

BUREAU OF ENERGY AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY Slides for the morning and afternoon sessions are in separate decks. This is the **afternoon** deck.

December 15, 2022

Market-Based Decarbonization Programs & Low-Carbon Incentives

Technical Session 8 CT 2022 Comprehensive Energy Strategy



Session is being recorded

Today's Agenda – Morning

Welcome & Introduction

Public Comment

Topic Introduction

Policy Recommendations Received through Prior CT Processes What Other States are Doing Q&A

-----LUNCH------

Slides for the morning session are in a separate deck

9:00-9:10 am 9:10-9:30 am 9:30-10:00 am 10:00-10:35 am 10:35-11:45 am 11:45-12:00 pm 12:00-1:00 pm



Today's Agenda – Afternoon

What Other States are Doing Continued

Q&A

Proposals for CT's Path Forward

Q&A

Public Comment

Wrap Up

Click on an agenda section heading to jump to the relevant slides

> 1:00-1:55 pm 1:55-2:10 pm 2:10-3:45 pm 3:45-4:00 pm 4:00-4:20 pm 4:20-4:30 pm



What Other States are Doing Continued

<u>David Chu – CT Energy Marketers Association (CEMA)</u> <u>Carolyn Berninger – Great Plains Institute (GPI)</u> <u>Floyd Vergara & Veronica Bradley –</u> Clean Fuels Alliance America (CFAA) <u>Dr. Farzad Taheripour – Purdue University</u>

(speaker order may vary)



Click on an agenda section heading to jump to the relevant slides

CT Energy Marketers Association (CEMA)

Market-based Emissions Trading Systems

DAVID CHU, VICE PRESIDENT, CONNECTICUT ENERGY MARKETERS ASSOCIATION

Emissions Trading Systems

Cap-and-Trade Systems

Apply a cap on emissions within the ETS through emissions allowances

Credit Systems

Credits issued to entities that reduce emissions below a baseline

Non-ETS Pricing



Carbon Taxes
Crediting Mechanisms
Results-Based Climate Financing
Internal Carbon Pricing

Carbon Pricing Globally

Summary map of regional, national and subnational carbon pricing initiatives + -ETS implemented or scheduled for implementation Carbon tax implemented or scheduled for implementation ETS or carbon tax under consideration ETS and carbon tax implemented or scheduled ETS implemented or scheduled, ETS or carbon tax under con... Carbon tax implemented or scheduled, ETS under considera.

EU has the oldest and largest ETS for GHG emissions worldwide. They also have carbon taxes.

EU Carbon Taxes

Carbon Taxes in Europe

Carbon Tax Rates per Metric Ton of CO₂e, as of April 1, 2022



TAX FOUNDATION

U.S. ETS's



East Coast is RGGI West Coast: California Cap-and-Trade; Oregon Climate Protection Program

ETS Examples Structure and practice

ETS Structure



Example 1: Eastern U.S.





Key Features

Declining cap on allowances

- Purchase of allowances by obligated parties at auctions
- Auction price stability mechanisms
- Allowances can be sold on the secondary market
- Availability of limited offsets

TCI Auction Example

How does a "sealed bid, uniform price" auction work?



RGGI / TCI Differences

RGGI

- Few obligated parties
- Alternatives to buying allowances
- Orderly auctions
- Stable secondary market
- Prices to consumers regulated

TCI

- Many obligated parties
- No alternative to allowances
- Potentially chaotic auctions
- Potential anti-competitive behavior
- All costs passed on to consumers

Example 2: California

Low-Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS)

Cap and Trade

LCFS and C&T Contribute to CA Gas Prices



ETS & Pricing Mechanism Effect on Consumer Consumption GASOLINE PRICE ELASTICITY IS KEY

U.S. Gasoline Inelasticity



U.S. Gas Price vs. Demand

Gas price and demand compared with 2000-2022 average

Average fuel = \$2.54





SOURCE: U.S. Energy Information Administration for gas prices and product supplied, a proxy for gasoline demand. Data through June 24, 2022.

Chart: Emily Barone

California Gasoline Sales



Great Plains Institute (GPI)

Clean Fuels Policy Efforts in Midwest States

Great Plains Institute December 2022





What is a Clean Fuels Policy?

- Market-based policy that provides valuation to any fuel with a greenhouse gas advantage
- Sets a standard for reduced carbon intensity (CI) of fuels over time
- GHG credit market establishes **incentives for fuel producers** to lower their carbon intensity through:
 - Production process **efficiency** improvements
 - Switching to lower carbon fuel or feedstocks
 - Decarbonizing the fuel and feedstock supply chain
- Results in reduced use of higher carbon fuels and supports commercial deployment of lower carbon fuels, including ethanol





How does a Clean Fuels Policy work?

- Fuel producers that do not meet the annual baseline standard must purchase alternative fuel or credits
- Fuel producers that meet or exceed the standard generate credits proportional to the difference in their carbon intensity and the standard



Policy Timeframe



How do fuel CI calculations work?

- Greenhouse gas lifecycle assessment (or GHG LCA) provides an estimation of all the greenhouse gas emissions associated with a fuel from feedstock production, refining, and use – or "well to wheel"
- Argonne National Laboratory's GREET lifecycle model calculates the well-to-wheel carbon intensity of fuel production pathways





Example Gasoline-Alternative Fuel Pathway Carbon Intensity Scores under a Clean Fuels Policy



Midwestern Clean Fuels Policy Initiative

- Launched in May 2018
- Broad coalition working to create economic benefits for the Midwest through policy, research, and education on the production and use of cleaner fuels.
- Exploring clean fuels policy as a market-driven approach to achieving economic, energy security, climate, environmental, and public health goals.





