Year in Review 2009

Annual reports were occasionally published by the Wildlife Division, but became a regular feature of the January/February issues of Connecticut Wildlife magazine, starting in 2002. The first “Year in Review” highlighted Division accomplishments in 2001. This current issue of the magazine continues the tradition, looking back at the accomplishments of 2009. This summary is an excellent resource on current or recently completed projects, as well as a historical record. Annual reports published in the early years of the Division’s existence, along with old issues of the “Connecticut Wildlife Conservation Bulletin,” were valuable sources of information when we compiled an issue of SCOPE (the precursor to Connecticut Wildlife) in 1991 that highlighted the 125-year history of wildlife management in Connecticut. That historical issue gave an account from the early years when employees of the Board of Fisheries and Game managed only game species to 1991 when responsibilities of the Wildlife Division encompassed all wildlife species. The 150th anniversary won’t be marked until 2016, but it will be interesting to look back through old and recent annual reports to obtain a new picture of how much the Division and wildlife management have changed over the decades.

As I assembled all of the reports written by Division staff and put this issue together, I was amazed at how much was accomplished in a year of tight budgets and early retirements. Despite reductions in funding, Division staff members, in some capacity, were able to carry on or complete most, but not all, of their projects. Their assistance in putting together this comprehensive issue of Connecticut Wildlife is greatly appreciated.

This annual report issue also marks the first full-color edition of the magazine. Connecticut Wildlife has come a long way since its inception in 1981, when it began as an informal newsletter called SCOPE. Originally typewritten and copied on a copy machine, with no photographs, SCOPE transformed over the years into a magazine format with color photographs, in-depth articles, additional pages, and more readers. Photographer and Media Designer Paul Fusco and I have been involved with the transformation since 1988 and we plan to continue improving the magazine into the future.

Biggest Story of the Year

As a Division, we all believe that each and every one of the topics covered in the “Year in Review” is important. However, there is one that stands out on its own, mostly due to the urgency it presents, – the threat of white-nose syndrome to bat populations. So much is still unknown about this strange affliction that is causing an alarming and precipitous decline in bat numbers throughout Connecticut and the Northeast. Biologists are working diligently to learn more. Be sure to read the section on page 6 of this issue that provides the most recent updates on white-nose syndrome and Connecticut’s efforts to monitor its effects.

Kathy Herz, Editor
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Little brown bats hibernating in a Connecticut hibernaculum. Bat populations are plummeting because of white-nose syndrome, which is characterized by a white, fuzzy fungus that invades the face, ears, and wings of bats while they hibernate.
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Species Research and Management

Nongame Birds

Twenty breeding pairs of bald eagles (state endangered) attempted to nest in 2009. Seventeen pairs fledged 31 chicks; 16 chicks were banded by biologists. Volunteers counted 80 bald eagles (48 adult, 32 immature) during the 2009 Midwinter Bald Eagle Survey in January.

Connecticut recorded 13 pairs of peregrine falcons (state endangered). Ten pairs fledged young. Biologists obtained a chick count of 25 from 9 of the nests, and 9 chicks were banded.

Biologists and volunteers surveyed osprey platforms statewide during the nesting season and banded 79 chicks.

Charles Island, off the coast of Milford, and Duck Island, off the coast of Westbrook, were closed to the public during the heron and egret nesting season to reduce the effect of heavy recreational use on these state-listed species. Division and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service staff repaired the fencing that protects the interior of the islands where the birds nest.

With the help of fencing and other protection efforts, 44 pairs of piping plovers (state and federally threatened) fledged 74 young and 90 pairs of least terns (state threatened) fledged 11 young. Least tern chicks fledged from only 2 out of 8 nesting areas routinely used by the birds. Terns nesting in the colony at Long Beach in Stratford lost their nests to abnormally high tides. Forty trained volunteers monitored several beaches and distributed educational materials to beachgoers. Twenty-four fireworks permit applications were reviewed for impacts to piping plovers.

Division staff and volunteers conducted summer night bird surveys to determine the distribution of whip-poor-wills and northern saw-whet owls. Sixteen survey routes were completed, and whip-poor-wills were estimated to occupy 27% of the routes. Detections from these surveys have assisted in mapping statewide distributions of these 2 species.
Biologists conducted radio telemetry and invertebrate sampling to identify specific habitat features and management that are associated with foraging use by whip-poor-will, and to determine prey selection and availability.

The Division organized volunteers and staff to conduct surveys to determine the status of owls of greatest conservation need in Connecticut. These winter surveys targeted great-horned owl, barred owl, long-eared owl, and eastern screech owl. A total of 42 statewide survey routes were run at least once during 2006 and 2009. Barred owls were the most abundant owls recorded in the surveys, followed by great-horned, screech, and long-eared owls.

Breeding brown thrashers are difficult to confirm because of their relative rarity, combined with low detection rates. A volunteer conducted targeted surveys at 16 locations to help increase certainty of brown thrasher submissions to Connecticut’s Natural Diversity Database, as well as improve understanding of this bird’s nesting habitat.

Grassland bird surveys were conducted at Bradley International Airport and the Enfield/Somers prison complex. Despite the rainy spring and summer, the number of birds observed was consistent with previous years.

Early successional habitat is rapidly decreasing in Connecticut, but is being restored through efforts to create habitat for New England cottontails. Early successional birds may benefit from these restoration efforts. Therefore, the Division initiated pre-monitoring of birds at sites that are targeted for New England cottontail habitat restoration. Bird surveys were conducted at Goshen Wildlife Management Area (WMA; Goshen), Housatonic WMA (Kent), and Roraback WMA (Harwinton). Surveys will be continued after the conclusion of management activities to assess effects of the management on early successional bird species.

Division staff and volunteers continued to conduct bird surveys in early successional shrubland habitat across the state to obtain baseline data on species occupancy of managed properties. Targeted habitats included old fields, shrublands, woodland edges, grasslands, and powerline right-of-ways. The species observed at the most sites were gray catbird, eastern towhee, blue-winged warbler, and Baltimore oriole, all of regional importance.
conservation concern. The surveys also detected several state-listed species, including alder flycatcher, brown thrasher, sedge wren, and golden-winged warbler.

**Chimney Swifts**

Division staff and volunteers monitored fall migration staging at known chimney swift roost sites. Activity was reported throughout the spring migration and summer breeding seasons. Collectively, information was recorded for 22 roost locations, and swifts were active at 17 roosts. Migration activity ranged from a few individuals to over 400 birds. Activity at roosts during summer, combined with an earlier end to fall migration roost activity may be an indication that populations or summer breeding productivity have decreased in Connecticut.

Volunteers conducted Chimney Watch surveys at 30 chimneys in survey blocks in Norfolk, Brookfield, Guilford, Chaplin, and Norwich. Swifts were observed occupying 1 chimney. Data were submitted to the regional database.

Seventy-eight chimneys used for Chimney Watch were revisited in 2009 to determine a rate of chimney capping. Six percent of the chimneys became unavailable between 2008 and 2009 because of capping. The average capping rate by survey block was 14%. According to Breeding Bird Survey data, chimney swifts are declining at a rate of at least 6%. The capping rate may be an indicator of the rate at which nesting habitat is becoming unavailable for chimney swifts, and an explanation for their decline.

Results of Chimney Watch efforts indicated that there are many available but unoccupied chimneys in Connecticut. The Division began investigating if habitat features, other than chimney availability, are important for chimney swifts. DEP staff conducted point count surveys in different habitat types to determine if foraging swifts “prefer” a particular habitat type. The results of these surveys indicated that wetland habitats may be important for foraging, along with chimneys for nesting.

