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CHARGE TO THE COUNCIL

Section 17 of Public Act 96-245 created the Nuclear Energy Advisory Council (NEAC) and requires it to:

1. Hold regular public meetings to discuss issues relating to the safety and operations of nuclear power plants and to advise the governor, legislature, and municipalities within a five-mile radius of the plants on these issues;

2. Work with federal, state, and local agencies and the companies operating such plants to ensure public health and safety;

3. Discuss proposed changes in, or problems arising from, the operation of the plants;

4. Communicate, through reports and presentations, with the plants' operators about safety or operational concerns at the plants, and

5. Review the current status of the plants with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

COUNCIL MEMBERS

The Council consisted of twelve (12) members appointed by the Governor, legislative leadership, and the executive bodies in the towns in or near which the state's nuclear power plants are located (Appendix 1).
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the twelfth annual report presented by the Nuclear Energy Advisory Council (NEAC). During Calendar Year 2007, the NEAC met four times and received reports from representatives of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), a representative of the Office of Logistics Management of the Department of Energy Office of Radioactive Waste Management, and Dominion Nuclear Connecticut. Routine NRC Millstone Power Station inspection and performance assessment reports were also received and reviewed. During the fourth quarter of 2006, Millstone Units 2 and 3 plant performance (Action Matrix) was classified as "GREEN", meaning the sixteen inspection findings for CY 2006 were classified as having no or low safety significance. In the first quarter of 2007 there were two findings of very low safety significance and both Millstone 2 and Millstone 3 remained in the GREEN classification. During the second quarter, there were two NRC-identified and two licensees revealed findings of very low safety significance. In the third quarter there was one licensee revealed findings of very low safety significance. Results for the fourth quarter were not available at the time of this report. Because of the "GREEN" status, only routine baseline inspections were performed by the NRC of Millstone 2 and 3 in CY 2007. Included in those baseline inspections were the NRC Physical Security Inspection and the Triennial Fire Inspection. There were no findings for the Physical Security Inspection and three NRC-identified findings of very low safety significance for the Fire Protection Inspection. Decommissioning activities at CYAPC are complete. The Connecticut Yankee Site with the exception of the Spent Fuel Dry Cask Storage Area was released for public use on November 26, 2007.

COUNCIL ACTIVITIES IN 2007

MEETINGS:
As required by PA 96-245, the NEAC held four public meetings as follows: (1) March 22, 2007, (2) September 19, 2007, and (3) November 29, 2007 at Waterford Town Hall, Waterford Connecticut and (4) July 26, 2007 at East Lyme Town Hall. The purpose of these meetings was to provide a venue for discussion of issues relating to the safe operation of the state's nuclear power plants. Meeting minutes are included in Appendix 2. A summary of the meetings follows:

March 22, 2007: This was a joint meeting with the NRC Region I and focused on the Annual Assessment Report of Millstone Power Station Units 2 and 3 for the four calendar quarters of CY2006. It was reported that overall these two units were operated in a manner that preserved public health and safety and fully met NRC cornerstone objectives. Accordingly, the NRC planned to conduct only baseline inspections at the facility through September 30, 2007.

July 26, 2007: This meeting was conducted at East Lyme Town Hall in East Lyme, Connecticut. Members of the Dominion Nuclear Connecticut staff presented a status of
Employee Concerns Program and the Safety Conscious Work Environment at Millstone Power Station and the current status of the Millstone Corrective Action Program. Recent inspection results correspondence received from the NRC was also discussed. Resignation Email from CoChairperson Evan Woolacott was received.

September 19, 2007: This meeting was held at the Waterford Town Hall. There was a presentation of the current status of the Yucca Mountain Disposal Site by Corinne Macaluso, Office of Logistics Management of the Department of Energy Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. Ms. Pearl Rathbun was elected Vice Chairperson in place of Co-Chairperson Evan Woolacott who resigned from the Council in July 2007.

November 29, 2007: This meeting was held at the Waterford Town Hall. Representatives of Dominion Nuclear Connecticut briefed the Council on Millstone Power Station Issues for 2008 and provided an update on the renewal of the Millstone Power Station NPDES (Plant Discharge) Permit. NRC Correspondence and Inspection Results received since the last meeting was discussed. The meeting schedule for CY2008 was approved and possible topics for the meetings were discussed.

Millstone I Decommissioning Advisory Committee (M1DAC): Since Millstone I remains in Safe Storage (SAFSTORE) and no significant activities were conducted at the Unit during the past calendar year, M1DAC did not meet in CY2007. M1DAC committee membership is included in Appendix 3.

REPORT ON ISSUES

MILLSTONE OPERATIONS
As reported by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in regular inspection reports and at a Joint Public Meeting (Appendix 2), Millstone Units 2 and 3 have continued to be operated in a manner that preserves public health and safety. No findings of significance were documented on routine baseline inspections conducted through September 30, 2007. Routine inspections conducted between October 1, 2006 and September 30, 2007 resulted in the identification of four Unit 2 issues, and three Unit 3 issues, all of very low safety significance (GREEN). An additional NRC Inspection was completed on October 5, 2007 that examined activities conducted under the Dominion license as they related to fire protection and compliance with the NRC rules and regulations and with the conditions of the license. Three NRC- identified violations that were determined to be of very low safety significance were listed in the November 16, 2007 report of the inspection. In August the NRC completed a security baseline inspection. Although the exact findings are not reported due to security concerns, no findings of significance were reported in September 2007 when the cover letter of the inspection report was released. On October 19, 2007 the NRC completed an event follow-up inspection relating to security. In the cover letter released on November 27, 2007 one finding of very low safety significance (GREEN) that was promptly corrected was reported. The exact nature of the finding was not identified. The results of the fourth quarter 2007 inspections had not been released by NRC at the close out time of this report.
DOMINION EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM AND SAFETY CONSCIOUS WORK ENVIRONMENT