Midwestern Clean Fuels Policy Initiative Members

- Alternative Fuels Council
- American Coalition for Ethanol
- Center for Energy and Environment
- ChargePoint
- Christianson PLLP
- Coalition for Renewable Natural
 Gas
- Conservation Districts of Iowa
- Conservation Minnesota
- Environmental Law and Policy Center
- EcoEngineers
- Fresh Energy
- Governors' Biofuel Coalition
- Guardian Energy
- Highwater Ethanol, LLC
- Iowa Environmental Council
- Iowa Soybean Association

- Iowa State University Bioeconomy Institute
- Kansas Corn

•

- Low Carbon Fuel Coalition
- Minnesota Bio-Fuels Association
- National Biodiesel Board
- National Corn Growers Association
- Partnership on Waste & Energy (Hennepin, Ramsey & Washington Counties)
- Renewable Fuels Association
- Renewable Products Marketing
 Group
- South Dakota Corn
- Sustainable Farming Corporation
- Union of Concerned Scientists
- Urban Air Initiative
- Xcel Energy
- ZEF Energy





MIDWESTERN VISION

- Meet and exceed existing goals and policies
- Support a portfolio of clean fuels, expand the clean fuels market
 - Technology neutral
- Broad rural and urban economic development
- Support farmer-led efforts to adopt "climate-smart" agricultural practices that improve biofuel GHG footprint
 - Opportunity for farmers to benefit from credit revenue
- Contribute to electric sector decarbonization
- Improve air quality and public health

A MIDWESTERN FRAMEWORK FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLE CREDIT GENERATION IN A CLEAN FUELS POLICY

Winter 2021

A Midwestern Framework for Electric Vehicle Credit Generation in a Clean Fuels Policy

This Midwestern framework for electric vehicle (EV) credit generation is a resource for states exploring clean fuels policy implementation. The framework provides guiding principles and policy design recommendations. It was developed by the Electric Vehicle Credit Generation Committee of the Midwestern Clean Fuels Policy Initiative, which the Great Plains Institute facilitates.

Supporting Climate-Smart Farming Practices through Midwestern Clean Fuels Policies



Minnesota

- Future Fuels Act introduced 2021
 •HF 2083/SF 2027
 - •Passed in the House in 2021
- Legislation highlights:
 - •CI reduction goal: 20% reduction below 2018 levels by 2035
 - •Fuel neutral
 - •Focus on broad rural and urban development
 - •Supports transportation electrification
 - •Aim to support farmer-led decarbonization efforts





Minnesota

• Governor Walz directed the MN Dept. of Agriculture and the MN Dept. of Transportation to engage with stakeholders

•Identify shared goals to inform a clean fuel standard in MN

- Stakeholder meetings happened fall 2021 with various stakeholder groups
- White paper released March 2022 summarizing stakeholder feedback
- Next steps:
 - Propose convening a Midwest Clean Fuels Summit later in the year
 - Explore additional research on costs and benefits of a CFS in MN



MN FUTURE FUELS ACT SUPPORT

Alliance for Automotive Innovation American Coalition for Ethanol Amp Americas Audi of America Biomass Solution Center for Energy and Environment ChargePoint **Christianson**, **PLLP Conservation Minnesota Farmers Business Network Fresh Energy General Motors Low Carbon Fuels Coalition**

Minnesota Bio-Fuels Association Partnership on Waste and Energy Plug In America Rivian **Sustainable Farming Corporation** Tesla **The Coalition for Renewable Natural Gas The Nature Conservancy The Renewable Fuels Association Union of Concerned Scientists Universal Renewable Products, LLC Xcel Energy**
	Current (2018) Conditions	10% Carbon Intensity Reduction	15% Carbon Intensity Reduction	20% Carbon Intensity Reduction
Biofuel Blending	·			
Ethanol	Average blend rate: 12.5% in MN and 11.5% in IA	Increased E15 and E30 blending; increased E85 consumption	Increased E15 and E30 blending; increased E85 consumption 5-7% carbon intensity (CI) decrease by 2030	Increased E15 and E30 blending; increased E85 consumption 15-17% CI decreases by 2030
Biodiesel	Average blend rate: 11.3% in MN and 8.8% in Iowa	15% biodiesel blend No change in Cl	15-20% biodiesel blend 18% decrease in CI by 2030	20-25% biodiesel blend 18% decrease in CI by 2030
Renewable diesel	0% renewable diesel blend in Midwestern states	5% blend by 2030	10% blend by 2030	10% blend by 2030
Renewable natural gas (RNG)	De minimus use of RNG in Minnesota and Iowa.	95% RNG by 2030 Limited to Landfill gas	Landfill gas with transition to low CI RNG	Landfill gas with transition to low CI RNG



Current (2018) Conditions		10% Carbon Intensity Reduction	15% Carbon Intensity Reduction	20% Carbon Intensity Reduction
Vehicle Replacem	ient			
EVs, Light Duty	<1% of fleet in MN and IA.	9% EV sales by 2025 Expected increase in low- carbon generation by 2030	16% EV sales by 2025 Expected increase in low carbon generation by 2030	24% EV sales by 2025 Increased use of low carbon generation by 2030 by 2030
EVs, medium duty (MD)/heavy duty (HD)	<1% of fleet in MN and IA.	Baseline adoption (<1% of fleet by 2030)	Modest adoption in MD/HD sectors representing 2.5% of fleet by 2030	Accelerated adoption in MD/HD sectors representing 5% of fleet by 2030
Natural Gas Vehicles (NGVs), Heavy Duty	De minimus use of RNG in Minnesota and Iowa.	Expected growth of (NGVs)	Expected growth of NGVs	Expected growth of NGVs



Average Annual Impact across Economic Metrics

Туре	Average Annual Impact (2021 – 2030) in \$USD 2019		
Output impacts	\$1.033 billion		
Value added	\$197 million		
Employment	1,498 annual FTE <i>(14,976 total)</i>		
Employment income	\$95 million		
State and local tax impact	\$13 million		
Federal tax impact	\$33 million		

- **Output impacts** describe the total value of product sales and/or services generated across the local economy.
- Value added estimates average annual contributions to gross domestic product.
- **Employment** estimates the number of annual full-time equivalencies (also measured in job years) that the policy would support.
- **Employment income** models the average annual sum of the income generated by the jobs supported through the policy.
- Tax impact (modeled at local, state, and federal levels) include all taxes on employee
- compensation, proprietor income, production and imports, households, & corporations.



Health Benefits from Reduced NOx and SOx Emissions



Michigan

- A CFS policy steering committee has been convening for a few months and is considering introducing legislation during the 2023 legislative session.
- GPI is working on compliance scenario modeling, economic impact, and air quality impact analysis.
- Governor Whitmer's Healthy Climate Plan includes a recommendation for a CFS.



Other Midwest States

• In Nebraska and Ohio, diverse groups of stakeholders are considering clean fuels policy legislation

0

Ο

- GPI is working on compliance scenario modeling, economic impact modeling, and air quality modeling for both states.
- No legislation has been introduced.





