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MR. SIIVESTRI: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. This hearing is called to order this Tuesday, February 4, 2020, at 1 p.m. My name is Robert Silvestri, member and presiding officer Of the Connecticut Siting Council.

This evidentiary session is a continuation of the public hearing held on January 14, 2020 at the Brooklyn Community Center in Brooklyn. It is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon a motion to reopen a petition from Quinebaug Solar, LLC for a declaratory ruling for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a 50 megawatt AC solar photovoltaic electric generating facility located generally north of Wauregan Road in Canterbury and south of Rukstela Road and Allen Hill Road in Brooklyn, Connecticut.

On December 5, 2019, the Council, pursuant to a request filed by Quinebaug Solar, ILC and the provisions of Connecticut General Statutes Section 4-181a(b) reopened this petition.

A verbatim transcript will be made of this hearing and deposited with the Clerk's offices in the Towns of Brooklyn, Canterbury and

Plainfield and the City of Norwich for the convenience of the public.

We will proceed in accordance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available on the table next to the door.

I wish to call your attention to those items shown on the hearing program marked as Roman Numeral I.D., Items 20, 67, 85, 95 and 96.

Does any party have an objection to the additional items that the Council has administratively noticed?

MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Mr. Silvestri, Marianne Barbino Dubuque for Eversource. We have no objection.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you.
MR. BOGAN: Good afternoon, Mr.
Silvestri. David Bogan along with Kate Boucher on behalf of the petitioner. We have no objection.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, counselor. And the other party I do not see in the room. Okay.

Accordingly, the Council hereby administratively notices these items.

We will proceed with the appearance of the party, Eversource Energy.

Counselor, could you have your witnesses stand up?

MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Yes. Please
stand.
MR. SILVESTRI: And Attorney Bachman, could you begin by swearing in the party's witnesses?

A LI R. KARIMI,
 STEPHENMARIEN,

ANU J MATHUR,
CHRISTOPHERSPS SODERMAN, called as witnesses, being first duly sworn by Ms. Bachman, were examined and testified on their oaths as follows:

MS. BACHMAN: Thank you.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you. You may be seated.

Now, Attorney Dubuque, could you please begin by identifying the exhibits you have filed in this matter and verifying the exhibits by the appropriate sworn witnesses?

MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Yes. Thank you.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Good afternoon.

May I just introduce them quickly, the panel members, ask them to just introduce themselves and their title?

THE WITNESS (Karimi): My name is Ali Karimi.

MR. SILVESTRI: Please use the microphone.

THE WITNESS (Karimi): Sorry. My name is Ali Karimi. I'm with Eversource Energy. I'm the project engineer for this project.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'm Michael Libertine. I'm the director of the environmental siting and permitting group at All-Points Technology. And I'm here consulting on the environmental portions of the application.

THE WITNESS (Marien): Stephen Marien, lead engineer for transmission line and civil engineering at Eversource.

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Christopher Soderman, Eversource Energy, interim director of transmission line engineering.

THE WITNESS (Mathur): Anuj Mathur,
Eversource Energy, project manager.
MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Thank you. We have three exhibits we'd like admitted into
evidence. And I'd like to start with Exhibit 1, Eversource Energy's request for party status, dated 12/23/2019. And I'll ask Mr. Mathur, are you familiar with the information as to Eversource's role set forth in Exhibit 1? THE WITNESS (Mathur): Yes. MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Are there any corrections, clarifications or additions relating to Exhibit 1?

THE WITNESS (Mathur): No. MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: To the best of your knowledge as to Exhibit 1, is the information in Exhibit 1 true and accurate, and do you adopt this material as an exhibit?

THE WITNESS (Mathur): Yes.
MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Thank you. I'd like to continue with Exhibit 2, direct testimony of Stephen A. Marien, Ali R. Karimi, Anuj Mathur, Michael Libertine and Christopher Paul Soderman, dated 1/7/2020.

And Exhibit 3, Eversource Energy's responses to Council interrogatories, Set One, dated 1/28/2020.

First I'd like to ask Mr. Marien to state for the record the revisions to attachment

C, originally part of the direct testimony that are now reflected in Exhibit 3 as part of the response to Interrogatory number 11 , so essentially it's a corrected attachment now.

THE WITNESS (Marien): Sure. In
response to Interrogatory Number 11, Eversource provided an updated map set correcting the 1675 line to the 1000 line. The 1675 line --

MR. LYNCH: Could you speak up, please? THE WITNESS (Marien): Sure.

Eversource provided an updated map set. We correctly showed the 1000 line instead of the 1675 line in the project area. The 1675 line is correctly shown on page 2 just east of Bean Hill Substation.

In addition to making this revision, updated structure numbers were also provided, and the addition of existing structure 6807A is shown which was not shown previously.

MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: So just to be clear, Mr. Marien, the response with the attachment to Interrogatory Number 11 now replaces attachment $C$ to the direct testimony?

THE WITNESS (Marien): Correct.
MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: I'll ask

Mr. Marien, Mr. Karimi, Mr. Mathur, Mr. Libertine and Mr. Soderman, did you prepare or oversee the preparation of Exhibit 2 with your respective resumes and Exhibit 3?

THE WITNESS (Marien): Yes.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Mike
Libertine. Yes.
THE WITNESS (Karimi): Ali Karimi.
Yes.
THE WITNESS (Soderman): Christopher Soderman. Yes.

THE WITNESS (Mathur): Anuj Mathur.
Yes.
MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: And other than
Mr. Marien's testimony moments ago as to the revisions to attachment $C$ which are now part of the response to Interrogatory Number 11, the revised drawings, are there any other corrections, clarifications or additions?

THE WITNESS (Marien): No.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Mike
Libertine. No.
THE WITNESS (Karimi): Ali Karimi. No.
THE WITNESS (Soderman): Christopher
Soderman. No. THE WITNESS (Mathur): Anuj Mathur. No.

MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: To the best of your knowledge, is the information in Exhibit 2, as corrected by the response to Interrogatory Number 11 and the information in Exhibit 3 true and accurate, and do you adopt the written testimony with attachments and your respective resumes in Exhibit 2 and the responses to the Council's interrogatories in Exhibit 3 as your sworn testimony? THE WITNESS (Marien): Steve Marien. Yes. THE WITNESS (Libertine): Mike Libertine. Yes. THE WITNESS (Karimi): Ali Karimi. Yes. THE WITNESS (Soderman): Christopher Soderman. Yes. THE WITNESS (Mathur): Anuj Mathur. Yes.

MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Mr. Silvestri, I respectfully request that the Council admit into evidence Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 of Eversource Energy as full exhibits.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, counselor.
Does any party have any objection to the admission of the Eversource Energy exhibits?

MR. BOGAN: No objection.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, counselor.
The exhibits are admitted. Thank you.
MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Thank you.
(Eversource Energy's Exhibits IV-B-1
through IV-B-4: Received in evidence - described in index.)

MR. SILVESTRI: We'll begin with cross-examination of the party by staff, Mr. Perrone.

MR. PERRONE: Thank you, Mr. Silvestri.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
MR. PERRONE: Regarding Eversource's Canterbury and Norwich portions of the project, would either portion of the project impact identified resources within the Last Green Valley National Heritage Corridor?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): The answer is no. I can certainly elaborate, if you'd like.

MR. PERRONE: Please.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): The primary
structural improvements are going to occur on the
circuit transmission line in Norwich where we are going from single poles to two poles. The structures there today are approximately 85 feet tall. We're going to be increasing those new structures by about 10 feet, give or take. That corridor is visible, minimally visible in the surrounding area. In fact, you have to actually be in line with the right-of-way to actually pick up the structures from a visual standpoint.

Further, we have no real environmental resources approximate to the project area. So from a direct and indirect standpoint there are no anticipated impacts. If we move up to the switchyard area, we will be introducing some new structures in that area as well, but because it's going to be in concert with Quinebaug Solar's development and the fact that we have existing transmission lines in the area, it's not going to really have a substantive additional effect on the existing visual impacts that are there today.

And finally from that standpoint, in Canterbury we're essentially being provided a pad to construct on. So from an earth work or impact from a construction standpoint, that's really not something that we're responsible for. So from our
standpoint we don't feel as though the project really has -- well, the Eversource portion of the project certainly has no impact on the Last Green Valley.

MR. PERRONE: Turning to page 16 of the January 7, 2020 direct testimony in the paragraph on visual effects, at the very end it says, "The nearest residence located approximately 300 feet to the southeast," and then it gets into the elevation. But as far as that residence, could you please identify that residence on map sheet 1? THE WITNESS (Libertine): Certainly. If $I$ can direct everyone's attention to map sheet 1 of the prefile testimony behind attachment $C$, you hold that in a landscape position, if you look at existing structures 6801 and 6802, if you move to the south you'll notice there is a residence essentially closer to 6802 in terms of a vertical line, but that's the residence in which we're referencing as the closest residence to the project area. It is at a significantly lower elevation. You'll also notice that their driveway actually crosses the right-of-way to the left of the page, essentially next to existing structure 6799, but that is the residence and abutting
neighbor that we were referring to.
MR. PERRONE: Because looking at it quick, it almost appears that there's two residences. This is the one a bit farther to the west?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are residences closer to the right-of-way, but from the standpoint of where we're physically doing the structure separations, that would be the closest. So technically, yes, there could be a closer residence in terms of proximity to the right-of-way that we're accessing.

MR. PERRONE: And the 300 foot measurement, where is that from, from the residence to --

THE WITNESS (Libertine): The residence to essentially the edge of the right-of-way.

MR. PERRONE: And now back to the topic of the elevation, it says here that residence is set at an elevation that's substantially lower than the right-of-way. Could you explain how that would affect visibility of the transmission project from that house?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Because of that aspect, topography alone, because it sits at
such a lower elevation, there's not a direct line of sight to the existing structures that are there today, and so we are going to be working on the opposite side, in fact, further away from the house. And although there's a 10 foot differential in the height, we don't anticipate there to be any direct views from that topography alone. We will still be leaving some right-of-way edge as well which will also help to buffer if there were views from like a second-story window, but we just don't anticipate that based on our knowledge and visiting the site.

MR. PERRONE: Lastly on visibility, this is more general, could you compare the existing and proposed conditions for the transmission project?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Sure. As I said, we're going from single poles within this right-of-way to a double circuit arrangement. And essentially what is to the, we'll call it to the west where the neighborhoods are Philanne Drive and Beechwood Boulevard, there is no real substantive views today in the neighborhood. There are some of the adjoining right-of-way. And I suppose, I have not stood in the backyards of
these folks, but I've driven those roads and I've actually done a project on the adjacent parcel where the water tank sits essentially to the north/northwest, there are not really significant views in that portion of the right-of-way. So we're not expecting from that particular vantage point that things are going to change really from the existing conditions today. If in fact someone has seen one of the poles, perhaps the closest pole that's there today within our project area which would be 6800, they may catch a glimpse of the new pole, but I'd be very doubtful that that is the case. Again, I've been out in that area over the last few years on a few projects, and it's just not highly visible.

As we move to what I'll call the east side or the northeast side just off -- and actually if you turn to map 2, that might give you -- map 2 of 2 behind that same attachment -that might give you a pretty good perspective -it drops substantially off, it is really a cliff there that drops down to Route 2. As you move across Route 2, there is some commercial development. There is some residential development a little bit further to the north.

I've driven that area extensively, and the only direct views of the existing structures, and in this case it would be existing structure 6805, can really only be achieved when you are looking directly down in line parallel with the transmission corridor today. So from an overall general visibility standpoint, things should not change substantially as they are today.

MR. PERRONE: Also on page 16 of the direct testimony at the bottom of the page it says, "Eversource would use an existing access road originating off Philanne Drive to access the work."

Would any upgrades to this existing access be necessary for the project?

THE WITNESS (Marien): Yes, the project proposed is an upgrade to the existing access road, and a new access is proposed just to the northwest of the proposed two single circuit lines.

MR. PERRONE: Could you tell us what type of upgrades to the existing access you would be looking at?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Essentially
we'd be slightly widening it and top grading it
for construction vehicles. It's fairly rutted out today. It is accessible and cleared, but it just needs really some topping just to facilitate that, and some line trucks. I'd also mention that the main reason that we're creating a bit of a spur to access is just to avoid going outside the right-of-way so we can maintain within our rights.

MR. SILVESTRI: Mr. Lynch has a follow-up question.

MR. LYNCH: Mr. Libertine, will this upgrade to the access road accommodate emergency vehicles, fire trucks, rescue trucks without any problem?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): It certainly will provide that in terms of width and overall grade, yes.

MR. LYNCH: Thank you.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): It will be gated, however, as it is today.

MR. LYNCH: I'll get to that later.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. Lynch.
Mr. Perrone.
MR. PERRONE: Thanks. Does Eversource need to provide notice to the Federal Aviation Administration for any of your new structures,
either in Canterbury or Norwich?
THE WITNESS (Marien): All the
structures and all this project scope has been reviewed with the FAA Notice Criteria Tool and has not activated any criteria that we would need to file any FAA notifications.

MR. PERRONE: And lastly regarding the proposed switching station, is it correct to say that that would be an air insulated rather than a gas insulated switching station?

THE WITNESS (Marien): Correct.
MR. PERRONE: And with that, is it also correct to say that it would not contain the greenhouse gas sulfur hexafluoride?

THE WITNESS (Karimi): There will be some SF 6 gas in the breakers that we install there, yes, but it's not a significant amount basically, about 60 pounds per breaker.

MR. SILVESTRI: Just a clarification, 60 pounds per --

THE WITNESS (Karimi): Per breaker.
MR. SILVESTRI: Per breaker. And how many breakers?

THE WITNESS (Karimi): Three breakers at present.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you.
MR. PERRONE: Thank you. That's all I have.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. Perrone.
We'll continue with Mr. Levesque.
MR. LEVESQUE: Mr. Perrone took care of almost all my questions, but what's the purpose of the gas in the breakers?

THE WITNESS (Karimi): The gas provides the insulation. In the old days we used oil, mineral oil for that insulation, and now it's the gas, and it's going to change pretty soon in the future.

MR. LEVESQUE: Thank you very much.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you.
We'll continue with Mr. Harder.
MR. HARDER: Thank you. I just have one question. The direct testimony on the issue of tree removal, you'd be expanding the corridor anywhere from 35 to 55 feet, is that solely to accommodate splitting of the lines to two?

THE WITNESS (Marien): Can you clarify?
This is for the double separate circuit separations?

MR. HARDER: That's correct.

THE WITNESS (Marien): Yes, that's to separate the line to maintain necessary standards consistent with our Eversource standards and National Electric Safety Code and to maintain an aerial bucket corridor for our crews to work safely.

MR. HARDER: Thank you. That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank You, Mr. Harder.
Mr. Hannon.
MR. HANNON: Thank You, but I have nothing at this point in time.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank You, Mr. Hannon.
Mr. Morissette.
MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Good afternoon, panel. I would like to walk through a couple of the interrogatories filed on January 28th. 001 indicates that Eversource is purchasing 40.18 percent of the output. That is specifically CL\&P is purchasing the 40.18 percent of the facility, correct?

THE WITNESS (Mathur): Yes, that's correct.

MR. MORISSETTE: Western Mass and NSTAR are purchasing other percentages associated with
the output?
THE WITNESS (Mathur): That's correct.
MR. MORISSETTE: Moving on to 002, just
a point of clarification for the record.
Mr. Libertine, you reviewed Quinebaug's
information specifically for the switching station, and you utilized that information to opine on the environmental impacts of that area. For the circuit separation part of the project, did you also rely on that information, or did you perform it independently?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, the circuit separation in Norwich, which is remote from the main physical plant that Quinebaug is proposing, we did an independent review. So we did field reviews as well as publicly available mapping as though it were an independent piece.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): You're
welcome.
MR. MORISSETTE: Moving on to the line separation, the estimated cost for that is, as I understand it, $\$ 3.3$ million. Can you confirm that?

THE WITNESS (Mathur): That is correct.

MR. MORISSETTE: The overloads associated with causing to separate is associated with a confidential location, but essentially if a single structure with two circuits gets affected, an overload will occur on the system, correct?

THE WITNESS (Marien): When Quinebaug Solar is connected, this was studied by the ISO New England interconnection process, and this was identified as a way to avoid the overload.

MR. MORISSETTE: Do you know what the output of the facility, the solar facility, was inputted into that study? So i.e. the total capacity of the solar facility is 49 megawatts. Was it 49 megawatts at full load capacity?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): This is Chris Soderman. Yes, it was studied at full capacity. That's part of a system impact study process.

MR. MORISSETTE: Typically a solar facility will reach maximum capacity at, what, three, four hours a day when it's bright and sunny, would you agree, disagree?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): That follows, yes, typically.

MR. MORISSETTE: Were other
alternatives looked at as far as tripping the
solar plant in the event of a double circuit fault, i.e., a transfer trip?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): I can't speak to all the machinations that the ISO system planning process went through to arrive at this being the recommended solution. So the system impact study process essentially identified two solutions: One, rebuild the overloaded circuits so that you could meet their rating, or to eliminate the double circuit contingency which causes that. Again, I can't really speak to the inner machinations of the ISO process.

MR. MORISSETTE: Well, it seems \$3.3 million to split the circuit isn't an exorbitant amount of money, but given it's a 50 megawatt solar plant that is operating three, four hours a day at max capacity, maybe, Mr. Soderman, you know when the trigger is. Does the overload occur at some point on the curve or is it -THE WITNESS (Soderman): Again, I didn't perform, and Eversource doesn't perform these system impact studies. That was done by ISO New England. So I can't speak to the coincidence of the max output and the max load that might drive this overload.

MR. MORISSETTE: Maybe we could get a Late-File to determine what the trigger point and capacity output is.

MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: May we go off the record for one moment, please?

MR. MORISSETTE: No Late-Files?
MR. SILVESTRI: We're going to hold just for a second, attorney.
(Pause.)
MR. MORISSETTE: I reject that
question. Thank you.
MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Mr. Morissette, before you continue, I think Mr. Marien, though, might want to just comment on what Chris said about there were two alternatives identified. So I think you would want to hear his response to that question on the other alternative.

MR. MORISSETTE: That would be great. Thank you.

THE WITNESS (Marien): We were asked to look at the overload. In fact, that was the first thing we were asked to look at. And it involved a project that would be significantly more expensive, much longer project, including brand new lines, brand new structures, insulators, and
it came down to it was a much more expensive alternative. So ISO New England directed us to handle the 1000 and 1080 double circuit separation instead.

MR. MORISSETTE: So this is the least cost alternative for Eversource?

THE WITNESS (Marien): Correct.
MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. It seems that the probability of losing that double circuit is pretty low given that it's a solar facility. And I would assume that if you're going to lose the circuit, it's at a time of a weather event and you're not getting much solar energy out of the weather event and therefore your output would be low, and the loss of the line, your output would be low, and therefore the overload would be mitigated. But nonetheless, ISO did the analysis, and the result is a $\$ 3.3$ million split.

Okay, moving on. Did Heritage review the line split area?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes. A cultural resource survey was done, both a Phase 1A and a Phase 1B, which consisted of a walkover of each of the sites.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): You're welcome.

MR. MORISSETTE: That's all the questions I have at this time. Thank you.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you,
Mr. Morissette.
We'll continue with Mr. Lynch.
MR. LYNCH: Just two questions. I wanted just to follow up on my colleague to the right here. What part of this project, the cost of this project, is being borne by Eversource and what part is being borne by Quinebaug Solar?

THE WITNESS (Mathur): The entire cost of this project is being borne by Quinebaug Solar 100 percent.

MR. LYNCH: And I've got two different costs for that. Could you give me a round number?

THE WITNESS (Mathur): The estimated cost for Eversource's portion of the project is estimated to be $\$ 13.4 \mathrm{million}$.

MR. LYNCH: That's what it has in the application, but at our last meeting the applicant, Quinebaug Solar, said it would be much more. So that's why I was confused. Thank you.

THE WITNESS (Mathur): You're welcome.

MR. LYNCH: And my last question is, we haven't had a substation or a switching station in a long time, and my question has to do with a fire protection plan. Mr. Libertine said the road would be wide enough for trucks. But do you offer to the volunteer fire departments in that area any special training, any special equipment, did you or the fire as very -- I realize it's not a high priority in this area, but for them, you know, the likelihood of it happening is probably nil, but so was Donald Trump being elected.
(Laughter.)
MR. LYNCH: I'm just wondering if you did provide any special information, special training to access your facility?

THE WITNESS (Marien): I'm not aware of any special training. In the event of that situation, our resources would be dispatched, and we would help ensure the safety of the public outside of the station.

THE WITNESS (Soderman): And we don't have anything necessarily specific training for this project, but Eversource does work with emergency response personnel on training for electric safety on an ongoing basis throughout the
entire service territory.
MR. LYNCH: Mr. Soderman, I know that had been testified to before. That's why I asked. Thank you.

That's all, Mr. Chairman.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. Lynch.
I have one question for you. On the supposition that the project is approved, at the end of the project life the collector substation would be removed, the question $I$ have for you: Is there any anticipated impact or, say, modification that would be needed to be made on the proposed switching station or the transmission lines once that collector station is removed besides from disconnecting?

THE WITNESS (Karimi): It all depends on that time period. If Eversource Energy determines or ISO New England determines that that switching station is no longer needed, we can restore the line to the original position that it was prior to this project. If there was other needs for that switching station it will stay in service, but the contract between Eversource and Quinebaug Solar would expire at that time, and Eversource has to take care of the switching
station.
MR. SILVESTRI: You hit my follow-up question. Thank you.

I'll ask the Council members and Mr.
Perrone from staff if there's any other questions for the party. Mr. Levesque.

MR. LEVESQUE: Did you -- maybe
Mr. Mathur knows. Did you hear or understand the transmission part of the project cost that Dan was talking about?

THE WITNESS (Mathur): Yes, I did understand the question.

MR. LEVESQUE: Was the difference in the cost like their site preparation and, you know, pad costs that you're not doing?

THE WITNESS (Mathur): I cannot speak to that.

MR. LEVESQUE: Okay. Thank you.
THE WITNESS (Mathur): Thank you.
MR. SILVESTRI: Any other questions by
the Council?
(No response.)
MR. SILVESTRI: Good. Thank you. We will now move into appearance by the party.

Oh, I'm sorry, Attorney Bogan, my
apologies. Did you have any questions?
MR. BOGAN: No apologies necessary, and I do not.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, sir.
Yes, counselor.
MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Mr. Silvestri, I just want to be absolutely clear. We had a request for administrative notice for three items, and I wasn't completely clear if that was already handled when we first started today. I wrote down the numbers of the other ones, but $I$ didn't see ours that are listed on page 13. I just wanted to make sure that that was taken care of.

MR. SILVESTRI: Let me go back and find it. Let's take care of that now.

MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Thank you.
MR. SILVESTRI: Attorney Bogan, can I call your attention to page 13, Item A, numbers 1, 2 and 3? Do you have any objection to those?

MR. BOGAN: I do not, sir.
MR. SILVESTRI: Super. Thank you. And
I don't know if the Sposatos are here, but I'll ask if they have any objections to that as well.
(No response.)
MR. SILVESTRI: And hearing and seeing
none, they are admitted. Thank you.
MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Thank you, Mr.
Silvestri.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thanks for the catch.
(Witness panel excused.)
MR. SILVESTRI: I would like to
proceed. The party, I guess, Mr. and Mrs., or Troy and Meghan Sposato are not here, in which case we will move back to appearance by the petitioner. So if you can take a couple of minutes just to get reorganized.
(Whereupon, a recess was taken from 1:34 p.m. until 1:39 p.m.)

MR. SILVESTRI: I believe we're all set to continue. And we will now continue with the appearance of the petitioner, Quinebaug Solar, LLC, to swear in their two new witnesses, David George from Heritage Consultants, LLC, and Patricia Vallejo from NextEra Energy Resources, LLC, and to verify the new exhibits marked as Roman Numeral II-B-7 through 9 on the hearing program.

MR. BOGAN: Good afternoon, Mr. Silvestri. And again for the record, David Bogan on behalf of the petitioner, along with Kate

Boucher. I should note that Ms. Vallejo is not here today, but we do have Mr . George. So if we could swear him in, that would be appropriate.

MR. SILVESTRI: That would be great.
Thank you.
D AVID GEORGE, called as a witness, being first duly sworn by Ms. Bachman, was examined and testified on his oath as follows:
$\mathbf{K} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{N} \quad \mathbf{R} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{N}$,
K ATELIN NTCKERSON,
BRIANHENTLEY,
J O N A THAN GRAVEL,
H A GENLEE,
J OSEPH CARTAYA, E D W ARD DEVARONA, having been previously duly sworn, continued to testify on their oaths as follows:

MS. BACHMAN: Thank you.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
MR. BOGAN: Very quickly. Mr. George, did you prepare or cause to be prepared what is noted on the Council's list of exhibits for identification which has now been admitted as a full exhibit petitioner's Phase 1B Cultural

Resources Report?
THE WITNESS (George): Yes, I did.
MR. BOGAN: Do you have any changes,
corrections to make to that?
THE WITNESS (George): No.
MR. BOGAN: Is the information true and accurate to the best of your knowledge and belief?

THE WITNESS (George): Yes, it is.
MR. BOGAN: And you adopt that as your testimony in this proceeding?

THE WITNESS (George): Yes, sir.
MR. BOGAN: Thank you. Moving on to the additional items that are noted on the Council's list. Starting with you, Mr. Ryan, did you assist in the preparation of certain responses to Late-Filed exhibits, dated January 28th and noted as Item 7 on the Council's list?

THE WITNESS (Ryan): Yes, I did.
MR. BOGAN: Ms. Nickerson?
THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Huntley?
THE WITNESS (Huntley): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Lee?
THE WITNESS (Lee): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Gravel?

THE WITNESS (Gravel): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Devarona?
THE WITNESS (Devarona): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Cartaya?
the witness (Cartaya): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: And do you have any
changes, corrections, additions, modifications to
make to that information? Mr. Ryan?
THE WITNESS (Ryan): No.
MR. BOGAN: Ms. Nickerson?
THE WITNESS (Nickerson): No.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Huntley?
THE WITNESS (Huntley): No.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Lee?
THE WITNESS (Lee): No.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Gravel?
THE WITNESS (Gravel): No.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Devarona?
THE WITNESS (Devarona): No.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Cartaya?
THE WITNESS (Cartaya): No.
MR. BOGAN: And do you adopt that as
your testimony in this matter? Mr. Ryan?
THE WITNESS (Ryan): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Ms. Nickerson?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Huntley?
THE WITNESS (Huntley): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Lee?
THE WITNESS (Lee): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Gravel?
THE WITNESS (Gravel): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Devarona?
THE WITNESS (Devarona): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Cartaya?
THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Moving on to what's noted as Item Number 8 which is the petitioner's sign posting affidavit. I believe that was prepared under your direction, is that correct, Mr. Huntley?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Is the information true and accurate to the best of your knowledge and belief?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Yes, it is.
MR. BOGAN: And do you adopt that as your testimony in this matter?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): I do.
MR. BOGAN: And then finally there were certain interrogatories propounded by Mr. Sposato
noted as Number 9 on this list. Mr. Ryan, did you assist in the preparation of the responses to those interrogatories?

THE WITNESS (Ryan): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Ms. Nickerson?
THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Huntley?
THE WITNESS (Huntley): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Lee?
THE WITNESS (Lee): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Gravel?
THE WITNESS (Gravel): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Devarona?
THE WITNESS (Devarona): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Cartaya?
THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: And do you have any
changes, corrections, additions to make to that information? Mr. Ryan?

THE WITNESS (Ryan): No.
MR. BOGAN: Ms. Nickerson?
THE WITNESS (Nickerson): No.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Huntley?
THE WITNESS (Huntley): No.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Lee?