Representatives from Dominion Nuclear Connecticut and Dominion Nuclear Corporate Offices briefed NEAC on the status of the Dominion Employee Concerns Program (ECP) and how it is consistent with a Safety Conscious Work Environment (SCWE). The briefing noted that some of the areas for improvement included 1) Communication to close performance gaps; 2) Timely feedback and leadership development plans; 3) Coaching to improve performance; 4) Repeat equipment issues; 5) Change not managed effectively; 6) Resource sharing and allocation; and 7) Work flow and initiation. In a letter dated October 18, 2007, the NRC reported on the alleged discrimination of a mechanic by the immediate supervisor for raising concerns about non-qualified personnel performing work on the Millstone Unit 3 emergency diesel generators. The discriminatory act was issuing a verbal warning to the mechanic, with a written copy placed in his file, on October 2, 2006. In the NRC investigation, the staff did not find “…that the actions of the supervisor were a deliberate effort to violate NRC regulations. Rather, the supervisor failed to realize that the question raised by the mechanic was a protected activity.” The NRC recognized that the matter was identified by Dominion Nuclear Connecticut (DNCT). The licensee took significant action to reverse the discrimination including 1) removing the documentation from the employee’s file; 2) counseling the supervisor; 3) providing a briefing to the management team and supervisors on actions to detect and prevent retaliation; and 4) emphasizing the need to refer to the safety conscious work environment section of DNCT disciplinary policy. The NRC decided not to issue a Notice of Violation or a proposed civil penalty under an Exercise of Discretion because of the prompt, comprehensive, and effective corrective action taken by the licensee.

DECOMMISSIONING

MILLSTONE 1

In July of 1998, it was announced that Millstone Unit 1 would undergo decommissioning. A modified Safe Storage (SAFSTOR) decommissioning option was selected and remains in effect. This involves some decontamination and dismantlement early in the process. After these initial activities are complete, the unit is then placed in safe storage until the other two units at the Millstone site under go decommissioning. After reviewing Unit 1 requirements, in conjunction with the operational and outage requirements of Millstone Units 2 and 3, it was strategically decided to place Unit 1 in ‘Cold and Dark’ storage in April 2001. This allowed the safe and efficient separation (from Units 2 and 3) projects as well as the decommissioning projects. All separation projects were completed by April 1, 2001.
CONNECTICUT YANKEE

Demolition, final remediation and site restoration of the former Connecticut Yankee nuclear plant was successfully completed in June 2007. Waste shipments are also scheduled to be completed by the end of the year with 365 million pounds of decommissioning waste shipped since demolition began in 2003. Only one intermodal remains to be shipped from the site and a few intermodals need to be shipped from waste processors to the disposal facilities. The plant site surpassed 6 million safe work hours and seven years since the last lost time accident.

Two groundwater-monitoring wells were installed at the Haddam Meadows State Park across the Connecticut River from the former plant site in 2006 to complement onsite groundwater monitoring activities, which will continue until 2011. No indications of plant activity has been seen in the offsite monitoring wells at the State Park or in DEP samples of neighboring wells from residents living along the Connecticut River near the former plant site.

Final status surveys of the land areas were completed in June 2007. CYAPCO terminated their NRC license for the remainder of its property on November 26, 2007. Approximately 5 acres will remain under the NRC license for fuel storage activities at the ISFSI. A new administration building was constructed near the ISFSI to support long-term fuel storage operations.

The DEP terminated the RCRA storage permit for hazardous materials. The site completed chemical remediation in May 2007 with all areas meeting the Connecticut Remediation Standard Regulations in soil. The DEP issued a Stewardship Permit in October 2007 certifying that site remediation for soil was complete. Long-term groundwater monitoring will continue until June 2011 to verify that the groundwater is meeting EPA drinking water standards.

The Connecticut Yankee Fuel Storage Advisory Committee held its first two meetings on April 17 and October 30, 2007. The committee will meet in the spring and fall of 2008.
HIGH LEVEL NUCLEAR WASTE

- NEAC continued to monitor activity to establish a permanent solution for spent nuclear fuel rods disposal highlighted by a presentation by a representative of the Department of Energy in September 2007. In view of the fact that there are now two nuclear plants currently decommissioned in Connecticut, failure to establish a permanent repository or otherwise dispose of the high level waste could adversely affect the State’s economy and homeland security. It is noted that temporary storage of spent fuel in dry cask storage containers has been implemented at both Millstone and Connecticut Yankee.

The U.S. Department of Energy’s latest program schedule for Yucca Mountain is:
- License Application in 2008

Hearings required prior to License Application were conducted in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain in November and December of 2007. NEAC will continue to monitor the progress toward a solution to the problem of High Level Nuclear Waste.

RECOMMENDATIONS

STATE
1. Department of Environmental Protection should continue to address any emergency preparedness issues at Connecticut's nuclear sites.
2. Department of Environmental Protection should continue to address any security issues at Connecticut's nuclear sites.
3. The Governor, General Assembly, Department of Environmental Protection, and NEAC should continue to insist that the NRC continue vigilant oversight of Connecticut Yankee and Millstone Power Station sites for as long as high-level nuclear waste remains on site.

NEAC
1. Continue to monitor the stability of the Employee Concern Program and Safety Conscious Work Environment and Corrective Action Program at Millstone Power Station.
2. Continue to monitor operations and activities at Millstone Power Station and Connecticut Yankee Site, including the dry cask storage programs.
3. Continue to encourage the development of a solution to the problem of High Level Nuclear Waste and the safe transfer of high-level nuclear waste from Connecticut.
NUCLEAR ENERGY ADVISORY COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP

**John W. (Bill) Sheehan** (Co-Chair) Waterford: MBA, Rensselaer Polytechnic. Consultant, former Captain, Nuclear powered submarine.

