Exhibit 3

Connecticut Department of

ENERGY &
ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION

DELEGATION OF HEARING OFFICER

In accordance with the provisions of Section 22a-2 of the Connecticut General Statutes, Seng Phouthakoun of the Bureau of Air Management is hereby appointed as Hearing Officer. The purpose of this delegation is to allow said Officer to conduct a hearing on August 24, 2011 and to render a proposed decision regarding a proposed revision of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for air quality required by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAA). This SIP revision will be submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for review and approval pursuant to CAA.

The purpose of this revision is to: 1) allow the owners or operators of facilities to generate and/or use nitrogen oxides emissions reduction credits in accordance with the requirements in such orders and Section 22a-174-22 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, and 2) pursuant to Section 22a-174-32 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, allow manufacturing operations to implement Reasonably Available Control Technology through a compliance plan or an alternative compliance plan for volatile organic compound emissions.

Daniel C. Esty
Commissioner
*Department of Energy and Environmental Protection

7/19/11
Date

* Public Act 11-80, effective July 1, 2011, established the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection as the successor agency to the Department of Environmental Protection.

Connecticut Department of

ENERGY &
ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION

Hearing Certification

This is to certify that in accordance with the provisions of Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 51.102, the following actions occurred:

1. On July 20, 2011, the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (Department) caused to be published, on the Department's website, a notice of intent to revise the State Implementation Plan for Air Quality (SIP). This proposed SIP revision includes Trading Agreements and Orders and Consent Orders. The purpose of this revision is to: 1) allow the owners or operators of facilities to generate and/or use nitrogen oxides emissions reduction credits in accordance with the requirements in such orders and Section 22a-174-22 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, and 2) pursuant to Section 22a-174-32 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, allow manufacturing operations to implement Reasonably Available Control Technology through a compliance plan or an alternative compliance plan for volatile organic compound emissions.

2. In accordance with such notice, materials related to the public hearing were available for inspection and review on the Department's website on July 20, 2011;

3. In accordance with such notice, the Department held a public hearing on August 24, 2011, at the offices of the Department at 79 Elm Street, Hartford CT;

4. A webpage link to the proposed SIP revision were mailed and received, prior to or on July 25, 2011, by the Directors of the air pollution control agencies in New York, New Jersey, Massachusetts and Rhode Island;

5. A webpage link to the proposed SIP revision were mailed and received on July 25, 2011, by the Office of Ecosystem Protection, EPA New England.

October 25, 2011

Date

Seng Phouthakoun
Air Pollution Control Engineer II
Bureau of Air Management

Connecticut Department of

ENERGY &
ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION

Hearing Report

Hearing Date: August 24, 2011
Hearing Officer: Seng Phouthakoun

Revision to:

The Connecticut State Implementation Plan for Air Quality concerning the following Consent Orders.

1) Connecticut Jet Power LLC – 8180AM2 & M3; & 8300
2) Devon Power LLC – 8181AM2 & M3; 8219AM2; & 8251M2 & M3
3) Middletown Power LLC – 8182AM2 & M3; 8213AM2 & M3; 8214AM2 & M3; 8215AM2 & M3; 8300; & 8306
4) Montville Power LLC – 8183AM2 & M3; 8216AM2 & M3; 8217AM2 & M3; 8300; & 8306
5) Norwalk Power LLC – 8184AM2 & M3; 8218AM2 & M3; 8300; & 8306
6) NRG Middletown Operations Inc. – 8300 & 8306
7) NRG Montville Operations Inc. – 8300 & 8306
8) NRG Norwalk Harbor Operations Inc. – 8300 & 8306
9) NRG Energy, Inc. – 8300 & 8306
10) First Light Hydro Generation Company – 8272; 8279; & 8303
11) City of Norwich Department of Public Utilities – 8119AM2 & M3; & 8304
12) PSEG Power Connecticut LLC – 8240M2 & M3; 8241M2 & M3; 8243M1 & M2; 8244M2 & M3; 8253M2 & M3; 8301; & 8305
13) Capitol District Energy Center Cogeneration Associates – 8249M2 & M3; & 8298
14) Algonquin Windsor Locks LLC – 8261M1 & M2; & 8299
15) Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC – 8123AM1 & M2
16) Pfizer Inc. – 8093CM2 & M3; 8136AM1 & M2; & 8296
17) Dominion Nuclear Connecticut Inc. – 8221AM1 & M2; 8222AM1 & M2; & 8288 & 8288M1
18) Cascades Boxboard Group LLC – 8269; 8269M1; & 8297
19) Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority – 8116BM1 & M2; & 8302
20) Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation – 8120AM1 & M2; & 8293 & 8293M1
21) United Technologies Corporation – 8134AM1 & M2; & 8289
22) Hamilton Sundstrand Corporation– 8109M1, M2 & M3; 8291 & 8291M1; & 8029A
23) University of Connecticut – 8115BM1, M2 & M3
24) Cytec Industries Inc. – 8114AM1 & M2
25) Combustion Engineering, Inc. – 8154AM1 & M2

I. Summary

On July 20, 2011, the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (Department) published a notice of intent on the Department's website (http://www.ct.gov/dep/cwp/view.asp?A=2586&Q=483490) to revise the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for air quality through Orders and Modifications. The purpose of this revision is to: 1) allow the owners or operators of facilities to generate and/or use nitrogen oxides emissions reduction credits in accordance with the requirements in such orders and Section 22a-174-22 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, and 2) pursuant to Section 22a-174-32 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, allow manufacturing operations to implement Reasonably Available Control Technology through a compliance plan or an alternative compliance plan for volatile organic compound emissions.