THE WITNESS (Lee): No.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Gravel?
THE WITNESS (Gravel): No.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Cartaya?
THE WITNESS (Cartaya): No.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Devarona?
THE WITNESS (Devarona): No.
MR. BOGAN: And is the information true and accurate to your knowledge and belief? Mr .

Ryan?
THE WITNESS (Ryan): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Ms. Nickerson?
THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Huntley?
THE WITNESS (Huntley): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Lee?
THE WITNESS (Lee): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Gravel?
THE WITNESS (Gravel): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Devarona?
THE WITNESS (Devarona): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: And Mr. Cartaya?
THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: And do you adopt that as your testimony in this proceeding? Mr. Ryan?

THE WITNESS (Ryan): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Ms. Nickerson?
THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Huntley?
THE WITNESS (Huntley): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Lee?
THE WITNESS (Lee): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Gravel?
THE WITNESS (Gravel): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Devarona?
THE WITNESS (Devarona): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: Mr. Cartaya?
THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Yes.
MR. BOGAN: The witnesses are
available. And I'd ask that the information denoted as Items 7, 8 and 9 be admitted as full exhibits.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Attorney
Bogan.
Does any party object to the admission of the petitioner's new exhibits?

MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Marianne Barbino Dubuque for Eversource. No objection. Thank you.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you. And hearing and seeing none, the exhibits are admitted. Thank
you.
(Petitioner's Exhibits II-B-7 through
II-B-9: Received in evidence - described in index.)

MR. SILVESTRI: We will begin with cross-examination of the petitioner by staff. Mr. Perrone.

MR. PERRONE: Thank You, Mr. Silvestri.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
MR. PERRONE: Mr. Lee, at the last hearing $I$ had asked you about the PPAs, and you had explained that Quinebaug had to apply for an extension for the milestones due to the change in the commercial operation target date, but the PPAs themselves remain largely the same.

My question is, did Quinebaug need to receive any additional PURA approvals for those changes to the PPAs?

THE WITNESS (Lee): I don't think so.
MR. PERRONE: Referencing the response to Council Interrogatory 1, I understand that the qualified capacity for the project for ISO purposes is 24.9 megawatts, but the project itself is a little under 50 megawatts AC. And I understand it was testified that those are two
different things, but my question is how does the 50 get derated to, say 49.2 , about 24.9 by ISO? THE WITNESS (Devarona): It's in relation to the forward capacity auction. I'm actually not completely knowledgeable on the process that ISO goes through on their decrease in price and as well as megawatts for the unit. I do know that the auction actually happened yesterday, and it actually cleared all the way down to 11 megawatts, and I believe the price is 1.50, but I haven't confirmed that yet. It's a process that kind of goes through based on the capacity obligation that ISO New England sees and the units that actually bid into that auction, but I'm not fully knowledgeable on the process.

MR. PERRONE: Turning to the Late-File exhibits, Exhibit $E$, there's two different numbers for the module wattages, STC and NOCT. In the Late-File exhibit it says that "NextEra generally uses STC to base our module wattage." Could you explain why STC is preferred over NOCT?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Standard testing conditions would be the way that the market rates most modules for procurement purposes and what we base it to forecast our productivity.

But in more detail when we are doing production results, we do more realistic testing, more realistic modeling. That's just a purchase number basically.

MR. PERRONE: NOw I'd like to move on to the traffic topic. The response to Council Interrogatory 34 stated that the 1310 findings of fact with regard to traffic remain accurate. Specifically Finding of Fact 144 said specifically during construction five to ten delivery vehicles would be expected to visit the site daily. More recently we have the response to the Sposato Interrogatory 15 where it says during construction it is expected that approximately 10 to 20 additional vehicles will access the site along Wauregan Road per day.

Can you explain why this is a greater number than before, we went from five to ten to 10 to 20?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): These are all estimates, and we did some assessment based on an overall project to come up with some averages. I believe in this case we're looking at a more timely manner of some of the construction duration, so I think that that could increase some
of the traffic. And I think that the number that we provided is a little bit more conservative than the number that was provided previously in a finding of fact.

MR. LEVESQUE: The questions might be different. One of them said five to ten delivery trucks, and then the other one said like total trucks including service and --

THE WITNESS (Huntley): And I believe that there also is a slight difference in the location that they're asking for those trucks as well, specifically in response to the primary access that's existing that's adjacent to the Sposato residence is where we're anticipating some level of trucks for deliveries during the project as well as potentially other locations and for the location of the substation as well which are different. So the split throughout exactly where they're going is going to be slightly different throughout the duration of the project as well. MR. PERRONE: So by "additional vehicles," you mean beyond what is going past there today, there would be additional with regard to the project?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Correct. That
access road is currently used for the gravel removal operations that are existing at the facility. This project doesn't anticipate changing the operation currently, so the additional vehicles are implying that it's not vehicles that are associated with any current operations. This would be additional vehicles associated with this project.

MR. PERRONE: So approximately 10 to 20 along Wauregan Road. I know you mentioned the possibility of vehicles in other areas. What do you think would be a worst-case total per day?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): I think I would defer to the E\&C on this.

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Specifically for delivery at peak we can expect somewhere about 12 max delivery vehicles, delivery trucks over the course of we anticipate about seven, eight weeks at the peak.

MR. PERRONE: And lastly back to the Sposato interrogatory response for that 10 to 20 figure. For 10 to 20 additional vehicles, that's basically a combination of personal vehicles, construction vehicles and delivery vehicles?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): It's primarily
construction and delivery vehicles is what that number is, yes.

MR. PERRONE: Also, Mr. Huntley, at the last hearing you had testified that the petitioner had reviewed the January 9, 2020 letter from the State Historic Preservation Office. The petitioner was going through a detailed analysis to determine the impacts of those recommendations, and it was the petitioner's intention to move forward towards implementing those. Do you have an update on those?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): It is still the intention of the petitioner to address all of those concerns, and I think I could defer to Mr. George maybe for some more detail on that.

THE WITNESS (George): The plan is to follow the protocol that SHPO outlined in the letter, including establishment of buffers, areas of 50 feet around resources that are considered eligible for the national register. Areas that are not eligible for the national register either due to prior disturbance or a lack of resource potential can move on, can be developed going forward. So that's how SHPO is indicating to us in their letter what they'd like to see.

MR. PERRONE: In the, it's labeled the revised May 2019 Wetland and Watercourse Delineation Report. And I'm going to turn to Table 2 in that report, wetland S03. At the end of the paragraph it said small fish were observed in downstream pools. And my question is, were the species of fish identified?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): No, the species of fish were not identified in stream S03. However, the intention of the Wetland and Watercourse Survey is to delineate the boundaries of these resources, not identify the fish within them.

MR. PERRONE: Would the proposed project impact groundwater recharge of Cold Spring Brook and Blackwell Brook?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): No.
MR. PERRONE: And with that, would you expect that it would materially impact the water temperature of these brooks and thus the wild brook trout population?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): No, we don't anticipate the wild brook trout population or any fish or aquatic species to be impacted by this project. We're avoiding these areas especially
with the new footprint.
THE WITNESS (Huntley): And if I could add to that as well? I apologize. The project has been designed using standard engineering practice as well as the Connecticut required regulations for stormwater, both construction phase and long term being followed, with the anticipation that we don't anticipate any impacts to any of those resource areas as a result of the project.

MR. PERRONE: In the Environmental Site Conditions Report, the bottom of page 17, we have the banded sunfish state listed species of special concern. And it says due to the lack of suitable habitat to support the banded sunfish, this species is unlikely to occur. Could you elaborate on why there is not suitable habitat at the site?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Sure. Banded sunfish prefer habitat with densely vegetated aquatic vegetation. They prefer warmer water systems, lakes and ponds, typically beaver impacted streams, those kinds of habitats. Those do not occur at the site.

MR. PERRONE: Dr. Ryan, on our
administrative notice list, Item Number 5, the
habitat assessment and survey for eastern spadefoot toad located at Putnam Tech Park site, and this was prepared on behalf of the Department of Economic and Community Development. In that report -- and I have a copy if you need it -- on pages 8 and 9 of that report it says, "Of the 47 acres of mapped Hinckley soils on the site, 18 acres are actively mined and nine are on very steep slopes greater than 15 percent limiting their potential usefulness for the spadefoot toad." What is your opinion on that?

THE WITNESS (Ryan): I would want to revisit Moran and Button, the publication that was used to build the DEP predictive model, and see exactly how they treated slope. Most of the spadefoots that $I$ found in my own studies have been more in flatter areas. However, I have had a few burrow in cut hillsides, in steep hillsides.

Does that help?
MR. PERRONE: Okay. And what is your experience with the steep hillsides affecting how viable the habitat is for the spadefoot? THE WITNESS (Ryan): In some of the areas that I found eastern spadefoots would be in gravel mining operations, and sometimes the bank
cut would create a steep slope, and on occasion I would find a spadefoot burrowed into that steep slope. But again, I would have to -- I wouldn't rule out steep slopes. One would still want to search if it was everything that was Hinckley soil just to be inclusive. But I think you'd be more likely to find them in the flatter areas with sparse vegetation rather than on a steep hillside, at least that's from my own experience in the field.

MR. SILVESTRI: I'm actually curious about that one. If you had a steep slope, would the chance of finding them be more towards the bottom of the slope, or would they be higher up on the slope?

THE WITNESS (Ryan): That's a great question. I don't know if $I$ have a large enough sample size of spadefoots on slopes to be able to parse that out. The ones that I have found, I found them on the side of slopes, and sometimes one spadefoot was in a pile, a man, human created pile, but those, if I remember correctly, those individuals didn't tend to stay burrowed for all that long. Usually ones that were in -- that stay burrowed for long periods of time, one animal in a
flat area used the same 10 meter squared area for over two years.

MR. SILVESTRI: I had to ask that.
Thank you.
Mr. Perrone.
MR. PERRONE: Does the petitioner have any updates on a final determination regarding the Natural Diversity Data Base?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): We continue to work with NDDB. We have not received any comments; however, we filed some supplemental information to them probably two or so weeks ago.

MR. PERRONE: I had asked a similar question to Eversource. In the case of Quinebaug's substation, would that be air insulated or gas insulated?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Air insulated.
MR. PERRONE: Would there be any sulfur hexafluoride such as in the breaker?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Yes.
MR. PERRONE: Is that basically a fixed amount that you would have to top off from time to time or --

THE WITNESS (Devarona): I'm not an expert on the equipment, but it's similar. The

115 kV breaker that our project will have on the high side, on the high voltage side of the transformer, is a similar breaker to the ones that are on the Eversource switchyard, and they do contain a certain small amount of the gas.

MR. PERRONE: Thank you. That's all I have.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. Perrone. So on the breaker, you have one breaker you're expecting maybe 60 pounds, similar to what Eversource said?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): That's correct.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you. I'd like to continue now with the Council members who did not have the opportunity to ask questions the last time that we were together. And I'd like to start with Mr. Hannon.

MR. HANNON: Thank you. I'll sort of start at the beginning. That's how my questions are set up. On page $6.2,6.3$, sort of the introductory, there's a comment, "Per DEEP recommendations, Quinebaug Solar will additionally make reasonable efforts to use off-road construction equipment that meets USEPA or California Air Resources Board standards for
diesel engine emissions." What do you consider "make reasonable efforts"?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): During the contracting process we will have some portion of the contract where we ask them to meet the guidelines of emissions. That would be at the discretion of the EPC ultimately what machinery they use onsite. But in the companies we work with mostly all use the highest standard equipment, we anticipate it would probably meet all the guidelines set forth.

MR. HANNON: I'm just trying to figure out what you mean by "make reasonable efforts."

THE WITNESS (Huntley): If I can add to that? In general, the approach is to try to not limit the contractor. And on a project like this, there are certain pieces of equipment that are typically low use, $I$ would say an onsite water truck for dust control, those types of equipment, that could be older in a contractor's fleet and may not meet the most current EPA requirements. The goal was to try to keep in mind the use or the potential use of these vehicles in combination with meeting all of the current CARB emission requirements as well. We would anticipate that
any construction, earth moving type equipment, would be fairly new and would likely be compliant with all the requirements. The goal was to try to leave a little bit of flexibility in for some of these lesser used and potentially older pieces of equipment to look at the overall costs or potential construction costs on the site.

MR. SILVESTRI: I believe Mr. Lynch had a follow-up to that.

MR. LYNCH: One of my questions real quick. As far as your contracting out for the construction project, do you issue RFPs, and does the contractor have to be licensed or union?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Yes, we do hold an RFP process and go out for bid.

MR. LYNCH: And do these contractors need to be licensed with the State of Connecticut, or are they union contractors? And if they are union, and this is just a big if, do you get into any PLA agreements with them?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): So the EPCs hiring is their process. They will, if they are going to work with local unions, they may indeed go through that, but in general it's at their discretion to hire local labor depending on the
state's guidance. In terms of labor that's -MR. LYNCH: Okay. I'll let it go. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
MR. SILVESTRI: Just for the record, EPC, engineer procure construct, correct?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): That's correct.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you. Mr. Hannon.
MR. HANNON: On page 6.15, 6.16, it talks about the project is proposing no direct wetland impacts and will apply a standard 100-foot no-disturbance upland buffer area, so on and so forth. But the question $I$ have is would the proposed horizontal drilling associated with this project have an unintended direct impact on the wetlands?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): The goal of the horizontal directional drilling is to avoid the direct impacts and the potential to direct impacts. From that perspective, blowouts are certainly possible. The goal of the project would be to manage that with the appropriate, you know, licensed and experienced contractors to minimize any potential impacts and avoid that scenario entirely.

MR. HANNON: What type of materials would be used in the horizontal drilling?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): It's typically
a slurry that's used. I would certainly have to look into the actual proposal when it came time for shop drawing review on what would be used, but we could certainly specify that it is nontoxic materials that would be used for the project.

MR. HANNON: That's kind of where I'm going with it.

MR. SILVESTRI: Could you elaborate on the term you used blowout, what's a blowout?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Generally a blowout. So the horizontal directional drilling has a pit, a receiving pit, and a commencing pit that's on each side. So they drill under the ground, and as that is drilled, there is a slurry that's typically very aqueous that opens up this path to create the hole that a pipe would then be drawn through. So what can happen from time to time is if the pressure is too high as it's being drilled, some of that slurry could come up through the ground, and that's what a blowout would be is if some of that came up into an area that's not either the receiving pit or the entry pit.

MR. SILVESTRI: And how is that prevented?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): It's typically prevented with good site controls and management and experienced people that are doing this work.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you.
MR. HANNON: Tab C. It's on page 2, 1.3.2. It talks about shallow groundwater at the site, and it says the groundwater depth at the monitoring station is mapped as approximately 10.5. I'm just trying to figure out, I didn't see any location on the maps as to where this monitoring station was located. So can you enlighten me on that?

THE WITNESS (Gravel): If I may, are you referring to the geotechnical survey work?

MR. HANNON: Yes. That's in Tab $C$, correct. So it talks about the groundwater depth at the monitoring station nearest the project site is mapped as approximately 10.5 feet. And I'm just trying to figure out where that monitoring station is located.
(Pause.)
THE WITNESS (Gravel): Thanks for waiting. I'm just trying to pull up that
appendix.
THE WITNESS (Huntley): Figure 8 in that report actually does show the piezometer locations. I believe that would correlate the results that you're seeing to the numbers.

MR. HANNON: I just didn't find it, so I'm just asking.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): I think Figure 8 does that.

MR. HANNON: Okay. Thank you. I also have on that same section it looks like because of the presence of shallow groundwater it may complicate construction and require a buoyant foundation. What is that?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Essentially what it implies is that in addition to the strength that's required from pulling the posts out of the ground from the soil, we would also have to take buoyancy into account with the design, which essentially means that anywhere that your foundation is actually within the level of groundwater, the buoyant force, which is the upward force, reduces the effect of the weight of the soil in that location. So it would mean that there would be slightly more embedment where there
is a higher groundwater to overcome those additional buoyant forces.

MR. HANNON: I just hadn't seen that as a foundation system prior to this, so thank you. In Section 3.2, groundwater conditions. The groundwater measurements indicated groundwater level at four weeks after installation ranged from 5.9 feet to 27.3 feet. So is it possible that there are some perched groundwater tables in this area, and can that represent a problem?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): It's certainly possible that there are some perched groundwater throughout the site and through many sites in the area. We don't anticipate that being a problem at all for the design because the design usually takes the most conservative approach which would be the highest groundwater level. So if there is a perched groundwater at any location, that would be taken as the most constraining point for the long-term design of the structures. So in the event that they do drain out quicker or that it's not perched for a long period of time, it would just be a more conservative design in that area.

MR. HANNON: And then I'm just not totally familiar with some of the terminology. So
if you could please explain the comments regarding the deeper foundations and drilled shafts or driven piles used where there may be a need for casings? I'm just having a hard time wrapping my head around all these different techniques that might be applicable.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): And I believe that in this event that report was put together to be very conservative and to cover a large number of potential construction types as well as potential construction activities. The intention on this project is to use a driven system, so anything that would be associated with the casings is not relevant for the project. Where there is a potential for refusal and there is augering that's required, that would be backfilled with grout as opposed to requiring a casing in this case. Typically that casing is required if it's a more -- a deeper structure that would be required for something more substantial than, say, a racking system which is not what we're proposing and not what we would anticipate in areas of concern.

MR. SILVESTRI: I just want to
interject on that. So casings would not be used
on this project?
THE WITNESS (Huntley): There's no intention to use casings for any of the piles that are driven for the racking structure, correct.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you.
MR. HANNON: Also, 4.1.2 on page 15 it's a little word but it really caught my attention, talking about soil stabilization, and it makes reference to the use of cement, lime or fly ash. Now, I've been dealing with fly ash for a long time, and every time I've dealt with it it's always been a hazardous material. So I was surprised to see fly ash identified as a possible soil stabilizing agent. Can you explain?

THE WITNESS (Gravel): This report, geotechnical report, is just providing kind of things that could be used to help if there's any challenging circumstance, and they kind of give a recommendation that you might be able to use. We have no intention to use fly ash. This is, again, just a report that kind of highlights what constraints might be found at a very conservative level, but we have no intention to use fly ash.

MR. HANNON: I would have been much
happier if it talked about cement and lime only.

Again, fly ash, from what I've seen in a number of different entities, it's always been pretty hazardous. So that really caught my eye on that one. So as long as you're going on record saying that that is something that will not be used on the site.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): If I can add to that? I think the intention is that that would certainly not be something that would be used for ground stabilization, but $I$ can't say that fly ash wouldn't potentially be a component in some of the concrete that could be used on the site which is very common in the concrete industry. So I think that in the context of the question that you raised, $I$ think we could absolutely say that for site stability and soil stabilization it would absolutely not be used on the site.

MR. HANNON: But even using it in the concrete raises some issues because the agencies had problems in the past where CRRA was talking about using fly ash in concrete blocks, things of that nature, and I'm concerned about the hazardous components associated with fly ash. So if that is something that might possibly be used, I would sure like to see some type of analytical on what's
in that cement and what the leaching issues are, things of that nature, because I'm not sure it's something that we've really looked at favorably in the past.

This is just a question because it's not a term I'm familiar with, so I'm going to ask. On page 17 it's under 4.1.7, the third paragraph talks about concrete placed in drill shaft excavations in the presence of water should be placed using a -- and I'm not even sure what kind of pipe that is, so if you could please explain to me what that is?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Tremie pipe.
Essentially what that is, is it's placement of the concrete from the bottom of that shaft up. So it's essentially the appropriate way that you would fill a structure that has groundwater in it. Essentially, you would put a pipe all the way down to the bottom of that and fill it with concrete to the top so it would displace the water, as opposed to the potential for that grout to mix with water as it's dropped in from above.

MR. HANNON: I just wasn't familiar with the term.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): It's a very
common term and essentially when you're decommissioning any types of wells where you would use a tremie grout method is what it is. It's basically where you're filling from the bottom up with concrete to purge any of the water out so it doesn't mix with the grout that's going in there and reduce the strength of that grout when it hardens.

MR. HANNON: Thank you. On page 18, 4.1.9 under dewatering. What are the standard dewatering procedures that you're proposing to use on site?

THE WITNESS (Gravel): Dewatering I would think would only occur at deep foundations which would be located mostly for the substation. Based on the soil conditions there, we feel the water table is quite low, meaning deep. And right now we don't foresee or anticipate the use of dewatering, but if dewatering were used it would be handled onsite and probably done in accordance to general permit rules.

MR. HANNON: Thank you. On 4.2 .1 it talks about, last paragraph, last sentence, "Barr understands that the test pile program has been completed but has not reviewed the results of the
testing." Has that been done by any chance, and is that information available anywhere?

THE WITNESS (Gravel): Can you repeat that section, please?

MR. HANNON: Sure. It's 4.2.1, last paragraph, last sentence, "Barr understands that the test pile program has been completed but has not reviewed the results of the testing." I'm just wondering if that has been done.

MS. BOUCHER: Bottom of page 18.
THE WITNESS (Gravel): Some pile
testing has been done. We might want to do additional. And if we have concerns based on the report from Barr and how the testing is completed and the results of the pile testing might not compare or need further consultation, we can provide that to Barr.

MR. HANNON: But that answer makes it sound like the results haven't been complete or that information hasn't been completely reviewed. I mean, that's what it's sounding like.

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): I'm going to add to that. For preliminary testing for pile and geotechnical, it is just a standard process for our preliminary construction activities. When we
do go out to bid in EPC, there is further geotechnical and pole testing done. In addition to that, we're also in the process of doing some additional work out in the substation area. We'll be doing more geotech and pole tests there as well. So this was a preliminary result. We do more beyond this.

MR. HANNON: I was just asking because it said something had been done but it hadn't been reviewed. I'm just trying to find out if it had been reviewed.

In Tab D this was touched upon a little bit earlier. It has to do with the Natural

Diversity Data Base group. And I guess there was some information submitted a couple weeks ago. I believe what was testified to. When we're talking about close to reaching an agreement with the Natural Diversity Data Base group, what are we talking about?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): We have had ongoing dialogue with the NDDB group since we filed our request for a final determination in August of 2019 -- sorry, April of 2019. Over the summer we responded to some questions regarding water quality. Those are provided in Exhibit D.

More recently we have received questions from them regarding protections of eastern spadefoot toad at the site, and we're responding to those questions currently.

Does that answer your question?
MR. HANNON: I mean, the answer that all of us would like is when?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): I would like that answer too.

MR. HANNON: So that's the first book. I broke down all your information into different notebooks, so I'm done with the first book.

This one I'm trying to keep in order, the Herpetofauna Avoidance and Mitigation Plan. And my question is to Dr. Ryan. Do you agree with the data from -- well, let me rephrase that because I actually went online to try to find some general information. And there's some data from the Savannah River Ecology Lab that has shown that the eastern spadefoot is very long lived and populations often go for many years without successful reproductive events. Would agree with that statement?

THE WITNESS (Ryan): Yes, in short, yes. They can skip years between breeding which
having a life history strategy of longevity helps if you're only going to have a successful breeding event once every so many years.

MR. HANNON: I'm glad that you agree because that leads to my next question, assuming $I$ can find where it is in here. If the 2019 data shows a higher density or the presence of a breeding pool ends up being confirmed, will that establish -- I take it back.

If you find, because one of the things that was done for mitigation purposes, there was a refuge area that was supposedly identified, but what I'm not sure of is whether or not that was in one of the proposed construction areas or whether it was in the proposed laydown area. So is that something that you can answer?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): So the
Avoidance Mitigation Plan was written in the spring of 2019 prior to the 2019 eastern spadefoot toad surveys. We continued our surveys through the summer of 2019. So the explanation you're reading was a theoretical. If a breeding pool was found or a breeding population was found, we would establish a refuge area. It would probably be within proximity of that pool, or otherwise, that
would make sense for protecting the species. THE WITNESS (Ryan): If I can add to that? The 2018 surveys were, from what we saw from one year, as we know, these things are erratic in their breeding and populations are difficult to detect. So from what we got from spending I think it was 164.5 person hours in 2018 detected -- so that's 16 nights of surveys spanned throughout spring/summer and I think into early fall -- yielded only three toads which suggested a very low population density. So we set the plan in place but just to keep things moving with the permitting process moving. But in 2019, just to be sure because the spadefoots are so erratic, we continued surveys on the site, and that's why that was put in there if it was discovered that all of a sudden, wow, there is a huge population of these animals on the site that we hadn't seen, and 2018 must have been just a slow year for activity, then we would proceed with the refuge area and everything. But the information we collected in 2019 backed up our observations, backed up our conclusions from 2018.

MR. HANNON: Again, my question goes more to if you needed to establish that refuge
area, which one of the gravel areas was it being proposed for? Because you've got some active gravel operations, and one of the other gravel operations, I believe part of that area is identified as a laydown area for equipment for the project, so I'm not sure where the laydown area may be or the active construction compared to where you're talking about the refuge. That's all I'm looking for. I'm just trying to make sure that it's not in any of those areas.

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Sure. To explain, it would be isolated using construction silt fence, so it would not just be a random area selected. And I think Kevin can probably add to this, but it would be determined on site depending on the location of the pool and the breeding area that would theoretically be found.

THE WITNESS (Ryan): To back up what she said, we would want to, if we did discover a high population density in a specific area or a breeding population, we would then work on the site to decide what would be the most appropriate area to set aside as a refuge, and that would consist of a walled-off enclosure of silt fence. And then there would be a number of nighttime
surveys to catch individuals and move them into that temporary area that is suitable spadefoot habitat so they're not spending their time trying to get out. And then once construction was complete, we would remove the silt fence and let things disperse.

MR. HANNON: I just wanted to make sure that if you found any they were safe.

THE WITNESS (Ryan): Yes, that's what we would seek to ensure.

MR. HANNON: And then two -- actually, I'll just combine sort of my next three questions. In this section you talk about mitigation actions, 1, construction phasing to avoid take of eastern spadefoots. You've got mitigation action 2, post-construction population monitoring. And mitigation 3, monitor and protect potential breeding pools. And there's talk about if you don't really see any of the breeding in 2019, then you may -- it sounds like you may not need to do some things. But because of how many years these critters can go without reproductive events, why don't we just incorporate all three of those mitigation measures on the site?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): This is
exactly the conversation we're having with NDDB currently, so these conversations are ongoing, and you could see potentially more protection for some of these potential breeding pools.

THE WITNESS (Ryan): Essentially setting enough land aside that would satisfy the life history requirements of the eastern spadefoot toad. So the project, as built, would have everything that a spadefoot needs to complete its life history.

MR. HANNON: Okay. On page 12 this is a mitigation action that's identified. It's under 4.5, spotted salamander and wood frog. It's just a general comment that's made. It's the second bullet. It talks about silt fence will be installed surrounding the area to prevent fine materials from being deposited into wetlands. However, having had a fair amount of experience in dealing with it, to me if you're trying to keep vines which I believe are onsite and some of the clay particles which are onsite, a silt fence just doesn't work, and especially when it's related to a wetland area I think you need something in addition to the silt fence. Because I know the silt fence is providing other safety measures on
the site.
I would prefer to see something like silt socks or whatever terminology you want to put on them. I think that should also be incorporated, especially where you've got any wetland areas between the project area and that wetland body. Because, again, the intent here was to keep the silt out of the wetland, and I would like to see the silt kept out of the wetland.
(Off the record discussion between Mr . Hannon and Mr. Silvestri.)

MR. HANNON: Assuming this project was approved, they still have to -- it will be located on their D\&M plan.

MR. SILVESTRI: Perfect.
MR. HANNON: Okay.
MR. SILVESTRI: Just making sure.
MR. HANNON: Volume 4, I don't have any questions because I asked that the last time and you gave me the answer, so I'm fine with that one.