**Evan W. Woollacott** (Co-Chair) Simsbury: MBA Wharton School, Former Vice President Combustion Engineering, and Vice Chair, CT DPUC – Resigned July 2007

**Paul A. Blasioli** Waterford: Manager, Millstone Environmental Services, Dominion Nuclear Connecticut.


**Gregg W. Dixon** Niantic: PhD Mechanical Engineering (Nuclear) Stanford University. Professor, Mechanical Engineering, US Coast Guard Academy.

**Mark Holloway** Waterford: BS Interdisciplinary Sciences, Charter Oak. Task Manager and analyst in nuclear submarine development.

**Robert J. Klancko** Woodbridge: BS Chemical Engineering, UCONN. Engineering Consultant, member State Emergency Response Commission


**James Sherrard** Mystic: PhD Nuc. & Mech Eng. MIT/UCONN. Chairman, Nuclear Engineering Technology Department, TRCTC.

**Edward L. Wilds, Jr.** Griswold: PhD Physics, UCONN. Director, Radiation Division, Department of Environmental Protection.
Nuclear Energy Advisory Council (NEAC) Meeting
Waterford Town Hall Auditorium
Waterford, Connecticut
March 22, 2007

Members Present

Mr. Bill Sheehan, Co-Chair
Mr. John Markowicz
Ms. Marge DeBold
Dr. Gregg Dixon
Mr. Robert Klancko
Rep. Kevin Ryan
Dr. Edward Wilds, representing DEP, Commissioner Gina McCarthy

1. Call to Order of Meeting Co-Chaired by NEAC and NRC Region 1
NEAC Co-Chair Sheehan called the meeting to order at 6:02 PM at Waterford Town Hall Auditorium, Waterford, Connecticut.

Pledge of Allegiance

2. Review NEAC Meeting Minutes
No minutes were reviewed.

3. NRC Presentation on NRC Reactor Oversight Program/Millstone End of Cycle Report

NRC Introduction of Staff Present:
J. Clifford
P. Krohn
R. Powell
E. Huang
M. Schneider, MP Senior Resident Inspector
J. Benjamin, MP Resident Inspector
R. Fernandes, MP Resident Inspector

a) NRC Presentation
P. Krohn, M. Schneider, J. Benjamin, and R. Fernandes
See the attached PowerPoint Slide Presentations.

b) NEAC Question Period – 6:30 PM

NEAC members asked clarifying questions during the presentations. Additional questions related to SCWE were also asked.

c) Closing Remarks – 7:10 PM

P. Krohn stated overall plant operated well. They do have issues that will need to be address and in the next 6 months NRC will review Millstone’s activities.

d) Meeting break – 7:14 PM

e) Public Question Period – 7:20 PM to 7:53 PM

Nancy Burton made comments to the public via CT-N that was televising the meeting and did not wish to address NEAC or NRC. Ms. Burton is opposed to continued operation of Millstone.

John Markowicz requested the NRC to clarify statements made by Nancy Burton.

3. NEAC Business Meeting – 7:58 PM

Discussion on future meeting topics and potential dates. Proposals are SCWE around June and HLW around September or October.

4. Adjournment

Motion was made and seconded to adjourn; no objections; majority voted in favor; meeting adjourned at 8:10 PM
Purpose of Today’s Meeting

- NRC will address Millstone’s performance as described in the annual assessment letter
- NEAC will be given the opportunity to respond to the information, request clarifications, and ask additional questions as needed
- NRC will be available to engage interested stakeholders on performance of the plant and our role in ensuring safe plant operations

Agenda

- Introduction and NRC Organization
- Review of NRC Reactor Oversight Process
- Regulatory Summary of National Plant Performance
- NRC Assessment of Millstone’s Performance
- NEAC Response and Remarks
- NRC and NEAC Closing Remarks
- Break
- NRC available to address public questions
Region I Organization

Samuel J. Collins
Regional Administrator
Marc L. Dapas
Deputy Regional Administrator

David C. Lew
Director Division of Reactor Projects
James W. Clifford
Deputy Director
Paul G. Krohn
Branch Chief

A. Randolph Blough
Director Division of Reactor Safety
Marsha K. Gamberoni
Deputy Director
Regional Specialists

Millstone Resident Inspectors
Max Schneider
Jamie Benjamin
Ricardo Fernandes

Senior Project Engineer
Barry Norris
Project Engineer
Eugene Huang

NRC Representatives

- Jim Clifford, Deputy Division Director, DRP
  (610) 337—5080

- Paul Krohn, Branch Chief
  (610) 337—5120

- Max Schneider, Senior Resident Inspector
  Jamie Benjamin, Resident Inspector
  Ricardo Fernandes, Resident Inspector,
  (860) 447—3170

- Eugene Huang, Project Engineer
  (610) 337—5322
NRC Performance Goals

- Safety: Ensure protection of the public health and safety and the environment
- Security: Ensure the secure use and management of radioactive materials
- Openness: Ensure openness in our regulatory process
- Effectiveness: Ensure that NRC actions are effective, efficient, realistic, and timely
- Management: Ensure excellence in agency management to carry out NRC strategic objectives

Reactor Oversight Process

Strategic Performance Areas

Safety Cornerstones

Baseline Inspection Indicator

Results

Significance Threshold

Threshold

Action Matrix

Regulatory Response

Typical Baseline Inspection Areas

- Equipment Alignment
- Triennial Fire Protection
- Operator Response
- Emergency Preparedness
- Radiation Release Controls
- Worker Radiation Protection
- Corrective Action Program
- Corrective Action Case Reviews
Significance Threshold