THANK YOU

CAROLYN BERNINGER, PUBLIC POLICY MANAGER

cberninger@gpisd.net

Clean Fuels Alliance America



Low Carbon Fuel Programs: Status and Impacts

Floyd Vergara, Esq., P.E. Director of State Governmental Affairs



Status Of Low Carbon Fuel Programs On West Coast



CA's Highly Successful Low Carbon Fuel Standard

2011-2021 Performance of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard



- In effect since 2011
- Virtually all transp. Fuels
- Full lifecycle carbon intensity targets
- Currently overperforming
 - 10% CI reduction by 2022
 - 20% CI reduction by 2030
 - Pre-/post-2030 under consideration
- 110MMT GHGs reduced since 2011 (~24M cars removed)

Biofuels Reduce GHGs By Recycling CO₂





COMBUSTION

BIOFUELS LIFE CYCLE

TRANSPORTATION

CO2 UPTAKE

FEEDSTOC

DISTRIBUTION

BIOREFINERY

Biofuels



Drop-in, Low Carbon Diesel Replacements Play Key Role In CA



--cdleanfuelssorgg--

Oregon Recently Expanded Clean Fuels Program

Carbon Intensity Targets



Office of Greenhouse Gas Program - Clean Fuels Program

- CI Reduction Targets
 - 10% by 2025 (existing)
 - 20% by 2030 (adopted)
 - 37% by 2035 (adopted)
- 760 Mgal/yr diesel market
- Modeled 15%-77% blend rates by 2035 (at 25% CI target)
 - (114 Mgal **585 Mgal**)
- BMBD single biggest source of GHG reductions in CFP
 - 136 Mgal BD/RD (2022, forecast), 17% blend
 - 48% of credits in 2020, 54% in 2021 (forecast)
- "continued demand for lowcarbon liquid fuels for decades"

22

WA Clean Fuel Standard (CFS)



- 10% CI reduction by 2031, 20% by 2038 (HB 1091)
 - 0.5% annually 2023-2024
 - 1.0% annually 2025-2027
 - 1.5% annually 2028-2031
 - 2.0% annually 2034-2038
- 950 Mgal diesel market
 - 140 Mgal as B20
- Adopted late-Nov 2022 (10% by 2031, 20% by 2034)

Clean



Both CA And OR Doubling Down On Low Carbon Fuels



Source: CARB Scoping Plan, 2022





Source: 2022 OR Clean Fuels Expansion Rulemaking, ICF 2021





Economic Benefits



- Strong market signal = \$Billions in investments
- For Biodiesel/RD in CA alone:
 - 6.8M credits generated in 2020 valued at <u>\$1.34 Billion</u> (at \$199/credit)
 - **\$4.44B** credits since 2011
- \$1.60/gal value from credits

LCFS Generates Substantial Value

Biodiesel/Renew. Diesel Credit Value (\$), 2011-2020



- cleanfuels.org -



Credit Prices Not Correlated With Pump Prices



- No direct correlation between credit prices and retail pump prices
- Very strong correlation between retail pump prices and crude oil, state & fed. taxes

Source: Bates White 2022, using CARB LCFS Credit Prices and EIA Retail Pump Price Data



GREATER FUEL DIVERSIFICATION, CONSUMER CHOICES



- At start of program, ethanol was predominant alt. fuel
- Since 2011, diversity of fuels has increased
- Choices available now electricity, renewable natural gas, biodiesel, renewable diesel, other emerging fuels

Source: Bates White, April 2022, from CARB LCFS Dashboard



Environmental and Public Health Benefits

ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS: GHGs & MORE

			B 20	PEO	 Virtually all alter reduce GHGs a
	B 100	RD 100	RD80	RD50	LCFS increases
GHG	Up to 79% less carbon emissions.	carbon diesel r			
PM	80% particulate matter reduction.	5-28% particulate matter reduction.	29% particulate matter reduction.	56% particulate matter reduction.	 110 MMT GHG Biodiosol and B
BTX	75% fewer aromatic compounds.	30% fewer aromatic compounds.	39% fewer aromatic compounds.	53% fewer aromatic compounds.	 BIOUIESEI AITU F 74% GHG red
	42% less carbon monoxide.	18% less carbon monoxide.	23% less carbon monoxide.	30% less carbon monoxide.	• Up to 80% Pr vehicles
NØx	NOx neutral.	11.5% NOx reduction.	9% NOx reduction.	6% NOx reduction.	

- Virtually all alternative fuels and air pollution
- fuel diversity, ectrification & low replacements
- is reduced in CA
- Renew. Diesel:
 - ductions on average
 - M reduction in legacy

Clean



TRINITY STUDY SITES (RANGE OF SOURCES, AREAS)



Geographic Scope

- 28 sites, 21 states
- Both coasts, Midwest, Southwest, D.C.

Source Types

- Transport: Legacy HD trucks/engines at ports, urban, agricultural, logistics, railyards
- Heating oil: residential, commercial

Key Considerations

- ALA State of the Air Report
- States with carbon policies or considering them

B100 SWITCH: DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA – TRANSPORT

Cancer Risk Pre/Post-Switch to B100 (Up to 193 fewer cases)



Value of Health Benefits from using Biodiesel in the District of Columbia (Per Year) **Benefit Value** Health Impact Endpoint Reduced Incidence 100.6 \$3,303,129 12,987.1 \$4,488 12.3 \$14,299 28.1 \$24,598 3.1 \$54,807 10.5 \$133,287 HA Cardio- Cerebro- and Peripheral Vascular Disease 4.3 \$68,492 1.7 \$22,980 0.6 \$0 \$0 3.7 98.8 \$4,414,345 \$371,503 619.3 4.7 \$59,160 0.6 \$20,552 1.9 \$63,297 33.036.1 \$2,298,710 32.1 \$249,689,228 5.679.9 \$1,467,432 \$262,010,307

Clean Fuels



B100 SWITCH: NEW HAVEN, CT – HEATING OIL

Cancer Risk Pre/Post-Switch to B100 (Up to 85% reduction)





Valuation of Reduced Incidence Benefits				
Endpoint	Reduced Incidence	Benefit Value		
Premature Mortality	2.3	\$20,413,656		
Asthma Exacerbation	1,073	\$63,195		
Minor Restricted Activity Days	1,380	\$96,001		
Work Loss Days	232	\$46,899		
Total		\$20,619,751		