Site plans. I'm going to deal with some of the maps here. Map G-001, general notes, number 12, store fuel, oil, paint and other hazardous materials in a secondary container and removed from the site to a locked indoor area with
an impervious floor during nonworking hours.
Where is that site located?
THE WITNESS (Huntley): We would expect that during the site construction there would be potentially some conex containers, some storage containers, places on site that would provide exactly what we're talking about for safe, on-site locked inside storage. The exact location is not determined. It's something that would be determined by the contractor as we get into the construction phase of the project.

MR. HANNON: I'm assuming it's going to be close by. So the goal would be to remove all of that material from the site on a daily basis?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Correct.
MR. HANNON: I'm looking at map C-003.
This is just a general question. When you're talking about internal property boundaries, I'm assuming that throughout all the maps that's just trying to identify the different number of parcels that have all been accumulated on this, and it's just showing some of the individual property lines, but the project is much larger as a whole? THE WITNESS (Huntley): That's correct. The project consists of several, maybe as many as

30, different parcels, and the internal property lines are those that would delineate between different properties that are all within the footprint of the overall project.

MR. HANNON: I'll use map C-005 as an example, but it also includes 008, 009, 0011, 23, 25, 14, 15 and others. What appears to be at least somewhat problematic for me is the indicators you have here for those areas that will be having trees cleared and stumps to remain in those areas where the property will be cleared and grubbed. Using that diagonal line for a portion of it, but then going in with -- in trying to figure out what you're doing there and where and how far you go, especially on some of the areas where they're smaller to deal with, is there a way that that can be more clearly defined? Because to me it's just way up in the air. I mean, you could have 50 feet because the line doesn't extend far enough, and you're clearing a lot more area and grubbing a lot more area than you anticipated. So that's just more a matter of trying to clarify what's in the plans.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Sure. And is your question would this be more defined prior to
construction to give the contractor very clear -MR. HANNON: Very clear. THE WITNESS (Huntley): Yes. So historically what has been included in D\&M plans in the past and would be included in issued for construction drawings would be lines delineating the difference between what's happening, and each of the vertices on those lines would provide a coordinate. So the contractor has $X$ and $Y$ of every single point that would define exactly where this delineation of tree clearing and stumps remaining versus tree clearing and clearing and grubbing the stumps versus it's already open and there is no clearing required. Those would be provided on a final construction set that would be prepared before the project goes to construction.

MR. HANNON: Thank you. And then on C-013, I mean, that's where I found the construction laydown area, but I don't think anywhere I saw any type of erosion control measures around that laydown area. It may be on another map. I didn't see it anywhere. So I just want to bring that to your attention.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): So for clarity,
I think, on the laydown area that's in the center
of the site, it's actually at a low point on the site. It's where the gravel removal has happened to date. It will be cordoned off or defined for the contractor exactly where their laydown area is and it's allowed. From an erosion and sediment point of view, they will have to manage it as part of the project which will be required to comply under the construction general permit as well. But we don't anticipate runoff necessarily from these areas because they are essentially at the low points on the site because of the previous activities that have happened.

MR. HANNON: Thank you. This is just sort of a general question on map C-027. I'm just trying to figure out what that circle is in the middle of the property. Yes, that one. Because if I'm not mistaken, I believe the solar panels also work around that circle. So I'm just curious as to what it is.

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Mr. George might be able to elaborate on this, but $I$ believe this is a cultural site that's being avoided.

MR. HANNON: Okay, that's fine. I wasn't sure why it was there. And I think I'm correct in that the panels are also avoiding that
area?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Yes.
THE WITNESS (Huntley): That's correct.
MR. SILVESTRI: I'd also add that on drawing $C-069$ where it's labeled as protective fencing during construction, and again, $I$ had no idea, as Mr. Hannon did, as to what that area was.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): That's the same issue. And the protective fencing is indicative that it would be a construction fence or, you know, a snow fence to make sure that the contractor stays out of that area and they're protecting that area.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you.
MR. HANNON: On map $C-041$ what is that truck turnaround in the middle of the property?

THE WITNESS (Gravel): Which number?
MR. HANNON: C-041.
THE WITNESS (Huntley): That's an existing conditions drawing, and it's actually showing some of the existing onsite road network that exists. And I would say I don't know exactly what the truck turnaround is, but it's potentially part of a previous either agricultural or gravel operation.

MR. HANNON: It has nothing to do with this operation?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): That's correct. These are existing conditions. These drawings essentially delineate where the clearing and the grubbing is. It's when you get after the C-044 or 45, I believe, that gets into proposed, that that would show where the proposed roads are. All of this here is the existing network that you're seeing on those drawings.

MR. HANNON: Okay. I'm not sure exactly how to handle this next question because $I$ actually went through virtually all of them, but general questions about the infiltration basins. And my primary question is why are there so many different elevations below the high point of the berm? So, for example, on map C-046 berm height is 228, spillway 227.5.

On map C -051 maximum berm height is 260, elevation leaching to spillway is part of berm 258, spillway elevation 257.5.

On C-056 maximum berm elevation is 274, spillway is 273.75, which I believe is what is in the details you're showing a 3-inch elevation below the top of the berm for the spillway.

On C-059 maximum berm elevation is 211 , spillway 210.25.

On C-061 maximum berm elevation 286, spillway 285.

On C-062 and on 68 maximum berm elevation is 254, spillway 253.

So I'm trying to figure out why all the different elevations. You've got in the details there is a specific elevation that you're looking at which is roughly the 3 inches. So why are we going from 3 inches to a foot? I'm just not understanding the dynamics on that.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): So each one of these proposed basins was designed and analyzed through HydroCAD through modeling of the exact site. And the freeboard and the different elevations were looked at and determined. And I believe where this comes out of is that depending on the amount of contributing area to each one of these basins, you need a little bit larger freeboard to maintain 100 year storm within these basins above the spillway just because of the dynamics of the stormwater.

So I think in general the 3 inches is a minimum, and we did detail every single basin to
provide for exactly what each one of those basins is requiring on a site by site and a location per location basis to be sure that even in a larger event we're not overtopping any of the berms.

MR. HANNON: Because, again, the reason I'm raising the question is because in looking at the details that were provided, it specifically identifies a 3-inch height.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Correct.
MR. HANNON: So if there is going to be some variation, then you probably need to go ahead and change the detail side of things so that it accommodates what you're proposing to do. Because if you just look at the details and as a single detail saying a 3-inch --

THE WITNESS (Huntley): I agree.
MR. HANNON: So that's the reason why I'm bringing it up.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Thank you.
MR. HANNON: On map C-081 I'm curious. Let's see, I'm assuming it's on the eastern side. I'm trying to figure out why, it looks like east of that roadway, why there's some of the grading outside of that area. It goes beyond where the panels are going. It goes beyond where some of
the other work is being provided, switching station and things of that nature. So I'm just curious as to what that grading is about.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): That is required in order to essentially close out the contours on the site. This is an area that's currently actively being mined for gravel removal. In order to avoid, if you can see on the like lower right side, there is a wetland there that we're trying to maintain the buffer zone from that wetland without doing any grading or any impact at all in that wetland. In order to do that, we have to steepen up some of the slopes or adjust some of the slopes beyond that 100 foot buffer in order to be able to tie all the contours together throughout the entire site. So this is an area where there's some earth work that's going to be required prior to the project in order to deal with some of those issues that are already on site.

MR. HANNON: Okay. Were you planning on providing any details with the horizontal directional drilling because $I$ saw them on $C-049$, C-071, $C-072$ and C-076. I mean, I'm kind of curious as to what kind of depth you might be
looking at. I know we talked about having it used in the first place, but except for two lines showing where there's horizontal drilling, there really isn't any other detail about it.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Right.
Typically with the horizontal directional drilling there's a lot more geotechnical investigation that's going to be required before we can come up with a design. That's why we left it at that high level point.

MR. HANNON: Okay. Thank you. I'm getting close to the end. Tab H, the Operations and Management Plan. It's on the second page under long-term maintenance. You've got the planted areas will be inspected on a semi-annual basis. Weeds and invasive species will be removed by hand. Can you provide a little more detailed description as to how the site would be maintained against the invasives?

THE WITNESS (Gravel): Currently our plan for invasive species is to maintain problematic species that would maybe become an issue for, say, like a shrubby species or something like that, that may have the ability to grow up into the panels and may cause some
functional issues. So that's one way we would utilize some maintenance controls to minimize invasive species.

MR. HANNON: It also goes beyond just what you need for the panels because there's a lot of area that you're disturbing. And I would think that all of that area would be incorporated into an invasive species management plan just because it may have an impact on your panels. And you treat it there. You don't want to let it grow up around the panels around the perimeter of the project so then when you pack up your bags and go home the property owner is left with a major problem.

THE WITNESS (Gravel): I think by maintaining a meadow habitat, we would be precluding a lot of invasive species that could potentially inhabit within the fence line. So I think that's probably mowing twice a year will maintain it so that you wouldn't have a lot of invasives.

MR. HANNON: But there may be some things that you might need to go back and take a look at and do some hand removal, or if you do some cutting there may have to be something
applied to the stalk of the plant just as a way to try to mitigate anything there.

And then my last question is dealing with the array cleaning procedure, and it talks about no harmful chemicals shall be used. But I didn't think chemicals were going to be used at all, so why say no harmful chemicals? If it's just water and a brush, that's what the plan should say. But when you say no harmful chemicals, that implies that there could be other chemicals that are used.

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): We don't intend to have to clean the modules at these locations. But in the case that they would be, I think water and a soft brush, as has been mentioned before, would suffice. I hear your point.

MR. HANNON: I don't like some of these soft squishy words in some of these reports because it opens the door to be doing something that on one side you say you're going to use water and soft bristle brushes, but on the other hand you could always point to this, well, we said no harmful chemicals. So I'm just trying -- so just be aware of that so should this project go forward that you may need to be cleaning up some of the
plans for the D\&M plan.
THE WITNESS (Cartaya): (Nodding head in the affirmative.)

MR. HANNON: I have a couple of questions dealing with decommissioning, and some of it relates to comments earlier saying that I believe there's apparently a lot of salt in the soils. And this may be very acidic soils, and it can do a job on the posts. So what I'm wondering is when you go to dismantle this project or decommission the project at the end, what impact might that have on the value of those materials? Because I think everybody anticipates that they'd be able to use the panels, all the metal framing and everything, that they're going to be able to get some pretty good money out of it. And I'm just curious if you do have, as was mentioned in the report you may be dealing with some highly erosive soils, what's the impact on the decommissioning plan and the profits coming in to cover the cost?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Given the potential 30 year, you know, long life of the project and the galvanized nature of the piles that we're using, we certainly wouldn't
anticipate, even if there is some level of corrosion that would exist, that it would have a significant impact on the material that would be removed. They could be recycled at the end of the project.

MR. HANNON: And this, I think, is my final question unless something comes up from somebody else. But if the materials are so valuable and it only takes 11 months for construction, why would it take two years to remove the materials from the site?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): I don't think it takes two years to remove the materials from the site. I think that the decommissioning process from a de-energizing and the, I would say, the bureaucracy involved with getting a project done is where the applicant is looking for the flexibility and the time allowed to remove it, as well as seasonal condition requirements as well.

MR. HANNON: Well, it was striking to me that based on your time frame it would take 11 months to construct but you need two years to decommission it. It just didn't seem quite right.

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): If I could add?
I think in decommissioning, you know, we state we
will turn it back to its original state. That in nature is going to take a little longer than civil grading a field and getting it prepped for construction. So turning it back with seasonality included, as Brian had mentioned, I think all those things as more of a covering of time to be able to make sure it's turned back to the original state.

MR. HANNON: Then I think the decommissioning plan needs to be more specific. THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Okay.

MR. HANNON: I yield the rest of my time.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. Hannon. I'd like to turn now to Mr. Morissette.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Good
afternoon, everyone. First, I'd like to go
through the interrogatories dated January 7th, and I refer you to the response to question 1. We'll go back to that one because I'm still confused. My understanding from the last hearing is that you've cleared in FCA 13, and you were going to participate in FCA 14.

THE WITNESS (Devarona): That's
correct. This last one that happened last year
was FCA 14, and the auction actually took place yesterday.

MR. MORISSETTE: So did you clear in FCA 13?

THE WITNESS (Devarona): We believe we did clear. The postings will actually be done by ISO New England in a couple of weeks. And so what I learned this morning is that we believe we cleared somewhere around the 11 megawatt value, and then the actual dollars for that were between 3 and 1.50 per kilowatt month.

MR. MORISSETTE: That was for FCA 14, correct?

THE WITNESS (Devarona): 14, yes.
MR. MORISSETTE: So did you clear in 13?

THE WITNESS (Devarona): No, in 13 we did not clear the auction.

MR. MORISSETTE: Now, as far as the capacity of 24.9 that you bid into FCA 14, so you bid 24.9 into the auction, correct?

THE WITNESS (Devarona): I think the way it works -- and again, I'm not an expert on exactly how these things happen. Our marketing group is the one that handles a lot of this -- is,
you know, obviously the project is bid at the 50 megawatt value, and ISO New England applies a capacity value to that which ends up being 24.9 megawatts.

MR. MORISSETTE: Is that based on a capacity value or coincident with ISO's peak?

THE WITNESS (Devarona): I think it may be based on resource type. Being a solar plant, it does not get the full capacity value of the nameplate. It gets derated down to some sort of probability of what it actually is producing during the time.

MR. MORISSETTE: But you only cleared 11 megawatts?

THE WITNESS (Devarona): So that was a deration process that goes on, and that's the piece that I'm not very familiar with how that actually ends up happening. The 24.9 was what the capacity value I was given by ISO New England, and then it actually was derated down to 11 megawatts.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Moving on to Question 6, the response says, as a general matter, system control and dispatch is an area that is fully under the authority and operational control of ISO New England. But isn't it true
that for this type of facility you're basically running it at base load and doing a bilateral contract with the parties of the contract, and therefore to the extent that ISO New England is dispatching it, they're not, they're only dispatching it in the case of an emergency?

THE WITNESS (Devarona): Yeah. And so
I think that the question, the way that we interrupted the question is, you know, inherently is the dispatch of this unit and the fact that it's a variable resource add to the -- or take away from the ability of the ISO New England operators to manage the grid for reserves and reliability. And our response to that is, yeah, we do have a solar resource that does have some level of variability based on times of year and weather patterns. Those forecasted values that the unit is going to be expected to be running at are provided to ISO New England for them to take into consideration ensuring that at all times they have proper reserves and reliability coverage for their system.

MR. MORISSETTE: Right. But your unit will not be dispatched, per se, it's going to run at base load and it is what it is because it's --

THE WITNESS (Devarona): Yes. It will run to satisfy its contract obligations. It does get potentially curtailed if there's any issue on the ISO New England system, and so that ends up being a level of dispatch.

MR. MORISSETTE: I wanted to clarify.
MR. SILVESTRI: Just to reinforce that, though, ISO is not going to call you and say go on or go ramp up, you're either going to be on or you're going to be off depending on the weather conditions?

THE WITNESS (Devarona): Correct.
MR. SILVESTRI: So I want to make that clear that you're really not going to be dispatched, you're going to be on, whatever you could produce for ISO is going to be based on solar conditions.

THE WITNESS (Devarona): Yes.
MR. SILVESTRI: But again, you can't change that unless the sun changes.

THE WITNESS (Devarona): Correct.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you.
MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. I just wanted to clarify for the record.

Interrogatory Number 12. Referring to

Tab O, the Tech Environmental acoustic analysis. On Table 1 it says 29 Liepis Road with a maximum sound level of 36.9. If I look on Figure 2, and maybe it's my orientation, let me ask this before we go on: The substation is specifically included in the sound analysis based on this report?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): That's correct.
MR. MORISSETTE: I would suspect that you're getting more higher sound levels from the substation than you are from the inverters?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): I don't know the exact levels that were used for each of the facilities; however, they did use actual material specifications when the work was done.

MR. MORISSETTE: It also said that at night the sound levels are quite low. There's no humming out of the inverters at night?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): No, there's not.

MR. MORISSETTE: None at all, zero? THE WITNESS (Huntley): When the sun is not shining on the panels, when the panels are not generating power, the inverters are completely silent.

MR. MORISSETTE: Back to Figure 2, 29

Liepis Road. I'm trying to put that in relation to the substation. Can you help me out there?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Yes. So the
location of 29 Liepis Road is closer to an inverter than it is to the substation by quite a bit. The substation is across the field, and I don't know the scale on this drawing, but I would say, you know, several hundred, if not more than 1,000 feet away from the substation itself.

MR. MORISSETTE: So it's closer to 237 Wauregan Road; is that correct? Am I looking at that right?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Wauregan Road, yes, I believe that's the case.

MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. So the substation is that gray box on the other side of the road?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Yes.
MR. MORISSETTE: So I would have thought that 237 Wauregan Road would have the higher sound levels from the substation than it would from the inverter. So what that tells me is that the inverters are making more noise than the substation; is that accurate?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): I don't think
that that's necessarily accurate, but I think it is based on proximity. Because the location of that Wauregan Road property is further away from the substation than the Liepis Road property is from the inverter. I think that's why that Liepis Road property has such a high value is because it's significantly closer to that inverter than the other properties are to the substation.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. That helps put it in perspective.

This next topic we talked about at the last hearing, but it's still not clear to me, and it has to do with the increase in panel output. You're now at 410 kilowatts per panel. Previously it was 390 . So the output of the panels are all higher, but your output of the facility is the same. Now, my interpretation of that is, is that you're limited by the inverters, or is there some other limitation why you are not able to get beyond 49 megawatts?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): You're correct in your assumption. The inverters are the delivery in AC ultimately is our point of interconnect. DC on other side, the wattage of the modules is limited by -- ultimately converted
by those inverters. So to your point, the AC is going to be fixed by the inverter count.

MR. MORISSETTE: So you could put in more inverters, correct?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): No, and that would be because of studies that we've done with ISO New England.

THE WITNESS (Devarona): And I'll lean on Hagen also for the commercial aspects of the project, but the interconnection request which starts the process of studying the unit is put in for 50 megawatts of output on the New England system. Anything above that would require a brand new interconnection request and study process for ISO New England to go through.

MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. That makes sense. Thank you.

Now, let's turn our attention to costs. The 96 million, does it include the switchyard, the line loop and the double circuit separation?

THE WITNESS (Lee): So 96 million is the total investment cost for the project including Eversource scope of work.

MR. MORISSETTE: Would you know what the Enfield project total cost was, your Enfield
project for comparison purposes?
MR. BOGAN: If I may, Mr. Morissette, typically the company maintains as confidential its project costs, although we have provided the information, $I$ believe, for example, in connection with the Nutmeg project subject to a confidentiality order. The reason it was made public here was because the prior project manager had disclosed the project price at that time, and so therefore there was no ability for Mr . Lee or any witness to maintain it as confidential in this proceeding. But the Nutmeg project, for example, it was submitted subject to a protective order.

MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. BOGAN: Thank you.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, counselor.
MR. MORISSETTE: I'll continue with costs. My understanding is that CL\&P, as we discussed with Eversource earlier, they are purchasing 40.18 and United Illuminating is purchasing 9.82 , so that's about 50 percent of the output. What would you say the impact of this project is on Connecticut ratepayers from a cost perspective?

MR. BOGAN: If you know.

MR. MORISSETTE: It doesn't need to be a dollar figure. I'm talking conceptually what's the impact on Connecticut ratepayers. I know the answer. I could tell you.

THE WITNESS (Lee): Solar generation helps Connecticut meet its renewable energy goals. Also, by nature solar energy generation coincides with summertime peak hours. I would imagine in that regard it would be beneficial to the Connecticut ratepayers.

MR. MORISSETTE: Let's try this another way. Of the 96 million, would you say that Connecticut ratepayers are on the hook for 50 percent, or are they on the hook for the kilowatt hours, 50 percent of all the kilowatt hours that are exported from the facility?
(Off the record discussion.)
THE WITNESS (Lee): I don't know what the offtakers do in terms of distributing their cost. From the developer's perspective, we finance this project up front and recoup our costs over 30 years. And if you want to break down the rate of recuperation from this project by offtaker, I would say approximately it would break down according to offtaker percentage.

MR. MORISSETTE: So Connecticut would purchase 50 percent, and that would be the financial obligation of CL\&P and UI combined?

THE WITNESS (Lee): Not exactly because the last ten years of this project finance is done through a merchant assumption.

MR. MORISSETTE: True.
THE WITNESS (Lee): And that goes into NEPOOL, and that doesn't go straight to any specific offtaker.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thanks for clarifying that. Can we just quickly go through which wetlands are impacted by the 50-foot boundary? I want to beat a dead horse here.

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): The best way to look at this is the wetland report from May 2019, Figure 2.

MR. MORISSETTE: Actually, I'm looking at this blowout that was provided in the interrogatories.

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): That's a similar figure, correct.

MR. MORISSETTE: Great. Thank you.
THE WITNESS (Nickerson): So it points out wetlands 18,20 , wetland 8 , part of -- sorry,
part of wetland 18, part of wetland 9, wetland 1, and a small portion of wetland 2.

MR. MORISSETTE: Could you go a little slower?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Yeah. So there are six total.

MR. MORISSETTE: Six, okay. I got two.
THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Wetland 18 partially, wetland 20 partially, wetland 8, part of wetland 9, wetland 1 and wetland 2. The other way to look at this is in the legend you can see the orange 50-foot buffer.

MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. Got you.
That's helpful. Great. Thank you.
THE WITNESS (Nickerson): No problem.
MR. MORISSETTE: Last question. Could you briefly describe what a typical average day output would look like? I didn't see anything in the petition that described. I'm assuming that the facility peaks at 10 or 11 and peaks for a few hours and then starts to decline as the sun gets weaker?

THE WITNESS (Lee): Similar to most solar facilities, it does peak in the afternoon hours, and it looks very similar to a bell curve
if you plot out 0 to 24 hours. And we're expecting just over 90,000 megawatt hours per year in generation.

MR. MORISSETTE: So 3 or 4 in the afternoon it's at a lower level than, say, 11 or 12?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): It changes by season too. So, you know, summer solstice higher, longer bell curve, and in the winter you'll see that shrink a little bit.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. That's all the questions I have.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr.
Morissette.
Just to follow up on that part with the bell curve. You mentioned it could be wider, but it could also be taller, correct, depending on the position of the sun and output?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): That's correct.
MR. SILVESTRI: Okay. One other follow-up.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): I'm sorry. If
I could add on to that?
MR. SILVESTRI: Sure.
THE WITNESS (Huntley): The height of
that bell curve is limited by the AC output of the system. That's the point of the AC/DC relationship that we have on the site. So it's not taller with what's actually leaving the site.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you. And one other follow-up I had from Mr. Morissette about the inverters. Is inverter noise directional?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): So to answer that, yes and no. The reason is really what you're hearing is the fan, the cooling fan, or some mechanism that's kicking in to cool down the inverter that's on a certain side of the inverter that could be directional, but overall it can be heard. And I want to state that it's not very loud. So, you know, you're a couple, 50 feet away it's very inaudible, but nonetheless.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you. I'd like to go now to Mr. Lynch.

MR. LYNCH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
A few questions. I'm just trying to find out what they are. Let's start out with a few quick ones. As far as federal and state tax credits and subsidies are concerned, when are you eligible for them; and two, if you are, how long are they in place, when do they start to go away?

MR. BOGAN: If you know the answer. THE WITNESS (Lee): This project is eligible for ITC tax credit.

MR. LYNCH: Sorry, I can't hear you.
THE WITNESS (Lee): Quinebaug is
eligible for ITC, investment tax credit.
MR. LYNCH: Now, coming back to your contractors for a second, do you have separate contractors for clearing the land, or is it one contractor who will clear the land and put up your racks and the panels?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Typically we'll have one contractor do all. This may be a separate case, so we are open to both at Quinebaug. We may have someone clear, a contractor clear for us earlier, but in most cases we'll have one contractor do all the activities.

MR. LYNCH: Will that contractor also be responsible for maintenance and repairs for any panels or inverters that may be damaged?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): No, sir, that would be part of our operations and maintenance team.

MR. LYNCH: Thank you.
THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Just as a
caveat, unless it's during construction and part of the installation --

MR. LYNCH: No, I meant --
THE WITNESS (Cartaya): After?
MR. LYNCH: We're in New England so we get storms all the time.

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Sure.
Post-construction it would be on us.
MR. LYNCH: Now, this question is a
little off the reservation, but I'm going to ask it anyhow. We're in an era now of acquisitions and mergers as far as companies are concerned. If your company is bought five, ten years down the road -- this may be a question for Mr . Bogan -- do the contracts, are they assigned, or do they stay with the company, or does the buyer, do they go with the new buyer? Sorry to make you testify, Mr. Bogan.

MR. BOGAN: That's okay. I was going to say at the risk of testifying, and I don't recall the specific provision of the PPA, but typically, particularly given that it's a contract with the state, it's conditioned upon certain obligations that would be undertaken by the acquiring entity.

MR. LYNCH: Now, this question I actually did my own research on. The people who live in Florida won't be able to answer it, but the ones in Maine might be able to. A couple -last month we had two snowstorms, ice storms back to back. And I noticed in my evening walk, cigar walk, that some of the residential panels were covered with first snow and then ice, because the two storms were identical, one after the other. And according to your interrogatory, they would melt off and fall down. They did not. Now, this is at a residential area so $I$ was curious. So there are two smaller solar farms near me, and I went over to see if it was the same there, and it was.

Now, does the ice prohibit the snow from sliding off, and if your panels are covered you're not putting any output. Can you explain what's happening here?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): So as part of our production modeling, all soiling, as stated earlier, is assumed. That includes snow, that includes dust from mining, and really any other event. So those are anticipated. And that's why, when you look at our production curve, you'll see
a significant drop in the wintertime due to lower sun and also snow soiling.

MR. LYNCH: Now, my follow-up would be, does this heavy ice, not necessarily snow, but does heavy ice do damage to the panels and their effectiveness?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): They don't do damage to the panel itself. Effectiveness, if you're referring to production, yes. But if once the ice melts did it do any damage to the panel actually being able to produce? No.

MR. LYNCH: Now, my next questions, if you were part of the last panel that testified here you'll be aware of what they are. I already know the answers to them. And they revolve around fire protection. And I noticed in looking at your diagrams is that you have limited access roads and limited exit roads. And to fire departments, whether they're paid or volunteer, they want to be able to get in and out of areas; they don't want to be trapped. Are you going to identify your exit areas, or would you put in more exit areas after talking to the fire department?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): So I think the general answer to that is that we've tried to
design the access roads to be as efficient as possible to provide clean and clear access to all of the inverter locations. The inverters and the transformer locations we would anticipate are the areas that have the highest likelihood of something that could actually combust. From that perspective, there are a number of ingresses and egresses on the site currently where there are a few areas there are some dead ends to get to these inverters, but the majority of the areas where there are inverters are along a road that goes from one area to another where there could be, you know, a straight shot in and out.

MR. LYNCH: You led into my next question really. As far as the transformer and the inverters are concerned during a fire, I assume that Eversource would turn off the transformers. Who turns off the inverters?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): The inverters actually I think in this location would need to be isolated by somebody on site. So my anticipation -- all right, go ahead.

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): So in the case of a fire, the inverter could be shut down, would be shut down, and remotely as well, if I'm not
mistaken, so those can be isolated immediately to not affect the grid or in the case of our point of interconnection.

MR. LYNCH: Even with the inverters off and a bright sunny day, those panels are still hot. Can anyone be -- get a shock, I'm sure, from these, or could they do further damage?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): So each string has a shut-off as well. And that is where the fire department will be trained by our teams to how to deal with that electrically, so I don't believe that will be an issue.

MR. LYNCH: You're leading into my next questions. Would you offer the area fire departments -- they're all volunteer out there -any training or expertise of special equipment, same question I asked Eversource?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Yes. The intention is that Quinebaug Solar would provide training to the local fire departments for what's required in the case of an emergency on the site.

