ No		
ar pr	bes the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to $\S$ 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, actices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective ctions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No		
115.88 (b			
ac	bes the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective stions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in ddressing sexual abuse $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No		
115.88 (c			
110100 (0	,		
	the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the iblic through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No		
115.88 (d			
	,		
fro	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative** 

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 23 C, Tracking and BCC, Administrative Directive 6.12 and Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 23 C, Tracking and BCC, Administrative Directive 6.12 both address Standard 115.88.

Connecticut Department of Correction reviews and assesses all sexual abuse/sexual harassment data at least annually to improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies. The identification of trends, issues or problematic areas are a priority and, if discovered, corrective action is initiated. The PCM forwards data to the respective CDOC PREA Investigative Unit Director/PREA Coordinator. An annual report is prepared and placed on the CDOC website. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation and staff interviews. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.88.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 23 C, Tracking and BCC, Administrative Directive 6.12
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, MWCI Unit Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 23 C, Tracking and BCC, Administrative Directive 6.12
- 4. Form: CN 61203, PREA Incident Post-Investigation Facility Review
- 5. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain
  - c. PREA Coordinator

Corrective action: None required

# Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction

# All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.89 (a	1	15	.89	(a
-----------	---	----	-----	----

•	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?
	⊠ Yes □ No

### 115.89 (b)

•	and pr	the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control ivate facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually h its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?   Yes  No
115.89	) (c)	
•		the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data y available? $oxtimes$ Yes $\oxtimes$ No
115.89	) (d)	
•	years	the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires vise? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
Audit	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ctions	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
compli conclu not me	ance or sions. T eet the s	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does tandard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Abuse Conne Abuse	e/Sexua ecticut e/Sexua	Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual al Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 23 A, Internal Reporting; Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual al Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 23 C, Tracking; and CDOC ention Schedule, Series #26 collectively address Standard 115.89.
		ntion Schedules indicates that agency records shall be retained in accordance with ecords retention schedules as follows:
Page B. Scl C. Sc D. Sc E. Scl	4 of 4 hedule hedule hedule hedule	S-1, Administrative Records; Directive Number 4.7 Effective Date 10/22/15 Title Records Retention; S-2, Personnel Records; S-3, Fiscal Records; S-4, Health Records; S-5, Higher Education Records; S-6, Information Systems Records;

G. Schedule RC-050.

Department of Correction Records Retention Schedule and H. General Letter 2009-2, Management and Retention of E-mails and other Electronic Messages indicates that record disposal shall be coordinated through an agency in accordance with the provisions of this Directive 4.7.

The PREA Investigative Unit Director/PREA Coordinator reviews data compiled by each CDOC facility and issues a report to the Commissioner of the Connecticut Department of Correction on an annual basis. The data is securely retained and published on the CDOC website after the removal of all personal identifying information. The reports cover all data noted in this standard. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.89.

# Policy, Materials, Interviews and Other Evidence Reviewed

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 23 A, Internal Reporting
- 3. Connecticut Department of Correction, Administrative Directive 6.12, Inmate Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Section 23 C, Tracking
- 4. Connecticut Department of Corrections, Administrative Directive 4.7, Record Retention
- 5. CDOC Records Retention Schedule, Series #26
- 6. PREA Investigations and Records Review
- 7. PREA Investigative Reports by Facility 2013-2017
- 8. Auditor Summary Report, Bishop House 2018
- 9. Auditor Summary Report, Isaiah House 2018
- 10. Auditor Summary Report, Mary Magdalene House, 2018
- 11. Auditor Summary Report, Next Step Cottage, 2018
- 12. Auditor Summary Report, January House, 2018
- 13. Auditor Summary Report, Bridgeport Correctional Center, 2018
- 14. Auditor Summary Report, Corrigan-Radgowski Correctional Center
- 15. Auditor Summary Report, Manson Youth Center, 2018
- 16. Auditor Summary Report, Willard-Cybulski Correctional Institution, 2018
- 17. Form: CN 61203, PREA Incident Post-Investigation Facility Review
- 18. Interviews with the following:
  - a. Deputy Warden, PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)
  - b. PREA Liaison Captain
  - c. PREA Coordinator

Corrective action: None required

# **AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION**

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

# All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.401 (a)
■ During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? ( <i>Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.</i> ) ⊠ Yes □ No
115.401 (b)
Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)   ⊠ Yes □ No
If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is <b>not</b> the second year of the current audit cycle.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is <b>not</b> the <i>third</i> year of the current audit cycle.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
115.401 (h)
■ Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?  ☑ Yes □ No
115.401 (i)
• Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.401 (m)
<ul> <li>Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
115.401 (n)
■ Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?   ⊠ Yes □ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
compliance or conclusions. The state of the	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the ron-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by a specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
the facility a conduct privileast one PF audited during supporting of throughout the Auditor and the Auditor a	e second PREA audit of this facility. The Auditor was allowed access to all areas of and had access to all required supporting documentation. The Auditor was able to ate interviews with both inmates and staff. All CDOC facilities have received at REA audit since August 20, 2012. At least one-third of all CDOC facilities wereing the one-year period after August 20, 2012. The Auditor was provided locumentation before and during the audit. Notifications of the audit posted he MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution allowed inmates to send letters to the Auditor prior to the audit. There was no confidential letter mailed to as a result of the audit postings in the housing units. MacDougall-Walker Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.401.
Corrective	action: None required
Standard	115.403: Audit contents and findings
All Yes/No Q	uestions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.403 (f)	
availa three C.F.R no Fir	gency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly ble, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeals pursuant to 28 . § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been hal Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies here has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
Auditor Ove	rall Compliance Determination
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Ad
--------------------------------------------------

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution has fully implemented all policies, practices and procedures outlined in the PREA standards. The Auditor reviewed applicable standards and through the review of supporting documentation, interviews with staff, inmates, and the observation of physical evidence, concluded that this facility fully meets and substantially complies in all material ways with the PREA standards for the relevant review period. CDOC policies are directly tied to the PREA standards and staff expectations. The public has access to reporting mechanisms and CDOC PREA trends data via the CDOC website. The MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution currently complies with all applicable PREA standards and no further corrective actions are required. MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution met the requirements for Standard 115.403.

**Corrective action:** None required

# **AUDITOR CERTIFICATION**

I certify that	<u>:</u>
$\boxtimes$	The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
	No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
	I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.
Auditor Ir	nstructions:
electronic sig searchable F into a PDF fo	Il name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official gnature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document prior to submission. Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have ed. See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting s.
Sonva Lov	ve 10/04/2019

	_ ,	
Auditor Signature	Date	

PREA Audit Report – V5.

 $<sup>^{1}\,\</sup>text{See additional instructions here:}\,\underline{\text{https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110}\,.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See *PREA Auditor Handbook*, Version 1.0, August 2017; Pages 68-69.