Reduction in Health Impacts					
Baseline Cancer Risk	Cancer Risk Reduced to	Change in Cancer Risk	Baseline Tot. Cancer Burden (for	Tot. Cancer Burden (for	Change in Cancer Burden (for study
(1 in 10 ⁶)	(1 in 10 ⁶)	(1 in 10 ⁶)	study location)	study location)	location)
7.4	1.1	6.3 (85%)	<1	<1	(85%)



Source: Health Benefits Study, Clean Fuels 2022

B100 SWITCH: PORT ELIZABETH (NY/NJ) – PORT

Cancer Risk Pre/Post-Switch to B100 (Up to 2516 fewer cases)



Value of Health Benefits from using Biodiesel at the Port of New York and New Jersey (Per Year)				
Health Impact Endpoint	Reduced Incidence	Benefit Value		
Acute Myocardial Infarction Nonfatal	480.2	\$15,115,170		
Asthma Symptoms Albuterol use	74,287.6	\$25,673		
ER visits All Cardiac Outcomes	56.8	\$65,966		
ER visits respiratory	156.0	\$136,416		
HA All Respiratory	24.1	\$359,484		
HA Alzheimers Disease	96.6	\$1,191,555		
HA Cardio- Cerebro- and Peripheral Vascular Disease	25.6	\$401,042		
HA Parkinsons Disease	15.1	\$195,970		
HA Respiratory-2	3.4	\$0		
HA Respiratory-2 HA All Respiratory	27.5	\$0		
Incidence Asthma	574.6	\$25,658,684		
Incidence Hay Fever/Rhinitis	3,548.1	\$2,128,554		
Incidence Lung Cancer	29.0	\$364,106		
Incidence Out of Hospital Cardiac Arrest	3.5	\$124,068		
Incidence Stroke	11.4	\$388,636		
Minor Restricted Activity Days	193,804.5	\$13,485,269		
Mortality All Cause	174.6	\$1,366,431,014		
Work Loss Days	33,296.2	\$6,880,283		
Total		\$1,432,951,890		





STUDY SHOWS SIGNIFICANT HEALTH BENEFITS FROM B100

Switching to B100 in legacy vehicles and heating oil would:

- Cancer cases reduced by nearly 9500 (over 70-yr timeframe)
- Nearly 930 fewer premature deaths/yr
- Over 456,000 fewer/reduced asthma cases/yr
- Over 142,000 fewer sick days/yr
- Nearly 829,000 fewer minor restricted activity days/yr
- \$7.5 billion in avoided health costs/yr
- These results for only 28 sites evaluated are **the tip of the iceberg**



Purdue University

Induced land use changes (ILUC) due to biofuels: Hypothetical projections versus actual observations

Farzad Taheripour

Research Professor

Purdue University

Department of Agricultural Economics



Concerns about ILUC due to biofuels

- > An increase in demand for biofuels could increase demand for cropland
- Additional demand for cropland may generate deforestation and conversion of natural land in the country produced biofuels and across the world.
- The extent to which biofuel production may increase demand for cropland and cause deforestation is an important concern to be examined.
- Some early research on this topic projected that biofuel production in the U.S. could lead to major deforestation and that generates a large ILUC emissions.
- However, more advanced research and historical observations do not support projections made by the early papers in this area.



Review of estimated ILUC values for corn ethanol using various economic models





Review of estimated ILUC values for soybeans biodiesel using various economic models





Review of estimated ILUC values for corn ethanol: FAPRI model





Review of estimated ILUC values for corn ethanol: GLOBIOM model





Review of estimated ILUC values for corn ethanol: MIRAGE model





Review of estimated ILUC values for soybeans biodiesel: GTAB-BIO model




Why older versions of economic models overestimated ILUC emissions?

- Early papers on ILUC have used economic models ignoring intensifications (yield improvement, conversion of unused cropland to active cropland, double cropping) in crop production.
- More advanced and up-to-dated models have taken into account intensifications according to actual observations and project lower ILUC values.
- A large number of papers have paid attention to the fact that intensification in crop production could significantly reduce demand for cropland, regardless of the use of crops (some examples are: Cassman (1999), Brady and Sohngen,, (2008), Alexandratos and Bruinsma (2012), Alston et al. (2010), Borchers et al. (2014), Byerlee et al. (2014), Ausubel et al. (2012), and Hertel and Baldos (2016).



Productivity improvement in crop production in the US and across the world



Historical observations on corn and soybeans yields since 1961 Hist

Historical observations on cereals yield improvement at the global scale



- Between 2000-2020 corn yield has increased by 27.5% in the US.
- Between 2000-2020 soybean yield has increased by 22.6% in the US.
- Over time major productivity improvements occurred across the world.
- > Productivity gains provided major saving in land use



Economic models could badly overestimate demand for cropland

- In his presentation for this committee, Dr.
 Searchinger has referred to Schmitz et al.
 (2015) and mentioned that *"Nearly all studies project more cropland for food by 2050"*
- The chart provided by Schmitz et al. (2015) is modified to show that most of the models used by these authors badly overestimated demand for cropland for 2020 according to the observed global area of cropland in this year.

Global area of cropland in 2020 according to FAO data





FAO data does not show a major expansion in cropland in 2010-2020





A large area of cropland is available in the US to produce feedstock for various types of biofuels and save GHG emissions





Thanks Questions and Comments



Clean Fuels Alliance America



REDUCING LIFE CYCLE EMISSIONS TO DECARBONIZE TRANSPORT

Veronica Bradley, Director of Environmental Science





LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT BACKGROUND

- Life cycle assessments more broadly assess the environmental burdens of products from the production of the final product's ingredients through all the steps in the supply chain to the finished product and its end-of-life fate in society
- Direct life cycle emissions focus on the GHG emissions associated with each of those steps allocating emissions to the specific product in question relative to other co-products that come out of the same production processes



HOW DO WE CALCULATE DIRECT LIFE CYCLE EMISSIONS?

- Boundaries must be set on how far upstream and downstream to go when calculating emissions
- For bio-based fuels, generally, boundaries are set to include GHG emissions from:
- Inputs' production (e.g., fossil energy inputs to make fertilizer or natural gas, electric grid mix)
- The processes undertaken in each life cycle step (e.g., fertilizer application to grow soy, transportation between field and fuel production facility, feedstock-to-fuel conversion process)



HOW DO WE CALCULATE DIRECT LIFE CYCLE EMISSIONS?