**Performance Indicators**
Green: Only Baseline Inspection
White: May increase NRC oversight
Yellow: Requires more NRC oversight
Red: Requires more NRC oversight

**Inspection Findings**
Green: Very Low safety issue
White: Low to moderate safety issue
Yellow: Substantial safety issue
Red: High safety issue

Action Matrix Concept

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Licensee Response</th>
<th>Regulatory Response</th>
<th>Degraded Cornerstone</th>
<th>Multiple/Rep. Degraded Cornerstone Performance</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- Increasing Safety Significance
- Increasing NRC Inspection Efforts
- Increasing NRC/Licensee Management Involvement
- Increasing Regulatory Actions

Regulatory Summary of National Plant Performance
Status at End of 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Licensee Response</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory Response</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degraded Cornerstone</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple/Repetitive Degraded Cornerstone Performance</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unacceptable</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
National Summary

Performance Indicator Results (at end of 2006)

- Green: 1843
- White: 11
- Yellow: 0
- Red: 0

Total Inspection Findings (2006)

- Green: 676
- White: 13
- Yellow: 0
- Red: 0

NRC 2006 Inspection Activities

Millstone

- Hours of inspection and assessment related activities
  -- 5720 hours at Millstone Unit 2
  -- 3431 hours at Millstone Unit 3
- Three resident inspectors assigned to the site
  -- Ricardo Fernandes started at Millstone in Nov. 2006
- Other Inspections
  -- 13 Regional inspections
  -- 6 Team inspections

NRC Major Inspection Activities

Millstone

- Daily Resident Inspections
- Problem Identification and Resolution team inspection
- Component Design Basis team inspection
- Unit 2 Unplanned Scrams with Loss of Normal Heat Removal supplemental inspection
- Unit 2 Pressurizer Replacement team inspection
- Emergency Preparedness Exercise team inspection
- Unit 2 Refueling Outage
Licensee Response Column for Unit 2 and Unit 3 at the end of 2006

Unit 2 had a White Performance Indicator for the first three quarters.
--Unplanned Scrams with Loss of Normal Heat Removal
--NRC completed Supplemental Inspection (September 2006)
--PI returned to Green in 4th Quarter

NRC 2006 Inspection Results
Millstone

Inspection Findings

- 8 Findings of very low safety significance (Green) at Unit 2
- 8 Findings of very low safety significance (Green) at Unit 3
- 1 Finding of very low safety significance (Green) common to both units

NRC 2006 Inspection Results
Millstone

Common Theme Evaluation

- Identified five findings with a common theme in the area of problem identification and resolution (specifically, quality of operability determinations).
- Reviewed Millstone’s corrective actions.
- Concluded that Millstone’s actions are acceptable in scope, timeliness, and effectiveness to address these issues.
- As a result, no substantive cross-cutting issue was identified.
NRC Annual Assessment Summary
Millstone

- Dominion operated Millstone Units 2 & 3 in a manner that preserved public health and safety
- All Reactor Oversight Process cornerstone objectives were met
- NRC plans baseline inspections at Millstone for the 2007 assessment period

Contacting the NRC

- Report an emergency
  (301)816-5100 (call collect)
- Report a safety concern:
  (800)695-7403
  Allegation@nrc.gov
- General information or questions
  www.nrc.gov
  Select “What We Do” for Public Affairs
- Paul Krohn, Branch Chief
  pgk1@nrc.gov

Reference Sources

Reactor Oversight Process

Public Electronic Reading Room
http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html

Public Document Room
1-800-397-4209 (Toll Free)
NEAC Response and Remarks

Millstone Nuclear Power Station
Unit 2 and Unit 3

END OF THE PRESENTATION

Nuclear Regulatory Commission --Region I
King of Prussia,Pennsylvania
March 22, 2007
Nuclear Energy Advisory Council (NEAC) Meeting  
Board of Selectmen Meeting Room  
East Lyme Town Hall  
East Lyme, Connecticut  
July 26, 2007

Members Present

Mr. John W. Sheehan, Co-Chair  
Mr. John Markowicz  
Mr. Mark Holloway  
Ms. Marjorie W. DeBold  
Dr. Gregg Dixon  
Ms. Pearl Rathbun

1. Call to Order

Chair Sheehan called the meeting to order at 7:05 PM in the Board of Selectmen Meeting Room, East Lyme Town Hall, East Lyme, CT.

2. Review NEAC Meeting Minutes

Minutes of the March 22, 2007 meeting were not available for approval.

3. Presentation by Dominion Nuclear Connecticut

Mr. Dan Weekly, Mr. Richard MacManus, Mr. Peter Hyde, and Ms. Nancy Bulkely made a presentation on the following topics:
   a. Employee Concerns Program  
   b. Safety Conscious Work Environment  
   c. Significant events at Millstone Power Station since last briefing

A copy of the briefing is an attachment to these minutes. Questions by NEAC members on future Dominion plans, including the planned power uprate for Millstone 3, the future of electrical power in Connecticut, and background on new nuclear power plants in United States.

4. Public Comment

There were no members of the public or press at the meeting.

5. Next Meeting Date and Agenda

Next meeting will be in late September and the topic will be Yucca Mountain and High Level Waste Storage. The date and location will be announced later after Dr. Ed Wilds makes arrangements with staff from Yucca Mountain.
The Council was interested in getting more detailed information on proposed new nuclear power plants for the United States. Chairman Sheehan was asked to coordinate with Dominion Nuclear Connecticut to obtain such a briefing in late November (Possibly Thursday, November 29, 2007).