**American Woodcock**

Woodcock surveys on 10 statewide routes continued in 2009. Survey results provide an index to the status of the woodcock population and its habitat. The average number of woodcock heard per stop in 2009 was 0.26, which is similar to the 0.20 heard per stop in 2008. Since 2003, when surveys began on these routes, there has been no significant change in the total number of birds heard. However, the gradual decline in birds heard on some of the routes is likely the result of increased development and differing land use.

Habitat improvement work at a woodcock/early successional habitat demonstration area at Roraback WMA (Harwinton) commenced in August. Biologists attached radio transmitters to 3 woodcock at the site before the habitat project began to provide an assessment of habitat use before and after the work. The Division expects to conduct 2 workshops on habitat management for woodcock at the demonstration area in 2010.

The Connecticut Woodcock Council, Wildlife Management Institute, Beardsley Zoo, and the Natural Resources Conservation Service Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program are funding the demonstration area.

**Waterfowl**

Leg band return data provide information about survival rates, harvest, and the migratory patterns of waterfowl. Such information is essential for waterfowl management, particularly for assessing the effects of season length and bag limits on harvest rates. Canada geese were banded during the molting period at 47 sites throughout the state. Division staff and volunteers captured 757 adults, 586 juvenile birds, and 430 previously-banded geese.
Annual preseason duck banding operations yielded 1,302 ducks. Leg bands were placed on 1,190 mallards, 56 wood ducks, 40 American black ducks, 14 mallard x black duck hybrids, 1 blue-winged teal, and 1 northern pintail. An additional 296 ducks were banded postseason as part of a wintering black duck study. This included 169 mallards, 87 black ducks, and 40 mallard x black duck hybrids. All ducks were aged, sexed, and banded before being released.

The second year of a wintering black duck study was finished. The project aims to assess winter carrying capacity, habitat use, and survival of wintering black ducks. This involves monthly food availability sampling at 4 study sites and capturing ducks to attach radio transmitters. Radio transmitters have been placed on 26 female black ducks to assess habitat use and survival. Nine of those hens were lost to various forms of mortality during winter 2008-2009. The final portion of the block duck study is to quantify the amount of food available to the birds in various habitats. Biologists began the third and final year of the study in November 2009.

Atlantic brant (49) were captured and banded during winter 2009. Wood duck nest boxes (296) on state land were checked during winter 2008-2009. Overall, 81% of the boxes were in good condition. Duck use of nest boxes was 49% in the eastern part of the state and 57% in the western part.

Wood duck boxes were installed in eastern (55) and western (35) Connecticut. Thirty-five nest boxes also were constructed at Flaherty Field Trial Area (East Windsor).

The Division conducted the breeding waterfowl survey, breeding swan survey, triennial Atlantic Flyway summer mute swan survey, breeding marshbird surveys, woodcock surveys, and the midwinter waterfowl inventory.

**Wild Turkey**

During the 2009 spring turkey hunt-
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ing season, 7,376 permits were issued and 1,502 turkeys were harvested (72% adult males, 27% juvenile birds, and 1% bearded hens).

Brood surveys provide an index of annual productivity for the state’s turkey population. Survey cooperators reported 333 wild turkey observations, including 2,918 hens – 1,588 with broods and 1,330 without broods. The 2009 average statewide brood size (total number of poults/total number of hens) of 2.0 poults per hen was lower than the 2008 average of 2.2. Brood survey information for the past 3 years has shown a downward trend for wild turkeys across Connecticut. This downward trend may be attributed to wet and cool weather in spring when turkeys are nesting and rearing their young, thus leading to reductions in productivity.

**Mourning Doves**

A mourning dove banding program was initiated to assist with regional monitoring efforts. Division staff caught and banded 7 “after hatching year” and 20 “hatching year” mourning doves at 4 different locations throughout the state. The goal is to annually band at least 50 doves as part of the monitoring effort.

**Avian Influenza**

Targeted surveillance of migratory birds for Asian H5N1 continued as part of a national plan to monitor the potential spread of the disease into North America. Resident Canada geese, mallards, black ducks, greater scaup, long-tailed ducks, Atlantic brant, semi-palmated and least sandpipers, dunlin, sanderlings, and black-bellied plovers are targeted for sampling in Connecticut. Connecticut was given the task to collect at least 600 samples from live and hunter harvested birds in 2009. The Division submitted 807 samples for testing in 2008 – 27 samples from found dead birds, 10 from hunter harvests, and 770 from live bird captures. The Division also continued with mortality event surveillance by conducting weekly mortality surveys at 26 sites throughout the state.

**Tricolored Bat Project**

The Division conducted a home range study of the tricolored bat (originally known as eastern pipistrelle) with funding from Connecticut’s Endangered Species/Wildlife Income Tax Check-off Fund. Although the tricolored bat is considered a common species throughout much of its range, very little research has been done to reveal its summer ecology. Five adult females from a colony in Stamford were fitted with radio transmitters and tracked nightly from early June through mid-July in 2009. Analysis of the data is not yet complete, but should provide useful information about habitat and landscape preferences.

**Weasels**

2009 marked the conclusion of a 2-year status and distribution study of short-tailed and long-tailed weasels. Trapping efforts were conducted throughout the state at federal, state, and town-owned properties, as well as on several private properties. Between July and December 2008, 11 individuals were captured 19 times during 1,549 trap nights (one trap night was defined as one 24-hour period in which the trap was set). An additional 40 weasel specimens were collected from trappers, licensed wildlife rehabilitators, Nuisance Wildlife Control Operators, nature centers, and by collecting weasels killed by pets and vehicles. Similar to historically described ranges for these species, long-tailed weasels were found throughout Connecticut while short-tailed weasels were found in the northwestern part of the state. Limited data were collected for short-tailed weasels, therefore the species’ range may be underestimated. The Division will continue collecting weasel observations and specimens for future analyses.

**Black Bears**

Winter dens of 16 radio-collared female black bears were inspected in February and March to examine reproduction. Eleven of these sows had litters of cubs, with an average 2.3 cubs per litter. Five sows denned with yearlings born during the previous winter. Biologists estimated the first year survival of

Every spring and summer, the DEP attempts to recapture bears that have either lost their radio collars or have malfunctioning collars. Previously captured bears, like the one pictured above, can become increasingly difficult to recapture as they may become “trap smart.”
cubs to be close to 80% by determining the number of yearlings present.

During 2009, 26 previously untagged bears were captured. These included 3 yearlings tagged at their winter den, 15 bears while trapping to recapture research bears, and 8 bears captured at problem sites. Division biologists have captured and tagged nearly 150 bears since 2001.

From October 2008 through September 2009, 1,967 bear sightings and 196 cases of property damage were reported to the Division. During this same period, 21 bears were killed by vehicles and 2 bears tagged in Connecticut were killed by vehicles in other states. This was the highest recorded 1-year total of vehicle-killed bears.

**Pelt Tagging Totals of Furbearers, 1997-98 through 2008-09 Seasons.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Beaver</th>
<th>River Otter</th>
<th>Mink</th>
<th>Coyote</th>
<th>Red Fox</th>
<th>Gray Fox</th>
<th>Fisher</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997-98</td>
<td>1,163</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998-99</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-00</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-01</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-02</td>
<td>1,224</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-03</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-04</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-06</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>2,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>1,237</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>2,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>1,095</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>2,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>1,917</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trappers are required to have the pelts of certain furbearing species, like the otter, tagged by a DEP representative before they are sold, exchanged, or kept for personal use.