- Distinctions on upstream system boundary among feedstocks
 - Agricultural feedstocks include the inputs to growing the commodity
 - Waste feedstocks include collection of the waste and processing it into a usable feedstock
- Calculate GHG emissions associated with each step following IPCC methodologies
- Decide allocation of process emissions
 - E.g., mass, energy, market base



HOW DO WE CALCULATE LIFE CYCLE EMISSIONS?

- Greenhouse gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy use in Technologies (GREET) Model is best option to assist
 - Open-access model created and maintained by the U.S. DOE Argonne National Lab
 - Provides a complete picture of the energy and environmental impacts of technologies, including well-to-wheels GHG emissions for fuels
 - Allows users to capture full suite of emission reduction opportunities throughout the supply chain to reduce fuels' life cycle emissions
 - Regularly updated with latest scientific and industry information
 - Most current regulatory programs rely on the GREET model in some capacity: CA-LCFS, EPA RFS, ICAO CORSIA



CONNECTICUT'S OPPORTUNITY

- The State can use the GREET model to easily calculate life cycle emissions for any low-carbon fuel program by incorporating it by reference
 - Allows updates to the science to be incorporated without costs to the State
 - Allows fuel producers transparency to drive further emission reductions
 - Captures ILUC emissions for crop-based feedstocks through CCLUB module



USE OF GREET EXTENDS EMISSION REDUCTION IMPACTS

CARBON EMISSION CONTRIBUTIONS	GREET	Ca. GREET 3.0	CORSIA	RFS	EU REDII	Canada CFS	RenovaBio
Farm Specific Cultivation	 Image: A second s	×	1	×	1	1	1
iLUC Updated Land Use Data	 Image: A second s	×	×	×	1	N/A	N/A
Land Management Changes	1	×	+/-	×	1	1	1
Carbon Capture and Sequestration Crediting	 Image: A second s	1	×	1	1	1	1
Electricity Source	 Image: A second s	1	1	1	 Image: A second s	 Image: A second s	 Image: A second s
Thermal Source	 Image: A second s	 Image: A second s	1	1	1	1	1
Methane Avoidance for Manure Systems	1	1	×	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hydrogen Source	 Image: A second s	1	1	1	1	1	1

Always calculated

X Not calculated +/- Carbon emission c

+/- Carbon emission calculated if positive, and not if negative

N/A Not within system boundary

THIS GRAPHIC REFLECTS CURRENT REGULATIONS AS OF MARCH 2022

Source: https://gevo.com/why-biofuels/why-biofuels-make-sense/gevo-believes-argonne-greet-is-the-superior-model/

QUESTIONS?



VERONICA BRADLEY, DIRECTOR ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE

VBRADLEY@CLEANFUELS.ORG



Questions



At the conclusion of each panel DEEP will hold a brief question and answer period.

If you have a question for a presenter, please drop it into the chat to **Jeff Howard**. DEEP will pose as many questions as time allows to the speakers. Clarifying questions will be prioritized. Leading questions will not be accepted.



Proposals for CT's Path Forward

Franz Litz – Litz Energy Strategies

<u>Matt Golden – Recurve</u>

<u>Audrey Schulman – Home Energy Efficiency Team (HEET)</u> <u>Jim Koontz – Reliable Secure Power Systems (RSP Systems)</u> <u>Molly Connors – New England Power Generators Association (NEPGA)</u>

Joe Uglietto – Diversified Energy Specialists [Unable to present during the live session but slides are included in this deck for viewing]



Click on an agenda section heading to jump to the relevant slides

(speaker order may vary)

Litz Energy Strategies

THREE MARKET-BASED POLICIES TO CONSIDER

Franz T. Litz Litz Energy Strategies LLC



RGGI PROGRAM REVIEW







Recurve

RECURVE SHAPE THE FUTURE OF ENERGY

What Does Recurve Do?





Coming to a grid near you...



Why Market Access?

California governor moves to free up electricity supply amid projected 3.5 GW summer shortfall

Published Aug. 3, 2021



<u>Kavya Balaraman</u> Senior Reporter





Demand FLEXmarket



Who Are FLEXmarket Aggregators?



Example: Controls / Shifting Market Access Value (1-Year)



2022 FLEXmarkets



PG&E Market Access						
Sectors: Commercial	Launch Date: May 2022					
MCE	BayREN					
Launch Date: April-June 2022 Sectors: Commercial, Residential, and Peak Note: Available pathway for event response at a rate of \$2,000/MWh	Launch Date: June 2022 Sector: Small/Medium Business with a focus on Hard-to-Reach Launch Gas ACC Multipliers: 3X Market Customers 7.5X for "Hard-to-Reach"					
PCE	3C-REN					
Launch Date: July-August 2022 Sectors: Residential, possibly Commercial, Peak launching in 2023	Launch Date: April 2022 Sector: Single-Family Residential with a focus on Hard-to-Reach					
SCP	Launch Gas ACC Multipliers:					
Launch Date: July-August 2022 Sectors: Residential, possibly Commercial	7.5X for "Hard-to-Reach" Electrification Pathway: Resets Electric Multiplier to 1X.					

Inflation Reduction Act

Energy Efficiency 4.3B: Two Accelerate Energy Efficiency

- Measured Performance pays for savings using Open-Source advanced M&V
- Single and multifamily buildings with a 2x multiplier for low income
- Can utilize existing programs and utilities as aggregators for rapid deployment
- Stackable funding increases customer incentives and lowers ratepayer costs
- Works with monthly or smart meters
- Utilities can provide measurement eliminating data barriers
- Low risk as taxpayer dollars pay only for measurable outcomes

How it Works: kWh Payable Rate

Pay for Measured Savings based on Monthly Payable Rate



State Calculator

Incentive Rate based on 20% of energy in average home in state, divided into \$2,000 or \$4,000 for low income customers.

Market Rate pays upto 50% of project cost and upto 80% for low income.

Portfolios must reduce by 15%.

\$2,000 or \$4,000

(20% * Avg. State Res Energy Usage)

IRA Homes Measured Performance Hourly Value

California IRA Example: Hourly Rates Based on Avoided Cost Values

Hourly Payable	Market	LMI	_
Gross Peak	\$1.68	\$3.36	kwh
Net Peak	\$1.49	\$2.98	kwh
Midday	\$0.09	\$0.18	kwh
Off Peak	\$0.24	\$0.49	kwh



Hours of the Day


Open-Source M&V at the Meter



OpenEEmeter is an open source toolkit for implementing and developing standard methods for calculating normalized metered energy consumption (NMEC) and avoided energy use. The OpenEEmeter library contains routines for estimating energy efficiency savings at the meter.

