

Question and Answer amendment:

Subsequent to issuing a list of answers to questions proposed by the various proposers interested in our RFP, we had received additional information regarding question #3 and will therefore restate the question and amend the answer as follows:

Question: Will vendors who have done work or are currently doing work for legalized gaming entities be excluded from this project?

Answer (New)- Consultants and independent contractors hired by the state are subject to the restrictions of General Statutes § 1-86e. Among other provisions, that section states that no person hired by the state as a consultant or independent contractor shall “use the authority provided to the person under the contract, or any confidential information acquired in the performance of the contract, to obtain financial gain for the person, an employee of the person, or a member of the immediate family of any such person or employee.” General Statutes § 1-86e (a) (1).

In addition, there is a provision that prohibits the acceptance of “another state contract which would impair the independent judgment of the person in the performance of the existing contract.” General Statutes § 1-86e (a) (2).

Thus, doing work for a legalized gaming entity (whether a state entity or not) may be problematic under the Code. Our office would, however, need further details about the level of involvement between the consultant/independent contractor and the legalized gaming entity and also further details about the gaming study before determining whether a conflict exists under the Code or not. I would encourage any consultant/independent contractor to contact me directly in order to present his/her specific fact-pattern.

Cynthia Isales
Assistant General Counsel

State of Connecticut
Office of State Ethics
18-20 Trinity Street
Hartford, CT 06106

Ph: 860-566-4472 ext. 405
Fax: 860-566-3806