**Habitat for the New England Cottontail**

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service awarded grants to a consortium of Northeast states participating in a regional initiative to restore 1,200 acres of New England cottontail habitat. The Department received a grant of $223,525 to restore 150 acres on state lands. The New England cottontail is a high priority mammal among species of greatest conservation need and is the only native cottontail rabbit found in Connecticut. This regional habitat restoration initiative is one major component of a strategy to restore and secure populations of New England cottontails in the Northeast.

Activities will include reclaiming old field sites, control of non-native invasive plants, and the clearing of forested areas to encourage regeneration of plants less than 3-inches in diameter to provide dense thickets of cover. Although this project will provide direct benefits to native cottontail populations, these early successional habitats also may benefit a wide array of species of greatest conservation need, including 70 species of butterflies and moths, 3 species of beetles, 40 species of birds, 11 species of reptiles, and 9 species of mammals. State-lands selected to be managed include Roraback WMA, Goshen WMA (Goshen), Housatonic River WMA (Kent), and Camp Columbia State Park (Morris).

**P. J. Fusco**

**Trapping and Hunting Harvest Totals**

Trapping and hunting harvest totals for beaver, river otter, mink, red fox, gray fox, coyote, and fisher were determined through pelt tagging. Trapping harvest totals for muskrat, raccoon, skunk, opossum, and weasel are estimated from a trapper questionnaire. Season harvest totals for most species and harvests by trappers and hunters decreased compared to the 2007-2008 season. Trappers tagged 973 beavers and 182 fishers in 2008-2009. The proportion of coyotes taken by trappers increased and the proportion taken by hunting decreased in each of the 4 years following a regulation change that allows land trapping for coyotes.

Trappers reported on an annual survey that 74% of the beavers and 100% of the coyotes they trapped were taken to resolve problems. Trapping is valuable in directly resolving beaver conflicts and managing the beaver.
population. Trapping is allowed on 68 state land units, primarily state forest and wildlife management areas. Forty-seven trappers purchased 93 permits for trapping these parcels during the 2008-2009 trapping season. Approximately 20% of the state-wide harvest of many furbearer species was taken on state land.

Observations of bobcats continued to increase. The 227 reported observations comprised the greatest 12-month total yet recorded. Bobcats are observed more frequently west of the Connecticut River.

Fisher sightings were reported at about the same level as in 2008, but reports have declined by more than 50% in the last 5 years. Trapping harvests will be examined for evidence of a population decline. Fisher sightings and harvests are more frequent east of the Connecticut River.

Carcasses of river otters (39), fishers (39), bobcats (30), and black bears (20) were examined to determine reproductive status, age, and diet. Otters, fishers, and bobcats had good indices of reproduction. The sample of female bears was too low to assess reproduction.

Master Wildlife Conservationist Jim Batterson (left) assists Wildlife Division District Maintainer Koert Riley at a deer check station during the shotgun/rifle deer hunting season. Koert and Jim are collecting biological data, such as weight, antler beam diameter, and age. They are examining the teeth to estimate the buck’s age.

White-tailed Deer and Moose

Health of Connecticut’s deer herd and changes in hunting pressure are assessed by collecting biological data from hunter harvested deer at check stations. Division staff collected biological data from about 2,000 deer during the 2009 shotgun/rifle deer hunting season.

A deer management plan implemented for the Bluff Point Coastal Reserve (Groton) has reduced the deer herd from about 222 deer per square mile down to about 20 per square mile. In January 2009, 18 deer were removed from the reserve over 4 nights by Department personnel to maintain the population at 20 deer per square mile. All deer removed were donated to Hunters for the Hungry and distributed to area food shelters.

The Division received a grant from the U.S. Department of Agriculture to conduct surveillance for chronic wasting disease (CWD) in Connecticut’s deer population. Work under this grant was completed by the Division and the University of Connecticut’s Department of Natural Resources and the Environment. Tissue samples were collected from about 650 vehicle-killed and hunter-harvested deer and all samples tested negative for CWD. Over 3,000 samples have been tested for CWD during the last 5 years and all tests were negative. Surveillance efforts will continue in 2010.

The Division received grants from Connecticut’s Endangered Species/ Wildlife Income Tax Checkoff Fund and the Northeast Wildlife Damage Management Cooperative to study the state’s moose population. This cooperative study between the Division and University of Connecticut focuses on home range size, habitat use, movements, causes of mortality, and public perceptions about moose. Efforts to capture moose have been limited. So far, 2 bulls and 1 cow were captured, collared, and ear-tagged. One moose uses a 10-square mile area in Hartland, the collar of another moose malfunctioned, and efforts to locate the remaining moose have been unsuccessful. Moose capture efforts have resumed this winter.

Public and general hunter opinions about moose and moose management were collected in 2008 and final analysis was conducted in 2009. A detailed survey regarding moose and moose management was prepared and mailed to over 800 deer hunters in June, July, and September with a 64% response rate. Analysis is planned for spring, along with a final report for all surveys by May 2010. Data from this study will assist the Department in developing a comprehensive moose management plan. There were 93 reported moose sightings and 2 documented moose vehicle accidents in 2009.

Small Game

An estimated 5,395 daily and 809 season permits were issued for hunting on permit-regulated hunting areas during the 2008-2009 small game hunting seasons. Extrapolated survey data indicated that
Information on wood turtles was requested from residents in Fairfield County. Habitat loss and fragmentation is a concern in this county, which has the highest human population in Connecticut. One wood turtle was recorded.

Monofilament Line Recycling Receptacles

Wildlife Division staff constructed monofilament fishing line recycling receptacles and placed them at inland and coastal sites around the state to encourage less waste line in the environment. Volunteers will collect the disposed fishing line from the receptacles. The line will be sent to a company that recycles it to make underwater habitat structures for fish.

Carelessly discarded fishing line can seriously harm or kill wildlife. Animals can become entangled in, or ingest, the line, whereby starvation, strangulation, or deep wounding are possible. Usually, wildlife cannot survive the injuries they sustain from entanglements.

Help protect wildlife and keep your favorite fishing area clean by placing waste fishing line in a recycling receptacle. It’s the responsible thing to do!

Ring-necked Pheasants

During the 2009 fall hunting season, 14,303 adult ring-necked pheasants were purchased for release on 42 state-owned, state-leased, and permit-required hunting areas. The Division continues to use volunteers to assist with stocking on several public hunting areas.

Surveys at Suffield WMA

The DEP purchased the former General Cigar property in Suffield in 2008. The 195-acre area, now known as Suffield WMA, was formerly used for growing tobacco. It has more than 100 acres of open or managed field habitat and is contiguous to a 400-acre state wildlife area in Southwick, Massachusetts. Inventory of existing habitat conditions and wildlife use of the property began in 2009. Extensive herpetological surveys also were conducted by staff and volunteers, and vegetation, birds, butterflies, tiger beetles, other insects, and spiders were sampled, identified, and inventoried.

Reptiles

2009 marked the 20th field season of a bog turtle (state endangered, federally threatened) study to survey historic and new locations for the presence or absence of suitable habitat and turtles. The decline of bog turtles is mainly due to habitat loss and, in small part, to collection pressure. No bog turtles were found at the 2 historic sites surveyed.

Information on wood turtles was requested from residents in Fairfield County. Habitat loss and fragmentation is a concern in this county, which has the highest human population in Connecticut. One wood turtle was recorded.