OpenEEmeter includes the reference implementation of the CaITRACK methods for estimating normalized metered energy savings. CaITRACK is a working group under the Energy Market Methods Consortium (EM2).





Measured Performance is Simple and Low Risk

Strategy: Keep it simple

- Layer on top of existing contracted programs
- Enable market access to additional aggregators

Tactics: Rapid low risk implementation

- 1. Avoids market confusion without new program designs or major changes
- 2. Simple for SEOs to implement with minimal overhead
- 3. Eliminates data and privacy issues by sharing only derivative results
- 4. Increase customers incentives without additional ratepayer funds





RECURVE SHAPE THE FUTURE OF ENERGY

Home Energy Efficiency Team (HEET)







Winslow Foundation





HEET Methods





HEET Research



NetGeo



- "Shallow" boreholes
- Ambient temperature
- Single pipe
- Infrastructure in the street
- No glycol
- Sized for stochastic load
- Thermal management
- Backup supplemental heater and chiller



➤ Safety➤ Security

Merrimack Valley Gas Disaster 2018





≻ Safety

≻ Security

➤ Affordability

• Heating bills

MA Energy Bill Projection (gas vs NetGeo) (Applied Economics Clinic Brief)





- ➤ Safety
- ≻ Security
- ➤ Affordability
 - Heating bills
 - Electric bills

Current US Seasonal Electric Peaks





Buonocore, J., Salimifard, P., Magavi, Z., Allen, J., "The Falcon Curve: Implications of Seasonal Building Energy Use and Seasonal Energy Storage for Healthy Decarbonization" DOI: <u>10.21203/rs.3.rs-1054606/v1</u>



≻ Safety

≻ Security

≻ Affordability

- Heating bills
- Electric bills



Future US Seasonal Electric Peaks

Buonocore, J., Salimifard, P., Magavi, Z., Allen, J., "The Falcon Curve: Implications of Seasonal Building Energy Use and Seasonal Energy Storage for Healthy Decarbonization" DOI: <u>10.21203/rs.3.rs-1054606/v1</u>



- ≻ Safety
- ≻ Security
- ➤ Affordability
 - Heating bills
 - Electric bills
- ≻ Reliability
 - Cold climate

Toronto Berczy-Glen





- ➤ Safety
- ≻ Security
- ≻ Affordability
 - Heating bills
 - Electric bills
- ≻ Reliability
 - Cold climate
 - Local energy

No Single Point Failures

Business

'Perfect Storm' Caused Massive Newport Gas Outage: Report

The week-long January gas outage left thousands of Aquidneck Island residents without heat during dangerously cold temperatures.

Rachel Nunes, Patch Staff @

Posted Wed, Oct 30, 2019 at 2:55 pm ET | Updated Wed, Oct 30, 2019 at 5:04 pm ET





- ➤ Safety
- ≻ Security
- ➤ Affordability
 - Heating bills
 - \circ Electric bills
- ≻ Reliability
 - Cold climate
 - Local energy
- EquityCustomers





- ≻ Safety
- ≻ Security
- ≻ Affordability
 - Heating bills
 - Electric bills
- ≻ Reliability
 - Cold climate
 - Local energy

≻ Equity

- Customers
- Workforce





- ≻ Safety
- ≻ Security
- ≻ Affordability
 - Heating bills
 - Electric bills
- ≻ Reliability
 - Cold climate
 - Local energy
- ≻ Equity
 - Customers
 - Workforce
- ≻ Emissions

Gas Heating



GeoMicroDistrict Feasibility Study, Buro Happold Engineering, 2019

	Feasibility Studies	Approved Installation(s)	Legislation
DC	Yes	1	
Maryland			Geothermal Heating & Cooling Systems (H.1007)
Oregon	Yes		
Minnesota	Yes		Natural Gas Innovation Act (216B.2427)
New York	>40 studies	2	Utility Thermal Energy Network & Jobs Act (S.9422)
Philadelphia	Yes (\$500k)		City approval
Vermont	Starting		
Massachusetts	Yes	6	Driving Clean Energy (S.2148) Energy Diversity (H. 4568)



Policy Phases

Innovation

- Allow for innovation through "pilots"
- Maximize learning & trust through research

HEET Research Team

- NREL, LBNL, MIT
- Databank
- Best practices
- Optimization model

Eversource NetGeo Installation Framingham, MA



Policy Phases

- Allow for innovation through "pilots"
- Maximize learning & trust through research
- Explore metering & billing







Policy Phases

- Paying for building retrofits (a few possible options)
 - State efficiency programs
 - Pay as you save on-bill financing
 - Proactive securitization
 - IRA tax credits & DOE Loan Program → Climate bank?
- Make gas & thermal service equivalent
 - Obligation to serve
- Accelerated depreciation of gas infrastructure



٠



Reliable Secure Power Systems (RSP Systems)



CT DEEP Comprehensive Energy Strategy Technical Session 8

Market Based Decarbonization programs and low-carbon incentives

December 15, 2022



AGENDA

Technical Overview

- Long Duration Thermal Energy Storage (TES)
- Benefits of TES
- Case Study Example

CT CES Inputs on how to Promote

Company Overview

Brenmiller- OEM https://bren-energy.com/



ABOUT US

We are a clean tech company that develops, manufactures, and sells our patented Thermal Energy Storage (TES) solution to ensure energy transition by providing clean heat for industrial, commercial, and power plants



RSP Systems- US Distributor

Established in 2004

- Capstone Distributor for CT, NY, OH
- Microgrid solutions
- 200+ CHP installations in region
- Microgrid Solutions
- Solar/Battery
- Rental Power
- <u>https://www.rsp-systems.com/</u>



TES can Decarbonize Heating Markets

INDUSTRIAL HEAT MARKET

Renewable based heat is a crucial for net-zero emissions





Modular Technology

bGen TECHNOLOGY



Capabilities

- Can produce High Pressure steam
 - Saturated and superheated
- Can produce hot water
- Can store thermal energy from external source
- Internal heating elements to convert kWh to BTUs
- Can charge off hours
- Efficient long duration storage media
- Scalable (up to utility scale applications)
- Basic siting & permitting requirements

Long Duration Thermal Energy Storage

HOW IT WORKS



Local Project- SUNY Purchase

HTS-CHP System Project

NYPA

- Largest state public power organization in the US
- Operates 16 generating facilities & more than 1,400 circuit-miles of transmission lines
- More than 70 percent of the electricity NYPA produces is clean renewable hydropower

State University of New York, Purchase, NY (Westchester Co., NY)

Host Site



NY Power

Project Overview

- Supports Campus Natatorium
- Energy and emissions reduction
- CHP provides power to facility
- Thermal Energy from CHP is stored in TES module for flexible time of use (pool, bldg. heat, domestic hot water)
- Internal electric heaters.
 - Can provide additional heat to cover 100% of thermal demand



How much carbon can be offset?