MR. LYNCH: And if they needed special equipment, $I$ know some electrical fires are fought with foam or Co2. Would you be able to provide that until our legislature comes up with, you
know, does away with the foam?
THE WITNESS (Huntley): The answer to that, $I$ believe, is that the emergency responders would be taught on what the appropriate method is for attacking the site, attacking a fire, what to do, what to turn on, what to turn off, and how to get there. And I don't believe that there's any significant specialty equipment that would be required for that.

MR. LYNCH: Would this be hands-on training or classroom?

THE WITNESS (Huntley) : I expect it would probably be a combination of both.

MR. LYNCH: Pardon?
THE WITNESS (Huntley): Likely some combination of both with an onsite level as well.

And if $I$ can add to your earlier comment with the question about the panels and their capability to generate in the case of a fire? There's a significant grounding system that's put on the site as well as on the racking. So there are safety precautions in place. So touching a panel shouldn't have any issue from an electrical point of view, there is grounding in place.

MR. LYNCH: That's understandable.
Thank you.
Now, I noticed that -- would you allow the fire marshal or the fire chief in the area to come and do an inspection after you're up and running of the facility and then periodic inspections of the facility?

THE WITNESS (Lee): We work very closely with the town, and town leadership often requests that, so we're open to that.

MR. LYNCH: Thank you. Now, as far as technology is concerned -- I've asked this question many times on these panels -- there's a thing called Morse law or Morse principle which says all technology changes within 18 months. Now, that having been said, it could take longer or shorter. But with the advent of new technology whether it's regarding your panels or, you know, some new type of inverters that come along that would increase your output, you know, would you in the future think of changing or developing these technologies?

THE WITNESS (Lee): So we don't develop the product but --

MR. LYNCH: No, that has been
developed. Let me put it that way.
THE WITNESS (Lee): Yes. This project has fully been calculated. The return has been calculated based on these specified equipment. It's highly unlikely that we will go in and redo the work once it's operating.

MR. LYNCH: Okay.
THE WITNESS (Lee): Our plan is to install it and operate it for 30 years.

MR. LYNCH: One of the technologies that's being developed and is being used out in California by Native Americans are batteries for their solar panels. And you mentioned here that you're not going to use batteries. Why not?

THE WITNESS (Lee): I wasn't part of the contracting team. When this project was contracted in 2017, a number of things could have gone on. They may have not been looking to procure storage. As I understand, storage procurement is a recent phenomenon. And I did bid a storage project in a more recent RFP. So I don't know if the procurement agency was looking for storage at that time.

MR. LYNCH: The reason I'm asking is because Connecticut has their green project goals
set up for 2004 to 2050. I forget the exact date. And we get appearing before us all the time the environmentalist that say you have to go green to get rid of fossil fuels. And it would just seem to me that batteries which would help you operate when there's no sun would be something you'd want to incorporate.

THE WITNESS (Lee): Yes, I absolutely agree. Energy storage provides great flexibility and helps shift intermittent resources so that you can address energy demand more efficiently. I absolutely agree.

MR. LYNCH: So are you saying in your agreement that if Mr . Musk or UTC here in Connecticut, which is also working on storage batteries, come up with something in the future, you may incorporate that?

THE WITNESS (Lee): We look at all technology available to us, and we're pushing storage quite aggressively not only in this region but nationwide. So if Connecticut were to procure storage, we would be the first ones to bid on that.

MR. LYNCH: I know there's two companies, I won't name them, but there's two
companies in Connecticut working on battery storage. And that's all, Mr. Chairman.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. Lynch. I have a few follow-ups based on Council members' questions before I get to my own. And I wanted to start with Mr. Lynch when he was talking about the ice and snow issue. I'm aware with wind turbine developments that they're coming in with heaters now to try to keep ice off of them. The question I pose to you, is there anything on the horizon or available now for heaters with solar panels that granted they would take away some of your output, but is there anything there that helps get rid of ice and snow? THE WITNESS (Lee): There are early stage technologies out there. However, the degree to which it has been commercialized hasn't been proven yet, and I don't think it's ready for this project. We do take into consideration, to my colleague's point earlier, a certain number of snow days and ice days per year, and we have high confidence that those days are sufficiently baked into our model to, you know, account for snow and ice losses.

MR. SILVESTRI: I appreciate that.

Like I said, I know it's out there for other types of technology. I didn't know if anything was on the horizon yet. And it seems like it may be coming, but not commercially available from what you responded.

I also wanted to follow up on a couple things that Mr . Hannon brought up. Go back to the fly ash. When fly ash was mentioned, and again it's still iffy as to what might happen with it, if it happens at all, was the fly ash anticipated from a certain combustion product?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): The reference to fly ash came directly out of a third-party geotechnical report that was done as a possible way for some ground improvements. It certainly wasn't anything that was thought out beyond mentioning as one of the possibilities in my opinion on that.

MR. SILVESTRI: All right, just to go on. And again, from what Mr. Morissette said, not to beat a dead horse, but was the intention of that in the report to be used as a flowable fill or a pozzolanic property in concrete or any other type of context?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): The context
that $I$ read in that report was for stabilizing some potentially liquid soil. So I think that the idea is that it would be from an inclusion in a mixture point of view, not necessarily as a component within a concrete product. I think it was a high-level term that was used for potential suggestions for soil stabilization.

MR. SILVESTRI: The other thing, you were talking with Mr. Hannon about grout. What's the composition of grout?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Grout is essentially a concrete, a curable product that has flowable properties. So it typically has a smaller aggregate than a structural concrete, but it's a flowable concrete product essentially.

MR. SILVESTRI: I'm aware of grout with fly ash in it. Are you looking at that type of material there as well, or would it be fly ash free?

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Again, I think in my comment of suggesting that we wouldn't use fly ash in itself on the site, only in a location where it would be bound up in a concrete product was my recommendation. That said, I'm not sure that $I$ can say that we would, you know, either
include or not fly ash anywhere at all on the project where fly ash is generally allowed within the industry on a concrete product is what the flexibility that $I$ was requesting.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you for your comments.

Questions that I had, I'd like to start off with the panels, and I'm not sure which person might be the panel expert. But the first question I have on panels is, are they crystalline silicon panels?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Yes.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you. Are they
free of cadmium telluride?
THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Yes.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you also. Are half-cell modules now becoming the norm?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): I don't think there is a norm in the module technology right now, to reference Mr . Lynch's comment about Morse law. There's a lot of monocrystalline and polycrystalline modules out there. We are going to explore the best option at the time of procurement for this project.

MR. SILVESTRI: What I found in my own
research is that the half cells kind of give you more power and more efficience compared to other types that are out there, but that's why I kind of asked the question about quote/unquote the norm now.

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Yeah, if they're available, we'll buy them, but at the time of procurement for this project we'll look at the best possible product we can buy.

MR. SILVESTRI: One other question on the panels. They're designated, from what I saw, as PID free or potential induced degradation free. Is there anything special that must be done with the inverters, such as galvanic isolation, installing a reversal device or anything else that has to go along with a PID free panel?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): No.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you. All right, different topic, and this goes back to Exhibit L which is the greenhouse gas assessment. The exhibit compares the life cycle emissions of Co2 equivalence of natural gas with the expected reductions that would occur with the project. Again, EPA kind of sets this up. I'm not happy with the way EPA compares things because I don't
think EPA puts it necessarily in real-life situations. For example, you know, taking $x$ amount of passenger cars off the road is one of the things they compare, but obviously a project like this wouldn't take cars off the road.

But the question I want to pose to you is, do you know how many million cubic feet of natural gas compares to the listed life cycle Co2 equivalents that are in there? Because that to me would be realistic that the solar panel goes out, probably natural gas doesn't get burned, but I'd love to know what in terms of million cubic feet of natural gas that might be.

MR. BOGAN: If you know. THE WITNESS (Lee): That's a great question. We'll get back to you.

MR. SILVESTRI: You don't have to get back. I know the answer, but I just wanted to see if you knew the answer. If you want to know the answer, $I$ put it in terms of 30,000 million cubic feet, so, you know, what does that mean in terms of generation, it might be 1.7 hours for a combined-cycle or a single-cycle operation. But to me that's meaningful information that $I$ can't point a finger at you folks because the EPA
graphic that's there just doesn't include things like that, but $I$ wish it would.

We kind of touched on the State
Historic Preservation Office earlier in the conversations, their letter dated January 9th. And on a couple of the pages they recommend or suggest that if you don't bifurcate the stone walls and you include different things that are there. One, I don't know your opinion on, or what you plan to do based on the SHPO recommendations that are there, or if you had any further conversations with SHPO, so I'm kind of curious how you left off with the January 9th letter with them.

THE WITNESS (George): I met with them just prior to the January 9th letter to discuss this and other aspects of the project. They are content with the small breaching of the stone walls to make the project area viable for truck traffic and construction, and then NextEra will leave the remainders of the wall in place being good stewards of resources.

There's only a few sensitive areas.
Two of them are adjacent to a historic cemetery in the property, and that the SHPO has required a

50-foot buffer for any breach from the cemetery itself, and I believe we are even a little bit past 50 feet. So that's where we left off with SHPO in that conversation.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you. This might be the last question $I$ have. And again, this was touched on earlier about the watercourses that are in the area. But if you look at Exhibit D, specifically on page 6, at the bottom of Section 3.1 entitled Wetlands and Watercourses, there's a sentence that says, "Two perennial watercourses, Cold Spring Brook and Blackwell Brook, border the study area on the western boundary. A more detailed account of these resources is provided in the wetland and the watercourse delineation report in Appendix C."

Now, unless it was missing from my copy, I can't find any details of Cold Spring and Blackwell Brooks in Appendix C of Exhibit D. Did I miss it? Was it not in mine, or could you explain why this statement is there but I can't find the details?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): So if you
look at Table 1, which is Appendix A of the wetland report.

MR. SILVESTRI: So it's not Appendix C? THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Well, Appendix C contains the wetland report. The wetland report contains Appendix A.

MR. SILVESTRI: So I'm confused already, but go ahead.

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Either way the -- I'm sorry, it's Table 2 has a summary of the resources, the watercourse resources. Table 1 is the summary of the wetland resources. Table 2 is a summary of the watercourse resources, and there's a further description of those resources in that table.

MR. SILVESTRI: I'm not going to take the time to open up the page right now, but could you briefly summarize the survey for those brooks?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Sure. These watercourses were included in the follow-up wetland delineations that we conducted after the original petition. The delineation maps the boundary of those watercourses and characterizes just sort of the general ecology, the type of flow and the bottom and the substrate and the surrounding ecology.

MR. SILVESTRI: Species as well?

THE WITNESS (Nickerson): Plant species, anecdotal observations of wildlife, but that's not necessarily the intention of the wetland and watercourse delineation survey.

MR. SILVESTRI: My final question, at least at this point, and we kind of hinted to it earlier, but I want to again revisit it. Do you anticipate a temperature increase in runoff from the panels specifically in a midsummer condition where you have a pop-up rainstorm? So your panels are already exposed to the sun for a period of time, and all of a sudden a little storm comes in and rainwater happens.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): This is an interesting question, and I think it comes back to a number of anecdotal situations that I've run into on these projects over the years, that what $I$ would say is in the specific condition that you described we do not anticipate any increase in the temperature of that runoff. And I'll elaborate a little further that the nature of these solar panels is to convert the solar energy into electricity, therefore using some of the energy that's coming down on the ground. If the solar panels weren't in place, you would likely have
hotter temperatures of the soil beneath these panels. So, you know, from that perspective, a pop-up shower falling on soil that's been heated up from the sun versus a pop-up shower falling on these panels and then running off onto the ground beneath it, we would not anticipate any increase in temperature of that runoff.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you for your response. I don't have any further questions, but I did want to go back to Mr. Perrone to see if he had any follow-ups at this time.

MR. PERRONE: Just one final question. I understand the increase in panel wattage since the previous configuration and the adjustment of panel quantity. My very last question is, what factors led to the change in the angle from 25 degrees the first time to 18 this time?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): That's just a product of optimization. So running iterations and seeing what best works for this region, sun, et cetera, and coming up with the best design.

MR. PERRONE: To optimize energy output?

THE WITNESS (Cartaya): Correct.
MR. PERRONE: Okay.

THE WITNESS (Lee): Can I elaborate on that? So the panel wattage was not the only one that changed. Our footprint changed introducing 300 percent more buffer and protection area significantly shrunk our developable footprint. So to optimize a smaller piece of land we ran, I think, over 10,000 simulations and different layout simulations. So that's why the parameters changed.

MR. PERRONE: Thank you. I'm all set.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. Perrone.
Mr. Hannon had a comment.
MR. HANNON: You might want to go back and take a look at map C-051 and redo your calculations for the elevations of the spillway because I do believe it's wrong. You actually created a depression in the spillway. That should be 259.5 and not 257.5.

THE COURT REPORTER: And not 257?
MR. HANNON: I don't think it should be 257.5 which is there because that creates a depression. It should probably be 259.5 which would be more consistent with the other projects, and that was the reason why I started looking at all of the basins.

THE WITNESS (Huntley): Thank you.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. Hannon.
Mr. Levesque, do you have any other questions?

MR. LEVESQUE: No, thank you.
MR. SILVESTRI: Mr. Harder?
MR. HARDER: Yes, actually. Well, first a preliminary question. I think at the hearing out in Brooklyn I made a comment that I guess expressed some concern about what $I$ call the fragmented nature of the development areas in the southern part, maybe southeastern part of the project. And I'm looking actually now at Figure 1A, the project overview comparison. Obviously there's a lot of area that was originally proposed that's no longer proposed for development.

And I guess two parts: One, my concern about the fragmented nature. I guess a lot of times concerns expressed about fragmented -- about development resulting in fragmented resource areas, and some negative issues there, I think there's an element of that here. I believe that in one area the use of directional drilling is required to go under a wetland or a watercourse. I think there's some of the areas that are closer
to the wetlands around that area.
So I'm thinking, well, okay, maybe instead of utilizing those areas, kind of step back and use some of the areas that were originally proposed that are more contiguous with some of the larger areas that are proposed now. But the question, $I$ guess, the bigger question that gets me to is the issue of possible reductions in the capacity that we talked about at the last hearing.

And I'm looking now at the Late-Filed exhibit, the January 28th submission. The response to Question $C$ to me is confusing. The question, basically, is indicate whether the PPAs allow any flexibility in the capacity of the project so for any reason if the capacity is reduced. And the response is the PPAs allow up to 10 percent reduction if three conditions are met, but then the next sentence says Quinebaug Solar would not be able to satisfy these conditions if the capacity were reduced which sounds confusing, at best.

So I guess the bottom line question is, can you for whatever reason reduce the capacity of the system and still meet your contractual
obligations or not?
THE WITNESS (Lee): No.
MR. HARDER: So why is that response -I mean, the response starts off by saying they allow up to a 10 percent reduction, but then you say basically if you reduce the capacity you can't meet your obligation. I mean, it seems immediately contradictory.

MR. BOGAN: Mr. Harder, may I have one second?
(Pause.)
THE WITNESS (Lee): So, in order to obtain the nameplate reduction, we have to meet these three conditions. And in order to meet these three conditions, the petitioner would have to take on extra obligations, and we would not be able to declare commercial operation because of those new obligations.

MR. HARDER: I mean, is that regardless of capacity reduction or not? I guess I'm not -- I'll put my confusion aside. I guess I'll go back to your answer. So you're not able to reduce the capacity within your existing --

THE WITNESS (Lee): No, we're not able to.

MR. HARDER: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. Harder.
Again, final call for Council members at this time? Are there any other questions?
(No response.)
MR. SILVESTRI: And hearing and seeing none, I'll say fine.

I'd like to continue cross-examination of the petitioner by the parties. Eversource would be first, Attorney Dubuque.

MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Thank you, Mr. Silvestri. Eversource has no questions for this panel.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you. The other party would be Mr. Sposato.
(No response.)
MR. SILVESTRI: And again, hearing and seeing that he's not here, we do not have any other cross-examination.

MS. BOUCHER: Mr. Hearing Officer, could we have a five-minute break to decide whether or not we need to recross?

MR. SILVESTRI: Need to --
MR. BOGAN: Redirect.

MS. BOUCHER: To redirect. I'm sorry. MR. SILVESTRI: Sure. (Pause.)

MR. SILVESTRI: Ms. Boucher, before we go, I'd like our attorney just to comment on that because that might be out of bounds.

MS . BOUCHER: Sure.
MS. BACHMAN: We typically do not allow for redirect.

MS. BOUCHER: Understood.
MR. BOGAN: Very well.
MR. SILVESTRI: And my apology.
With no further questions from the
Council, no further cross-examination of the petitioner by the parties, before I do close the evidentiary record of this matter, the Connecticut Siting Council announces that briefs and proposed findings of fact may be filed with the Council by any party or intervenor no later than March 5, 2020. The submission of briefs or proposed findings of fact are not required by this Council; rather, we leave it to the choice of the parties and intervenors.

Anyone who has not become a party or intervenor but who desires to make his or her
views known to the Council, may file written statements with the Council within 30 days of the date hereof.

The Council will issue draft findings of fact, and thereafter parties and intervenors may identify errors or inconsistencies between the Council's draft findings of fact and the record; however, no new information, no new evidence, no argument, and no reply briefs without our permission will be considered by the Council.

Copies of the transcript of this hearing will be filed at the Clerk's offices in the Towns of Brooklyn, Canterbury and Plainfield and the City of Norwich for the convenience of the public.

I hereby declare this hearing adjourned. I thank you all for your participation. And please drive safely.

MR. BOGAN: If I may, the record is closed now, the Sposato information is denoted as available for verification as a full exhibit.

That was never dealt with, so it shouldn't come in as a full exhibit, but $I$ certainly have no objection to it being in the record as public comment.

MS. BACHMAN: Attorney Dubuque, do you have any objection to Mr . Sposato's prefile testimony coming into the record as an exhibit? MS. BARBINO DUBUQUE: Eversource has no objection.

MS. BACHMAN: And Attorney Bogan, you indicate that you do have an objection.

MR. BOGAN: That would be correct, but I have no objection to it coming in as public comment. And what $I$ would say is it hasn't been verified by Mr. Sposato nor has he been available for cross-examination, so it would be inappropriate to handle it as a full exhibit.

MS. BACHMAN: Ordinarily, Attorney
Bogan, since you did respond to his interrogatories, I think you've had a chance at least to respond to some of his concerns, but it's historically been this Council's practice to let these type of things in for what they are worth understanding you have an objection.

MR. BOGAN: Understood.
MS. BACHMAN: Thank you.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, counselor.
(Whereupon, the witnesses were excused and the hearing concluded at 3:52 p.m.)

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing 130 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original stenotype notes taken of the Continued Public Hearing in Re: PETITION NO. 1310A, QUINEBAUG SOLAR, LLD PETITION FOR A DECLARATORY RULING PURSUANT TO CONNECTICUT GENERAL STATUTES 4-176 AND SECTION 16-50k FOR THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF A 50 MEGAWATT AC SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC ELECTRIC GENERATING FACILITY ON APPROXIMATELY 561 ACRES COMPRISED OF 29 SEPARATE AND ABUTTING PRIVATELY-OWNED PARCELS LOCATED GENERALLY NORTH OF WAUREGAN ROAD IN CANTERBURY AND SOUTH OF RUKSTELA ROAD AND ALLEN HILL ROAD IN BROOKLYN, CONNECTICUT, which was held before ROBERT SILVESTRI, Presiding Officer, at the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, 10 Franklin Square, New Britain, Connecticut, on February 4, 2020.

Lisa L. Warner, CSR 061 Court Reporter BLT REPORTING, LLD 55 WHITING STREET, SUITE IA

1

> I N D EX

```
WITNESSES ALI KARIMI PAGE 110
MICHAEL LIBERTINE
    STEPHEN MARIEN
        ANUJ MATHUR
        CHRISTOPHER SODERMAN
        EXAMINERS:
    Ms. Barbino Dubuque (Direct) 110
```

