# Connecticut Coalition for Sustainable Materials Management

Kickoff Meeting

September 8, 2020





#### Kickoff Meeting – September 8, 2020

- Welcome & Introductions
- 2. Background on Waste Sector
- 3. CCSMM Initiative Overview
  - Scope
  - Working Groups
  - Deliverables
  - Timeframe

- 4. Municipal Experiences Panel
  - Jennifer Heaton Jones, HRRA: Recycling
  - Kim O'Rourke, Middletown: *Textiles*
  - CJ May, Waterbury: *Outreach & Education*
- 5. Next Steps
- 6. Public Comments

# 1.Welcome &Introductions


#### **CCSMM Tri-Chairs**

- Laura Francis, First Selectman, Town of Durham
- Matt Knickerbocker, First Selectman, Town of Bethel
- Katie Dykes, Commissioner, CT DEEP
- CCSMM Participants include 68 towns from all regions of the state
- Participants include small towns, medium-sized towns, and large cities
- Municipalities from all nine COGs represented
- Participants are members of various regional waste authorities, including HRRA, MIRA, SCRRRA

## Welcome & Introductions

1.	Ansonia	18.	Essex	35.	New Britain	52.	Sharon
2.	Barkhamsted	19.	Farmington	36.	New Fairfield	53.	Southington
3.	Bethel	20.	Granby	37.	New Haven	54.	Stonington
4.	Bethlehem	21.	Greenwich	38.	New London	55.	Stratford
5.	Bloomfield	22.	Guilford	39.	Newtown	56.	Suffield
6.	Branford	23.	Haddam	40.	North Haven	57.	Thomaston
7.	Bridgeport	24.	Hartford	41.	North Stonington	58.	Torrington
8.	Bristol	25.	Harwinton	42.	Old Lyme	59.	Vernon
9.	Brookfield	26.	Kent	43.	Old Saybrook	60.	Voluntown
10.	Canterbury	27.	Killingly	44.	Oxford	61.	Washington
11.	Canton	28.	Litchfield	45.	Pomfret	62.	Waterbury
12.	Coventry	29.	Madison	46.	Portland	63.	West Hartford
13.	Deep River	30.	Manchester	47.	Ridgefield	64.	Westbrook
14.	Durham	31.	Mansfield	48.	Rocky Hill	65.	Weston
15.	East Granby	32.	Middlefield	49.	Roxbury	66.	Westport
16.	East Hartford	33.	Middletown	50.	Salem	67.	Windsor Locks
17.	Ellington	34.	Montville	51.	Salisbury	68.	Woodstock

### Welcome & Introductions



### Why Are We Here?

### As a municipal leader, what's the most pressing challenge or opportunity you're focused on?

- "The exorbitant cost of single stream recycling"
- "I find organics to be a real challenge to remove from the waste stream"
- "Educate residents about the importance to reduce waste ...
  encourage sustainable choices."
- "Increasing the effectiveness of recycling (getting correct materials into the recycling stream"
- "Increasing costs and public awareness."
- "Lower disposal costs"
- "Trucking trash out of state is not a good option"
- "The most pressing challenge for our City is to implement programs to reduce waste without additional costs."

# What Will We Achieve Together?

- Work together for a modern, cost-effective, and environmentally sustainable materials management system
- Share information and best practices through working groups
- Solicit ideas from developers, service providers, and community members about innovative waste management solutions
- Develop momentum for shared approaches / policies
- Align resources with shared goals

# What Will We Achieve Together?

- Work together for a modern, cost-effective, and environmentally sustainable materials management system
- Share information and best practices through working groups
- Solicit ideas from developers, service providers, and community members about innovative waste management solutions
- Develop momentum for shared approaches / policies
- Align resources with shared goals
- Develop a menu of viable opportunities for improving materials management, including reducing the amount of waste disposed
- Make a commitment to create a more cost-effective and environmentally sustainable system



#### Kickoff Meeting – September 8, 2020

- Welcome & Introductions
- 2. Background on Waste Sector
- 3. CCSMM Initiative Overview
  - Scope
  - Working Groups
  - Deliverables
  - Timeframe