6. **Adjournment**

Motion was made and seconded to adjourn; no objections; majority voted in favor; meeting adjourned at 8:35 PM.
Nuclear Energy Advisory Council
July 26, 2007

Agenda

- Operational Update
- Operator Workarounds
- Unit 3 Power Uprate
- ECP Status
- Safety Conscious Work Environment
- Future Challenges
Operational Update

Rich MacManus, Director, Nuclear Engineering

Operational Update - 2007

- Unit 3 Outage – 42 Days – completed 10 year reactor vessel inspection
- Transformer replacement on Unit 3
- “Force on Force” drills
- NPDES Permit renewal
Millstone – Investments for Reliability
Roughly $300 million since acquisition in ’01

- 2002 New voltage system installed at Unit 3
- 2003 LP Turbines replaced at Unit 2
- 2004 LP Turbines replaced at Unit 3
- 2005 Reactor vessel head replaced at Unit 2
- 2006 Pressurizer replaced at Unit 2
- 2006 Step-up transformer Unit 2
- 2007 Step-up transformer Unit 3

Millstone – Capacity Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MP2</th>
<th>MP3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>100.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capacity Factor (%)

Millstone Average Net Generation

Reliability Investments are Working

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>M1 Retired</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1986-1995</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>1154</td>
<td>2684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2006</td>
<td>15,358,349</td>
<td>15,935,793</td>
<td>15,958,349</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>2040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Operator Workarounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>OWA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jun 04</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 04</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 04</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 04</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 04</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 04</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 04</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 05</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 05</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 05</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 05</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 05</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 05</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 05</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 05</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 05</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 05</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 05</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 05</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 06</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 06</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 06</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 06</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 06</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 06</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 06</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 06</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 06</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 06</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 06</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 06</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 07</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 07</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 07</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 07</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 07</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 07</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 07</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
More than 100 uprates successfully completed

16-month review/investigation

M3 output to increase by up to 80 megawatts

Minor equipment modifications

Scheduled implementation during Fall 2008 outage

Application submitted to NRC July 16, 2007
Employee Concerns Program

Dan Weekley, Managing Director, Northeast Government Affairs

ECP Nine-Year Trends

- Total of All Cases Received per year
- Total Investigations
- NRC Allegations
- Contacts
- NRC Referred

[Bar chart showing trends from 1999 to 2007]
### Safety Conscious Work Environment

#### Strengths
- High regard for activities that affect reactivity and safety
- Roles and policies aligned to safety
- Everyone is responsible for problem identification
- Constructive criticism encouraged
- Leadership demonstrates conservative decision-making
- Individuals challenge assumptions

#### Areas For Improvement
- Communication to close performance gaps
- Timely feedback and leadership development plans
- Coaching to improve performance
- Repeat equipment issues
- Change not managed effectively
- Resource sharing and allocation
- Work flow and initiation
Future Challenges

- Back-to-back outages in 2008
- Unit 3 Power Uprate
- Triennial Force On Force Drills
- NPDES permit renewal
- ISFSI – fuel movement

Visit our website at www.dom.com
Nuclear Energy Advisory Council (NEAC) Meeting
Waterford Town Hall Board of Education Conference Room
Waterford, Connecticut
September 19, 2007

Members Present

Mr. Bill Sheehan, Co-Chair
Mr. John Markowicz
Ms. Marge DeBold
Dr. Gregg Dixon
Mr. Robert Klancko
Ms. Pearl Rathbun
Dr. Edward Wilds, representing DEP, Commissioner Gina McCarthy

1. Call to Order of Meeting
NEAC Chair Sheehan called the meeting to order at 7:02 PM at Waterford Town Hall Board of Education Conference Room, Waterford, Connecticut.

2. Review NEAC Meeting Minutes
March 22, 2007 minutes were reviewed and approved.
July 26, 2007 minutes were reviewed and approved.


Introduction of DOE Staff:
Corinne Macaluso, Office of Logistics Management

f) DOE Presentation – 7:05 PM
Corinne Macaluso

See the attached PowerPoint Slide Presentations.

g) NEAC Question Period

NEAC members asked clarifying questions during the presentations.
h) Public Question Period

Only one member of the public was present at the meeting. No questions were asked by this individual.

4. NEAC Business Meeting – 8:05 PM

a. Election of Vice Chair

Motion by Mr. John Markowicz to nominate Ms. Pearl Rathbun as Vice Chair. Second by Ms. Marge DeBold. Motion passed.

b. Next Meeting Date/Topic/Location


5. Adjournment

Motion was made and seconded to adjourn; no objections; majority voted in favor; meeting adjourned at 8:18 PM
Introduction

- History of the program
- Program strategic objectives
- Schedule
- Budget
- Status of Yucca Mountain and transportation projects
- Proposed legislation
- Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP)
Mission and Priorities

Mission: Manage and dispose of high-level radioactive waste (HLW) and spent nuclear fuel (SNF) in a manner that protects health, safety, and the environment; enhances national and energy security; and merits public confidence.

Priorities:
After over 20 years of scientific study, Congress passed a joint resolution to designate the Yucca Mountain site for repository development and move ahead to submit a License Application for repository construction authorization.

Protecting public health, safety, and the environment remain our current locations of SNF and HLW destined for geologic disposal:

121 sites in 39 states

Why This Program Matters

- National Security: Safely dispose of waste in one location
- Energy Security: Support the availability of nuclear power
- Protect the Environment: Facilitate site cleanup
- Non-proliferation: Support national policy
History of US Nuclear Waste Disposal Program

1950s-1970s
- U.S. builds nuclear reactor to generate power for civilian use
- National Academy of Sciences recommends geologic disposal

1970s-1983
- National screening and search for potential repositories
- First Yucca Mountain borehole
- Congress passes SWPA, establishing process to site a geologic site
- DOE issues environmental assessments for five potential sites, and recommends three of the five sites for characterization in 1986
- President Reagan approves the recommendations

1987
- Congress amends SWPA, directing DOE to characterize only Yucca Mountain
- National Academy of Sciences reaffirms geologic disposal