    Mr. Perrone (Cross) 116
    Mr . Lynch 123,132
    Mr. Silvestri 124,134
    Mr. Levesque 125,135
    Mr. Harder 125
    Mr. Morissette 126
    WITNESSES HAGEN LEE PAGE 138
    JONATHAN GRAVEL
    BRIAN HUNTLEY
    KATELIN NICKERSON
    KEVIN RYAN
    JOSEPH CARTAYA
    EDWARD DEVARONA
    DAVID GEORGE
    EXAMINERS:
    Mr. Bogan (Direct) 138
    Mr. Perrone (Cross) 145, 148, 155, 227
    Mr. Levesque 148
    Mr. Silvestri 154,156,160,164,182,196,205,217
        Mr. Hannon \(156,159,161,165,182,228\)
        Mr. Lynch
        Mr. Morissette 192,196
        158,206
        Mr. Harder
                        229
    ```
In d e x (Continued):
```

EVERSOURCE ENERGY'S EXHIBITS
(Received in evidence)
EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE
IV-B-1 Eversource Energy's Request for 116
party status, dated November 23, 2019.
IV-B-2 Eversource Energy's Direct
116
testimony of Stephen A. Marien, Ali
R. Karimi, Anuj Mathur, Michael Libertine
and Christopher Paul Soderman, dated
January 7, 2020.
IV-B-3 Eversource Energy's responses to 116
Council's interrogatories, Set One,
dated January 28, 2020.
IV-B-4 Protective order related to
116
unredacted response to Council
interrogatory No. 8, signed January
30, 2020.
PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS
(Received in evidence)
EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE
II-B-7 Petitioner's Late-Filed Exhibits, 145
dated January 28, 2020.
II-B-8 Petitioner's sign posting affidavit, 145
dated January 28, 2020.
II-B-9 Petitioner's responses to Sposato 145
interrogatories, dated January 28, 2020.

| \$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 122: 4 \\ \text { acidic }(1) \\ 190: 8 \end{array}$ | address (2) <br> 150:13;216:11 <br> adjacent (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { agency (1) } \\ & 215: 22 \\ & \text { agenda (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 155:22;156:5;176:18; } \\ & \text { 184:19;222:3 } \\ & \text { analysis (4) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| \$13.4 | 197:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 121: 2 ; 148: 13 \\ & 223: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 109: 4 \\ \text { agent (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:17;150:7; } \\ & 197: 1,6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3.3 (3) | $\underset{208: 25}{\operatorname{acquiring}(1)}$ | adjoining (1) | 165:14 | analytical (1) |
| 127:23;129:13; |  | 120.24 | aggregate (1) | 166:25 |
| 131:18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 208:25 } \\ \text { acquisitions (1) } \\ \text { 208:11 } \end{gathered}$ | adjourned (1) | $219: 14$ | analyzed (1) |
| A | acres (2) | adjust (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { aggressively (1) } \\ 216: 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 184:14 } \\ \text { anecdotal (2) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | adjustment (1) | ago (3) | 226:2,16 |
| ability (3) | across (2) |  | 114 | angle (1) |
| 187:24;1 | 121:23;198:6 | 227:14 <br> Administration (1) | 170:15 | 227:16 |
| 201 | Act (1) |  | agree (7) | animal (1) |
| able | $\begin{gathered} 108: 11 \\ \text { action }(2) \end{gathered}$ | 123:25 <br> Administrative (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 128: 21 ; 171: 15,22 ; \\ & 172: 4 ; 185: 16 ; 216: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 154:25 } \\ \text { animals (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 181:21;186:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 175:15;176:12 } \\ & \text { actions (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:11;136:8; } \\ & 152: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { agreement (2) } \end{gathered}$ | 173:18 announces (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 190:14,15;192:7 } \\ & 199: 19: 209: 3.4 \end{aligned}$ | $175: 13$ | administratively (2) <br> 109:11,23 | 170:17;216:14 | 233:17 |
| $210: 11,20 ; 212: 24$ | activated (1) |  | agreements (1) | anticipate (17) |
| $230: 20 ; 231: 17,22,2$ | 124:5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 109:11,23 } \\ \text { admission (2) } \end{gathered}$ | 158:20 | 120:6,11;149:3,18; |
| above (3) | 174:2,7 | 116:3;144:2 | agricultural | 151:23;152:8;157:10, |
| $200: 13$ | actively (2) | 115:23 | ahead (3) | $168: 18 ; 181: 9 ; 191: 1 ;$ |
| absolutely | $\begin{aligned} & 153: 8 ; 186: 7 \\ & \text { activities (4) } \end{aligned}$ | admitted (6) | $185: 11 ; 211: 22 ;$ 225:6 | 211:4;226:8,19;227:6 |
| 136:7;166:15, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 111: 25 ; 116: 6 \\ & 137: 1 ; 138: 24 ; 144: 16, \end{aligned}$ | 225:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { anticipated (5) } \\ & 117: 13 ; 134: 11 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| 216:8,12 | 164:11;169:25; |  | ai |  |
| abutting (1) | :1 | 25 | $124: 9 ; 155: 15,17$ | 179:21;209:24; |
| 118:25 | activity (17) | adopt (6) | $156: 25 ; 179: 18$ | 8:10 |
| AC (5) | 173:19 | 112:13;115:7; | Ali (6) | anticipates (1) |
| 108:15;145:24 | 160:5;193:10; | $\begin{aligned} & 139: 9 ; 140: 22 ; 141: 21 ; \\ & 143: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111: 4,9 ; 112: 18 ; \\ & 114: 8,23: 115: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $190: 13$ |
| 199:23;200:1;20 |  | advent (1) | Allen (1) | 148:14 |
| AC/DC (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 197:13 } \\ \text { actually }(\mathbf{3 1}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Allen (1) 108:18 | $148: 14$ anticipation (2) |
| access (15 | 117:7,8;118:23; | aerial (1) | allow (5) | 152:8;211:22 |
| 122:11,12 | $\begin{aligned} & 121: 2,18 ; 146: 5,8,9 \\ & 14 ; 154: 11 ; 162: 3,21 \end{aligned}$ | $126: 5$affect (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 214: 3 ; 230: 15,17 \text {; } \\ & 231: 5 ; 233: 8 \end{aligned}$ | Anuj (5) |
| 22;123:6,11;133:15; |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:22;112:18; } \\ & \text { 114:12;115:1,20 } \\ & \text { apologies }(\mathbf{2}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 147:15;148:13;149:1; | $\begin{aligned} & 14 ; 154: 11 ; 162: 3,21 ; \\ & 171: 17 ; 175: 11 ; 181: 1 ; \end{aligned}$ | affect (2) $119: 22$ | 231:5;233:8 <br> allowed (3) <br> 181:5:191:18•220.2 |  |
| 210:17;211:1,2 | 182:20;183:13;193:1, | affected (1) | 181:5;191:18;220:2 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { accessible (1) } \\ 123: 2 \end{gathered}$ | 6;194:11,18,20; | 128:4 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { All-Points (1) } \\ 111: 13 \end{array}$ | apologize (1) |
| ccessin | 210:11;211:6,20; | 53:2 | almost (2) | 152:3 |
| 119:12 | 228:16;229:7,13 | affidavit | 119:3;125:7 | apology (1) |
| accommodate (2) | add (11) | 141:14 | alone (2) | 233:12 |
| 123:11;125:21 | ade 152:3;157:14; $166: 7 \cdot 169: 23 \cdot 173.2$ | affirmative (1) | 119:25;120: | apparently |
| accommodates (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 166: 7 ; 169: 23 ; 173: 2 \\ & 174: 14 ; 182: 4 ; 191: 24 ; \end{aligned}$ | afternoon (8) | 109:17;137:25 |  |
| 185:13 |  |  |  | appearance (4) |
| accordance (2) | 195:11;205:23 | 8:1;109:1 | :15;149:10 | 109:24;135:2 |
| 109:3;168:20 |  | $10: 25 ; 126: 1$ | 11:11;214:19; | 137:9,16 |
| according (2) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:23;192:17 } \\ & 204: 24 ; 205: 5 \end{aligned}$ | alternative (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { appearing }(1) \\ & 216: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 202:25;209:10 Accordingly (1) | 113:16,18,162.16, 170:2,176:24 | Again (21) | 130:17;131:2,6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { appears (2) } \\ 119: 3 ; 179: 7 \end{gathered}$ |
| 109:22 | additional (13)109:10;117:19; | 121:13;129:11,20; | alternatives (2) |  |
| account (3) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:24;154:3;165:20; } \\ & \text { 166:1;173:24;177:7; } \end{aligned}$ | 128:25;130:15 | appendix (7) |
| 162:19;217: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:10;117:19; } \\ & \text { 139:13;145:17; } \end{aligned}$ |  | although (2)$120: 5 ; 201: 4$ | $24 ; 225: 1,3,4$ |
| 224:14 | 147:15;148:21,23; | 182:6;185:5;193:23; |  |  |
| accumulated (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 149: 5,7,22 ; 163: 2 \\ & 169: 13 ; 170: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 196: 19 ; 218: 8,20 \\ & \text { 219:20;221:24;224:6; } \end{aligned}$ | always (3) | applicable (1) |
| 178:21 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 165: 12 ; 166: 2 ; \\ & 189: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 164:6 |
| accurate (8) | additionally (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 219: 20 ; 221: 24 ; 2 \\ & 226: 7 ; 232: 4,18 \end{aligned}$ | 189:22 | ```107:3;132:23; 191:17 application (2) 111:15;132:22``` |
| 112:13;115:7; | $\begin{gathered} 156: 22 \\ \text { additions (4) } \\ 112: 8 ; 114: 19 \\ 140: 7 ; 142: 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { against (1) } \\ \text { 187:19 } \\ \text { agencies (1) } \\ 166: 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Americans (1) } \\ & 215: 12 \\ & \text { amount (7) } \\ & 124: 17 ; 129: 15 ; \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 139:7;141:19;143 |  |  |  |  |
| 147:8;198:24;199:1 |  |  |  |  |
| achieved (1) |  |  |  |  |


| applied (1) | 150:19;164:5; | augering (1) | 153:25 | 204:25;205:9,16; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 189:1 | 180:21;181:18; | 164:15 | BARBINO (25) | 206:1 |
| applies (1) | 188:11,11;193:9; | August (1) | 107:16;109:12,13; | below (2) |
| 194:2 | 210:15;230:1 | 170:23 | 110:3,23,25;111:24; | 183:16,25 |
| apply (2) | arrangement (1) | authority (1) | 112:7,11,16;113:20, | beneath (2) |
| 145:12;159:11 | 120:19 | 194:24 | 25;114:14;115:3,22; | 227:1,6 |
| appreciate (1) | array (1) | available (10) | 116:7;130:4,12; | beneficial (1) |
| 217:25 | 189:4 | 109:4;127:16; | 136:6,16;137:2; | 202:9 |
| approach (2) | arrive (1) | 144:15;169:2;216:19; | 144:22,22;232:12; | berm (9) |
| 157:15;163:16 | 129:5 | 217:11;218:4;221:7; | 235:4 | 183:17,17,19,21,22, |
| appropriate (6) | ash (18) | 234:21;235:11 | Barr (4) | 25;184:1,3,5 |
| 110:22;138:3; | 165:10,10,13,20,23; | average (1) | 168:23;169:6,14,17 | berms (1) |
| 159:22;167:16; | 166:1,10,21,23;218:8, | 204:17 | base (7) | 185:4 |
| 174:22;213:4 | 8,10,13;219:17,18,22; | averages (1) | 146:20,25;155:8; | besides (1) |
| approvals (1) | 220:1,2 | 147:22 | 170:14,18;195:2,25 | 134:14 |
| 145:17 | aside (3) | Aviation (1) | based (15) | best (10) |
| approved (2) | 174:23;176:6; | 123:24 | 120:11;146:12; | 112:11;115:3; |
| 134:8;177:13 | 231:21 | avoid (6) | 147:21;168:16; | 139:7;141:19;203:15; |
| approximate (1) | aspect (1) | 123:6;128:9 | 169:13;191:21;194:5, | 220:23;221:9;227:20, |
| 117:11 | 119:25 | 159:18,24;175:14 | 8;195:16;196:16; | 21;230:22 |
| approximately (7) | aspects (2) | 186:8 | 197:6;199:2;215:4; | beyond (8) |
| 117:3;118:8; | 200:9;223:17 | Avoidance (2) | 217:4;223:10 | 148:22;170:7; |
| 147:14;149:9;161:10, | assessment (3) | 171:14;172:18 | basically (8) | 185:24,25;186:14; |
| 20;202:24 | 147:21;153:1; | avoided (1) | 124:18;147:4; | 188:4;199:20;218:16 |
| April (1) | 221:20 | 181:22 | 149:23;155:21;168:4; | bid (8) |
| 170:23 | assigned (1) | avoiding (2) | 195:1;230:14;231:6 | 146:14;158:15; |
| aquatic (2) | 208:15 | 151:25;181:25 | basin (1) | 170:1;193:20,21; |
| 151:24;152:20 | assist (2) | aware (5) | 184:25 | 194:1;215:20;216:22 |
| aqueous (1) | 139:15;142:2 | 133:16;189:24 | basins (6) | bifurcate (1) |
| 160:18 | associated (8) | 210:14;217:7;219:16 | 183:14;184:14,20, | 223:7 |
| area (67) | 126:25;128:2,2; | away (8) | 22;185:1;228:25 | big (1) |
| 113:13;117:7,11, | 149:6,8;159:14; | 120:4;195:12 | basis (4) | 158:19 |
| 14,15,18;118:21; | 164:13;166:23 | 198:9;199:3;206:15, | 133:25;178:14; | bigger (1) |
| 121:11,14;122:1; | assume (2) | $25 ; 213: 1 ; 217: 13$ | 185:3;187:16 | 230:7 |
| 127:8;131:20;133:6, | 131:11;211:17 |  | batteries (4) | bilateral (1) |
| 9;155:1,1;159:12; | assumed (1) | B | 215:12,14;216:5,16 | 195:2 |
| 160:24;163:10,14,23; 170:4;172:12,15,24; | 209:22 |  | battery (1) | bit (10) |
| $170: 4 ; 172: 12,15,24 ;$ $173 \cdot 20 \cdot 174 \cdot 1,4,6$ | $\underset{172 \cdot 5 \cdot 177 \cdot 12 .}{\text { assuming (6) }}$ | Bachman (10) | $217: 1$ | 119:4;121:25; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 173:20;174:1,4,5,6, } \\ & \text { 13,16,20,23;175:2; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 172: 5 ; 177: 12 ; \\ & 178: 12,19 ; 185: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:5,14,16;138:8, } \\ & 19 ; 233: 8 ; 235: 1,6,14, \end{aligned}$ | Bean (1) $113 \cdot 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:5;148:2;158:4; } \\ & \text { 170:13;184:20;198:6; } \end{aligned}$ |
| $176: 16,23 ; 177: 6,25$ | 204:19 | $22$ | beat (2) | $205: 10 ; 224: 2$ |
| 179:20,21;180:19,21, | assumption (2) | back (24) | 203:14;218:21 | Blackwell (3) |
| 25;181:4;182:1,7,12, | 199:22;203:6 | 119:18;136:14 | beaver (1) | 151:16;224:12,19 |
| 13;184:19;185:24; | attachment (7) | 137:9;149:20;172:9; | 152:21 | blocks (1) |
| 186:6,16;188:6,7; | 112:25;113:4,22, | 174:18;188:23;192:1, | become (2) | 166:21 |
| 194:23;209:12; | 23;114:16;118:14; | 4,7,20;197:25;207:7; | 187:22;233:24 | blowout (5) |
| 211:12;212:14;214:4; | 121:19 | 209:5,6;218:7; | becoming (1) | 160:12,12,14,23; |
| 223:19;224:8,13; | attachments (1) | 221:19;222:16,18 | 220:17 | 203:19 |
| 228:4;229:15,23; | 115:8 | 226:15;227:10; | Beechwood (1) | blowouts (1) |
| 230:1 | attacking (2) | 228:13;230:4;231:22 | 120:22 | 159:20 |
| areas (31) | 213:5,5 | backed (2) | begin (4) | Board (1) |
| 149:11;150:18,20; | attention (6) | 173:22,22 | 110:6,20;116:11; | 156:25 |
| 151:25;152:9;153:17, | 109:6;118:13; | backfilled (1) | 145:5 | body (1) |
| 24;154:7;164:22; | 136:18;165:8;180:23; | 164:16 | beginning (1) | 177:7 |
| 172:14;174:1,10; | 200:18 | backyards (1) | 156:19 | BOGAN (84) |
| 177:6;179:9,11,15; | Attorney (11) | 120:25 | behalf (3) | 107:7;109:16,17; |
| 181:10;187:15; | 110:5,19;130:8; | bags (1) | 109:18;137:25; | 116:4;135:25;136:2, |
| 210:20,22,22;211:5,9, | 135:25;136:17; | 188:12 | 153:3 | 17,20;137:23,24; |
| 10;223:23;229:11,21, | 144:18;232:11;233:5; | baked (1) | behind (2) | 138:21;139:3,6,9,12, |
| 25;230:3,4,6 | 235:1,6,14 | 217:22 | 118:14;121:19 | 19,21,23,25;140:2,4, |
| argument (1) | auction (6) | banded (3) | belief (3) | 6,10,12,14,16,18,20, |
| 234:9 | 146:4,8,14;193:1, | $152: 13,15,18$ | $139: 7 ; 141: 19 ; 143: 9$ | $22,25 ; 141: 2,4,6,8,10,$ |
| around (9) | $18,21$ | bank (1) | bell (4) | $12,18,21,24 ; 142: 5,7$ |


| 9,11,13,15,17,21,23, | 233:17,20;234:9 | C-005 (1) | 174:14;175:22; | causes (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25;143:2,4,6,8,12,14, | bright (2) | 179:5 | 179:17;186:8;187:8, | 129:11 |
| 16,18,20,22,24;144:2, | 128:20;212:5 | C-013 (1) | 17;190:9;198:2; | causing (1) |
| 4,6,8,10,12,14,19; | bring (1) | 180:18 | 203:12;204:11; | 128:2 |
| 201:2,15,25;207:1; | 180:23 | C-027 (1) | 206:13;209:18;212:1, | caveat (1) |
| 208:14,18,19;222:14; | bringing (1) | 181:14 | 6;213:17;216:11; | 208:1 |
| 231:9;232:25;233:11; | 185:18 | C-041 (2) | 219:25;221:9;228:1; | cells (1) |
| 234:19;235:6,8,15,21 | bristle (1) | 182:15,18 | 230:24 | 221:1 |
| book (2) | 189:21 | C-044 (1) | Canterbury (6) | cement (3) |
| 171:10,12 | broke (1) | 183:6 | 108:17,25;116:17; | 165:9,25;167:1 |
| border (1) | 171:11 | C-046 (1) | 117:22;124:1;234:13 | cemetery (2) |
| 224:12 | Brook (6) | 183:17 | capability (1) | 223:24;224:1 |
| borne (3) | 151:16,16,21,23; | C-049 (1) | 213:19 | Center (2) |
| 132:11,12,14 | 224:12,12 | 186:23 | capacity (22) | 108:8;180:25 |
| both (5) | Brooklyn (6) | C-051 (2) | 128:13,14,16,19; | certain (8) |
| 131:22;152:6; | 108:8,9,18,25; | 183:19;228:14 | 129:17;130:3;145:22; | 139:15;141:25; |
| 207:14;213:13,16 | 229:9;234:13 | C-056 (1) | 146:4,12;193:20; | 156:5;157:17;206:12; |
| bottom (10) | brooks (3) | 183:22 | 194:3,6,9,19;230:9, | 208:23;217:20; |
| 122:10;152:12; | 151:20;224:19; | C-059 (1) | 15,16,21,24;231:6,20, | 218:11 |
| 154:14;167:15,19, | 225:16 | 184:1 | 23 | certainly (12) |
| 168:4;169:10;224:9; | brought (1) | C-061 (1) | CARB (1) | 116:22;118:3,12; |
| 225:23;230:23 | 218:7 | 184:3 | 157:24 | 123:14;159:21;160:4, |
| BOUCHER (9) | brush (2) | C-062 (1) | care (4) | 7;163:11;166:9; |
| 107:8;109:17; | 189:8,15 | 184:5 | 125:6;134:25; | 190:25;218:15; |
| 138:1;169:10;232:21; | brushes (1) | C-069 (1) | 136:13,15 | 234:23 |
| 233:1,4,7,10 | 189:21 | 182:5 | CARMODY (1) | cetera (1) |
| bought (1) | bucket (1) | C-071 (1) | 107:12 | 227:21 |
| 208:13 | 126:5 | 186:24 | cars (2) | Chairman (4) |
| Boulevard (1) | buffer (7) | C-072 (1) | 222:3,5 | 134:5;159:4; |
| 120:22 | 120:9;159:12; | 186:24 | Cartaya (49) | 206:19;217:2 |
| bound (1) | 186:10,14;204:12; | C-076 (1) | 140:4,5,20,21; | challenging (1) |
| 219:23 | 224:1;228:4 | 186:24 | 141:10,11;142:15,16; | 165:18 |
| boundaries (2) | buffers (1) | C-081 (1) | 143:4,5,22,23;144:12, | chance (3) |
| 151:11;178:18 | 150:18 | 185:20 | 13;146:22;149:15; | 154:13;169:1; |
| boundary (3) | build (1) | cadmium (1) | 155:17,20;156:12; | 235:16 |
| 203:13;224:13; | 153:14 | 220:14 | 157:3;158:14,21; | change (7) |
| 225:21 | built (1) | calculated (2) | 159:7;169:22;189:12; | 121:8;122:8; |
| bounds (1) | 176:8 | 215:3,4 | 190:2;191:24;192:11; | 125:12;145:13; |
| 233:6 | bullet (1) | calculations (1) | 199:21;200:5;205:7, | 185:12;196:20; |
| Box (2) | 176:15 | 228:15 | 19;206:8;207:12,21, | 227:16 |
| 107:14;198:16 | buoyancy (1) | California (2) | 25;208:4,7;209:20; | changed (3) |
| brand (3) | 162:19 | 156:25;215:12 | 210:7;211:23;212:8; | 228:3,3,9 |
| 130:24,25;200:13 | buoyant (3) | call (7) | 220:12,15,18;221:6, | changes (7) |
| breach (1) | 162:13,22;163:2 | 109:6;120:20; | 17;227:18,24 | 139:3;140:7; |
| 224:1 | bureaucracy (1) | 121:16;136:18;196:8; | case (14) | 142:18;145:18; |
| breaching (1) | 191:16 | 229:10;232:4 | 121:13;122:3; | 196:20;205:7;214:15 |
| 223:18 | burned (1) | called (4) | 137:9;147:23;155:14; | changing (2) |
| break (3) | 222:11 | 108:2;110:13; | 164:17;189:14;195:6; | 149:4;214:21 |
| 202:22,24;232:22 | burrow (1) | 138:7;214:14 | 198:14;207:14; | characterizes (1) |
| breaker (8) | 153:18 | came (4) | 211:23;212:2,21; | 225:21 |
| 124:18,21,22; | burrowed (3) | 131:1;160:5,24; | 213:19 | chemicals (6) |
| 155:19;156:1,3,9,9 | 154:2,23,25 | 218:13 | cases (1) | 189:5,6,7,10,11,23 |
| breakers (4) | Button (1) | can (45) | 207:16 | chief (1) |
| 124:16,23,24;125:8 | 153:13 | 116:22;118:13; | casing (2) | 214:4 |
| breeding (11) | buy (2) | 122:3;123:7;125:22; | 164:17,18 | choice (1) |
| 171:25;172:2,8,22, | 221:7,9 | 127:23;134:19; | casings (4) | 233:22 |
| 23;173:5;174:16,21; | buyer (2) | 136:17;137:10; | 164:4,13,25;165:3 | Chris (2) |
| 175:18,19;176:4 | 208:16,17 | 147:17;149:16; | catch (3) | 128:15;130:14 |
| Brian (1) $192: 5$ | C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 150:23,23;157:14; } \\ & \text { 160:20;161:13; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 121:12;137:4;175:1 } \\ & \text { caught (2) } \end{aligned}$ | Christopher (5) <br> 111:19;112:19; |
| briefly (2) | C | 163:10;165:14;166:7; | $165: 7 ; 166: 3$ | 114:10,24;115:18 |
| 204:17;225:16 | C-003 (1) | 169:3,16;171:25; | cause (2) | Church (1) |
| briefs (3) | 178:16 | 172:6,16;173:2; | 138:22;187:25 | 107:5 |

cigar (1)
209:6
circle (2)
181:15,18
circuit (13)
117:1;120:19;
122:19;125:23;127:9, 13;129:1,10,14;131:3,
9,12;200:20
circuits (2) 128:4;129:8
circumstance (1) 165:18
City (2) 109:1;234:14
civil (2) 111:17;192:2
CL\&P (3) 126:20;201:18; 203:3
clarification (2) 124:19;127:4
clarifications (2) 112:8;114:19
clarify (4) 125:22;179:22; 196:6,24
clarifying (1) 203:11
clarity (1) 180:24
classroom (1) 213:11
clay (1) 176:21
clean (2) 189:13;211:2
cleaning (2) 189:4,25
clear (15) 113:21;136:7,9; 180:1,2;193:3,6,15, 18;196:14;199:12; 207:10,15,16;211:2
cleared (7) 123:2;146:9; 179:10,11;192:22; 193:9;194:13
clearing (7) 179:20;180:11,12, 12,14;183:5;207:9
clearly (1) 179:17
Clerk's (2) 108:24;234:12
cliff (1) 121:21
close (5) 170:17;178:13; 186:5;187:12;233:15
closed (1) 234:20
closely (1)

| $214: 9$ | $218: 4$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| closer (7) | common (2) |
| $118: 18 ; 119: 7,10 ;$ | $166: 13 ; 168: 1$ |
| $198: 4,10 ; 199: 7 ;$ | Community (2) |
| $229: 25$ | $108: 8 ; 153: 4$ |
| closest (3) | companies (4) |
| $118: 20 ; 119: 9 ;$ | $157: 8 ; 208: 12 ;$ |
| $121: 10$ | $216: 25 ; 217: 1$ |

Co2 (3)
212:24;221:21; 222:8
Code (1) 126:4
coincidence (1) 129:23
coincident (1) 194:6
coincides (1) 202:7
Cold (3) 151:15;224:12,18
colleague (1) 132:9
colleague's (1) 217:20
collected (1) 173:21
collector (2) 134:9,14
combination (4) 149:23;157:23; 213:13,16
combine (1) 175:12
combined (1) 203:3
combined-cycle (1) 222:23
combust (1) 211:6
combustion (1) 218:11
coming (8) 190:20;207:7; 217:8;218:4;226:24; 227:21;235:3,9
commencing (1) 160:15
comment (11) 130:14;156:21; 176:14;213:18; 219:21;220:20; 228:12;229:9;233:5; 234:25;235:10
comments (4) 155:11;164:1; 190:6;220:6
commercial (4) 121:23;145:14; 200:9;231:17
commercialized (1) 217:17
commercially (1)

218:4
common (2)
166:13;168:1 108:8•153:4
companies (4) 216:25:217•1
Company (4) 107:10;201:3; 208:13,16
compare (3) 120:14;169:16; 222:4
compared (2) 174:7;221:2
compares (3) 221:21,25;222:8
comparison (2) 201:1;229:14
complete (3) 169:19;175:5;176:9
completed (3) 168:25;169:7,14
completely (4) 136:9;146:5; 169:20;197:23
compliant (1) 158:2
complicate (1) 162:13
comply (1) 181:7
component (2) 166:11;219:5
