

Certification Submission Form

This form must be completed and submitted with any certification and/or viable sampling report



1. Have all cleanrooms, laminar airflow workbenches, BSCs, CAIs, CACIs, and barrier isolators been certified?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
2. Quantity of individuals documented as being present during dynamic comprehensive viable environmental monitoring.		Ante Room	IV Buffer Room HD IV Buffer Room
3. Does the pharmacy have an ISO Class 5 shielded laminar workflow area built in to the room?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
4. Is certification performed at least every six months, whenever the PECs are relocated or the physical structure of the buffer room or ante-area has been altered, or when any air flow is affected?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
4a. Are the certification reports available?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
4b. Note the date(s) of certification failures and obtain copies of the action plans for each failure.			
5. Is the person/parties responsible for overseeing the certification reports familiar with what testing is required and interpretation of results, have action levels have been identified, and are these further customized based on trended data of performance? (List responsible person/parties)	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments Comments
6. Is certification to the Controlled Environment Testing Association (CETA) standard (USP: CETA CAG-003-2006-11 Certification Guide for Sterile Compounding Facilities) and is it noted on the report? If not, indicate the standards used as indicated on the report. (Environmental monitoring to CETA CAG-009-00 Viable Environmental Sampling and Gowning Evaluation may also be listed)	Acceptable	Unacceptable	
7. Is the equipment used by the certifier calibrated and is the calibration in date?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
8. Does each test on the certification report have a clear indication of pass or fail?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments

9. Are the HEPA filtered air changes per hour (ACPH) measured for the compounding rooms?	Acceptable	Unacceptable		Comments
10. Is the ISO Class 7 non-hazardous sterile compounding room certified as having a minimum of 30 ACPH with at least 15 ACPH from outside air sources?	Acceptable	Unacceptable		Comments
11. Is the ISO class 7 ante-room certified as having a minimum of 30 ACPH?	Acceptable	Unacceptable		Comments
12. Are the ISO class 8 ante-room ACPH measured? A minimum of 20 ACPH is commonly referred to by the FDA and others.	Acceptable	Unacceptable	N/A	Comments
13. Is the ISO class 7 hazardous sterile compounding room certified as having a minimum of 30 ACPH?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	N/A	Comments
14. If a CACI is used, is the room in which it is located certified to maintain a minimum of 12 ACPH?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	N/A	Comments
15. Was air pattern analysis using smoke testing performed?	Acceptable	Unacceptable		Comments
15a. is the smoke flow described in the report for the various tests such as turbulent, sluggish, smooth, etc.?	Acceptable	Unacceptable		Comments
16. Was air pattern analysis conducted at the critical area (direct compounding area inside the ISO Class 5 PEC) to demonstrate unidirectional airflow and sweeping action over and away from the product under dynamic conditions?	Acceptable	Unacceptable		Comments
17. Was air pattern analysis conducted to confirm positive pressure (and negative pressure into hazardous compounding rooms) at all points around all openings, doorways, and pass-throughs?	Acceptable	Unacceptable		Comments
18. Was air pattern analysis conducted around particle generating equipment while the equipment was in operation to confirm air flow?	Acceptable	Unacceptable		Comments
19. Was differential pressure or displacement airflow measured?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	N/A	Comments

20. Was the differential pressure measured to be at least 0.02 water column positive from the cleanroom to the ante-room and between the ante-room and all adjacent spaces with the doors closed?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
21. Was the displacement airflow (for low and medium-risk non-hazardous rooms only) measured at a minimum differential velocity of 40 feet per minute from the cleanroom to the ante-room. Note that it is very important to maintain this velocity across the entire opening and the report should indicate multiple points of measure across all openings.	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
22. Were particle counts measured? Greater than or equal to 0.5 micrometer.	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
23. Were all particle counts taken during dynamic conditions and documented on certification reports?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
24. Are ISO Class 5 areas and hoods certified as having less than 3,520 particles per cubic meter of air?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
25. Are ISO Class 7 areas certified as having less than 352,000 particles per cubic meter of air?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
26. Are ISO Class 8 areas certified as having less than 3,520,000 particles per cubic meter of air?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
27. Was HEPA filter testing performed in the ISO certified rooms? 27a. List the number of HEPA filters in each ISO certified room	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
28. Were all room HEPA filters leak tested?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
28a. If leaks were identified were they repaired?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
28b. Was the BSC/CACI exhaust HEPA filter leak tested?	Yes	No	Comments
28c. Was a smoke study performed in front of the repaired area?	Yes	No	Comments
29. Were viable air and surface sampling tests conducted?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments

30. Is appropriate growth media used that supports both bacterial and fungal growth? List media used in note.	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
30a. Do the surface sampling plates contain the required neutralizing agents as shown in the COA?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
31. Was viable air sampling by active impaction using a volumetric air sampling device? NOTE: Passive air sampling is not compliant with USP Chapter <797>.	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
32. Was each air sample taken in the ISO areas/PECs at least 1000 liters in volume? If no, statistical analysis must be performed.	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
33. Was viable surface sampling performed on all direct compounding areas (inside of ISO 5 rooms or hoods), in each room, inside any pass-throughs, and on surfaces likely to be contaminated due to position relative to doorways, etc., performed?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
34. Did any of the viable samples exceed the USP recommended microbial action levels (or internal action levels if more restrictive)? Note: CFUs are TOTAL of bacterial plus fungal/mold plates.	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
35. Were all CFUs detected analyzed to determine the organism down to the genus? All CFUs detected must be identified even if the number of CFUs does not exceed an action level.	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
36. Were any mold, yeast, coagulase positive staphylococcus, or gram negative rods detected?	Yes	No	Comments
36a. If yes, was immediate remediation performed and was the root cause investigation conducted?	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments
37. Did the testing report indicate that it included growth promotion testing and sterility quality control testing of the media plates? Positive and negative control tests important to validate results of viable testing.	Acceptable	Unacceptable	Comments

38. Did the testing results report include media lot numbers, expiration dates, and a signature of the laboratory analyst and/or reviewer?

media type
media lot number
media expiration date
signature of the laboratory analyst and/or reviewer
temperature of incubation
date of incubation

Comments

39. Has a dynamic comprehensive viable environmental monitoring been performed within the last 6 months?

Yes

No

Certification test date

Viable sampling test date

Name of Person Completing Review