- 4. Municipal Experiences Panel
  - Jennifer Heaton Jones, HRRA: Recycling
  - Kim O'Rourke, Middletown: *Textiles*
  - CJ May, Waterbury: *Outreach & Education*
- 5. Next Steps
- 6. Public Comments

### Challenges & Opportunities

- Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) disposal heavily reliant on aging Waste to Energy (WTE) infrastructure
- Rising costs of disposal and recycling
- Environmental and public health impacts
- "Land of steady habits"

- Historical commitment to recycling & reducing landfilling
  - 30% recycling rate, above national averages
- Low reliance on landfilling
- Local innovation
- Policies to promote new technologies
- Robust private sector involvement in waste management and infrastructure development

# Connecticut's Waste Infrastructure

- 5 Waste to Energy facilities
- 1 Ash Landfill
- 4 Food Scrap Anaerobic Digestion facilities permitted, one in operation
- 30 Volume Reduction facilities
- 4 Intermediate Processing Centers for Single Stream/mixed recyclables

- Transfer Stations in almost all municipalities
- 1 Glass recycling end market & 1 Glass Processor
- 15 Bottle Bill Redemption Centers
- Virtually no C&D disposal 90% goes out of state

# Connecticut's Waste Infrastructure

## Connecticut's Waste Infrastructure is disproportionately located in Environmental Justice communities


- 3 of the 5 Waste to Energy facilities
- 1 Ash Landfill
- 4 Food Scrap Anaerobic Digestion facilities permitted, one in operation
- 10 of the 30 Volume Reduction facilities
- 3 of the 4 Intermediate Processing Centers for Single Stream/mixed recyclables

- Transfer Stations in almost all municipalities
- 1 Glass recycling end market& 1 Glass Processor
- 15 Bottle Bill Redemption Centers
- Virtually no C&D disposal 90% goes out of state


# Connecticut's Waste Generation


- Approximately 3.5M TPY of MSW is generated in CT
- ~1.25 million is recycled or composted
- ~2.3 2.5 million TPY of MSW is disposed
- ~87% of CT disposed MSW goes to CT's 5 waste-to-energy plants which generate electricity as a by-product. CT has the lowest rate of landfilling of any state
- In 2016, 100K tons of MSW went out of state for disposal;
   currently ~400K goes out of state for disposal

# Waste to Energy Capacity (2019)



Estimated annual pounds of MSW generated per capita (residential) = 740






### Recent Cost Trends

- General trend of increases in tip fees for municipalities
- MIRA's 2018 tip fee was \$68 per ton
- MIRA MSA Tip Fee for MSW = \$91-93/ton = ~35% increase
- HRRA MSW tip fee in 2019 = \$88.21 & in 2020 = \$95.31
- CT municipalities are paying on average \$80 90 per ton for MSW and
- \$25-\$87 per ton for recyclables, excluding transportation

### A Fork in the Road?


- MSW generation is 2.3MTPY
- With the potential loss of MIRA WTE capacity In-state disposal capacity falls to ~1,540,000 TPY
- The state will see a significant disposal capacity shortfall
- Increased tipping fees driven up by market demand and limited instate capacity
- Uncertainty regarding the reliability of our remaining capacity for MSW disposal

# Waste Composition, 2015




### CCSMM Interest in Solutions

Responses to CCSMM Municipal Survey



### Food Scraps Snapshot


Responses to CCSMM Municipal Survey



### Extended Producer Responsibility

Responses to CCSMM Municipal Survey


#### What additional materials should be added to an EPR program?



# Unit Based Pricing (SMART)

Courtesy of Waste Zero, Inc.


### Potential Impact of Unit-Based Pricing on Residential MSW Generation (Annual Tons)



### SMART & Food Waste Diversion


Courtesy of Waste Zero, Inc.

### Impact of Complementary Programs on the Waste Stream (Thousands of Tons Disposed Annually)



# SMART & Complementary Measures

Courtesy Waste Zero, Inc.




### Economic Development

- A U.S. recycling rate of 75% by 2030 would create 1.1 million new jobs.
- Recycling and reuse create at least 9 times more jobs than landfills and incinerators, and as many as 30 times more jobs
- 86% of the total U.S. waste management jobs are in recycling, reuse and remanufacturing, even with a nation-wide 30% recycling rate (eco-cycle®)

# What Do Your Constituents Care About?

Responses to CCSMM Municipal Survey

When considering new programs or services to reduce waste, what priorities are the most important to the citizens of your town?