Site Characterization and Approval Process

- 1988
  - DOE issues Site Characterization Plan
  - Machine begins cutting ESF tunnel

- 1989
  - After three years, workers complete the ESF tunnel
  - Site characterization further defines the geologic characteristics of Yucca Mountain

- 1990
  - Energy Secretary recommends Yucca Mountain
  - President Bush approves site recommendation

- 1991
  - Nevada Governor Guin submits notice of disapproval to Congress

- 1997
  - President Bush signs joint resolution of siting into law

Location of Yucca Mountain, Nevada

- Counties designated as Affected Units of Local Government
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**Waste for Yucca Mountain**

*70,000 Metric Ton Limit*

- Commercial Spent Nuclear Fuel: 63,000 metric tons (MT)
- Department of Energy (DOE) & Naval SNF: 2,333 MT
- DOE & Commercial HLW: 4,667 MT
- Projection through 2055: ~129,000 MT

---
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**Program Strategic Objectives**

- **License Application**
  - Submit a high-quality License Application to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) no later than June 30, 2008

- **Staffing**
  - Design, staff and train the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM) organization so it has the skills and culture needed to design, license, and manage the construction and operation of the Yucca Mountain Project with safety, quality, and cost effectiveness

- **Liability**
  - Address the Federal Government’s mounting liability associated with unmet contractual obligations to move spent fuel from nuclear plant sites

- **Transportation**
  - Develop and begin implementation of a comprehensive National Transportation Plan that accommodates state, local and tribal concerns and input to the greatest extent practicable
Slide 9

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management

The National Repository Program

Actions Completed  Next Step  Future Milestones

- Congress Approved Site 2002
- President Recommended Site 2002
- Secretary Recommended Site 2002
- Viability Assessment 1998
- YM only site to be characterized 1987
- Nuclear Waste Policy Act 1982
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Yucca Mountain Repository License Application Schedule*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>License Application Design Complete</td>
<td>Nov 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certify Licensing Support Network (LSN)</td>
<td>Dec 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Nevada Rail Corridor EIS and Rail Alignment EIS</td>
<td>June 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit License Application to NRC</td>
<td>June 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC dockets License Application</td>
<td>Dec 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Schedule dependent on factors outside DOE’s control: i.e., funding, NRC and Congressional actions, issuance of authorizations and permits, and potential litigation
**Best Achievable Schedule for Yucca Mountain**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submit License Application to NRC</td>
<td>June 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC authorizes repository construction</td>
<td>Dec 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete construction for initial repository operations</td>
<td>June 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin receipt of waste</td>
<td>March 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These milestones are based on a best achievable schedule and are dependent upon appropriations, timely issuance of all necessary authorizations and permits, the absence of litigation-related delays, and enactment of legislation proposed by the Administration.

**FY 2008 Budget Request Summary**

(dollars in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2006 Approp</th>
<th>FY 2007 Request</th>
<th>FY 2007 CR</th>
<th>FY 2008 Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yucca Mountain</td>
<td>$305.9</td>
<td>$355.4</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$378.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prog Mgmt &amp; Integration/Prog Direction</td>
<td>119.7</td>
<td>121.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>101.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Spent Fuel Recycling Facilities</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PROGRAM</td>
<td>492.9</td>
<td>544.5</td>
<td>445.5</td>
<td>494.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear Waste Fund</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>156.4</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>202.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Spent Fuel Recycling Facilities</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal</td>
<td>346.5</td>
<td>388.1</td>
<td>346.5</td>
<td>292.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Program Funding

- Congress established the Nuclear Waste Fund to provide funding for repository development and operations
- Utilities pay 1 mil per kilowatt-hour fee on electricity generated and sold from nuclear power plants
  - Revenues average $750 million per year
  - Approximately $15.4 billion in fees paid as of June 30, 2007
- Excess funds invested in Treasury securities
  - Approximately $11.6 billion in interest earned as of June 30, 2007
- Market value of the Fund is $20.1 billion as of June 30, 2007
- Nuclear Waste Fund outlays are counted against the DOE appropriation, limiting the fund’s use for its intended purpose
- The Administration has requested legislation essential to achieving the Program mission by making the Nuclear Waste Fund available for its intended purpose

Supplement to Final Repository EIS

- Since the 2002 EIS was issued, OCRWM has continued to develop repository design and associated plans
  - Repository will be operated using a primarily canistered approach
  - Most commercial spent nuclear fuel would be packaged in transport, aging and disposal canisters (TADs)
- OCRWM is preparing a supplement to the Yucca Mountain final EIS
Licensing Support Network

- LSN is an online electronic repository that contains approximately 30 billion pages of documentary material related to the License Application
- NRC requires DOE to certify the LSN
  - At least 6 months before submitting the License Application, DOE must certify the LSN
- LSN will provide a single portal for public access
- DOE’s certification of the LSN is on schedule

Transportation Priority Activities

- Issue National Transportation Plan
- Complete draft Nevada Rail Transportation Corridor and draft Rail Alignment EIS
- Publish draft Nuclear Waste Policy Act (NWPA) Section 180(c) policy
- Continue work on routing criteria for a preliminary national suite of routes
- Expand benchmarking efforts to identify best practices
- Coordinate with TAD development effort
Transportation System Representative Routes (Identified in the Yucca Mountain final EIS)

Proposed Legislation on Yucca Mountain

KEY PROVISIONS

- Land Withdrawal
- Lift Capacity Limits
- Funding Reform

MARCH 6, 2007
The Administration submitted legislation to the Congress
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Land Withdrawal

NRC requires DOE to have ownership and control of land surrounding Yucca Mountain

Legislation required for construction of the Repository
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Lift Capacity Limits

The 70,000 metric ton limit was set by the NWPA of 1982
Funding Reform

DOE is unable to construct a repository with current constrained appropriation levels – Congress must make the Nuclear Waste Fund available for its intended purpose if the Program is to meet its mission.