Average 250 bed hospital in CT

- Uses ~ 1,000,000 therms of gas annually
- Converting from traditional boilers to TES?
 - 11.7 MM # of CO2 reduction per year

Charge during Off-peak & use on demand



Thermal storage is programed to charge during off-peak hours for minimum energy cost

Benefits of Off-Peak Charging

- Charge when kWh are cheapest
- Charge when electricity is "greenest"
- Use TES during peak hours
- Can store thermal energy for days

CT DEEP- Path toward Decarbonization

• Aggressively pursue decarbonization of industrial thermal processes

- Technology is currently available
- Will support State goal of 100% carbon neutral by 2040
- Siting/installation is similar to traditional boiler
- Key markets that will benefit (any facility with large thermal loads)
 - Healthcare
 - Manufacturing
 - District Energy Loops
 - Colleges and Schools
 - Food and Beverage
 - Pharma, Chemical, Paper

CES Inputs

• Expand CT's definition of Energy Storage (aka- battery storage)

- Modify existing program to include Thermal Energy Storage (TES)
- Up-front incentives to offset CAPEX
- Production credits to offset OPEX (RECs, tax credits, etc.)

• Establish new Time of Day Rate for TES end-users

- Example- model new Rate similar with existing Rate 56/58, just for TES end-users
- Hourly matching to renewable generation to enable "clean and green" thermal output.

• Wholesale PPA between generating asset with available off-peak production

- Wind, nuclear, solar farms
- "Charge" the TES during off-peak hours for cleanest energy source & lowest cost kWh

Social and Economic Benefits

- Historically communities with prevalent industrial base are often economically disadvantages
- Develop reasonable incentives in these regions to promote adoption
Molly Connors Policy Analyst – Manager New England Power Generators Association (NEPGA)

Diversified Energy Specialists



Thermal Portfolio Standards & Clean Heat Standards:

The Future Regulatory Environment of the Thermal Sector

Report Prepared For:



Thermal Energy Policy

States are considering several different policies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the residential, commercial and industrial thermal building sector

	Portfolio Standard	Fuel Standard	Rebate Program	Emissions Standard
Overview	Portfolio standards require utilities to purchase a percentage of the electricity they distribute from clean sources within the portfolio standard.	Introducing legislation to change the fuel in a state is the most attractive method to reach higher blend levels. While fuel standards may cause prices to rise slightly in the near-term, retailers will not face any negative impacts from fuel standards.	Residential rebate programs are in each New England State. They are typically funded and administered by the utilities and funded by a surcharge on every homeowner's electric bill. Mass Save is a good example of these programs trending away from fossil fuel equipment rebates.	Emissions Standards aim to gradually reduce the carbon intensity of fuels over time. If the carbon intensity of your fuel is reduced by less than the obligation that year, you must purchase credits. If you reduce your carbon intensity by more than the obligation, you will generate credits.
Commentary	 Portfolio standards have been successful in the electricity sector. Thermal sector portfolio standards have been the most successful in states that incentivize alternative fuels at the retailer level 	 With three states having fuel standards enacted, other states have definitive case studies to refer to when introducing legislation for their own mandates. Fuel standards directly impact ghg emissions in a state and are the more effective way to reduce emissions. 	 While these programs typically funded all heating equipment for homeowners within the state, several programs have considered removing fossil fuel equipment rebates. These programs are following the "electrify everything" narrative and moving towards electric heating equipment rebates exclusively. 	 The obligated parties can be the prime supplier, wholesalers, or the first point of sale within a state. Eligible technologies can generate credits within an Emissions Standard.
Examples	 MA APS ME Thermal RPS Class III PA AEPS Class II (Considering) 	 Rhode Island New York Connecticut Massachusetts (not enforced) 	 Mass Save Energize CT Efficiency VT 	 CA LCFS VT CHS (Veto 5/2022) MA CHS (Considering) ME CHS (Considering) NY CHS (Considering)
Impact	- +	- +	- +	- +



Thermal Energy Policy

States are considering several different policies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the residential, commercial and industrial thermal building sector

	Building Sector Standard	Cap-and-Trade Program	Carbon Tax	Fossil Fuel Ban
Overview	Building standards set gradual emission reduction goals for different types of buildings, typically buildings over 20,000 square feet. These buildings must reduce their carbon footprint vs. a baseline year to help the state meet its goals.	These programs typically begin with an auction or allowance and aims to reduce the numbers of gallons sold per year. Typically, the compliance obligation is placed at the wholesale level and wholesalers are required to purchase allowances for the carbon emissions that they will sell each year.	A carbon tax would tax the sale of fossil fuels based on each fuels carbon intensity. Typically, electricity is not included in a carbon tax and pipeline methane leaks are not included either. This type of policy would not be ideal.	Many individual cities have proposed a ban on fossil fuel systems in new-build construction. Additionally, many cities and states would like to ban the use of fossil fuels, but don't have the support to do so.
Commentary	 There are far more options for buildings to meet compliance compared to an emissions standards. Typically, carbon offsets are an option. These standards typically apply to a certain type of building or certain size of building. Statewide building standards have yet to be enacted. 	 Given the declining cap on emissions, this program reduces the number of gallons sold from the fossil fuel industry each year. There is an aftermarket to trade allowances. These programs are a way to enact a carbon tax, without explicitly calling it a carbon tax. 	 Many carbon tax bills have been proposed in northeast states over the past two years, but all have failed. Voters do not view this pathway favorably. 	 Cities in Massachusetts' like Burlington and Cambridge have attempted to ban the use of fossil fuels in new-build construction. These efforts have failed, but it is likely that we will see this in many other cities soon. 10 City pilot program in MA. Not viewed favorably by voters.
Examples	 Boston BERDO (rulemaking) Cambridge, MA (rulemaking) New York 	 RGGI CA Cap-and-Trade MA Cap-and-Trade (considering) 	Washington D.C.Washington	 Burlington, MA (failed) Cambridge, MA (failed)
IIIhaqı	- +	- +	- +	- +