The proposed SIP revision was the subject of a public hearing held on Wednesday, August 24, 2011, in the Holcombe Room at 79 Elm Street, Hartford, Connecticut. The Department delegated hearing officer was Seng Phouthakoun. In attendance at the hearing were: Seng Phouthakoun, Aileen Matta and Elizabeth McAuliffe of the Department; Robert Silvestri from PSEG, Patrick Fennell from TRC representing Combustion Engineering, Erich Uhlan from Hamilton Sundstrand, Christine Benetti from GEI Consultants representing Hamilton Sundstrand and Thomas Padberg from First Light Power. There were oral comments recorded at the hearing. Written comments were accepted until 4:30 PM, August 24, 2011.

This hearing report includes a summary of written and oral comments on the proposed SIP revision, the Department's response to the comments and any recommended changes to the proposed SIP revision. A transcription of the hearing and copies of the written comments received are available from the Department upon request.

II. Written Comments

Written comments were received by the Department on the proposed SIP revision as identified as A – G as follows:

A. Comment dated August 12, 2011, concerning Consent Order 8029A, for Hamilton Sundstrand, from Erich Uhlan (860-654-5210). The company wishes to inform the hearing officer that the SIP Description does not indentify vapor degreaser E63847. Vapor degreaser E63847 was identified in Consent Order 8029A.

Response to Comment A:

Based upon this comment submitted to the Department concerning the proposed SIP revision for Order 8029A, I recommend that the SIP Description be changed accordingly as the information was verified by the Department to be accurate and true. Attached is the revised SIP Description. The changes are simply for clarification purposes and do not impact the enforceability of the Order.

B. Comment dated August 12, 2011, concerning Consent Order 8029A, for Hamilton Sundstrand, from Erich Uhlan (860 654-5210). The company wishes to inform the hearing officer that vapor degreaser E63847 was replaced with a new vapor degreaser (Vapor Degreaser 650012909800.18141). It is also recommended that under the heading "Consent Order 8029A" in the SIP description that the sentence

begins with "Affected process equipment includes:" should also include the newly installed vapor degreaser (Vapor Degreaser 650012909800.18141).

Response to Comment B:

After taking into consideration this comment submitted to the Department concerning the proposed SIP revision for Order 8029A, I recommend that Order 8029A be incorporated into the SIP as originally issued on September 3, 2009. The degreasers listed in the Order are those that were in operation at the time of drafting the Alternate Compliance Plan submitted to the Department on September 2, 2004. Further, the newly installed degreaser is operated under Section 22a-174-20(l) of the Regulations and exempted from implementing reasonably available control technology for VOCs and therefore is not the subject of this SIP revision. This is why it is not listed under affected process equipment. Attached is the revised SIP Description. The changes are simply for clarification purposes and do not impact the enforceability of the Order.

C. Comment dated August 12, 2011, concerning Consent Order 8029A, for Hamilton Sundstrand, from Erich Uhlan (860 654-5210). It is recommended that under the heading "Consent Order 8029A" in the SIP description that the word "fuels" after the words "...the vapor pressure of all.." in the sentence that begins with "Expect for the use of aviation fuels in the test rigs..." be deleted and replaced with "calibration fluids".

Response to Comment C:

Based upon this comment submitted to the Department concerning the proposed SIP revision for Order 8029A, I recommend that the SIP Description be changed accordingly as the information was verified by the Department to be accurate and true. Attached is the revised SIP Description. The changes are simply for clarification purposes and do not impact the enforceability of Order 8029A.

D. Comment dated August 12, 2011, concerning Consent Orders 8109M1 & M2, 8291 & 8291M1 & 8029A, for Hamilton Sundstrand, from Erich Uhlan (860 654-5210). It is recommended that under the heading "Consent Order Nos. 8109M1, 8109M2, 8291, 8291M1" in the SIP descriptions that the name of the designated representative and contact phone number be deleted and replaced with "Eric Uhlan" and "860-654-5210," respectively.

Response to Comment D:

Based upon this comment submitted to the Department concerning the proposed SIP revision for Orders 8109M1, 8109M2, 8291, 8291M1 & 8029A, I recommend that the SIP Descriptions be changed accordingly as the information was verified by the Department to be accurate and true. Attached are the revised SIP Descriptions. The changes are simply for clarification purposes and do not materially impact the emissions reductions achieved in the Orders and do not impact the enforceability of the Orders.