GNEP and Yucca Mountain

- Yucca Mountain is needed under any fuel cycle scenario
- OCRWM is proceeding with its base case to deal with current and planned inventory of SNF and HLW
- If the technology is proven and developed, GNEP could provide improvements in the future for spent fuel disposal at a repository by:
  - Reducing the volume of waste
  - Enhancing thermal management by reducing waste from heat load
  - Reducing the amount of long-lived radionuclides requiring disposal
- OCRWM’s flexible design can accommodate changes
Summary

- Entering an important period for the nuclear industry and for the disposition of nuclear waste in the U.S.

- The Department is committed to the development of Yucca Mountain as a geologic repository for SNF and HLW

- With appropriate funding from Congress, OCRWM is poised for success
Nuclear Energy Advisory Council (NEAC) Meeting  
Waterford Town Hall Board of Education Conference Room  
Waterford, Connecticut  
November 29, 2007

Members Present

Mr. Bill Sheehan, Chair  
Mr. John Markowicz  
Ms. Marge DeBold  
Rep. Kevin Ryan  
Mr. James Sherrard  
Ms. Pearl Rathbun  
Dr. Gregg Dixon  
Dr. Edward Wilds, representing DEP, Commissioner Gina McCarthy

1. **Call to Order of Meeting**  
   NEAC Chair Sheehan called the meeting to order at 7:05 PM at Waterford Town Hall Board of Education Conference Room, Waterford, Connecticut.

2. **Review NEAC Meeting Minutes**
   September 19, 2007 minutes were reviewed and approved.

3. **Dominion Nuclear Presentation on Overview of Plant Issues for 2008 and Update on NPDES Renewal**
   Daniel Weekley, Managing Director  
   Northeast Government Affairs

   i) **Dominion Presentation – 7:16 PM**  
   Daniel Weekley

   See the attached PowerPoint Slide Presentations.

   j) **NEAC Question Period**

   NEAC members asked clarifying questions during the presentations.

   k) **Public Question Period**
No members of the public were present at the meeting. No questions were asked.

4. **NRC Correspondence Received Since Past Meeting**
   
c. Chairman Sheehan reviewed information received from the NRC. See meeting handout.

d. Members also discussed the recent finding of tritium in Sump 3 as a result of Millstone’s voluntary ground water monitoring program. Sump 3 showed increased levels above the voluntary reporting level developed by industry of the EPA Safety Drinking Water Standard, 20,000 pCi/l. Sump 3 had tritium concentrations of approximately 30,000 pCi/l.

5. **NEAC Business Meeting**

   Discussion of Meeting Topics and Meeting Dates for 2008 proposed by Chairman Sheehan. Motion by Mr. John Markowicz to accept proposed topics and dates. Second by Mr. James Sherrerd. Motion passed.

e. Proposed NEAC Meeting Topics:
   
   Joint NRC/NEAC Meeting,
   
   New Nuclear Power Plant Improvements and Construction,
   
   Brief by NRC on new reactor plant approval process,
   
   Tour of Millstone Power Station followed by Dominion Update Brief,
   
   Brief by DEP on State Responsibilities in monitoring Millstone and CY Storage Site,
   
   Update on Dominion Operator Training Requirements,
   
   Update on Employee Concerns and Safety Conscious Work Environment,
   
   Annual Report Preparation.

f. Proposed 2008 Meeting Schedule
   
   Thursday January 17, 2008
   
   Thursday March 20, 2008. Date may change due to scheduling with NRC.
   
   Thursday June 26, 2008
   
   Thursday September 25, 2008
Thursday December 11, 2008

6. **Adjournment**

Motion was made and seconded to adjourn; no objections; majority voted in favor; meeting adjourned at 8:26 PM
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
LIST OF LETTERS FROM NEAC MEETING PACKAGE
NOVEMBER 29, 2007

From: NRC
To: Mr. David A. Christian
Date: September 20, 2007
Subject: Millstone Power Station – NRC Physical Security Baseline Inspection Report Nos. 05000336/2007402 and 05000423/2007402

From: NRC
To: Mr. David A. Christian
Date: October 15, 2007
Subject: Millstone Power Station Unit 3 – Stretch Power Uprate Acceptance Review (TAC No. MD6070)

From: NRC
To: Mr. David A. Christian
Date: October 18, 2007

From: NRC
To: Mr. David A. Christian
Date: October 29, 2007
Subject: Millstone Power Station, Unit No. 2 – Re: Final Accident Sequence Precursor Analysis of February 23, 2006, Operational Event

From: NRC
To: Mr. David A. Christian
Date: October 31, 2007
Subject: Millstone Power Station – NRC Integrated Inspection Report 05000336/2007004 and 05000423/2007004

From: NRC
To: Mr. David A. Christian
Date: November 15, 2007
Subject: Millstone Power Station, Unit No. 2 – Distribution of Revision 2 of the NRC’s Significance Determination Process Risk-Informed Inspection Notebooks, and Accompanying Phase 2 Pre-Solved Tables (TAC No. MD6415)

From: NRC
To: Mr. David A. Christian
Date: November 15, 2007
Subject: Millstone Power Station, Unit No. 3 – Distribution of Revision 2 of the NRC’s Significance Determination Process Risk-Informed Inspection Notebooks, and Accompanying Phase 2 Pre-Solved Tables (TAC No. MD6415)
From: NRC
To: Mr. David A. Christian
Date: November 16, 2007
Subject: Millstone Power Station, Unit 3 – Triennial Fire Protection Inspection Report
05000423/2007007