Invertebrates

Counts for adult Puritan tiger beetles (state endangered, federally threatened) were conducted at all known sites along the Connecticut River from late June through the beginning of August. Division staff closed a beach site to limit disturbance to the adult beetles from human recreation. Staff also searched for larval burrows at previously unchecked but potentially suitable sites along the Connecticut River. Boat surveys were conducted over 2 days to collect habitat information and sand samples at sandy beach areas along the Connecticut River to determine potential Puritan tiger beetle habitat. Vegetation was removed at 2 beetle sites to improve habitat.

Signs detailing state regulations and a map of closed areas were erected at 3 locations on the coast to protect horse-shoe crabs.
Division staff and volunteers initiated a project to determine the distribution of the northern dusk-singing cicada (*Tibicen auletes*; state species of special concern). This annual cicada was thought to be extirpated from Connecticut until it was rediscovered in 2007. It is considered the largest cicada in North America. Unlike other cicadas, this species sings only at dusk. Therefore, it is unclear whether the cicada had been overlooked by scientists or it is truly rare. More research is slated for 2010.

The northern metalmark (state endangered) is a small butterfly that uses forest habitats with openings, often with limestone outcrops. It is dependent on its host plant, roundleaf ragwort. This past field season, habitat was restored at 3 northern metalmark colonies by removing invasive species, thinning the forest canopy, planting nectar sources, and erecting a fence around planted nectar sources to protect them from deer browsing. The restoration was accomplished with the help of work parties comprised of Dr. David Wagner’s students from the University of Connecticut, the Connecticut Butterfly Association, The Nature Conservancy, various volunteers, and the Wildlife Division. Division and UConn staff also surveyed metalmarks at these colonies.

Habitat conditions of the 3 colonies have improved as a result of this work and northern metalmarks were observed at all of the sites this year.

**Grassland Bird Monitoring at Bradley International Airport**

The grassy areas surrounding the runways at Bradley International Airport in Windsor Locks have served as important breeding grounds for a number of state-listed bird species for more than 10 years. These grassland-obligate species, such as the upland sandpiper, grasshopper sparrow, and eastern meadowlark, require large tracts of grassland to successfully rear young. The Wildlife Division established 13 survey points around the 2 main runways in 1996 to gain a better understanding of how many of these birds are on the property and where. Point count surveys have been conducted most years since that time by Division staff (1996, 2001-2009) and volunteers from the Massachusetts Audubon Society (1998-2000). An estimated number of breeding pairs of each species can be calculated from the data collected. Unfortunately, upland sandpipers are difficult to document during point surveys because of extremely large territories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bobolink</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Meadowlark</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasshopper Sparrow</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horned Lark</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savannah Sparrow</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upland Sandpiper^</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* There were less survey points in 2008 and 2009 due to loss of available habitat from various construction projects.

^ This species is not well documented during point count surveys because of extremely large territories.
Connecticut’s Other Goose - The Brant

*Article and photography by Paul Fusco, Wildlife Outreach Program*

Everyone is familiar with the sometimes all-too-common Canada goose, but not so with its less common relative, the brant. Brant are found at scattered locations along the Connecticut shoreline only during winter. They are uncommon to fairly common in the appropriate habitats, and are usually found in small flocks numbering up to 40 or 50 individuals. Other species of goose are sometimes found in Connecticut, but only the Canada and brant regularly occur in numbers.

Brant are small, stocky geese. They have a black head, neck, and breast, and a white neck blaze. Their topside is dark brown and the belly is pale. Seen up close, brant have a short, stubby bill, and a short, black tail with a contrasting white underside. Their white upper tail coverts are long enough to obscure most of the black tail. At a distance, brant appear all dark with a white backside.

**Range and Habitat**

Nearly always associated with salt water, brant are maritime geese. They are rarely found on inland bodies of water with such occurrences usually happening only during migration. Brant breed in high Arctic tundra regions across the northern hemisphere, where they are found in wet coastal lowland tundra habitats, often with components of small ponds, inlets, and small islands. They usually nest among grass or sedge tussocks on the flat plain of small islets. Brant nest farther north than any other species of goose.

Brant tend to favor marshes in winter that fringe shallow water bays and estuaries where they can forage on submergent aquatic vegetation, especially eelgrass and sea lettuce. Brant have adapted in recent years to feeding at cultivated areas and grass fields that are close to the coast.

**Flight**

When compared to other species of goose, brant have rather long and slightly pointed wings. Their flight is fast and agile; they fly with rapid wing beats. Flocks typically fly low over water in ragged formations of lines or loose Vs.

Brant often vocalize in flight, sounding soft “ronk, ronk” calls. When birds are in a flock, the constant calls merge into a background noise that carries long distances across the water.

**Conservation**

Brant numbers fluctuate considerably depending on annual breeding success and food availability. In some years, few young are raised if nesting birds are subjected to extended periods of severe weather. Bad weather on the breeding grounds not only destroys nests and eggs, but also may kill young birds.

Brant populations declined dramatically in the 1930s when a worldwide die-off of their main food source, eelgrass, occurred. The die-off was caused by eelgrass wasting disease. Brant are specialized and were once thought to be heavily dependent on eelgrass as a winter food source. With the decline in eelgrass due to disease, a portion of the brant population was able to adapt to other food sources, including sea lettuce and grass. Since that time, eelgrass has been recovering, and so have brant, although brant are now using other foods more often. The brant population has recovered from the eelgrass wasting disease event of the 1930s, but not to the historic numbers seen before the population crash.

Although brant are cold weather birds, they are susceptible to extreme cold that freezes coastal waters. When coastal waters freeze, brant are unable to
access their aquatic food sources, making them vulnerable to starvation if the freeze is prolonged. At these times, brant may be seen foraging on lawns in coastal parks and golf courses.

**Management**

Brant hunting season length and bag limits are determined every year based on recommendations of the Atlantic Flyway Council. The Council is comprised of biologists from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and state and provincial agencies. Harvest of Atlantic brant was prohibited in the Atlantic Flyway from 1933 to 1952 due to low population numbers that were the result of the eelgrass die-off. Based on population levels after 1952, limited harvest was restored and continued into the 1970s.

The Atlantic brant population declined significantly during the 1970s due primarily to poor reproduction and also winter mortality, including high harvest. At that time, steps were taken to restrict harvest quotas, with a goal of maintaining the population at 150,000 birds before more liberal harvest levels would take affect. Because breeding success rates are extremely variable, hunting seasons are now adjusted accordingly.

Winter waterfowl surveys in the region provide the basis for population estimates that are used to help determine hunting seasons. In Connecticut, those surveys have been done every year from the air by Wildlife Division staff.

Population levels for Atlantic brant have fluctuated dramatically over the years. Estimates showed recovery from the 1930s to a high of 265,000 in 1961. By the 1970s, Atlantic brant numbers dropped to a low of 40,000 in 1973. Since the 1970s, numbers have rebounded but remain in the 150,000 range. The most recent estimated population for Atlantic brant was 151,000 birds in January 2009. Surveys show, that starting in 2004, brant numbers have topped 1,000 every year in Connecticut. Their numbers were much less in previous years. The dramatic increase indicates that more brant are wintering in Connecticut than ever before.

**Three Subspecies**

*There are three subspecies of brant, differing in plumage characteristics and range.*

**Dark-bellied Brant - Branta bernicla bernicla**
- Uniformly dark gray-brown overall with flanks and belly not contrasting with back.
- Breeds in western and central Siberia. Winters in western Europe, primarily along the coasts of England, France, and Germany.