components (1) 166:23
composition (1) 219:10
conceptually (1) 202:2
concern (4) 152:14;164:23; 229:10,17
concerned (5) 166:22;206:23; 208:12;211:16; 214:12
concerns (4) 150:14;169:13; 229:19;235:17
concert (1) 117:16
concluded (1) 235:25
conclusions (1) 173:23
concrete (15) 166:12,13,19,21; 167:8,15,19;168:5; 218:23;219:5,12,14, 15,23;220:3
condition (3)

191:19;226:9,18
conditioned (1) 208:23
conditions (14) 120:15;121:8; 146:23;152:12;163:5; 168:16;182:20;183:4; 196:11,17;230:18,20; 231:14,15
conducted (1) 225:19
conex (1) 178:5
confidence (1) 217:22
confidential (3) 128:3;201:3,11
confidentiality (1) 201:7
configuration (1) 227:14
confirm (1) 127:23
confirmed (2) 146:11;172:8
confused (3) 132:24;192:20; 225:5
confusing (2) 230:13,21
confusion (1) 231:21
connected (1) 128:7
Connecticut (20) 107:6,10,15;108:5, 10,18,21;152:5; 158:17;201:23;202:3, 6,10,13;203:1; 215:25;216:15,21; 217:1;233:16
connection (1) 201:5
conservative (5) 148:2;163:16,23; 164:9;165:22
consider (1) 157:1
consideration (2) 195:20;217:19
considered (2) 150:19;234:10
consist (1) 174:24
consisted (1) 131:23
consistent (2) 126:3;228:23
consists (1) 178:25
constraining (1) 163:19
constraints (1)

165:22
construct (3)
117:23;159:6; 191:22
construction (36)
108:14;117:24;
123:1;147:10,13,24;
149:24;150:1;152:6;
156:24;158:1,7,12;
162:13;164:10,11;
169:25;172:14;174:7,
12;175:4,14;178:4,
11;180:1,6,15,16,19;
181:8;182:6,10;
191:10;192:4;208:1;
223:20
Consultants (1) 137:18
consultation (1)
169:16
consulting (1)
111:14
contain (2)
124:13;156:5
container (1) 177:24
containers (2) 178:5,6
contains (2) 225:3,4
content (1) 223:18
context (3) 166:14;218:24,25
contiguous (1) 230:5
contingency (1) 129:10
continuation (1) 108:7
continue (11) 112:17;125:5,16; 130:13;132:7;137:15, 15;155:9;156:14; 201:17;232:9
Continued (4) 107:1;138:17; 172:20;173:15
contours (2) 186:6,15
contract (6) 134:23;157:5; 195:3,3;196:2;208:22
contracted (1) 215:17
contracting (3) 157:4;158:11; 215:16
contractor (12) 157:16;158:13; 178:10;180:1,9; 181:4;182:12;207:10, 13,16,17,18

| contractors (5) | 158:6,7;200:18; | 220:10 | 125:10;217:21,21, | 149:16,17,17,24; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 158:16,18;159:23; | 201:4,18;202:21 | cubic (3) | 22;234:2 | 150:1;199:23 |
| 207:8,9 | Council (21) | 222:7,12,20 | DC (1) | demand (1) |
| contractor's (1) | 108:5,19;109:10, | cultural (3) | 199:24 | 216:11 |
| 157:20 | 22;112:22;115:23; | 131:22;138:25; | dead (3) | denoted (2) |
| contracts (1) | 135:4,21;145:21; | 81:22 | 203:14;211:9 | 144:16;234:20 |
| 208:15 | 147:6;156:14;217:5; | curable (1) | 218:21 | densely (1) |
| contractual (1) | 232:4;233:14,17,18, | 219:12 | deal (4) | 152:19 |
| 230:25 | 21;234:1,2,4,10 | curious (8) | 177:21;179:16; | density (3) |
| contradictory (1) | Council's (6) | 154:11;181:18; | 186:18;212:11 | 172:7;173:11; |
| 231:8 | 115:10;138:23 | 185:20;186:3,25; | dealing (5) | 174:20 |
| contributing (1) | 139:14,17;234:7 | 190:17;209:12; | 165:10;176:19; | DEP (1) |
| 184:19 | 235:18 | 223:12 | 189:3;190:5,18 | 153:14 |
| control (4) | counselor (7) | current (3) | dealt (2) | Department (3) |
| 157:19;180:20; | 109:19;110:1; | 149:6;157:21,24 | 165:11;234:22 | 153:3;210:23; |
| $194: 23,25$ | 116:1,5;136:5; | currently (7) | December (1) | 212:10 |
| controls (2) | 201:16;235:23 | 149:1,4;171:4; | 108:19 | departments (4) |
| 161:4;188:2 | count (1) | 176:2;186:7;187:20; | decide (2) | 133:6;210:18; |
| convenience (2) | 200:2 | 211:8 | 174:22;232:22 | 212:15,20 |
| 109:2;234:14 | couple (9) | curtailed (1) | declaratory (1) | depending (5) |
| conversation (2) | 126:17;137:10; | $196: 3$ | 108:13 | 158:25;174:15; |
| 176:1;224:4 | 170:15;190:4;193:7; | curve (6) | declare (2) | 184:18;196:10; |
| conversations (3) | 206:15;209:4;218:6; | 129:19;204:25; | 231:17;234:16 | 205:17 |
| 176:2;223:5,12 | 223:6 | 205:9,16;206:1; | decline (1) | depends (1) |
| convert (1) | course (1) | 209:25 | 204:21 | 134:16 |
| 226:22 | 149:18 | cut (2) | decommission (2) | deposited (2) |
| converted (1) | COURT (1) | 153:18;154:1 | 190:11;191:23 | 108:24;176:17 |
| 199:25 | 228:19 | cutting (1) | decommissioning (6) | depression (2) |
| cool (1) | cover (2) | 188:25 | 168:2;190:5,20; | 228:17,22 |
| 206:11 | 164:9;190:21 | cycle (2) | 191:14,25;192:10 | depth (3) |
| cooling (1) | coverage (1) | 221:21;222:8 | decrease (1) | 161:9,18;186:25 |
| 206:10 <br> coordinate | 195:21 covered (2) | D | $\begin{gathered} \text { 146:6 } \\ \text { de-energizing (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { derated (3) } \\ & 146: 2 ; 194: 10,20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 180:9 | 209:8,17 |  | $191: 15$ | deration (1) |
| copies (2) | covering (1) | D\&M (3) | DEEP (3) | 194:16 |
| $109: 4 ; 234: 11$ | 192:6 | 177:14;180:4;190:1 | 156:21;168:14,17 | describe (1) |
| copy (2) | create (2) | d/b/a (1) | deeper (2) | 204:17 |
| 153:5;224:18 | 154:1;160:19 | 107:11 | 164:2,19 | described (4) |
| cordoned (1) | created (2) | daily (2) | defer (2) | 116:9;145:3; |
| 181:3 | 154:21;228:17 | 147:11;178:1 | 149:14;150:14 | 204:19;226:19 |
| corrected (2) | creates (1) | damage (4) | define (1) | description (2) |
| 113:4;115:5 | 228:21 | 210:5,8,10;212:7 | 180:10 | 187:18;225:12 |
| correcting (1) | creating (1) | damaged (1) | defined (3) | design (8) |
| 113:7 | 123:5 | 207:20 | 179:17,25;181:3 | 162:20;163:15,15, |
| corrections (5) | credit (2) | Dan (1) | degradation (1) | 20,23;187:9;211:1; |
| 112:8;114:18; | 207:3,6 | 135:9 | 221:12 | 227:21 |
| 139:4;140:7;142:18 | credits (1) | Data (6) | degree (1) | designated (1) |
| correctly (3) | 206:23 | 155:8;170:14,18 | 217:16 | 221:11 |
| 113:12,14;154:22 | crews (1) | 171:16,18;172:6 | degrees (1) | designed (2) |
| correlate (1) | 126:5 | date (4) | 227:17 | 152:4;184:14 |
| 162:4 | Criteria (2) | 145:14;181:3; | delineate (3) | desires (1) |
| Corridor (5) | 124:4,5 | 216:1;234:3 | 151:11;179:2;183:5 | 233:25 |
| 116:20;117:6; | critters (1) | dated (6) | delineating (1) | detail (6) |
| 122:6;125:19;126:5 | 175:22 | 112:3,20,23; | 180:6 | 147:1;150:15; |
| corrosion (1) | crosses (1) | 139:16;192:18;223:5 | Delineation (5) | 184:25;185:12,15; |
| 191:2 | 118:23 | DAVID (4) | 151:3;180:11; | 187:4 |
| cost (12) | cross-examination (8) | 107:7;109:17; | 224:15;225:20;226:4 | detailed (3) |
| 127:22;131:6; | $116: 12,15 ; 145: 6,9$ | 137:17,24 | delineations (1) | 150:7;187:17; |
| 132:10,13,19;135:9, | 232:9,20;233:14; | day (6) | 225:19 | 224:14 |
| 14;190:21;200:22,25; | 235:12 | 128:20;129:17; | deliveries (1) | details (7) |
| 201:23;202:20 | CRRA (1) | 147:16;149:12; | 148:15 | $183: 24 ; 184: 8$ |
| costs (8) | 166:20 | 204:17;212:5 | delivery (8) | 185:7,14;186:22; |
| 132:17;135:15; | crystalline (1) | days (5) | 147:10;148:6; | 224:18,22 |


| detect (1) | 110:24;112:17; | 133:11 | 107:16;109:12,1 | 216:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 173:6 | 113:1,23;117:12; | done (18) | 110:3,19,23,25; | efforts (3) |
| detected (1) | 118:6,13;120:1,7; | 121:2;129:22; | 111:24;112:7,11,16; | 156:23;157:2,13 |
| 173:8 | 122:2,10;125:18; | 131:22;168:20;169:1, | 113:20,25;114:14; | egresses (1) |
| determination (2) | 138:20;159:10,15,19, | 9,12;170:2,9;171:12; | 115:3,22;116:7; | 211:8 |
| 155:7;170:22 | 19 | 172:11;191:17;193:6; | 130:4,12;136:6,1 | eight (1) |
| determine (2) | directed (1) | 197:14;200:6;203:5; | 137:2;144:22,23; | 149:18 |
| 130:2;150:8 | 131:2 | 218:14;221:13 | 232:11,12;235:1, | either (8) |
| determined (4) | direction | door (2) | due (4) | 116:18;124:1; |
| 174:15;178:9,10; | 141:15 | 109:5;189:1 | 145:13;150:22 | 150:21;160:25; |
| 184:17 | directional | double (7) | 152:14;210:1 | 182:24;196:9;219:25; |
| determines (2) | 159:18;160:14 | 120:19;125:23 | duly (3) | $225: 7$ |
| 134:18,18 | 186:23;187:6;206:7, | 129:1,10;131:3,9 | 110:13;138:7,17 | elaborate (6) |
| Devarona (29) | 13;229:23 | 200:20 | duration (2) | 116:22;152:16; |
| 140:2,3,18,19; | directly (2) | doubtful (1) | 147:25;148:2 | 160:11;181:21; |
| 141:8,9;142:13,14; | 122:5;218:1 | 121:13 | during (10) | 226:20;228:1 |
| 143:6,7,20,21;144:10, | director (2) | down (17) | 147:10,13;148:1 | elected (1) |
| 11;146:3;155:24; | 111:12,20 | 121:22;122:5 | 157:3;178:1,4;182:6; | 133:11 |
| 192:24;193:5,14,17, | disagree (1) | 131:1;136:10;146:9; | 194:12;208:1;211:16 | electric (3) |
| 22;194:7,15;195:7; | 128:21 | 167:18;171:11; | dust (2) | 108:15;126:4; |
| 196:1,12,18,21;200:8 | disclosed (1) | 194:10,20;202:22,25; | 157:19;209:23 | 133:25 |
| develop (1) | 201:9 | 206:11;208:13; | dynamics (2) | electrical (2) |
| 214:23 | disconnecting (1) | 209:11;211:24,25; | 184:12,23 | 212:23;213:24 |
| developable (1) | 134:15 | 226:24 | E | electrically (1) |
| developed (3) | $174: 19$ | 151:6 | E | electricity (1) |
| 150:23;215:1 | discovered | Dr (2) | E\&C | 226:23 |
| developer's (1) | 173:16 | 152:24;171:1 | 49:14 | element (1) |
| 202:20 | discretion (2) | draft (2) | earlier (10) | 229:22 |
| developing | 157:7;158:25 | 234:4,7 | 170:13;190 | elevation (13) |
| 214:21 | discuss (1) | drain (1) | 201:19;207:16; | 118:10,22;119:19, |
| development (7) | 223:1 | 163:21 | $209: 22 ; 213: 17$ | $20 ; 120: 1 ; 183: 20,21,$ |
| 117:17;121:24,25; | discussed (1) | drawing (4) | $217: 20 ; 223: 4 ; 224: 7$ | $22,24 ; 184: 1,3,6,9$ |
| 153:4;229:11,16,20 | 201:19 | 160:6;182:5,20 | 226:7 | elevations (4) |
| developments (1) | discussion (2) | 198:7 | early (2) | 183:16;184:8,17; |
| 217:8 | 177:10;202:17 | drawings | 173:9;217:1 | 228:15 |
| device (1) | dismantle (1) | 114:18;180:6 | earth (3) | eligible (5) |
| 221:15 | 190:10 | 183:4,10 | 117:23;158: | 150:20,21;206:2 |
| dewatering (5) | dispatch (3) | drawn (1) | 186:17 | 207:3,6 |
| 168:10,11,13,19,19 | 194:23;195:10; | 160:20 | east (3) | eliminate (1) |
| diagonal (1) | 196:5 | drill (2) | 113:14;121:16 | 129:10 |
| $179: 12$ | dispatched (3) | 160:16;167: | $185: 22$ | else (2) |
| diagrams (1) | 133:18;195:24; | drilled (3) | eastern (8) | 191:8;221:15 |
| 210:17 | 196:15 | 160:17,22;164 | $153: 1,24 ; 171: 2,20$ | embedment (1) |
| dialogue (1) | dispatching (2) | drilling (8) | $172: 19 ; 175: 14 ; 176: 7$ | 162:25 |
| 170:21 | 195:5,6 | 159:14,18;160:2 | 185:21 | emergency (5) |
| diesel (1) | disperse | 14;186:23;187:3,6; | Ecology (3) | $123: 11 ; 133: 24$ |
| 157:1 | 175:6 | 229:23 | 171:19;225:22,24 | 195:6;212:21;213:3 |
| difference (3) | displace (1) | Drive (4) | Economic (1) | emission (1) |
| 135:13;148:10 | 167:20 | 120:21;122: | 153:4 | 157:24 |
| 180:7 | distributin | 129:25;234:18 | edge (2) | emissions (3) |
| different (18) | 202:19 | driven (5) | 119:17;120 | 157:1,6;221:2 |
| 132:16;146:1,17; | disturbance (1) | 121:1;122:1;164:3, | effect (2) | enclosure (1) |
| $148: 6,18,19 ; 164: 5 ;$ $166: 2 \cdot 171 \cdot 11 \cdot 178: 20$ | 150:22 disturbing | $12 ; 165: 4$ | $117: 19 ; 162: 23$ | 174:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 166:2;171:11;178:20; } \\ & \text { 179:1,3;183:16; } \end{aligned}$ | disturbing 188:6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { driveway (1) } \\ 118: 22 \end{gathered}$ | effectiveness (2) 210:6,8 | end (6) <br> 118:7;134:9;151 |
| 184:8,16;221:19; | Diversity (3) | drop (1) | effects (1) | 187:12;190:11;191:4 |
| 223:8;228:7 | 155:8;170:14,18 | 210:1 | 118:7 | ends (5) |
| differential (1) | dollar (1) | dropped (1) | efficience (1) | 172:8;194:3,1 |
| 120:6 | 202:2 | 167:22 | 221:2 | 196:4;211:9 |
| difficult (1) | dollars (1) | drops (2) | efficient | Energy (17) |
| 173:6 | 193:10 | 121:21,22 | 211:1 | $107: 11 ; 109: 25$ |
| DIRECT (17) | Donald (1) | DUBUQUE (28) | efficiently (1) | 111:9,20,23;115:24; |


| 116:3;131:13;134:17; | 222:9 | everybody (1) | expect (4) | 131:10;133:15;149:3; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19;202:6,7; | era | 190:13 | 149:16;151:19 | 95:1;199:16;202:16; |
| 216:9,11;226:22,23 | 208 | e | 8:3;213:12 | 204:20;214:6,7 |
| 227:22 | erosion (2) | 192:17 | expected (4) | fact (13) |
| Energy's | 180:20;181 | everyone's (1) | 147:11,14;195:18 | 117:7,17;120:4 |
| 112:2,21;116:8 | erosive (1) | 8:13 | 21:22 | 121:9;130:21;147:8 |
| Enfield (2) | 190:19 | evidence (5) | expecting (3) | 9;148:4;195:10; |
| 0:25,25 | errat | 12:1;115 | 121:6;156:10;205 | 233:18,21;234:5, |
| engine (1) | 173:5,14 | 116:9;145:3;23 | expensive (2) | factors (1) |
| 157:1 | errors (1) | evidentiary (2) | 130:24;131: | 227:16 |
| engineer (3) | 234:6 | 108:6;233:16 | experience (3) | fair (1) |
| 111:10,17; | espe | exact (4) | 153:21;154:9 | 176:18 |
| engineering | 76 | 8:8;184 | 6: | fairly (2) |
| 111:18,21;152 | 177:5;179:1 | 197:12;216: | experienced ( 2 | 123:1;158:2 |
| England (17) | ESQ (3) | exactly (11) | 159:23;161: | fall (2) |
| 128:8;129:23; | 107:7,8, | 148:18;153:15 | expert (3) | 173:10;209:11 |
| 131:2;134:18;146:13 | essentiall | 176:1;178:7;180 | 155:25;193: | falling (2) |
| 193:7;194:2,19,25; | 113:4;117:2 | 181:4;182:22;183:12; | 220:9 | 227:3,4 |
| 195:4,12,19;196:4; | 118:18,24;119: | 185:1;193:24;203:4 | expertise | familiar (5) |
| 200:7,12,15;208:5 | 120:20;121:3;122:24; | EXAMINATION (2) | 212:16 | 112:4;163:25 |
| enlighten (1) | 128:3;129:7;162:15, | 110:24;138:20 | expire (1) | 167:6,23;194:17 |
| 161:14 | 20;167:14,16,18; | examined (2) | 134:24 | fan (2) |
| enough (4) | 168:1;176:5;181:10; | 0:14;138 | expla | 206:10, |
| 133:5;154: | 183:5;186:5;219:12, | example (5) | 119:21;146:21 | far (10) |
| 176:6;179:20 | 15 | 179:6;183:17 | 147:17;164:1;165:14; | 118:10;128:2 |
| ensure (2) | establi | 201:5,12;222 | 167:11;174:12; | 158:11;179:15,1 |
| 133:19;175 | 172:9,24 | excavations (1) | 209:18;224:21 | 93:19;206:22; |
| ensuring (1) | establishm | 167:9 | explained (1) | 08:12;211:15 |
| 195:20 | 150:18 | excep | 145:12 | 214:11 |
| entire (3) | estima | 187: | explanation (1) | farms (1) |
| 132:13;1 | 127:22;132: | excu | 172:21 | 209:13 |
| 186:16 | estima | 235: | explore (1) | farther (1) |
| entirely (1) | 147: | Exhibit (28) | 220:23 | 119:4 |
| 159:25 | et (1) | 112:1,5,9,12,13,14 | exported | fault (1) |
| entities (1) | 227:2 | 17,21;113:2;114:3,4; | 202:16 | 129:2 |
| 166:2 | even (6) | 115:4,6,9,10;138:25; | exposed (1) | favorably (1) |
| entitled (1) | 166:18;167 | 146:17,19;170:25; | 226:11 | 167:3 |
| 224:10 | 185:3;191:1;212: | 221:19,21;224:8,19 | expressed (2) | FCA (6) |
| entity (1) | 224:2 | 230:12;234:21,23; | 229:10,19 | 192:22,23;193 |
| 208:25 | evening | 235:3,13 | extend (1) | 12,20 |
| entry (1) | 209:6 | exhibits (16) | 179:19 | February (1) |
| 160:25 | event (9) | 110:20,21;111:25 | extension (1) | 108:3 |
| environmental (6) | $129: 1 ; 131: 12,$ | 115:24,25;116:3,6,8; | 145:13 | Federal (2) |
| 111:12,15;117:10; | 133:17;163:21;164:8; | 137:20;138:23; | extensively (1) | 123:24;206:22 |
| 127:8;152:11;197:1 | 172:3;185:4;209:24 | 139:16;144:17,21,25; | 122:1 | feel (2) |
| environmentalist (1) | events (2) | 145:2;146:1 | xtent (1) | 118:1;1 |
| 216:3 | 171:22;175:22 | exist | 195: | feet (15) |
| EPA (5) | Eversource (37) | 191:2 | tra (1) | 117:3,5;118:8 |
| 157:21;221:24,25; | 107:11;109:13,25; | existing (21) | 231:16 | 25:20;150:19 |
| 222:1,25 | 111:9,18,20,23;112:2, | 113:18;117:17 | eye (1) | 61:20;163:8,8 |
| EPC (3) | 21;113:6,11;115:24; | 118:16,24;120:2,15; | 166:3 | 179:19;198:9;206:15; |
| 157:7;159:6;170:1 | 116:3,8;118:2; | 121:8,122:2,3,11,14, |  | 222:7,12,21;224:3 |
| EPCs (1) | 122:11;123:23;126 | 17,22;148:13;149:2; | F | fence (10) |
| 158:21 | 18;129:21;131:6; | 182:20,21;183:4,9; |  | 174.13,24.175.5. |
| equipment (13) | 132:11;133:23; | 231:2 | FAA (2) | 76:15,21,24,25; |
| 133:7;155:25; | 134:17,23,25;144:23; | exists (1) | 124:4,6 | 182:10,11;188:18 |
| 156:24;157:10, | 155:14;156:4,11; | 182:22 | facilitate (1) | fencing (2) |
| 158:1,6;174:5; | 200:23;201:19; | exit (3) | 123:3 | 182:6,9 |
| 212:16,23;213:8; | 211:17;212:17; | 210:18,22,22 | facilities (2) | few (9) |
| 215:4 | 232:10,13;235:4 | exorbitant (1) | 197:13;204:2 | 121:14,14;153:18 |
| equivalence (1) | Eversource's (3) | 129:1 | facility (15) | 204:20;206:20,22 |
| 221:22 | 112:5;116:16; | expanding (1) | $108: 16 ; 126: 21$ | 211:9;217:4;223:23 |
| equivalents (1) | $132: 19$ | $125: 19$ | $128: 11,11,13,19$ | field (4) |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:16;154:10; } \\ & \text { 192:3:198:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $208: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { forth (3) } \\ & 112: 5 ; 157 \end{aligned}$ | 177:22 | $180: 16 ; 185: 24,25$ <br> $188 \cdot 4 \cdot 194 \cdot 16 \cdot 203 \cdot 8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| figure (16) | 232:22 | 159:13 | 221:14 | 211:11;221:19; |
| 149:22;157:12; | fixed (2) | forward (4) | galvanized (1) | 222:10 |
| 161:11,21;162:2,8; | 155:21;200:2 | 146:4;150:10,24; | 190:24 | Good (11) |
| 179:14;181:15;184:7; | flat (1) | 189:24 | gas (13) | 108:1;109:16; |
| 185:22;197:3,25; | 155:1 | fossil (1) | 124:10,14,16; | 110:25;121:20; |
| 202:2;203:17,22; | flatter (2) | 216:4 | 125:8,9,12;155:16; | 126:15;135:23; |
| 229:13 | 153:17;154:7 | fought (1) | 156:5;221:20,22; | 137:23;161:4;190:16; |
| file (2) | fleet (1) | 212:23 | 222:8,11,13 | 192:16;223:22 |
| 124:6;234:1 | 157:20 | found (11) | gated (1) | grade (1) |
| filed (7) | flexibility (5) | 153:16,24;154:19, | 123:19 | 123:16 |
| 108:20;110:20; | 158:4;191:18; | 20;165:22;172:23,23; | gave (1) | grading (5) |
| 126:17;155:11; | 216:9;220:4;230:15 | 174:17;175:8;180:18; | 177:20 | 122:25;185:23; |
| 170:22;233:18; | floor (1) | 220:25 | General (18) | 186:3,11;192:3 |
| 234:12 | 178:1 | foundation (3) | 108:10,21;120:14; | granted (1) |
| fill (3) | Florida (1) | 162:14,21;163:4 | 122:7;157:15;158:24; | 217:12 |
| 167:17,19;218:22 | 209:3 | foundations (2) | 168:21;171:18; | graphic (1) |
| filling (1) | flow (1) | 164:2;168:14 | 176:14;177:22; | 223:1 |
| 168:4 | 225:22 | four (3) | 178:17;181:8,14; | Gravel (31) |
| final (7) | flowable (3) | 128:20;129:16; | 183:14;184:24; | 139:25;140:1,16, |
| 155:7;170:22; | 218:22;219:13,15 | 163:7 | 194:22;210:25; | 17;141:6,7;142:11, |
| 180:15;191:7;226:5; | fly (18) | fragmented (4) | 225:22 | 12;143:2,3,18,19; |
| 227:12;232:4 | 165:10,10,13,20,23; | 229:11,18,19,20 | generally (4) | 144:8,9;149:1; |
| finally (2) | 166:1,10,21,23;218:8, | frame (1) | 108:16;146:19; | 153:25;161:15,24; |
| 117:21;141:24 | 8,10,13;219:17,18,22; | 191:21 | 160:13;220:2 | 165:15;168:13;169:3, |
| finance (2) | 220:1,2 | framing (1) | generate (1) | 11;174:1,3,3;181:2; |
| 202:21;203:5 | foam (2) | 190:14 | 213:19 | 182:17,24;186:7; |
| financial (1) | 212:24;213:1 | free (5) | generating (2) | 187:20;188:15 |
| 203:3 | folks (2) | 219:19;220:14; | 108:16;197:23 | gray (1) |
| find (11) | 121:1;222:25 | 221:12,12,16 | generation (4) | 198:16 |
| 136:14;154:2,7; | follow (4) | freeboard (2) | 202:5,7;205:3; | great (7) |
| 162:6;170:10;171:17; | 132:9;150:17; | 184:16,21 | 222:22 | 130:18;138:4; |
| 172:6,10;206:21; | 205:15;218:6 | frog (1) | gentlemen (1) | 154:16;203:23; |
| 224:18,22 | followed (1) | 176:13 | 108:2 | 204:14;216:9;222:15 |
| Finding (3) | 152:7 | front (1) | George (11) | greater (2) |
| 147:9;148:4;154:13 | follows (4) | 202:21 | 137:18;138:2,21; | 147:17;153:9 |
| findings (5) | 110:15;128:22; | fuel (1) | 139:2,5,8,11;150:15, | Green (4) |
| 147:7;233:18,21; | 138:9,18 | 177:23 | 16;181:20;223:15 | 116:19;118:3; |
| 234:4,7 | follow-up (7) | fuels (1) | geotech (1) | 215:25;216:3 |
| fine (4) | 123:9;135:2;158:9; | 216:4 | 170:5 | greenhouse (2) |
| 176:16;177:20; | 205:21;206:6;210:3; | full (9) | geotechnical (6) | 124:14;221:20 |
| 181:23;232:8 | 225:18 | 115:25;128:14,16; | 161:16;165:16; | grid (2) |
| finger (1) | follow-ups (2) | 138:25;144:16;194:9; | 169:24;170:2;187:7; | 195:13;212:2 |
| 222:25 | 217:4;227:11 | 234:21,23;235:13 | 218:14 | ground (7) |
| fire (16) | foot (4) | fully (3) | gets (6) | 160:17,23;162:18; |
| 123:12;133:3,6,8; | 119:13;120:5; | 146:15;194:24; | 118:9;128:4;183:7; | 166:10;218:15; |
| 210:16,18,23;211:16, | 184:11;186:14 | 215:3 | 194:10;204:21;230:8 | 226:24;227:5 |
| 24;212:10,14,20; | footprint (4) | functional (1) | given (5) | grounding (2) |
| 213:5,20;214:4,4 | 152:1;179:4;228:3, | 188:1 | 129:15;131:10; | 213:20,24 |
| fires (1) | 5 | Further (13) | 190:22;194:19; | groundwater (15) |
| 212:23 | force (2) | 117:10;120:4; | 208:22 | 151:15;161:8,9,18; |
| first (15) | 162:22,23 | 121:25;169:16;170:1; | glad (1) | 162:12,22;163:1,5,6, |
| 110:13;112:24; | forces (1) | 199:3;212:7;223:11; | 172:4 | 6,9,12,17,18;167:17 |
| 130:21;136:10;138:7; | 163:2 | 225:12;226:21;227:9; | glimpse (1) | group (5) |
| 171:10,12;187:2; | forecast (1) | 233:13,14 | 121:12 | 111:13;170:14,18, |
| 192:17;209:8;216:22; | 146:25 | future (3) | goal (5) | 21;193:25 |
| 220:9;227:17;229:8; | forecasted (1) | 125:13;214:21; | 157:22;158:3; | grout (9) |
| 232:11 | 195:17 | 216:16 | 159:17,21;178:13 | 164:16;167:21; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fish (5) } \\ & 151: 5,7,9,12,24 \end{aligned}$ | foresee (1) | G | goals (2) 202:6;215:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 168: 3,6,7 ; 219: 9,10, \\ & 11,16 \end{aligned}$ |
| five (4) | forget (1) |  | goes (12) | grow (2) |
| 147:10,18;148:6; | 216:1 | G-001 (1) | 146:6,12;173:24; | 187:25;188:10 |


| grubbed (1) | happened (4) | 107:12 | horizontal (7) | identified (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 179:12 | 146:8;181:2,12; | hereby (2) | 159:14,18;160:2, | 116:19;128:9; |
| grubbing (3) | 192:25 | 109:22;234:16 | 14;186:22;187:3,6 | 129:7;130:15;151:7, |
| 179:21;180:13; | happening (4) | hereof (1) | horse (2) | 9;165:13;172:12; |
| 183:6 | 133:10;180:7 | 234:3 | 203:14;218:2 | 174:5;176:12 |