Portfolio Standard

The Massachusetts Alternative Portfolio Standard is one example of a thermal incentive program that would be beneficial to Connecticut

Characteristics	Summary	
Obligated Entities	Electric utilities / load serving entities delivering electricity to the state.	
Compliance Obligation	Electric Utilities must purchase a percentage of the MWh they deliver to end-users in the state. In 2022, obligated parties must purchase 5.5% of the MWh they deliver to Massachusetts.	
Compliance Mechanisms	Purchasing Alternative Energy Certificates or Paying the Alternative Compliance Payment (\$24.74 in 2022).	
Tradeable Credit	Yes. Eligible technologies will generate Alternative Energy Certificates that can be sold in the market.	
Carbon Intensity	Any eligible technology must reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 50% or more vs. the alternative.	
Eligible Technologies	Biofuels, combined heat and power, solar thermal, ASHP, GSHP, biomass, biogas, fuel cell, waste-to-energy, geothermal. All generating technologies are at the facility / end user level except for biofuels, which are incentivized at the retail level.	
GHG Reporting	Reporting for all technologies occurs on a quarterly basis. Biofuels, the only exception, reports on a biannual basis.	
GHG Opportunity	These programs are opt-in incentive-based programs. Historically, they have reduced greenhouse gas emissions across the state and have been an effective and cost-efficient way to reduce emissions without providing mandates or a carbon tax.	



APS Eligible Technologies

Combined heat and power plants have historically dominated the generation in the APS





Emissions Standard Summary

Vermont and Massachusetts could both enact a Clean Heat Standard in the next year, while other northeast states consider similar programs for the thermal sector

Characteristics	Summary		
Summary	Emissions Standards provide value & incentive to emissions reduction, while not limiting or guaranteeing a decrease in emissions. However, the failure to reduce emissions will be costly.		
Obligated Entities	Fossil Fuel wholesalers or the first point of sale within the state for consumption. (Natural Gas Utilities, Propane, Kerosene, heating oil, and coal).		
Compliance Obligation	"Annual requirements shall be expressed as a percent of each obligated party's contribution to the thermal sector's lifecycle CO2e emissions in the previous year with the annual percentages being the same for all parties." 26% below 2001 levels by 2025, 40% below 1990 levels by 2030, and 80% below 1990 levels by 2050. 1/3 of compliance must come from low-income residences.		
Compliance Mechanisms	Direct delivery of eligible clean heat measures, the market purchase of clean heat credits, or payment to a statewide appointed default delivery agent.		
Tradeable Credit	Yes. Eligible technologies will generate Clean Heat Credits, which can be used to meet compliance, can be banked for an unlimited number of years, or can be sold in the market to an obligated party. 'Early Action Credits' can be generated from 2022-2024 and used for compliance in 2025.		
Carbon Intensity	Carbon intensity of fuels will be measured by the GREET model.		
Eligible Technologies	Weatherization, sustainably sourced biofuels, RNG and advanced gasses, the installation of cold-climate air-source heat pumps and wood heating appliances, weatherization, and solar thermal.		
GHG Reporting	Typically reported through a third party annually, along with proof of retired Clean Heat Credits.		
Baseline	The baseline year would be the year prior to implementation.		
GHG Opportunity	These programs provide an opportunity for the market to reduce GHG emissions in a technology neutral manner that will value each metric ton of CO2e reduced equally.		



Eligible Generating Technologies

The technologies listed have been proposed as eligible technologies in the Clean Heat Standard, with some technologies (in green) listed as potential technologies





Recommendations for Connecticut

Study the potential impacts of regulatory programs in the transportation and heating sector to ultimately decide the most cost-efficient way to reduce greenhouse gas emissions

Thermal PortfolioEmissionsLCFS / TCIStandardStandard(including heating fuels)

DIVERSIFIED ENERGY SPECIALISTS

Background & Contact Information



Diversified Energy Specialists	Contact Information
 ✓ Renewable energy consulting ✓ Thermal technologies ✓ Greenhouse gas emissions reduction ✓ Rebate programs ✓ Environmental markets trading ✓ Renewable portfolio standards ✓ Thermal portfolio standards ✓ Low-carbon fuel standards 	Joe Uglietto President (978) 245-8730
 ✓ Cap-and-Trade programs ✓ Carbon offsets ✓ Purchasing ✓ Procurement ✓ Aggregation 	Joe@DiversifiedEnergySpecialis www.DiversifiedEnergySpecialis

© 2022 Diversified Energy Specialists, Inc. All Rights Reserved.



Questions



At the conclusion of each panel DEEP will hold a brief question and answer period.

If you have a question for a presenter, please drop it into the chat to **Jeff Howard**. DEEP will pose as many questions as time allows to the speakers. Clarifying questions will be prioritized. Leading questions will not be accepted.



Public Comments





Lower left of the screen

If you would like to make a comment during the public comment periods:

- Please use the "Raise Hand" feature if you would like to speak
- After any interested elected officials have provided their comments, you will be invited to provide your comment in the order the hands were raised
- Please unmute yourself, state your name and affiliation
- Given time limitations, please limit your comment to 2 minutes.
- After your comments, please remember to click the "Mute" button



General Public Comment



WRAP UP

Thanks for joining our technical session today!

Written comments related to this session (<u>notice</u>), or the general Comprehensive Energy Strategy can be submitted to:

- 1. <u>BETP's Energy Filings</u> web page or –
- 2. Via email to <u>DEEP.EnergyBureau@ct.gov</u>

All information on upcoming Comprehensive Energy Strategy technical sessions and written comment opportunities can be found on the <u>CES webpage</u>.

This slide deck and a recording of this session will be posted on the CES webpage

Written Comments related to this technical session will be due Friday, January 6, 2023, at 5:00 p.m. ET

BUREAU OF ENERGY AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY



Thank you for joining!

Questions? <u>DEEP.EnergyBureau@ct.gov</u>