**Pale-bellied Brant - Branta bernicla hrota**
- Also known as Atlantic brant.
- Pale belly contrasts with black chest and dark back.
- Side neck patches do not meet in front.
- Breeds in Greenland and northeastern Canada. Winters in northwestern Europe and the Atlantic coast of the United States from Massachusetts to North Carolina.

**Black Brant - Branta bernicla nigricans**
- Uniform sooty dark with contrasting white flank markings.
- Black belly.
- Extensive white neck patches form nearly complete collar.
- Breeds in western Canada, Alaska, and eastern Siberia. Winters primarily from southern Alaska to California, with smaller numbers in eastern Asia.
Outreach and Education

The Division’s Outreach Program published 5 issues of *Connecticut Wildlife* magazine, prepared press releases on wildlife topics, and assisted in the production of several publications, including the annual deer and turkey summaries and wildlife fact sheets. Most of these publications are available on the DEP Web site (www.ct.gov/dep/wildlife). Due to the new automated licensing system on the DEP’s Web site, there was a large increase in the number of subscribers to *Connecticut Wildlife* magazine in 2009. Sportsmen and women who purchase their hunting and fishing licenses and permits through the automated system also have the opportunity to purchase a subscription to the magazine.

The 9th Master Wildlife Conservationist (MWC) Program series was completed by 24 participants at the Sessions Woods Conservation Education Center (Burlington). MWCs assist the Division with public programs and wildlife projects. Sixty-three MWCs provided over 3,700 hours of volunteer service in 2009.

MWCs and Outreach Program staff presented 185 programs to various school, scout, civic, and general public audiences. Division biologists also presented public programs on various wildlife topics to such groups as conservation organizations, municipal commissions, students, and civic organizations. Requests for media interviews were received throughout the year.

Programs held at Sessions Woods included wildlife presentations and tours of the exhibit area that focused on Connecticut’s changing landscape. Nine elementary school classes from the Hartford area visited Sessions Woods with assistance from a Newman’s Own Foundation grant awarded to the Friends of Sessions Woods. Sessions Woods also was the host-site for a DEP-sponsored Great Park Pursuit event drawing over 500 families.

Wildlife displays, featuring coyotes, black bears, and state wildlife issues, were staffed at 12 public events.

The Division continues to provide bundles of rough-cut wood to groups for the purpose of constructing bluebird nest boxes. Forty-three groups participated and successfully turned 75 bundles into approximately 1,500 new nest boxes. Participation was statewide and included scout troops, school groups, nature centers, land trusts, conservation commissions, and many others.
Friends of Sessions Woods

The Wildlife Division appreciates the support of the Friends of Sessions Woods, a volunteer organization established to facilitate projects and programs that enhance the value of Sessions Woods. The Friends received a second grant from the Newman’s Own Foundation in 2009. This grant funded a second printing of the children’s workbook, “Exploring Wildlife at Sessions Woods,” and increased a transportation fund for field trips to Sessions Woods.

The Main Street Community Foundation, Inc., provided a grant in 2009 for a waterfowl display in the Education Center. Friends of Sessions Woods provided a 50% match to the grant. Two new exhibit cases have been purchased and beautiful waterfowl mounts are now on display.

Division staff conducted 2 bluebird nest box workshops and partnered with the White Memorial Foundation in Litchfield to organize a bat house building workshop. Participants learned firsthand how these artificial nesting structures can benefit Connecticut’s wildlife. They also learned about the importance of checking and maintaining the boxes to ensure long term usage.

Wildlife Mural Unveiled at Sessions Woods

Local artist and Master Wildlife Conservationist Charlene VanNess donated her time and talent to paint a stunning 8’ x 24’ mural depicting the beaver marsh at Sessions Woods and the various wildlife species that can be found there. Charlene’s painting is realistic and detailed, from the sky to the trees to the water, as well as from the soaring red-tailed hawk to the river otter to the smallest of dragonflies. The Division and the Friends of Sessions Woods held a special event to unveil the mural in June, which drew at least 60 attendees, including DEP Deputy Commissioner Susan Frechette, Friends of Sessions Woods members, Wildlife Division staff, and Charlene’s friends and family. The mural currently hangs in the lobby of the Sessions Woods Conservation Education Center, in Burlington, for all visitors to admire. Charlene did an amazing job of capturing the beauty of the beaver marsh, and all of her hard work and dedication are greatly appreciated.

Funding for Wildlife

Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Program: Many of the projects described in this annual report are funded by sportsmen’s dollars, either through the purchase of licenses, permits, and hunting equipment. The Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Program provides funding for wildlife management and research, habitat acquisition, wildlife management area development, and hunter education programs. Funds for this program are provided through an excise tax on the sale of sporting firearms, ammunition, and archery equipment.

State Wildlife Grants: This program provides federal dollars to support cost-effective conservation aimed at preventing wildlife from becoming endangered. A non-federal match requirement assures local ownership and leverages state and private funds to support conservation. Projects supported by State Wildlife Grants restore degraded habitat, reintroduce native wildlife, develop partnerships with private landowners, and collect data to find out more about declining species.

Endangered Species/Wildlife Income Tax Check-off Fund: This fund was created in 1993 by the State Legislature to allow Connecticut state income taxpayers to voluntarily donate portions of their tax refund to support efforts aimed at helping Connecticut’s endangered species, natural area preserves, and watchable wildlife.

Threatened and Endangered Species List

The Department is mandated to review and update Connecticut’s Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern Species List every 5 years. Taxonomic advisory committees were called on in 2007 to review the available data and assess the status of the state’s plants and animals. A public hearing on the proposed changes was held in September 2009 and the regulation is now entering the final stages of approval. The new list also incorporates significant taxonomic revisions that are now widely accepted in the scientific community and resulted in name changes for many species. Look for the updated list of Endangered, Threatened and Special Concern Species in early 2010.
State Lands Management

Activities of the Division’s State Lands Management Program are focused on the state’s 105 wildlife management areas (WMA) comprising 32,000 acres. Projects also are undertaken at state forests, parks, and flood control areas. Activities continue to emphasize early successional habitats (i.e., young forests, old fields, grasslands). Such sites are rapidly declining due to the loss of farmlands, development, and the absence of fire within our landscape.

Even though state and Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration funding have been limited over the past decade, the Division has received funding through the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program (WHIP). This valuable program was the first Farm Bill conservation program specifically developed to address wildlife resource needs on non-federal lands. Projects, since the inception of WHIP in 1998, have included warm and cool season grass establishment, riparian native tree and shrub plantings, water control structure replacement/enhancements, aspen/young forest regeneration, and old field enhancement/non-native plant management targeting invasive species, such as autumn olive, multi-flora rose, Asiatic bittersweet, tartarian honeysuckle, and tree-of-heaven.

Management practices include brush mowing, heavy-duty brush and tree removal with specialized equipment (i.e. brontosaurus, fecon mower, and feller buncher), prescribed burning, no-till fluffy grassland seedings, and selective herbiciding.

State Land Management staff also provided guidance for management projects to assure that impacts to wildlife were minimized and potential benefits were secured. These included:

- Input on 2 forest management plans comprising 1,316 acres;
- 2 enduro reviews;
- 47 property reviews;
- 12 reviews of Department proposals, including boat launches, access roads, trails, and facility development.