| guess (9) | 194:18;209:19 | Heritage (3) | hot (1) | identifies (1) |
| 137:7;170:14; | happens (2) | 116:20;131:19; | 212:6 | 185:8 |
| 229:10,17,18;230:7, | 218:10;226:13 | 137:18 | hotter (1) | identify (5) |
| 23;231:20,21 | happier (1) | Herpetofauna (1) | 227:1 | 118:11;151:12; |
| guidance (1) | 165:25 | 171:14 | hours (12) | 178:20;210:21;234:6 |
| 159:1 | happy (1) | hexafluoride (2) | 128:20;129:16; | identifying (1) |
| guidelines (2) | 221:24 | 124:14;155:19 | 173:7;178:1;202:8, | 110:20 |
| 157:6,11 | hard (1) | high (9) | 15,15;204:21,25; | ie (2) |
| H | $164: 4$ hardens | 133:8;156:2,2; | 205:1,2;222:22 | $128: 12 ; 129: 2$ |
| H | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { hardens } \\ 168: 8 \end{array}$ | 183:16;187:9;199:6; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { house (2) } \\ & 119: 23 ; 120: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { iffy (1) } \\ 218: 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| habitat (7) | Harder (12) | 217:21 | huge (1) | II-B-7 (2) |
| 152:15,17,19; | 125:16,17,25; | higher (7) | 173:17 | 137:21;145:2 |
| 153:1,22;175:3; | 126:7,9;229:6,7; | 154:14;163:1; | human (1) | II-B-9 (1) |
| 188:16 | 231:3,9,19;232:1,3 | 172:7;197:9;198:21; | 154:21 | 145:3 |
| habitats (1) | harmful (4) | 199:16;205:8 | humming (1) | Illuminating (1) |
| 152:22 | 189:5,7,9,23 | highest (3) | 197:17 | 201:20 |
| Hagen (1) | Hartford (1) | 157:9;163:17;211:5 | hundred (1) | imagine (1) |
| 200:9 | 107:6 | high-level (1) | 198:8 | 202:8 |
| half (1) | hazardous (4) | 219:6 | Huntley (80) | immediately (2) |
| $221: 1$ | 165:12;166:3,22; | highlights (1) | 139:21,22;140:12, | 212:1;231:8 |
| half-cell (1) | 177:24 | 165:21 | 13;141:2,3,16,17,20, | impact (17) |
| 220:17 | head (2) | highly (3) | 23;142:7,8,23,24; | 116:18;117:23; |
| hand (3) | 164:5;190:2 | 121:15;190:18; | 143:14,15;144:4,5; | 118:3;128:17;129:7, |
| 187:17;188:24; | hear (4) | 215:5 | 147:20;148:9,25; | 22;134:11;151:15,19; |
| $189: 21$ | 130:16;135:8; | Hill (2) | 149:13,25;150:3,12; | 159:15;186:11;188:9; |
| handle (3) | 189:16;207:4 | 108:18;113:14 | $152: 2 ; 157: 14 ; 159: 17$ | 190:11,19;191:3; |
| 131:3;183:12; | heard (1) | hillside (1) | 160:3,13;161:3; | 201:22;202:3 |
| 235:13 | 206:14 | 154:8 | 162:2,8,15;163:11; | impacted (3) |
| handled (2) | hearing (20) | hillsides (3) | 164:7;165:2;166:7; | 151:24;152:22; |
| 136:10;168:20 | 108:2,7,24;109:7 | 153:18,18,21 | 167:13,25;178:3,15, | 203:13 |
| handles (1) | 136:25;137:21; | Hinckley (2) | 24;179:24;180:3,24; | impacts (9) |
| 193:25 | 144:24;145:11;150:4; | 153:7;154:5 | 182:3,8,19;183:3; | 117:13,20;127:8; |
| hands-on (1) | 192:21;199:12; | hinted (1) | 184:13;185:9,16,19; | 150:8;152:8;159:11, |
| 213:10 | 206:10;229:9;230:10; | 226:6 | 186:4;187:5;190:22; | 19,20,24 |
| Hannon (69) | 232:7,18,21;234:12, | hire (1) | 191:12;197:7,11,18, | impervious (1) |
| $126: 10,11,13$ | 16;235:25 | 158:25 | 21;198:3,13,18,25; | 178:1 |
| $156: 17,18 ; 157: 12$ | heated (1) | hiring (1) | 205:22,25;210:24; | implementing (1) |
| $159: 8,9 ; 160: 1,9$ | 227:3 | 158:22 | 211:19;212:18;213:2, | $150: 10$ |
| 161:7,17;162:6,10; | heaters (2) | Historic (3) | 12,15;218:12,25; | implies (2) |
| 163:3,24;165:6,24; | 217:9,12 | 150:6;223:4,24 | 219:11,20;226:14; | 162:16;189:10 |
| 166:18;167:23;168:9, | heavy (2) | historically (2) | 229:1 | implying (1) |
| 22;169:5,18;170:8; | 210:4,5 | 180:4;235:18 | HydroCAD (1) | 149:5 |
| 171:6,10;172:4; | height (5) | history (3) | 184:15 | improvements (2) |
| 173:24;175:7,11; | 120:6;183:17,19; | 172:1;176:7,10 |  | $116: 25 ; 218: 15$ |
| 176:11;177:11,12,16, | 185:8;205:25 | hit (1) | I | inappropriate (1) |
| 18;178:12,16;179:5; | held (2) | 135:2 |  | 235:13 |
| 180:2,17;181:13,23; | 108:7,9 | hold (3) | ice (11) | inaudible (1) |
| 182:7,15,18;183:1,11; | help (6) | 118:15;130:7; | $209: 5,8,16 ; 210: 4,5$ | 206:16 |
| 185:5,10,17,20; | 120:9;133:19; | $158: 14$ | $10 ; 217: 7,9,14,21,24$ | inches (3) |
| 186:21;187:11;188:4, | 153:19;165:17;198:2; | hole (1) | ID (1) | 184:10,11,24 |
| 22;189:17;190:4; | 216:5 | 160:19 | 109:8 | include (4) |
| 191:6,20;192:9,12,14; | helpful (1) | home (1) | idea (2) | 200:19;220:1; |
| 218:7;219:9;228:12, | 204:14 | 188:13 | $182: 7 ; 219: 3$ | 223:1,8 |
| 13,20;229:2 | helps (5) | hook (2) | identical (1) | included (5) |
| happen (3) | 172:1;199:9;202:6; | 202:13,14 | 209:9 | 180:4,5;192:5; |
| 160:20;193:24; | 216:10;217:14 | horizon (2) | identification (1) | 197:5;225:18 |
| 218:9 | HENNESSEY (1) | 217:11;218:3 | 138:24 | includes (3) |


| 179:6;209:22,23 | ingresses (1) | 126:17;141:25;142:3; | 212:1 | kilowatts (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| including (4) | 211:7 | 192:18;203:20; | isolation (1) | 199:14 |
| 130:24;148:8; | inhabit (1) | 235:16 | 221:14 | kind (15) |
| 150:18;200:23 | 188:18 | Interrogatory (11) | ISO's (1) | 146:12;160:9; |
| inclusion (1) | inherently (1) | 113:3,6,22;114:17; | 194:6 | 165:16,18,21;167:10; |
| 219:3 | 195:9 | 115:5;145:21;147:7, | issue (10) | 186:24,25;221:1,3,24; |
| inclusive (1) | inner (1) | 13;149:21;196:25; | 125:18;158:12; | 223:3,12;226:6;230:3 |
| 154:6 | 129:12 | 209:10 | 182:9;187:23;196:3; | kinds (1) |
| inconsistencies (1) | inputted (1) | interrupted (1) | 212:12;213:23;217:7; | 152:22 |
| 234:6 | 128:12 | 195:9 | 230:8;234:4 | knew (1) |
| incorporate (3) | inside (1) | intervenor (2) | issued (1) | 222:19 |
| 175:23;216:7,17 | 178:8 | 233:19,25 | 180:5 | knowledge (6) |
| incorporated (2) | inspected (1) | intervenors (2) | issues (5) | 112:12;115:4; |
| 177:5;188:7 | 187:15 | 233:23;234:5 | 166:19;167:1; | 120:12;139:7;141:19; |
| increase (7) | inspection (1) | into (30) | 186:19;188:1;229:21 | 143:9 |
| 147:25;199:13; | 214:5 | 111:25;115:23; | ITC (2) | knowledgeable (2) |
| 214:20;226:8,19; | inspections (1) | 118:9;128:12;135:24; | 207:3,6 | 146:5,15 |
| 227:6,13 | 214:7 | 146:14;154:2;158:19; | Item (4) | known (1) |
| increasing (1) | install (2) | 160:5,24;162:19; | 136:18;139:17; | 234:1 |
| 117:4 | 124:16;215:9 | 171:11;173:9;175:1; | 141:13;152:25 | knows (1) |
| indeed (1) | installation (2) | 176:17;178:10;183:7; | items (7) | 135:8 |
| 158:23 | 163:7;208:2 | 187:25;188:7;193:20, | 109:7,8,10,23; | kV (1) |
| independent (2) | installed (1) | 21;195:20;203:8; | 136:8;139:13;144:16 | 156:1 |
| $127: 15,17$ | 176:16 installing | 211:14;212:13; | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { iterations (1) } \\ 227: 19 \end{array}$ | L |
| $127: 11$ | 221:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 217: 19,23 ; 226: 17,22 ; \\ & 235: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 227: 19 \\ \text { IV-B-1 (1) } \end{array}$ | L |
| index (2) | instead (3) | introduce (2) | 116:8 | Lab (1) |
| 116:10;145:4 | 113:12;131:4;230:3 | 111:1,2 | IV-B-4 (1) | 171:19 |
| indicate (2) | insulated (5) | introducing (2) | 116:9 | labeled (2) |
| 230:14;235:7 | 124:9,10;155:16 | 117:14;228:3 |  | 151:1;182:5 |
| indicated (1) | 16,17 | introductory (1) | J | labor (2) |
| 163:6 | insulation (2) | 156:21 |  | 158:25;159:1 |
| indicates (1) | 125:10,11 | invasive (5) | January (10) | lack (2) |
| 126:18 | insulators (1) | 187:16,21;188:3,8, | 108:7;118:6; | 150:22;152:14 |
| indicating (1) | 130:25 | 17 | 126:17;139:16;150:5; | ladies (1) |
| 150:24 | intend (1) | invasives (2) | 192:18;223:5,13,16; | 108:1 |
| indicative (1) | 189:12 | 187:19;188:21 | 230:12 | lakes (1) |
| 182:9 | intent (1) | inverter (10) | job (1) | 152:21 |
| indicators (1) | 177:7 | 198:5,22;199:5,7; | 190:9 | land (4) |
| 179:9 | intention (11) | $200: 2 ; 206: 7,12,12$ |  | 176:6;207:9,10; |
| indirect (1) | 150:9,13;151:10; | 211:3,24 | K | 228:6 |
| 117:12 | 164:11;165:3,20,23; | inverters (19) |  | landscape (1) |
| individual (1) | 166:8;212:19;218:21; | 197:10,17,23; | Karimi (17) | 118:15 |
| 178:22 | 226:3 | 198:23;199:18,22; | 111:4,5,8,9;112:18; | large (2) |
| individuals (2) | interconnect (1) | 200:1,4;206:7; | 114:1,8,8,23,23; | 154:17;164:9 |
| 154:23;175:1 | 199:24 | 207:20;211:3,10,11, | 115:16,16;124:15,21, | largely (1) |
| indoor (1) | interconnection (4) | 16,18,19;212:4; | 24;125:9;134:16 | $145: 15$ |
| 177:25 | 128:8;200:10,14; | 214:19;221:14 | Kate (2) | larger (4) |
| induced (1) | 212:3 | investigation (1) | 109:17;137:25 | 178:23;184:20; |
| 221:12 | interesting (1) | 187:7 | KATHRYN (1) | 185:3;230:6 |
| industry (2) | 226:15 | investment (2) | 107:8 | Last (25) |
| 166:13;220:3 | interim (1) | 200:22;207:6 | keep (6) | 116:19;118:3; |
| infiltration (1) | 111:20 | involved (2) | 157:22;171:13; | 121:14;132:22;133:1; |
| 183:14 | interject (1) | 130:22;191:16 | 173:12;176:19;177:8; | 145:10;150:4;156:15; |
| information (25) | 164:25 | ISO (23) | 217:9 | 168:23,23;169:5,6; |
| 112:4,12;115:4,6; | intermittent (1) | 128:7;129:4,12,22; | kept (1) | 177:19;189:3;192:21, |
| 127:6,7,10;133:14; | 216:10 | 131:2,17;134:18; | 177:9 | 25,25;199:12;203:5; |
| 139:6;140:8;141:18; | internal (2) | 145:22;146:2,6,13; | Kevin (1) | 204:16;209:5;210:13; |
| 142:19;143:8;144:15; | 178:18;179:1 | 193:7;194:2,19,25; | 174:14 | 224:6;227:15;230:10 |
| 155:12,169:2,20; | interpretation (1) | 195:4,12,19;196:4,8, | kicking (1) | Lastly (3) |
| 170:15;171:11,18; | 199:17 | 16;200:7,15 | 206:11 | 120:13;124:7; |
| 173:21;201:5;222:24; | interrogatories (8) | isolated (3) | kilowatt (3) | 149:20 |
| 234:8,20 | 112:22;115:10; | 174:12;211:21; | 193:11;202:14,15 | Late-File (3) |

130:2;146:16,19
Late-Filed (2) 139:16;230:11
Late-Files (1) 130:6
later (2) 123:20;233:19
Laughter (1) 133:12
law (2) 214:14;220:21
laydown (7) 172:15;174:5,6; 180:19,21,25;181:4
layout (1) 228:8
leaching (2) 167:1;183:20
lead (1) 111:17
leadership (1) 214:9
leading (1) 212:13
leads (1) 172:5
lean (1) 200:8
learned (1) 193:8
least (5) 131:5;154:9;179:8; 226:6;235:17
leave (3) 158:4;223:21; 233:22
Leavenworth (1) 107:13
leaving (2) 120:8;206:4
led (2) 211:14;227:16
Lee (38) 139:23,24;140:14, 15;141:4,5;142:9,10, 25;143:1,16,17;144:6, 7;145:10,19;200:21; 201:10;202:5,18; 203:4,8;204:23; 207:2,5;214:8,23; 215:2,8,15;216:8,18; 217:15;222:15;228:1; 231:2,12,24
left (5) 118:23;187:9; 188:13;223:13;224:3
legend (1) 204:11
legislature (1) 212:25
lesser (1) 158:5
letter (6)

150:5,18,25;223:5, 13,16
level (12)
148:15;162:21;
163:7,17;165:23;
187:10;191:1;195:16; 196:5;197:3;205:5; 213:16
levels (4)
197:9,12,16;198:21
Levesque (10)
125:5,6,14;135:6,7,
13,18;148:5;229:3,5
Libertine (27)
111:11,12;112:19;
114:1,6,7,21,22;
115:14,15;116:21,24;
118:12;119:6,16,24;
120:17;122:24;
123:10,14,18;127:5, 12,19;131:21;132:1; 133:4
licensed (3)
158:13,17;159:23
Liepis (5)
197:2;198:1,4;
199:4,5
life (7)
134:9;172:1;176:7,
10;190:23;221:21;
222:8
Light (1)
107:10
likelihood (2)
133:10;211:5
likely (4)
154:7;158:2;
213:15;226:25
lime (2)
165:9,25
limit (1) 157:16
limitation (1) 199:19
limited (5)
199:18,25;206:1; 210:17,18
limiting (1)
153:9
line (24)
111:17,21;113:8,8,
8,12,13,13;117:1,8;
118:19;120:1;122:5;
123:4;126:2;127:21;
131:15,20;134:20;
179:12,19;188:18;
200:20;230:23
lines (10)
117:18;122:20;
125:21;130:25;
134:13;178:23;179:2;
180:6,8;187:2
liquid (1)

219:2
list (5)
138:23;139:14,17;
142:1;152:25
listed (3) 136:12;152:13; 222:8
little (15)
121:25;145:24; 148:2;158:4;165:7; 170:12;184:20; 187:17;192:2;204:3; 205:10;208:10;224:2; 226:12,21
live (1) 209:3
lived (1) 171:20
LLC (6)
107:3;108:13,21; 137:17,18,20
LLP (2) 107:4,12
load (4) 128:14;129:24; 195:2,25
local (3) 158:23,25;212:20
located (8) 108:16;118:8; 153:2;161:13,22; 168:15;177:13;178:2
location (14) 128:3;148:11,17; 161:12;162:24; 163:18;174:16;178:8; 185:2,3;198:4;199:2; 211:20;219:22
locations (5) 148:16;162:4; 189:13;211:3,4
LOCKE (1) 107:4
locked (2) 177:25;178:8
long (10) 133:3;152:7; 154:24,25;163:22; 165:11;166:4;171:20; 190:23;206:24
longer (6)
130:24;134:19; 192:2;205:9;214:16; 229:16
longevity (1) 172:1
long-term (2) 163:20;187:14
look (17) 118:15;130:21,22; 158:6;160:5;185:14; 188:24;197:3;203:16; 204:11,18;209:25;

216:18;221:8;224:8,
24;228:14
looked (3)
128:25;167:3;
184:17
looking (19)
119:2;122:4,23;
147:23;174:9;178:16;
184:9;185:6;187:1;
191:17;198:11;
203:18;210:16;
215:18,22;219:17;
228:24;229:13;
230:11
looks (3)
162:11;185:22; 204:25
loop (1)
200:20
LORD (1)
107:4
lose (1)
131:11
losing (1)
131:9
loss (1)
131:15
losses (1)
217:24
$\operatorname{lot}(11)$
179:20,21;187:7;
188:5,17,20;190:7;
193:25;220:21;
229:15,18
loud (1)
206:15
love (1)
222:12
low (9)
131:10,15,16;
157:18;168:17;
173:11;181:1,11;
197:16
lower (6)
118:21;119:20;
120:1;186:9;205:5; 210:1
LYNCH (46)
113:9;123:8,10,17, 20,21;132:7,8,16,21; 133:1,13;134:2,6; 158:8,10,16;159:2; 206:18,19;207:4,7,18, 24;208:3,5,9;209:1; 210:3,12;211:14; 212:4,13,22;213:10, 14;214:1,11,25;215:7, 10,24;216:13,24; 217:3,6
Lynch's (1)
220:20
$\square$
machinations (2)
129:4,12
machinery (1)
157:7
main (2) 123:5;127:14
Maine (1) 209:4
maintain (8) 123:7;126:2,4;
184:21;186:10;
187:21;188:20;
201:11
maintained (1) 187:18
maintaining (1) 188:16
maintains (1) 201:3
maintenance (5) 108:14;187:14;
188:2;207:19,22
major (1) 188:13
majority (1) 211:10
makes (3) 165:9;169:18; 200:16
making (3) 113:16;177:17; 198:23
$\operatorname{man}(1)$ 154:21
manage (3) 159:22;181:6; 195:13
management (3) 161:4;187:13;188:8
manager (2) 111:23;201:8
manner (1) 147:24
many (9)
124:23;163:13; 171:21;172:3;175:21; 178:25;183:15; 214:13;222:7
$\operatorname{map}(16)$ 113:7,11;118:11, 13;121:18,19;177:22; 178:16;179:5;180:22; 181:14;182:15; 183:17,19;185:20; 228:14
mapped (3)
153:7;161:10,20
mapping (1) 127:17
maps (4)

| 161:12;177:22; | $15,20 ; 208: 14 ; 215: 18$ | mentioned (7) | $224: 20$ | 224:13;228:4,23; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March (1) | $18: 3 ; 21:$ | 92:5;205:16; | $\begin{array}{\|c} \underset{224: 17}{\operatorname{missing}(1)} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Ioris |
| 233:19 | maybe (10) | 215:13;218:8 | mistaken (2) | 126:14,15,24; |
| MARIANNE (3) | 129:17;130:1; | mentioning (1) | 181:17;212:1 | 127:3,18,21;128:1,10, |
| 107:16;109:13; | 135:7;150:15;156:10; | 218:17 | mitigate (1) | 18,24;129:13;130:1,6, |
| 144:22 | 178:25;187:22;197:4; | merchant (1) | 189:2 | 10,12,18;131:5,8,25; |
| Marien (23) | 229:12;230:2 | 203:6 | mitigated (1) | 132:3,6;192:15,16; |
| 111:16,16;112:18, | meadow (1) | mergers (1) | 131:17 | 193:3,12,15,19;194:5, |
| 24;113:5,10,21,24; | 188:16 | 208:12 | Mitigation (8) | 13,21;195:23;196:6, |
| 114:1,5,20;115:12,12; | mean (12) | met (2) | 171:14;172:11,18 | 23;197:8,15,20,25; |
| 122:16;124:2,11; | 148:22;157:13; | 223:15;230:18 | 175:13,15,17,24; | 198:10,15,19;199:9; |
| 125:22;126:1;128:6; | 162:24;169:21;171:6; | metal (1) | 176:12 | 200:3,16,24;201:2,14, |
| 130:13,20;131:7; | 179:18;180:18; | 190:14 | mix (2) | 7;202:1,11;203:1,7, |
| 133:16 | 186:24;222:21;231:4, | meter (1) | 167:21;168:6 | 11,18,23;204:3,7,13, |
| Marien's (1) | 7,19 | 155:1 | mixture (1) | 16;205:4,11,14; |
| 114:15 | meaning (1) | method (2) | 219:4 | 206:6;218:20 |
| marked (2) | 168:17 | 168:3;213:4 | model (2) | morning (1) |
| 109:7;137:20 | meaningful (1) | Michael (2) | 153:14;217:23 | 193:8 |
| market (1) | 222:24 | 111:11;112:19 | modeling (3) | Morse (3) |
| 146:24 | means (1) | microphone (1) | 147:3;184:15 | 214:14,14;220:20 |
| marketing (1) | 162:20 | 111:7 | 209:21 | most (8) |
| 193:24 | meant (1) | middle (2) | modification (1) | 146:24;153:15; |
| marshal (1) | 208:3 | 181:16;182:16 | 134:11 | 57:21;163:16,19; |
| 214:4 | measurement (1) | midsummer (1) | modifications (1) | 174:22;204:23; |
| Mass (1) | 119:14 | 226:9 | 140:7 | 207:16 |
| 126:24 | measurements (1) | might (23) | module (3) | mostly (2) |
| material (6) | 163:6 | 121:18,20;129:24 | 146:18,20;220:19 | 157:9;168:15 |
| 112:14;165:12 | measures (3) | 130:14;148:5;164:6; | modules (5) | motion (1) |
| 178:14;191:3;197:13; | 175:24;176:25; | 165:19,22;166:24; | 146:24;189:13 | 108:12 |
| 219:18 | 180:21 | 169:12,15;181:21; | 199:25;220:17,2 | move (10) |
| materially (1) | mechanism (1) | 186:25;188:23; | moment (1) | 117:13;118:16; |
| 151:19 | 206:11 | 190:12;209:4;218:9; | 130:5 | 21:16,22;135:24; |
| materials (8) | meet (9) | 220:9;222:13,22; | moments (1) | 37:9;147:5;150:9, |
| 160:1,8;176:17; | 129:9;157:5,10,21; | 224:5;228:13;233:6 | 114:15 | 23;175:1 |
| 177:24;190:12;191:8, | 202:6;230:25;231:7, | Mike (3) | money (2) | Moving (9) |
| 11,13 | 13,14 | 114:6,21;115:14 | 129:15;190:16 | 127:3,21;131:19; |
| Mathur (24) | meeting (2) | milestones (1) | monitor (1) | 139:12;141:12;158:1; |
| 111:22,22;112:3,6, | 132:22;157:24 | 145:13 | 175:17 | 173:12,13;194:21 |
| 10,15,18;114:1,12,12; | meets (1) | million (10) | monitoring (5) | mowing (1) |
| 115:1,1,20,20;126:22; | 156:24 | 127:23;129:14; | 161:10,13,19,2 | 188:19 |
| 127:2,25;132:13,18, | megawatt (5) | 131:18;132:20; | 175:16 | Mrs (1) |
| 25;135:8,11,16,19 | 108:15;129:15; | 200:19,21;202:12; | monocrystalline (1) | 137:7 |
| matter (6) | 193:9;194:2;205:2 | 222:7,12,20 | 220:21 | much (7) |
| 110:21;140:23; | megawatts (11) | mind (1) | month (2) | 125:14;130:2 |
| 141:22;179:22; | 128:13,14;145:23, | 157:22 | 193:11;209:5 | 31:1,13;132:23; |
| 194:23;233:16 | 24;146:7,10;194:4,14, | mine (1) | months (3) | 165:24;178:23 |
| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m a x }}$ (4) | 20;199:20;200:12 | 224:20 | 191:9,22;214:15 | Musk (1) |
| 129:17,24,24 | Meghan (1) | mined (2) | Moran (1) | 216:14 |
| 149:17 | 137:8 | 153:8;186:7 | 153:13 | must (2) |
| maximum (7) | melt (1) | mineral (1) | more (45) | 173:19;221:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:19;183:19,22 } \\ & 184: 1.3 .5: 197: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 209:11 | $125: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120: 14 ; 130: 23 ; \\ & 131 \cdot 1 \cdot 132 \cdot 24 \cdot 147 . \end{aligned}$ | N |
| may (37) | 210:10 | $117:$ | $2,2,$ |  |
| 110:17;111:1; | member (1) | minimize (2) | 150:15;153:17;154:6, | name (4) |
| 121:11;130:4;151:2 | 108:4 | 159:23;188:2 | 13;162:25;163:23; | 108:3;111: |
| 157:21;158:23; | members (4) | minimum (1) | 164:19,20;170:5,7; | 216:25 |
| 161:15;162:12;164:3; | 111:2;135:4; | 184:25 | 171:1;173:25;176:3; | nameplate (2) |
| 174:7;175:20,20; | 156:14;232:4 | mining (2) | 179:17,20,21,22,25; | 194:10;231:13 |
| 180:21;187:24,25; | members' (1) | 153:25;209:23 | 187:7,17;192:6,10; | National (4) |
| 188:9,22,25;189:25; | 217:5 | minutes (1) | 197:9;198:8,23; | 116:20;126:4; |
| 190:8,18;194:7; | mention (1) | 137:11 | 200:4;210:22;215:21; | 150:20,21 |
| 201:2;203:16;207:13, | 123:4 | miss (1) | 216:11;221:2,2; | nationwide (1) |


| 216:21 | 172:5;175:12;183:12; | notebooks (1) | 194:1;222:4;229:14 | 133:25;170:21; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Native (1) | 199:11;210:12; | 171:12 | occasion (1) | 176:2 |
| 215:12 | 211:14;212:13; | noted (5) | 154:1 | online (1) |
| Natural (7) | 230:19 | 138:23;139:13,17 | occur (7) | 171:17 |
| 155:8;170:13,18; | NextEra (3) | 141:12;142:1 | 116:25;128:5; | only (13) |
| $221: 22 ; 222: 8,11,13$ | 137:19;146:19 | notes (1) | 129:18; $152: 16,23$ | 122:1,4;165:25; |
| nature (9) | 223:20 | 177:22 | 168:14;221:23 | 168:14;172:2;173:10; |
| 166:22;167:2; | Nickerson (37) | notice (6) | off (24) | 191:9;194:13;195:5; |
| 186:2;190:24;192:2; | 139:19,20;140:10, | 118:17,22;123:24 | 121:17,21;122:12 | 216:20;219:22; |
| 202:7;226:21;229:11, | 11,25;141:1;142:5,6, | 124:4;136:8;152:25 | 130:4;155:22;177:10; | 223:23;228:2 |
| 18 | 21,22;143:12,13; | noticed (4) | 181:3;196:10;202:17; | onsite (7) |
| NDDB (3) | 144:2,3;151:8,17,22; | 109:11;209:6 | 208:10;209:11,17; | 157:8,18;168:20; |
| 155:10;170:21 | 152:18;155:9;170:20; | 210:16;214:3 | 211:17,18;212:4; | 176:20,21;182:21; |
| 176:1 | 171:8;172:17;174:11; | notices (1) | 213:6;217:9;220:8 | 213:16 |
| near (1) | 175:25;181:20;182:2; | 109:23 | 222:3,5;223:13; | on-site (1) |
| 209:13 | 203:15,21,24;204:5,8, | notifications (1) | 224:3;227:5;231:4 | 178:7 |
| nearest (2) | 15;224:23;225:2,7, | 124:6 | offer (2) | onto (1) |
| 118:8;161:19 | 17;226:1 | NSTAR | 133:5;212:1 | 227:5 |
| necessarily (7) | night (2) | 26:24 | Office (2) | open (4) |
| 133:22;181:9; | 197:16,17 | number | 150:6;223: | 180:13;207:14; |
| 199:1;210:4;219: | nights (1) | 113:3,6,22;114:17 | officer (2) | 214:10;225:15 |
| 222:1;226:3 | 173:8 | 115:6;132:17;141:13; | 108:4;232:21 | opens (2) |
| necessary (3) | nighttime (1) | 142:1;147:3,18; | offices (2) | 160:18;189:19 |
| 122:15;126:2;136:2 | 174:25 | 148:1,3;150:2; | 108:25;234:12 | operate (2) |
| need (19) | nil (1) | 152:25;164:9;166:1; | off-road (1) | 215:9;216:5 |
| 123:24;124:5; | 133:10 | 174:25;177:23; | 156:23 | operating (2) |
| 145:16;153:5;158:17; | nine (1) | 178:20;182:17; | offtaker (3) | 129:16;215:6 |
| 164:3;169:16;175:20; | 153:8 | 196:25;211:7;215:17; | 202:24,25;203:10 | operation (7) |
| 176:23;184:20; | NOCT (2) | 217:20;226:16 | offtakers (1) | 108:14;145:14 |
| 185:11;188:5,23; | 146:18,21 | numbers (5) | 202:19 | 149:4;182:25;183:2; |
| 189:25;191:22;202:1; | Nodding (1) | 113:17;136:11,18; | often (2) | 222:23;231:17 |
| 211:20;232:23,24 | 190:2 | 146:17;162:5 | 171:21;214 | operational (1) |
| needed (4) | no-disturbance (1) | Numeral (2) | oil (3) | 194:24 |
| 134:12,19;173:25; | 159:12 | 109:8;137:21 | 125:10,11;177:23 | operations (7) |
| 212:22 | noise (2) | Nutmeg (2) | old (1) | 149:2,7;153:25; |
| needs (4) | 198:23;206:7 | 201:6,12 | 125:10 | 174:3,4;187:12; |
| $\begin{aligned} & 123: 3 ; 134: 22 ; \\ & 176: 9 ; 192: 10 \end{aligned}$ | none (4) | 0 | older (2) 157:20;158 | 207:22 <br> operators (1) |
| negative (1) | 197:20;232:8 |  | once (5) | $195: 13$ |
| 229:21 | nonetheless (2) | oath (1) | 134:13;172:3 | opine (1) |
| neighbor (1) | 131:17;206:16 | 138:9 | 175:4;210:9;215:6 | 127:8 |