From: NRC
To: Mr. David A. Christian
Date: November 21, 2007
Subject: Millstone Power Station, Unit 3 – Request For Additional Information Regarding
Steam Generator Tube Inspection Special Report (TAC No. MD3450)

From: NRC
To: Mr. David A. Christian
Date: November 21, 2007
Subject: Millstone Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3 – Request For Additional Information
Regarding Control Room Habitibility (TAC Nos. MD6115 and MD6116)
Possible NEAC Meeting Topics

Joint NRC/NEAC Meeting
New Nuclear Power Plant Improvements and Construction
Brief by NRC on new reactor plant approval process
Tour of Millstone Power Station followed by Dominion Update Brief
Brief by DEP on State Responsibilities in monitoring Millstone and the CY Storage Site
Update on Dominion Operator Training Requirements
Update on Employee Concerns and Safety Conscious Work Environment
Annual Report Preparation

Proposed 2008 Meeting Schedule

Thursday January 17, 2008
Thursday March 20, 2008 – Probably will be changed for date convenient for NRC Reporting
Thursday June 26, 2008
Thursday September 25, 2008
Thursday, December 11, 2008
Agenda

- Operational Update
- NPDES Permit Renewal Process
- Refueling Outages
- Future Challenges
Operational Update – 2007

- Unit 2: 376 days online
- Unit 3: 195 days online
- Station capacity factor at 90.9% Jan. to present
  - Unit 3 outage duration extended 7 days
  - Forced outage at Unit 3 – 1/26 to 1/30
- Triennial Fire Inspection
- Back to back outages - 2008
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**NRC Triennial Fire Inspection**

Millstone engineers evaluate potential impact of fire on all safety components throughout the station.

The review includes our ability to shut the units down safely.
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**NRC Triennial Fire Inspection - Outcomes**

Areas for Attention:
- Unit 3 Control Room Relay
- MCC Rod Control Center
Unit 3 Relay Switch

- Circuitry in the control rooms is a particular focus of the review. At Unit 3, Millstone engineers identified a potential issue with a relay switch that could have affected our ability to shut down the unit in the unlikely event of a fire. The relay switch was relocated to an isolated area in the building.

- Repair completed October 3, 2007

Unit 3 Rod Control Center

- In the event of a fire in the MCC Rod Control Center (a central circuit area), cables that provide power to a motor-operated valve in the ‘B’ charging system could cause the valve to shut, disabling the ‘B’ charging system and causing damage to the operating ‘A’ charging pump. Under this unlikely scenario, both systems potentially could be lost.

- Classification of this issue is set for December
As part of the NPDES Permit renewal process, Dominion continues to work with the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to find the best technology available to meet reductions in impingement and entrainment of aquatic life.

- Northeast Utilities began the permit renewal process in 1997.
- Dominion has been working with the DEP since 2001 to renew this permit. We have spent thousands of person hours and presented more than 11,000 pages of research, data and answers to questions.
Riverkeeper II Decision

- In February, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that cost cannot be considered as a factor in determining “best technology available” to reduce impingement and entrainment of aquatic life.

- We continue to work with the DEP on determining what will be the best technology available at Millstone.

Seeking Solutions

- Dominion is moving forward with the use of variable speed circulating water pumps to reduce flow rates during spawning season. (at our $$ risk)

- We will continue with planned refueling outages during the peak winter flounder larval entrainment season two out of every three years.

- Additionally, other technologies will be evaluated to include the use of fine mesh screens and alternative unit cooling.
Closed Cycle Cooling

In general, there are two types of closed-cycle cooling: Natural Draft and Forced Draft cooling.

Refueling Outages – Units 2 & 3 – 2008
Refueling Outage – Unit 2

- 10-year ISI Inspection
- Replacing Main Transformer
- Service water piping replacement
- Replacing 32 in-core instruments
- Alloy 600 – six weld overlays

Refueling Outage – Unit 3

- “Stretch” Power Uprate - 7%
- Split-pin replacements
- Inverter 1 & 3 replacements
- Steam generator inspections
- Step-up transformer replacement
Future Challenges

- Back-to-back outages in 2008
- “Stretch” Power Uprate
- NPDES permit renewal
- Triennial Force On Force Drills

Visit our website at www.dom.com
Nuclear Energy Advisory Council

Millstone 1 Decommissioning Advisory Committee

Pearl I. Rathbun (Co-Chair), Niantic: BA Economics, Eastern Connecticut State University. Emergency Management Director, Town of East Lyme.

Rep. Kevin Ryan (Co-Chair), Oakdale: O.D., Pennsylvania College of Optometry. Legislator, Adjunct Faculty University of New Haven.

Jerome Bobruff, M.D., New London: M.D. Degree, Yale University. Private Practice.

Joseph M. Coleman, Niantic: BSME, University of Notre Dame. Retired. Former experience includes Civil Engineer, Bethlehem Steel Company; Supervisor of Shipbuilding, USN and Electric Boat Division of General Dynamics Corp.

Gregg W. Dixon, Ph.D., Niantic: Ph.D., Mechanical Engineering (Nuclear), Stanford University. Mechanical Engineering, U.S. Coast Guard Academy.

Wayne L. Fraser, East Lyme: Former First Selectman, Town of East Lyme.

Robert A. Moore, Niantic: Master of Theology, Boston University. Pastor of Niantic Community Church.

James R. Sherrard, Mystic: MS Nuclear Science and Ph.D. Program in Nuclear Engineering, Catholic University of America. Chairman of Nuclear Engineering Technology Department, Three Rivers Community-Technical College.

Doran Shumway, Oakdale: School of Radiologic Technology, Windham Community Memorial Hospital, Willimantic. Former radiation control specialist, Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection.

Paul A. Suprin, Waterford: BA Psychology, Central Connecticut State University. Senior Commercial Lending Officer. Selectman, Town of Waterford