Operational activities included:

- Boundary posting of 8.5 miles at Suffield WMA (Suffield) and Flaherty Management Area.
- Access road upgrades (2.25 miles total) at Rose Hill WMA (Preston), Pease Brook WMA in Lebanon, Bartlett Brook WMA in Lebanon, Spignesi WMA in Scotland (2), and Bloomfield Flood Control Area. The Program also oversees 54 agricultural agreements on approximately 1,404 acres. This program allows farmers to use state-owned agricultural lands in exchange for maintaining wildlife habitat.
- Division staff developed comprehensive 10-year management plans for Roraback WMA (Harwinton) and Flaherty Management Area (East Windsor), covering over 2,500 acres. These plans provide direction in the application of habitat management treatments over the next decade.

Woodcock Demonstration Sites

An ongoing partnership between the Department and the Connecticut Woodcock Council has resulted in the accomplishment of the first state lands cooperative habitat enhancement project. The Division completed a 13-acre regeneration harvest at Roraback WMA in Harwinton with funding spearheaded by the Connecticut Woodcock Council and contributions from the Wildlife Management Institute and Beardsley Zoo. The project, which was completed in August 2009, provides critical early successional forest/shrubland habitat for the benefit of American woodcock, New England cottontails, and an assortment of 47 “species of greatest conservation need” identified in Connecticut’s Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy. This area now serves as a demonstration site to educate private landowners on early successional habitat and management strategies and opportunities. The area also is a component of an ongoing regional New England cottontail restoration project.
Maintenance at 16 inland marshes, including vegetation control via mowing and herbiciding and management of water levels to maximize wetland wildlife values and minimize human public safety conflicts.

Staff serves as the Department’s Lead Core team member on the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service’s Conte Refuge Initiative, which will result in a more comprehensive federal plan incorporating the wildlife resource needs of Connecticut.

**Belding WMA**

Funding from WHIP allowed the Division to accomplish several habitat projects at the 282-acre Belding WMA (Vernon) in 2009. A 3-acre stand of pitch pine was restored by removing competing overstory trees and disturbing the soil. Non-native invasive plants were controlled in old fields and grasslands via brush mowing, manual removal, and application of herbicides. Over 200 native shrubs were planted to enhance riparian habitat, and 225 chestnut trees were planted to create a more diverse regenerating hardwood stand representative of the historical forest composition. Annual bird, amphibian, and mast surveys also were conducted.

Outreach is a key component of activities at Belding WMA. Nineteen off-site programs on wildlife ecology and habitat management were conducted at local schools, public libraries, and the Tolland County Agricultural Center; 309 people participated in these programs. In addition, 18 outreach initiatives were conducted involving University of Connecticut students, Junior Gardeners, Cub Scouts, and general interpretive walks.

Third and fourth grade students from the Vernon School system visited Belding WMA as part of the science curriculum. In May, 234 third graders visited Belding over...
Native Trees and Shrubs Planted at Cockaponset State Forest

An ongoing early successional habitat enhancement project was initiated in late summer 2007 within a 50-acre block of Cockaponset State Forest in Middletown. The Division used a brontosaurus (heavy-duty, drum-style mower mounted on an excavator), a tractor-mounted brush hog, and herbicide treatments to control invasive woody plants, primarily multiflora rose, oriental bittersweet, and autumn olive, within a 19-acre field formally used for growing corn and hay. Funding for the project was awarded by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, through its Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program.

Five hundred native, berry-producing trees and shrubs were planted in May 2009 in a 2-acre area along the edges of the field to further enhance the field’s value to wildlife. Species planted included arrowwood, serviceberry, gray dogwood, red chokeberry, highbush blueberry, northern bayberry, blackhaw viburnum, and Eastern red cedar. These plants provide dense cover and a high quality food source for a variety of mammals, insects, and resident and migratory birds throughout the year. Long-term maintenance will include the selective application of herbicides and periodic mowing.

C-flex mesh fencing (1.75-inch x 2.25-inch mesh) was installed around clumps of native trees and shrubs planted at Cockaponset State Forest to protect them from deer browsing. For more information about this project, contact Ann Kilpatrick, District Wildlife Biologist, at the DEP’s Eastern District Headquarters (800-295-9523).

PHOTO: A. KILPATRICK, HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Look for an article in the March/April 2010 issue of Connecticut Wildlife that highlights the most recent LIP projects accomplished in 2009.
Technical Assistance

Nuisance Wildlife

The Division receives thousands of phone calls involving human-wildlife conflicts every year. The majority of these calls concern “urban” wildlife species that take advantage of the shelter and food found around homes and businesses. Although common wildlife comprise a majority of the calls, the diversity of wildlife in Connecticut and the capacity of many other species to adapt to living in developed areas has given rise to many other conflicts. Recommendations for controlling wildlife damage and identifying permanent solutions to prevent repeated damage are routinely provided to the public. Information also is provided on animal behavior.

The Nuisance Wildlife Control Operator (NWCO) Program licensed 365 NWCOs in 2009 who service an estimated 5,000 residents annually. NWCO reports indicate that most homeowner complaints involve problems caused by common species, such as gray squirrels, raccoons, skunks, and woodchucks. In addition to these routine species, the Division issued over 75 NWCO Special Permits for the control of certain mammals identified as special permit species and some migratory birds. The control of special permit species, such as muskrats, coyotes, and foxes, requires qualified NWCOs to use advanced trapping methods, equipment, and safety protocols not generally used or allowed in urban settings. NWCO special permits are also issued, in conjunction with federal Migratory Bird Depredation Permits, when the control of protected migratory birds, such as Canada geese, woodpeckers, gulls, or turkey vultures, is required to prevent severe property damages or resolve public health and safety issues.

Technical assistance staff held an Advanced NWCO Training Class for 8 NWCOs interested in providing live capture “round-up” services for the control of nuisance resident Canada geese. Class participants were provided with information on the biology and management of Connecticut’s resident goose population, federal and state migratory bird laws, and depredation permits. They also received training on how to complete a Canada goose management plan for a site. Interested NWCOs also must complete a comprehensive Canada goose control training class and successfully round-up molting flightless Canada geese during a field training class conducted by the Department. Eleven NWCOs were recognized in 2009 as qualified to provide “round-up” services to landowners considering this method of goose control.

Wildlife Rehabilitation

The Division responds to calls from the public regarding sick, injured, and orphaned wild animals. The Division does not have the resources to provide care for these animals. Therefore, it relies on a network of volunteer wildlife rehabilitators that consists of private individuals, staff at nature centers, and local veterinarians who have the proper training, as well as the appropriate facilities to house wildlife species until they can be returned to the wild. There are 254 individuals authorized to care for animals in need. Of that group, 4 are authorized to care for orphaned fawns and 43 have specialized training and authorization for handling rabies vector species (RVS; skunks, raccoons, foxes). In addition, 61 individuals have federal permits to care for migratory birds. In 2008, wildlife rehabilitators cared for 13,471 animals, which included 8,294 birds, 5,052 mammals (of which 133 were fawns and 399 were RVS), and 125 reptiles and amphibians. A total of 9,327 (69%) of the animals cared for were released back into the wild.

Nuisance Beaver Management

Nuisance beavers remain a significant concern for many property owners throughout Connecticut. The majority of complaints are received during April to October. Most concerns can be addressed with basic information on beaver behavior and the majority are addressed during the regulated trapping season. Concerns involving health and safety can be addressed outside of the regulated trapping season under specific statutory authorization. Those who inquire about nuisance beavers are provided with information about management options, including trapping, piping, fencing, and tolerance. Division staff also manages nuisance beaver problems on other Department properties. There is no relocation of beaver in the state. The number of nuisance beaver complaints received from private landowners in 2009 was 216.