E. Comment dated August 19, 2011 concerning, Consent Orders 8221AM1 & M2, 82222AM1 & M2, 8288 & 8288M1, for Dominion Nuclear Connecticut Inc, from Pamela Faggert (804 273-3467). Dominion wishes to inform the hearing officer that Dominion agrees with the proposed changes to the SIP and fully supports the use of Discrete Emission Reduction Credits and allowances as a mechanism to demonstrate compliance with Section 22a-174-22 of the Regulations.

Response to Comment E: Based upon this comment submitted to the Department concerning the proposed SIP revision for the Orders 8221AM1 & M2, 8222AM1 & M2, 8288 & 8288M1, I recommend that such Orders be submitted by the Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection to the Administrator of EPA as a revision to the Connecticut SIP for Air Quality.

F. Comment dated August 24, 2011 concerning, Consent Order 8151M1, for Combustion Engineering, from TRC Consulting contact: Patrick Fennell (804 298-6268). It is recommended that under the heading "Consent Order 8154M1" in the SIP description that the compliance period dates be deleted and replaced with "May 1, 2007 to May 1, 2009.

Response to Comment F:

Based upon this comment submitted to the Department concerning the proposed SIP revision for Order 8154M1, I recommend that the SIP Description be changed accordingly as the information was verified by the Department to be accurate and true. Attached is the revised SIP Description. The changes are simply for clarification purposes and do not materially impact the emissions reductions achieved in the Orders and do not impact the enforceability of the Orders.

G. Comment dated August 24, 2011 concerning, Consent Order 8151M1, for Combustion Engineering, from TRC Consulting contact: Patrick Fennell (804 298-6268). The company wishes to inform the hearing officer that the affected sources (Boilers #3, #4 and #5) were removed and their permits were revoked.

Response to Comment G:
After taking into consideration this comment submitted to the Department concerning the proposed SIP revision for Order 8154M1, I recommend that the Order 8154M1 be incorporated into the SIP as originally issued on October 17, 2006. The boilers listed in the Order are those that were in operation at the time of drafting the Order.

III. Oral Comment

Oral comments were received by the Department on the proposed SIP revision as identified as H, I and J, as follows:

H. Oral comment dated August 24, 2011 concerning, Consent Orders 8241M2, 8244M2, 8253M2, 8305 & 8301, for PSEG Power Connecticut, Robert Silvestri (203 551-6032). The company wishes to inform the hearing officer that designated representative name listed in the SIP Descriptions for the Orders was spelled incorrectly. The correct spelling of the designated representative's last name is "Silvestri" as opposed to "Sylvestri".

Response to Comment H:

Based upon this comment submitted to the Department concerning the proposed SIP revision for Orders 8241M2, 8244M2, 8253M2, 8305 & 8301, I recommend that the SIP Descriptions be changed accordingly as the information was verified by the Department to be accurate and true. Attached are the revised SIP

Descriptions. The changes are simply to correct spelling and do not materially impact the emissions reductions achieved in the Orders and do not impact the enforceability of the Orders.

I. Oral Comment dated August 24, 2011 concerning, Consent Order 8151M1, for Combustion Engineering, from TRC Consulting contact: Patrick Fennell (804 298-6268). The company wishes to inform the hearing officer that the affected sources at Combustion Engineering have all been removed and the permits revoked.

Response to Comment I:
See response to Comment G.

J. Oral Comment dated August 12, 2011, concerning Consent Order 8029A, for Hamilton Sundstrand, from Erich Uhlan (860 654-5210). The company wishes to inform the hearing officer that comments were submitted for the Department's consideration.

Response to Comment J:
See responses to Comments A – D.

Additionally, I discovered incorrect time period dates listed in the SIP Descriptions for Cytec Industries in Consent Order 8114AM1 and the University of Connecticut in Consent Orders 8115BM1 & 8115BM2. Based on the Orders, the correct time period dates in the Orders should be from May 1, 2007 to May 1, 2009. The time period dates erroneously listed in the SIP Descriptions were from May 1, 2010 to May 31, 2014. I recommend that the SIP Descriptions be changed accordingly as the information was verified by the Department to be accurate and true. Attached are the revised SIP Descriptions. The changes are simply for clarification purposes and do not materially impact the emissions reductions achieved in the Orders and do not impact the enforceability of the Orders.

IV. Conclusion

Based upon the comments submitted to the Department and the responses addressed in this hearing report, I recommend the proposed SIP revision be submitted by the Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection to the EPA Administrator as a revision to the Connecticut State Implementation Plan for Air Quality, as amended with the above mentioned changes to the SIP narrative indicated in responses A, C, D & F.

10/28/11
Date

Seng Phoutakoun
Hearing Officer