#### Kickoff Meeting – September 8, 2020

- Welcome & Introductions
- 2. Background on Waste Sector
- 3. CCSMM Initiative Overview
  - Scope
  - Working Groups
  - Deliverables
  - Timeframe

- 4. Municipal Experiences Panel
  - Jennifer Heaton Jones, HRRA: Recycling
  - Kim O'Rourke, Middletown: *Textiles*
  - CJ May, Waterbury: Outreach & Education
- 5. Next Steps
- 6. Public Comments

### CCSMM Objectives

#### **Initiative Scope**

- Share experiences and lessons learned from various efforts to adopt effective waste diversion strategies;
- 2. Engage market participants and local stakeholders to solicit input and proposed waste diversion solutions;
- Seek creative means to fund solutions that further our collective goal;
- 4. Identify and evaluate a menu of options that municipalities and/or state can adopt to progress towards our goal;
- 5. By January 1, 2021, report on progress and announce commitments to action in furtherance of our waste diversion vision

### CCSMM Working Groups

- Food Scraps/Organics Collection
- 2. Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR)
- 3. Increase Recycling
- 4. Unit-Based Pricing

### Working Group Process

Share Experience

- Share municipal experiences
- Identify barriers

Public Engagement

- Equity & Environmental Justice
- Requests for Interest/Solutions issued to market participants
- Community & Stakeholder Input

Report Out

- Report to broader group in late November on menu of options
- Compare efficacy and impact (cost, sustainability, behavior change, etc.)

### CCSMM Timeline

- September 8 Kickoff meeting
- Working Groups begin meeting mid-September through November (roughly every 3 weeks)
- Mid-October Full group meeting to hear mid-term report outs from Working Groups
- End of November Working Groups finalize menu of options, recommended solutions
- December Full group meeting to review results
- End of December Each CCSMM participant identifies options you will commit to explore, advance, implement going forward...



#### Kickoff Meeting – September 8, 2020

- 1. Welcome & Introductions
- 2. Background on Waste Sector
- 3. CCSMM Initiative Overview
  - Scope
  - Working Groups
  - Deliverables
  - Timeframe

- 4. Municipal Experiences Panel
  - Jennifer Heaton Jones, HRRA: Recycling
  - Kim O'Rourke, Middletown: Textiles
  - CJ May, Waterbury: Outreach & Education
- 5. Next Steps
- 6. Public Comments

## Municipal Experiences

#### **Panelists**

- Jennifer Heaton Jones, HRRA Recycling
- Kim O'Rourke, Middletown Textiles
- CJ May, Waterbury Outreach & Education



#### Kickoff Meeting – September 8, 2020

- 1. Welcome & Introductions
- 2. Background on Waste Sector
- 3. CCSMM Initiative Overview
  - Scope
  - Working Groups
  - Deliverables
  - Timeframe

- 4. Municipal Experiences Panel
  - Jennifer Heaton Jones, HRRA: Recycling
  - Kim O'Rourke, Middletown: *Textiles*
  - CJ May, Waterbury: *Outreach & Education*
- 5. Next Steps
- 6. Public Comments

### Next Steps

- Follow up email/survey to municipal executives to indicate your choice of working groups, and designate any working group representative
- Schedule of Working Group meetings
- Information related to this initiative will be posted on the DEEP website
- Additional municipalities are welcome to join at any time



#### Kickoff Meeting – September 8, 2020

- 1. Welcome & Introductions
- 2. Background on Waste Sector
- 3. CCSMM Initiative Overview
  - Scope
  - Working Groups
  - Deliverables
  - Timeframe

- 4. Municipal Experiences Panel
  - Jennifer Heaton Jones, HRRA: Recycling
  - Kim O'Rourke, Middletown: *Textiles*
  - CJ May, Waterbury: Outreach & Education
- 5. Next Steps
- 6. Public Comments

### Public Comments

- Thank you for your input!
- Please use chat or the "raise hand" feature to indicate that you want to make a comment