Anyone with nuisance beaver or deer damage complaints should contact the Wildlife Division at either the DEP Eastern District Headquarters in Marlborough (860-295-9523) or the Sessions Woods office in Burlington (860-675-8130).
Commercial Deer Damage

The Deer Damage Permit Program addresses severe damage to crops of commercial farmers. The permit allows for the harvest of deer outside of the regulated deer hunting season, specifically to protect commercial crops. Farmers must meet certain requirements, and their property is inspected to evaluate the presence or absence of damage and the level of severity. Permits are valid from January 1 through October 31 of that year. Farmers are expected to use the regulated hunting seasons after October 31. All laws and regulations of the regulated hunting season apply to the use of crop damage permits. The Division processed 133 new deer damage complaints in 2009, which required 111 site inspections.

Mosquito Management

Connecticut’s Mosquito Management Program is a collaborative effort involving the DEP Wetland Habitat and Mosquito Management Program, Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, Department of Public Health, Department of Agriculture, and the University of Connecticut Department of Pathobiology and Veterinary Science. Ninety-one mosquito trap locations are maintained throughout the state from June through October to monitor the mosquito population and track mosquito-borne pathogens like West Nile virus (WNV) and eastern equine encephalitis (EEE) that can cause disease in humans, birds, and animals. In the 2009 season, 289,243 mosquitoes were trapped and tested, and 33 WNV-positive pools of mosquitoes were isolated. There also were 118 EEE isolations, which encompassed the eastern half of the state and, by late summer, parts of Fairfield County as well.

There were no confirmed human cases of EEE in Connecticut, although horse and non-native bird deaths were reported. A horse reportedly died from EEE in Plainfield, and the virus also was identified in penned pheasants in

Wetland Restoration Projects

Invasive Plant Control

The Division’s Wetlands Habitat and Mosquito Management (WHAMM) Program used specialized mowing machines and sprayed herbicides to control phragmites, an invasive plant, on 341 acres:

● The Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program funded phragmites control projects at: Assoskon Swamp WMA in North Stonington; the Verkades Property in Waterford (part of Harkness Memorial State Park); Barn Island WMA dike 1 in Stonington; John Minetto State Park in Torrington; White Memorial Conservation Center in Litchfield; Penny Hill Road in Ashford; and Harkness Memorial State Park in Waterford.

● Under the Natural Resources Conservation Service’s Wetlands Reserve Program, projects were completed at Ayers Point, Ragged Rock, and Plum Bank in Old Saybrook; Back River, Upper Island in Old Lyme; Silver Sands State Park in Milford; and Sherwood Island State Park in Westport.

● The Landowner Incentive Program funded control projects at North Cove, South Cove, and Mill Meadows in Old Saybrook; Lieutenant River in Old Lyme; Lords Cove in Lyme; Bermuda Road, Grove Point, and Sherwood Mill Pond in Westport; Flanders Nature Center in Woodbury; Seaside Avenue in Guilford; and Long Wharf in New Haven.

● The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service funded a phragmites control project at Poquetanuck Cove in Ledyard.

● The Connecticut Department of Transportation funded control projects at Groton Airport in Groton, Shenipsit State Forest in Stafford Springs, and West River in West Haven.

Other invasive plant projects included the control of 4 acres of Japanese knotweed at Groton Airport and Harkness State Park, and 0.5 acres of yellow floating heart at Camp Columbia State Forest in Morris.

Habitat Projects:

The WHAMM Program also completed a river habitat project for the DEP Fisheries Division on the Shetucket River in Scotland. A wetland habitat project for the Department of Transportation was initiated in 2009. Five 1-acre pools will be created on the Turkey Hill Brook Section of the Wheeler Wildlife Management Area in Milford. A 14-acre section of this area is currently being treated for phragmites control.

A black duck swims in a tidal marsh restored by the Wetlands Habitat and Mosquito Management Program. The Program was one of the first wetland habitat restoration programs in the country with a dedicated staff and specialized, low ground pressure equipment used exclusively in restoration activities.
Specialized Equipment for Controlling Phragmites

The Wildlife Division’s Wetlands Habitat and Mosquito Management Program uses several pieces of specialized low ground pressure equipment to spray and mow the invasive common reed, also known as phragmites. This equipment is able to travel on sensitive wetland areas without causing damage.

The ARGO 8x8 Avenger EFI is an amphibious low ground pressure tracked vehicle. It has a load capacity of 1,150 pounds on land and 1,000 pounds on water. The speed is 20 mph on land and 3 mph on water. Ground pressure is 0.67 pounds per square inch (psi) when using tracks. A pull-behind 44-inch rough-cut mower, called a Swisher, can be attached to the ARGO or an ATV and can cut most phragmites stems up to 2 inches in diameter.

The Posi-track ASV 2810 is a low ground pressure, rubber tracked loader. The base machine has a 20-inch track and a speed of 6 mph. Ground pressure is a maximum of 3.0 psi. Factory installed equipment includes a 72-inch dirt bucket with bolt-on cutting edge and front brush cutter.

The Marsh Master II is a low ground pressure, light weight, high-flotation aluminum pontoon vehicle. The base machine is 14 feet, 6 inches in length and 8 feet wide, with a track width of 28 inches. Speed on land is 9 mph and 2 mph on water. Maximum ground pressure is 1 psi. It came with a 100-gallon herbicide spray system and a rotary cutter attachment that can cut most phragmites stems up to 2 inches in diameter. The purchase of the Marsh Master was made possible with funding from the sale of Connecticut’s Migratory Bird Conservation (Duck) Stamps.

Submit Artwork for the CT Junior Duck Stamp Contest

Young Connecticut artists have an opportunity to submit their artwork of a waterfowl species in the Connecticut Junior Duck Stamp competition sponsored by the Connecticut Waterfowlers Association (CWA). Students are judged in four groups according to grade level. Three first, second, and third place entries are selected for each group. A “Best of Show” is selected by the judges from the 12 first-place winners. The “Best of Show” is then entered into the national Junior Duck Stamp Contest. The first place design from the national contest is used to create a Junior Duck Stamp for the following year. Junior Duck Stamps are sold by the U.S. Postal Service for $5 each. Proceeds support conservation education and provide awards and scholarships for the students, teachers, and schools that participate in the program.


Norwich and Ellington. The high level of EEE activity noted in 2009 was not just confined to Connecticut. There were confirmed horse cases in New Jersey, Rhode Island, Maine, and Massachusetts. A three-year-old girl from New Hampshire became ill from EEE and a 70-year-old man from upstate New York died in September from EEE after being bitten by an infected mosquito. Although the risk of contracting EEE from an infected mosquito is very low, the mortality rate is over 50% in humans and over 90% in horses.
The 328 volunteer instructors in the Conservation Education/Firearms Safety (CE/FS) Program contributed 10,961 hours of service to teach 4,127 students in 140 hunting safety courses. Student enrollment has been increasing slightly over the past several years, with the largest gains seen among the bowhunting classes. Courses were presented on firearms hunting (76), bowhunting (57), and trapping (5). Two supplemental coyote land trapping courses were given to 65 trappers who completed the trapping education course or its equivalent and wish to use land sets for trapping coyotes on private land. Twenty-five new instructors were certified during 2009.

The firearms hunting home study course continues to grow in popularity. In 2009, 8 courses were offered, allowing 156 students to complete most of the program at home. The workbook-based home study course continues, but will be phased out in the near future as the Internet version (www.IHEA.com) has become more popular with both students and instructors. Students are still required to attend an 8-hour field day that is comprised of 4 instructional topics, a field course, live firing, and an exam. Additional on-line course offerings are planned for 2010, including a self-study course for potential new instructors. A daytime firearms course taught by CE/FS instructors was hosted by Cabela’s in East Hartford. Another daytime course was presented at the Division’s Franklin office last summer, as was done for the past 5+ years to accommodate youth who are out of school for the summer. These courses, which met the needs of students who are unable to attend evening classes, were in high demand and filled to capacity quickly.

The Glastonbury Public Shooting Range in Meshomasic State Forest continues to be popular among shooting enthusiasts. The range was operational for its fourth full season. Public use remained high, with phone reservations often filled to capacity each Monday. Weekend range hours were extended to accommodate deer hunters in preparation for the firearms deer seasons. The range provided opportunities for 1,847 shooters using pistol, rifle, shotgun, and air gun during the 60 days of operation. Clay target shooting is not allowed. Five seasonal employees, who are trained as Range Safety Officers, currently staff the facility. The range is open free-of-charge for public use on weekends from April through November, although the 2009 opening was delayed until May. It also is available to CE/FS firearms hunting instructors, on request, for use in conducting the live fire component of the hunting safety course. All operational costs of the range continue to be funded through the section 10 allocation of the Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Program.

The High Rock Range in Naugatuck State Forest and the Wooster Mountain Shooting Range at Wooster Mountain State Park (Danbury) continued public operations through cooperative agreements with 2 shooting organizations.

**Big Changes in Licensing and Hunter Reporting**

Connecticut completed its conversion to a fully automated system for all of its recreational hunting and fishing licenses in 2009. Internet sales of hunting and fishing licenses started in 2008, but by the start of 2009, all of the state’s licensing agents had terminals for issuing licenses. Over 195,000 sportsmen used the system in 2009. Each of them was assigned a unique Conservation ID Number to use when purchasing licenses and permits. This allows the DEP to easily keep track of the licensing history of sportsmen and fine tune surveys that track hunting and harvest trends. Sportsmen with Internet access can update their contact information and print a new license at anytime by logging on to the licensing system. By keeping their mailing and e-mail addresses up-to-date, they also are assured of getting the latest information about hunting. Broadcast e-mails were sent out this year to hunters with information about new regulations, new hunting areas, and increased bag limits for deer in certain management zones.

A new tagging and reporting system for deer and turkeys also was launched in 2009. Hunters are now required to use newly-designed kill tags to record information about the deer or turkeys they harvest. Copies of the tags are in the Connecticut Hunting and Trapping Guide or on the DEP Web site. Then, within 24 hours, they are required to report their harvest, either on the DEP Web site (www.ct.gov/dep/hunting) or by calling a toll-free number (1-877-337-4868). The only exception is that deer taken during the first 4 days of the shotgun/rifle season must be brought to a check station so that Division biologists can collect biological information from the harvested deer. The new harvest reporting system makes it possible for the Division to keep a running tally of harvests during each season and post season results on the DEP Web site.
Wildlife Calendar Reminders

Programs at the Sessions Woods Conservation Education Center

Programs are a cooperative venture between the Wildlife Division and the Friends of Sessions Woods. Please pre-register by calling 860-675-8130 (Mon.-Fri., 8:30 AM-4:30 PM). Programs are free unless noted. An adult must accompany children under 12 years old. No pets allowed! Sessions Woods is located at 341 Milford St. (Route 69) in Burlington.

Feb. 6 .................... Nature Walk and Drawing Workshop, 1:00 PM-3:00 PM. Natural Resources Educator Laura Rogers-Castro will lead an interpretive walk focusing on Connecticut's wildlife and the conservation of wildlife habitat. Artist Judy Bird will teach a nature drawing class focusing on personal observation and expression of nature. Snow date is February 7.

March 3 .................... Wildlife Tales, starting at 6:30 PM. When the European settlers arrived in Connecticut, which mammals did they encounter? How have habitats changed since the first settlers arrived in the 1600s to the present? Are coyotes native to Connecticut? What is the wild turkey and fisher connection? Join Natural Resource Educator Laura Rogers-Castro for this indoor presentation to learn about some of the wildlife species found in Connecticut.

March 21 ................... Mushrooms, from 9:30-11:30 AM. Join the Connecticut Valley Mycological Society, during their annual meeting at Sessions Woods, for a presentation on mushrooms. There will be a coffee hour at 9:30 a.m., followed by the speaker at 10:30 a.m.

April 11 .................. The Friends of Sessions Woods Annual Meeting with a Program on Bats, starting at 1:00 PM. The Friends of Sessions Woods Annual Meeting at the Sessions Woods Conservation Center is open to all! Learn about Connecticut's bats and white-nose syndrome in a presentation by Wildlife Division staff. White-nose syndrome is a condition in bats associated with the deaths of hundreds of thousands of hibernating bats in the northeastern United States. It was first noticed near Albany, New York, in 2007. Since March 2008, biologists and cavers have documented dead and dying bats at over 25 caves and mines in New York, Vermont, Massachusetts, and Connecticut. What do we know about white-nose syndrome and how has it affected the bats of Connecticut? A potluck dessert extravaganza will precede the presentation at 12:30 p.m. Please bring a dessert to share.

Hunting Season Dates

Jan. 15-Feb. 10 ........ Special late Canada goose season in the south zone only.

UPDATE: Printed versions of the 2010 Connecticut Hunting and Trapping Guide and the 2010 Connecticut Angler’s Guide will not be available until April 2010. Information about 2010 seasons and regulations are available on the DEP’s Web site (www.ct.gov/dep/hunting and www.ct.gov/dep/fishing). The printed versions will be available at more than 350 locations statewide -- including town halls, bait and tackle shops and other vendors selling outdoor equipment, DEP facilities, and commercial marinas and campgrounds. The 2010 guides will have a new and improved look. After making this transition, the DEP plans to return to its traditional publication schedule and have printed copies of the 2011 guides available late next December.

View Bald Eagles at the Shepaug Observation Area in Southbury

The Shepaug Bald Eagle Observation Area, in Southbury, is open to the public on Wednesdays, Saturdays, and Sundays, from 9:00 AM to 1:00 PM, through March 17, 2010, — strictly by advance reservation. All individuals and groups wishing to visit the site to view eagles must make a reservation for a particular date, as there will be a limited number of visitors allowed per open day. Reservations can be made on Tuesdays through Fridays, from 9:00 AM to 3:00 PM, by calling 1-800-368-8954.

New prices effective Jan. 1, 2010

Subscription Order

Please make checks payable to: Connecticut Wildlife, P.O. Box 1550, Burlington, CT 06013

Check one:

☐ 1 Year ($8.00)  ☐ 2 Years ($15.00)  ☐ 3 Years ($20.00)

Name: ______________________ ______________________
Address: ______________________ ______________________
City: ______________________ State: ______________________
Zip: ____________ Tel.: ____________

Check one:  ☐ Renewal  ☐ New Subscription  ☐ Gift Subscription

Gift card to read: ______________________ ______________________

Donation to Nonharvested Wildlife Fund: $ ________ Help fund projects that benefit songbirds, threatened and endangered species, reptiles, amphibians, bats, and other non-harvested wildlife species.
A flock of wintering Canada geese seen flying at dusk. The geese are starting their descent to an area to spend the evening.