| 119:1 | nontoxic (1) | oaths (2) | One (49) | opinion (3) |
| neighborhood (1) | 160:7 | 110:15;138:18 | 112:22;119: | 153:11;218:18; |
| 120:23 | nonworking (1) | object (1) | 121:9;125:18;129:8; | 223:9 |
| neighborhoods (1) | 178:1 | 144:20 | 130:5;134:7;148:6,7; | opportunity (1) |
| 120:21 | nor (1) | objection (13) | 154:4,12,21,25;156:9; | 156:15 |
| NEPOOL (1) | 235:11 | 109:9,14,18;116:2, | 158:10;166:4;171:13; | opposed (2) |
| 203:9 | norm (3) | 4;136:19;144:23; | 172:10,14;173:4; | 164:17;167:20 |
| network (2) | 220:17,19;221:4 | 234:24;235:2,5,7,9,20 | 174:1,3;177:20; | opposite (1) |
| 182:21;183:9 | north (2) | objections (1) | 181:16;184:13,19; | 120:4 |
| new (36) | 108:16;121:25 | 136:23 | 185:1;188:1;189:20; | optimization (1) |
| 117:4,14;121:12; | north/northwest (1) | obligation (3) | 192:20,25;193:25; | 227:19 |
| $122: 18 ; 123: 25 ; 128: 8$ | 121:4 | 146:13;203:3;231:7 | 205:20;206:5;207:9, | optimize (2) |
| $129: 23 ; 130: 25,25$ | northeast | obligations (5) | 13,17;209:9;211:12; | 227:22;228:6 |
| 131:2;134:18;137:17, | 121:17 | 196:2;208:24; | 215:10;218:17; | option (1) |
| 20;144:21;146:13; | northwest | 231:1,16,18 | 221:10;222:3;223:9; | 220:23 |
| 152:1;158:2;193:7; | 122:19 | observations (2) | 227:12;228:2;229:17, | orange (1) |
| 194:2,19,25;195:4,12, | Norwich (6) | 173:22;226:2 | 23;231:9 | 204:12 |
| 19;196:4;200:7,12,14, | 109:1;116:17; | observed (1) | ones (7) | order (11) |
| 15;208:5,17;214:17, | 117:1;124:1;127:13; | 151:5 | 136:11;154:19,24; | 108:2;17 |
| 19;231:18;234:8,8 | 234:14 | obtain (1) | 156:3;206:22;209:4; | $186: 5,8,12,14,18$ |
| next (10) | note (1) | 231:13 | $216: 22$ | $201: 7,13 ; 231: 12,14$ |
| 109:5;118:24; | 138:1 | obviously (3) | ongoing (3) | Ordinarily (1) |


| 235:14 | 128:1 | Park (1) | 156:21;185:2;193:11; | petitioner (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| orien | ov | 153:2 | 65:24;199:14;205:2; | 109.18.137.10 |
| 197.4 | 114:2 | parse (1) | 17 | 45:6;150:4,7,13; |
| original (4) | overtopping (1) | 154:19 | percent (11) | 55:6;231:15;232:10; |
| 134:20;192:1,7 | $185 \cdot 4$ | part (2) | 126:19,20; | 233:15 |
| 225:20 | overview | 113:1,2; | 153:9;201:21;202:14, | petitioner's (5) |
| origi | 229:14 | 127:9;128:17;132:10, | 15;203:2;228:4; | 138:25;141:13 |
| 113:1;229:1 | o | 12;135:9;174:4; | 230:18;231 | 144:21;145:2;150 |
| originating (1) | 153:16;15 | 181:6;182:24;183:20; | percentage (1) | Phase (5) |
| 122:12 | 209:2;217:5;2 | 203:25;204:1,1,9; | 202:25 | 131:22,23;138:2 |
| others (1) | owner (1) | 05:15;207:22;208:1; | percentages (1) | 152:7;178:11 |
| 179:7 | 188:13 | 09:20;210:13; | 126:25 | hasing (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { otherwise }( \\ 172: 25 \end{gathered}$ | P | $29: 12,12$ | perched | $175: 14$ |
| ours (1) |  | p | perennial (1) | 215:20 |
| 136:12 | p | participat | 224:11 | Philanne (2) |
| out (46) |  | 192.23 | P | 120:21;122:12 |
| 121:14;123:1; | pad | pa |  | hotovolt |
| 131:13;154:4,1 | 117:22; | 234:18 | perform | 108:15 |
| 157:13;158:11,15 | page (19) | pa | 127:11;129:21, | physical (1) |
| 161:11,21;162:18; | 113:14; | 76:2 | perhaps (1) | 127:14 |
| 163:21;168:5;170: | 122:9,10;136:12 | particu | 121:10 | physically (1) |
| 4,10;175:4;177:8,9 | 152:12;156:20;159:9 | 1.7 | perimete | 119:8 |
| 179:14;181:15; | 161:7;165:6;167:7; | particular | 188:11 | pick (1) |
| 182:12;184:7,18 | 168:9;169:10;176:11; | 208:22 | period (3) | 117:8 |
| 185:22;186:5;190:16; | 187:13;224:9;225:15 | parties (5) | 134:17;163:22 | PID (2) |
| 197:17;198:2;203:25; | pages (2) | 195:3;23 | 226:11 | 221:12,16 |
| 205:1;206:21,21; | 153:6;2 | 233:15,22;234 | periodic | piece (3) |
| 210:20;211:13; | paid (1) | parts (1) | 214:6 | 127:17;194:1 |
| 212:15;215:11 | 210:19 | 229:17 | periods | 228:6 |
| 217:16;218:1,13,16; | paint (1) | party (13) | 154:25 | pieces (2) |
| 220:22;221:3;222:10; | 177:23 | $9: 9,20$ | permissio | 157:17;158 |
| 229:9;233:6 | panel (16) | 6:2,12;135:6,24; | 234: | piezometer (1) |
| outlined (1) | 111:1;126: | 137:7;144:20;232:16; | permit (2) | 162:3 |
| 150:17 | 137:5;199:13,1 | 233:19,24 | 168:21;181:8) | pile (7) |
| output (19) | 210:8,10,13;213:23; | party's (1) | permitting (2) | 154:21,22;168 |
| 126:19;12 | 220:9;221:16;222:10; | 110:6 | 111:13;173: | 169:7,11,15,2 |
| 128:11;129:24;130:3; | 227:13,15;228:2; | passenge | Perrone (48) | piles (3) |
| 131:14,15;199:13,15, | 232:14 | 222:3 | 116:13,14,16 | 164:3;165:3;190:24 |
| 16;200:12;201:22; | panels (32) | past (5) | 118:5;119:2,13,1 | ipe (4) |
| 204:18;205:18;206:1; | 181:17, | 148:22;166:20; | 120:13;122:9,21; | 160:19; |
| 209:18;214:20; | 187:25;188:5,9,11 | 167:4;180:5;224:3 | 123:22,23;124:7,1 | 18 pit (5) |
| 217:13;227:23 | 190:14;197:22,22 | path (1) | 145:7,4,10,20:140 | pit (5) |
| outside (3) | 199:15;207:11,20 | 160:19 | 145:7,8,10,20;146:16; | 160:15,15,15,25,25 |
| 123:6;133 | 209:7,17;210:5; | Patricia ( | 147:5;148:21;149:9, | PLA (1) |
| 185:24 | 212:5;213:18;214:13, | 137:19 | 20;150:3;151:1,14, | 158:20 |
| over (10) | 18;215:13;217:12; | patterns | 18;152:11,24;153:20; | place (8) |
| 121:14;14 | 220:8,10,11;221:11; | 195:17 | 155:5,6,13,18,21; | 173:12;187:2 |
| 149:17;155:2;170:23; | 226:9,10,22,25;227:2, | Paul (1) | 156:6,8;227:10,12,22, | 193:1;206:25;213: |
| 202:22;205:2;209:14; | 5 | 112:19 | 25;228:10,11 | 25;223:21;226:25 |
| 226:17;228:7 | paragraph (5) | Pause (4) | person (2) | placed (2) |
| overall (6) | 118:6;151:5;167 | 130:9;16 | 173:7;220: | 167:8,10 |
| 122:6;123:15; | 168:23;169:6 | 231:11;233 | personal (1) | lacement ( |
| 147:22;158:6;179:4; | parallel | peak (5) | 149:23 | 167:14 |
| 206:13 | 122:5 | 9:16, | personnel | places (1) |
| overcome | pa | p | 133:24 | 178:6 |
| 163: | 22 | peaks | perspective (7) | Plainfield (2) |
| overload (6) | parcel (1) | 204:20,20 | 121:20;159:20 | 109:1;234: |
| $128: 5,9 ; 129: 18$ $130 \cdot 21 \cdot 131 \cdot 16$ | 121:2 | people (2) | 199:10;201:24; | plan (15) |
| 130:21;131:16 overloaded (1) | parcels (2) | $161: 5 ; 20$ $\operatorname{per}(13)$ | $202: 20 ; 211: 7 ; 227: 2$ | $133: 4 ; 150$ $171 \cdot 14 \cdot 1$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { overloaded (1) } \\ 129: 8 \end{gathered}$ | 178:20;1 Pardon (1) | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{per}(13) \\ 124: 18 \end{gathered}$ | petition (4) $108: 12,22 ; 204: 1$ | $71: 14 ; 172: 18$ |
| 129:8 overloads (1) | $213: 14$ | 147:16;149:12; | $225: 20$ | 187:13,21;188:8; |


| 189:8;190:1,20 | 217:10;222: | 11 | Procedure (2) | 0,11,24; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ;215:8;22 | po | 139:15;142:2 | 89 | :1 |
| 192:10.215:8 | 118.1 | prep | procedures | 200:10,22,25;201:1,4, |
| 9:5;186: | 5:18 | 114:2;13 | 68.11 | 6,8,9,12,23;202:21, |
| ans (4) | p | , | ( | 23;203:5;207:2; |
| 177:21;179: | 218:17 | 109:4;138:2 | 99:3,24;137: | 215:2,16,21,25 |
| 0:4;190:1 | possibility (1) | 141:14;153:3; | 73:20 | 17:19;220:2,2 |
| ant (6) |  | epped (1) | proceeding | 21 |
| 127:14; | possible | 192:3 | 139:10;143:2 | 223:17,19;229:13,14; |
| 18 | 159:21;163:8,12; | p | $1: 12$ | 230:16 |
| planted (1) | 5:13;211:2;218 | 162:12;167:9;172 | process (18) | projects (3) |
| 187:15 | 221:9;230:8 | present (1) | 8:8,17;12 | 121:15;226:1 |
| Please (11) | P |  | ;146:6,11,1 | 228:23 |
| 110:3,19; | 166:24 | Preservation | 57:4;158:15,22 | proper (1) |
| 113:9;116:23;11 | post-co | 0:6;223: | 169:24;170:3;173:1 | 195:21 |
| 130:5;164:1;167:11; | :16;20 | presiding (1) | 1:15;194:16; | properties (3) |
| 169:4;234:18 | posting (1) | 108:4 | 200:11,14 | 179:3;199:8;219:13 |
| ot (1) |  | pressure | p | property (12) |
| 205:1 | posting | 160:21 | 159:6;215:1 | 178:18,22;179: |
| pm (4) | 193:6 | pret | 16:21 | 1;181:16;182: |
| 8:3; | p | 121:20;125:12; | (46:24 | 88:13;199:3,4, |
| 235:25 | 62:1 | 131:10;166:2;190:1 | 46:24;215:20,22 | 218:23;223:25 |
| O (1) | potentia | 176:16 | 220:24;221:8 | proposal (1) |
| 107:14 | 150:23;153: | 176:16 | produ | 160:5 |
| int (22) | 157:23;158:7;159:19, | preve | 196:16;210 | proposed (21) |
| 121:7;1 | 24;164:10,11,15 | 161:2,4 | producing ( | 108:14;120:1 |
| 127:4;129:19; | 167:21;175:17;176:4; | pr | 194:11 | 122:17,18,19;12 |
| 163:19;180:10;181:1, | 190:23;219:6;221:12 | 1:11;182: | product (9) | 34:12;151:14 |
| 6;183:16;187:10; | potentially (9) | 227:14 | 214:24;218:1 | 59:14;172:14,1 |
| 189:16,22 | 148:16;158:5 | pre | 219:5,12,15,23; | 74:2;183:7,8; |
| 200:1;206: | 166:11;176:3;178:5 | $138: 1$ | 221:9;227:19 | $84: 14 ; 229: 15,1$ |
| 222:25;226:6 | $219: 2$ | pri | ;209:21,2 | proposing (5) |
| oints (2) | pou | 146:7,10;201 | 210:9 | 127:15;159:1 |
| 181:11;203 | 124:18,20;156:10 | primarily (1) | productivit | 4:21;168:1 |
| ole (4) | Power (3) |  | 46:25 | 85:13 |
| 121:10, | 107:10;1 | pr | profits (1) | propounded (1) |
| les (4) | 221:2 | 116:24;148:1 | 190:20 | 141:25 |
| 117:2,2; | pozz | 183:15 | progr | protect (1) |
| 121:9 | 218:23 | pr | 109.7.137.2 | 175:17 |
| olycryst | PPA |  | ;16 | otecting (2) |
| 220:22 | 208:2 | pri | prohibit (1) | 173:1;182:13 |
| (1) | PPAs (5) |  | 209:16 | protection (4) |
| 152:21 | 145:11,14, | 3:4;172:19;179:2 | project (100) | 133:4;176:3; |
|  | 230:14, | 6:18;201:8;223:16 | 111:10,10 | 210:16;228:4 |
| 172:8,22 | practice (2) | priority | 117:11,118:1, | rotections (1) |
| ools (3) | 152:5;235 | 133:9 | 117:11:118:1, | 171:2 |
| 151:6;175:18 | precautio | probability | 119:23;120:16;121:2, | otective (3) |
| opulation (8) | 213:22 | 1:9;194: | 11;122:15,17;124:3; | 182:5,9;201 |
| $151: 21,23 ; 172: 23$ | precludin | probably (11) | 127:9;130:23,24; | protocol (1) |
| 173:11,17;174:20,21 | 188:17 | $133: 10 ; 155:$ | 132:10,11,14,19; | $150: 17$ |
| 175:16 | predictive | 168:20 | 133:23;134:8,9,2 | proven (1) |
| populations (2) | 53:1 | 2:24;174:14 | 135:9;145:22,23; | 217:18 |
| 171:21;173:5 | prefer (3) | 185:11;188:19 | 147:22;148:15,20,24 | provide (11) |
| pop-up (3) | 152:19, | 3:13;222:11 | 149:3,8;151:15,25; | 123:15,24; |
| 226:10;227 | preferred | 228 | 152:3,10;156:1; | 69:17;178:6;180:8; |
| ortion (7) | 146:21 | problem (5) | 157:16;158:12 | 185:1;187:17;211:2; |
| 116:18;118:2; | prefile (2) | 3:13;163:10, | 159:10,15,21;160: | 212:19,24 |
| 121:5;132:19;157:4; | 118:14; | 188:14;204:15 | 161:19;164:12,14 | provided (14) |
| 179:12;204:2 | preliminary (4) | problematic (2) | 165:1;174:6;176:8 | 113:7,11,17; |
| 15: | 169:23,25;170 |  | 177:6,12;178:11,2 | 117:22;148:2,3; |
| 111:15;116:17 | 229:8 | problems (1) | 25;179:4;180:16; | 170:25;180:15;185:7; |
| pose (2) | preparation (4) | 166:20 | 181:7;186:18;188:12; | 186:1;195:19;201:4; |


| 203:19;224:14 | quantity (1) | 170:17 | recoup (1) | 112:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| provides (2) | 227:15 | read (1) | 202:21 | relation (2) |
| 125:9;216:9 | quick (3) | 219:1 | recross (1) | 146:4;198:1 |
| providing (3) | 119:3;158:11; | reading (1) | 232:23 | relationship (1) |
| 165:16;176:25; | 206:22 | 172:22 | recuperation (1) | 206:3 |
| 186:22 | quicker (1) | ready (1) | 202:23 | relevant (1) |
| provision (1) | 163:21 | 217:18 | recycled (1) | 164:14 |
| 208:21 | quickly (3) | real (3) | 191:4 | reliability (2) |
| provisions (2) | 111:1;138:21; | 117:10;120:22; | Redirect (3) | 195:14,21 |
| $108: 9,21$ | 203:12 | 158:10 | 232:25;233:1,9 | rely (1) |
| proximity (3) | Quinebaug (18) | realistic (3) | redo (2) | 127:10 |
| 119:11;172:25; | 107:3;108:12,20; | 147:2,3;222:10 | 215:5;228:14 | remain (3) |
| 199:2 | 117:16;127:14;128:6; | realize (1) | reduce (4) | 145:15;147:8; |
| public (7) | 132:12,14,23;134:24; | 133:8 | 168:7;230:24; | 179:10 |
| 108:7;109:2; | 137:16;145:12,16; | real-life (1) | 231:6,23 | remainders (1) |
| 133:19;201:8;234:15, | 156:22;207:5,15; | 222:1 | reduced (2) | 223:21 |
| 24;235:9 | 212:19;230:19 | really (18) | 230:17,21 | remaining (1) |
| publication (1) | Quinebaug's (2) | 117:19,24;118:2; | reduces (1) | 180:12 |
| 153:13 | 127:5;155:15 | 121:4,8,21;122:4; | 162:23 | remember (1) |
| publicly (1) | quite (5) | 123:3;129:11;165:7; | reduction (4) | 154:22 |
| 127:16 | 168:17;191:23; | 166:3;167:3;175:19; | 230:18;231:5,13,20 | remote (1) |
| pull (1) | 197:16;198:5;216:20 | 187:4;196:14;206:9; | reductions (2) | 127:13 |
| 161:25 | quote/unquote (1) | 209:23;211:15 | 221:23;230:9 | remotely (1) |
| pulling (1) | $221: 4$ | reason (9) | refer (1) | 211:25 |
| 162:17 |  | 123:5;185:5,17; | 192:19 | removal (5) |
| PURA (1) | R | 201:7;206:9;215:24; | reference (3) | 125:19;149:2; |
| $145: 17$ |  | 228:24;230:16,24 | 165:9;218:12; | 181:2;186:7;188:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { purchase (2) } \\ & 147: 3 ; 203: 2 \end{aligned}$ | racking (3) 164:21;165:4; | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { reasonable (3) } \\ 156: 23 ; 157: 2,13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 220:20 } \\ & \text { referencing (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { remove (5) } \\ & 175: 5 ; 178: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| purchasing (5) | 213:21 | rebuild (1) | 118:20;145:20 | $191: 11,13,18$ |
| 126:18,20,25; | racks (1) | 129:8 | referring (4) | removed (5) |
| 201:20,21 | 207:11 | recall (1) | 119:1;161:16; | 134:10,14;177:25; |
| purge (1) | rainstorm (1) | 208:21 | 196:25;210:9 | 187:16;191:4 |
| 168:5 | 226:10 | receive (1) | reflected (1) | renewable (1) |
| purpose (1) | rainwater (1) | 145:17 | 113:2 | 202:6 |
| 125:7 | 226:13 | Received (4) | refuge (6) | reopen (1) |
| purposes (4) | raised (1) | 116:9;145:3; | 172:12,24;173:20, | $108: 12$ |
| 145:23;146:24; | 166:15 | 155:10;171:1 | $25 ; 174: 8,23$ | reopened (1) |
| 172:11;201:1 | raises (1) | receiving (2) | refusal (1) | 108:22 |
| pursuant (2) | 166:19 | 160:15,25 | 164:15 | reorganized (1) |
| 108:9,20 | raising (1) | recent (2) | regard (3) | 137:11 |
| pushing (1) | 185:6 | 215:20,21 | 147:8;148:23;202:9 | repairs (1) |
| $216: 19$ | ramp (1) | recently (2) | Regarding (7) | 207:19 |
| put (14) | 196:9 | 147:12;171:1 | 116:16;124:7; | repeat (1) |
| 164:8;167:18; | $\boldsymbol{r a n}(1)$ | recess (1) | 155:7;164:1;170:24; | 169:3 |
| 173:16;177:3;198:1; | 228:6 | 137:12 | 171:2;214:18 | rephrase (1) |
| 199:10;200:3,11; | random (1) | recharge (1) | regardless (1) | $171: 16$ |
| 207:10;210:22; | 174:13 | 151:15 | $231: 19$ | replaces (1) |
| 213:21;215:1;222:20; | ranged (1) | recommend (1) | region (2) | 113:22 |
| 231:21 | 163:7 | 223:6 | 216:20;227:20 | reply (1) |
| Putnam (1) | rate (1) | recommendation (2) | register (2) | 234:9 |
| 153:2 | 202:23 | 165:19;219:24 | 150:20,21 | Report (22) |
| puts (1) | ratepayers (4) | recommendations (3) | regulations (1) | 139:1;151:3,4; |
| 222:1 | 201:23;202:3,10,13 | 150:8;156:22; | 152:6 | 152:12;153:5,6; |
| putting (1) | rates (1) | 223:10 | reinforce (1) | 162:3;164:8;165:15, |
| 209:18 | 146:24 | recommended (1) | 196:7 | 16,21;169:14;190:18; |
|  | rather (3) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 129:6 | reject (1) | 197:6;203:16;218:14, |
| Q | 124:9;154:8;233:22 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \operatorname{record}(14) \\ 112: 25 ; 127: 4 ; \end{array}$ | $130: 10$ related (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22;219:1;224:15,25; } \\ & \text { 225:3.4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| qualified (1) | rating (1) $129: 9$ | 130:5;137:24;159:5; | $176: 22$ | REPORTER (1) |
| 145:22 | reach (1) | 166:4;177:10;196:24; | relates (1) | 228:19 |
| quality (1) | 128:19 | 202:17;233:16;234:7, | 190:6 | reports (1) |
| 170:25 | reaching (1) | 19,24;235:3 | relating (1) | $189: 18$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { represent (1) } \\ & 163: 10 \\ & \text { reproductive (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { responding (1) } \\ & 171: 3 \\ & \text { response (24) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 220:19;221:18; } \\ & \text { 225:15 } \\ & \text { right-of-way (11) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 154: 16 ; 171: 15,24 ; \\ & 173: 2 ; 174: 18 ; 175: 9 \\ & 176: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section (6) } \\ & \text { 108:22;162:11; } \\ & \text { 163:5;169:4;175:13; } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 171: 22 ; 175: 22 \\ & \text { request (7) } \end{aligned}$ | 113:3,6,21;114:17; 115:5;130:16;133:24; | 117:8;118:23; 119:7,12,17,21;120:8, | S | 224:9 sediment (1) |
| 108:20;11 | 135:22;136:24; | 19,24;121:5;123:7 |  | 181:5 |
| 115:23;136:8;170:22; | 145:20;147:6,12; | rights (1) | S03 (2) | seeing (7) |
| 200:10,14 | 148:12;149:21; | 123:7 | 151:4,9 | 136:25;144:25; |
| requesting (1) | 192:19;194:22; | risk (1) | safe (2) | 162:5;183:10;227:20; |
| 220:4 | 195:14;227:9;230:13, | 208:20 | 175:8;178:7 | 232:7,19 |
| requests (1) | 17;231:3,4;232:6,17 | River (1) | safely (2) | seek (1) |
| 214:10 | responses (4) | 171:19 | 126:6;234:18 | 175:10 |
| require (2) | 112:22;115:9; | Road (25) | Safety (5) | seem (2) |
| 162:13;200:13 | 139:15;142:2 | 108:17,18,18; | 126:4;133:19,25; | 191:23;216:4 |
| required (15) | responsible (2) | 122:12,18;123:11; | 176:25;213:22 | seems (4) |
| 152:5;162:17; | 117:25;207:19 | 133:4;147:16;149:1, | salamander (1) | 129:13;131:8; |
| 164:16,18,19;180:14; | rest (1) | 10;182:21;197:2; | 176:13 | 218:3;231:7 |
| 181:7;186:5,18; | 192:12 | 198:1,4,11,13,17,20; | salt (1) | sees (1) |
| 187:8;212:21;213:9; | restore (1) | 199:3,4,6;208:14; | 190:7 | 146:13 |
| 223:25;229:24; | 134:20 | 211:11;222:3,5 | same (8) | selected (1) |
| 233:21 | result (3) | roads (5) | 121:19;145:15; | 174:14 |
| requirements (5) | 131:18;152:9;170:6 | 121:1;183:8; | 155:1;162:11;182:8; | semi-annual (1) |
| 157:21,25;158:3; | resulting (1) | 210:17,18;211:1 | 199:17;209:14; | 187:15 |
| 176:7;191:19 | 229:20 | roadway (1) | 212:17 | sense (2) |
| requiring (2) | results (6) | 185:23 | sample (1) | 173:1;200:17 |
| 164:17;185:2 | 147:2;162:5; | Robert (1) | 154:18 | sensitive (1) |
| rescue (1) | 168:25;169:8,15,19 | 108:4 | SANDAK (1) | 223:23 |
| 123:12 | resumes (2) | role (1) | 107:12 | sentence (4) |
| research (2) | 114:4;115:9 | 112:5 | satisfy (3) | 168:23;169:6; |
| 209:2;221:1 | return (1) | Roman (2) | 176:6;196:2;230:20 | 224:11;230:19 |
| reservation (1) | 215:3 | 109:7;137:21 | Savannah (1) | separate (5) |
| 208:10 | reversal (1) | room (1) | 171:19 | 125:23;126:2; |
| reserves (2) | 221:15 | 109:20 | saw (4) | 128:2;207:8,14 |
| 195:13,21 | review (3) | roughly (1) | 173:3;180:20; | separation (5) |
| residence (12) | 127:15;131:19; | 184:10 | 186:23;221:11 | 127:9,13,22;131:3; |
| 118:8,10,11,17,19, | 160:6 | round (1) | saying (5) | 200:20 |
| 20,25;119:11,15,16, | reviewed (8) | 132:17 | 166:4;185:15; | separations (2) |
| 19;148:14 | 124:4;127:5;150:5; | Route (2) | 190:6;216:13;231:4 | 119:9;125:24 |
| residences (2) | 168:25;169:8,20; | 121:22,23 | scale (1) | service (3) |
| 119:4,7 | 170:10,11 | Rukstela (1) | 198:7 | 134:1,23;148:8 |
| residential (3) | reviews (1) | 108:17 | scenario (1) | session (1) |
| 121:24;209:7,12 | 127:16 | rule (1) | 159:24 | 108:6 |
| resource (7) | revised (2) | 154:4 | scope (2) | set (13) |
| 131:22;150:22; | 114:18;151:2 | rules (1) | 124:3;200:23 | 112:5,22;113:7,11; |
| 152:9;194:8;195:11, | revision (1) | 168:21 | se (1) | 119:20;137:14; |
| 15;229:20 | 113:16 | ruling (1) | 195:24 | 156:20;157:11; |
| resources (16) | revisions (2) | 108:13 | search (1) | 173:11;174:23; |
| 116:19;117:11; | 112:25;114:16 | run (3) | 154:5 | 180:15;216:1;228:10 |
| 133:18;137:19;139:1; | revisit (2) | 195:24;196:2; | season (1) | sets (1) |
| 150:19;151:12; | 153:13;226:7 | 226:16 | 205:8 | 221:24 |
| 156:25;216:10; | revolve (1) | running (5) | seasonal (1) | setting (1) |
| 223:22;224:14;225:9, | 210:15 | 195:2,18;214:6; | 191:19 | 176:6 |
| 9,10,11,12 | RFP (2) | 227:5,19 | seasonality (1) | seven (1) |
| respectfully (1) | 158:15;215:21 | runoff (4) | 192:4 | 149:18 |
| 115:23 | RFPs (1) | 181:9;226:8,20 | seated (1) | several (2) |
| respective (2) | 158:12 | 227:7 | 110:18 | 178:25;198:8 |
| 114:3;115:8 | rid (2) | rutted (1) | second (5) | SF (1) |
| respond (2) | 216:4;217:14 | 123:1 | 130:8;176:14; | 124:16 |
| 235:15,17 | right (12) | Ryan (24) | 187:13;207:8;231:10 | shaft (2) |
| responded (2) | 132:10;168:17; | 139:14,18;140:8,9, | secondary (1) | 167:8,15 |
| 170:24;218:5 | 186:9;187:5;191:23; | 23,24;142:1,4,19,20; | 177:24 | shafts (1) |
| responders (1) | 195:23;198:12; | 143:10,11,25;144:1; | second-story (1) | 164:2 |
| 213:3 | 211:22;218:19; | 152:24;153:12,23; | 120:10 | shall (1) |


| 189:5 | 197:24 | Siting (3) | soils (4) | 175:2;176:7,9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sh | sil | 08.5.1 | 0:8,8,1 | padefoots (5) |
| 161:8;162:1 | 220:10 | 3:1 | Solar (34) | 153:16,24;154:1 |
| sheet (2) | silt (10) | sits (2) | 107:3;108:13,15 | 173:14;175:15 |
| 118:11 | 174:13,24;1 | 119:2 | ;128:7,11,13,18 | spanned (1) |
| shift (1) | 176:15,21,24,25 | situati | 129:1,16;131:10,13; | 173:8 |
| 216:10 | 177:3,8,9 | 133:18 | 132:12,14,23;134:24; | sparse (1) |
| shining (1) | SILVESTRI (105) | situations | 137:16;156:22; | 154:8 |
| 197:22 | 108:1,4;109:12,15 | 222:2;226:1 | 181:17;194:8;195:15; | speak (5) |
| shock (1) | 17,19;110:5,17; | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i x }}$ (2) | 196:17;202:5,7; | 113:9;129:3,11,2 |
| 212:6 | 111:6;115:22;116 | 204:6, | 204:24;209:13; | 135:16 |
| shop (1) | 5,11,14;123:8,21; | size (1) | 212:19;215:13; | special (9) |
| 160:6 | 124:19,22;125:1,4,15; | 154:18 | 217:12;222:10; | 133:7,7,14,14,17 |
| short (1) | 126:9,13;130:7; | skip (1) | 226:21,22,24;230:19 | 152:13;212:16,22; |
| 171:24 | 132:5;134:6;135:2 | 71:25 | Solar's (1) | 221:13 |
| shorter (1) | 20,23;136:4,6,14,17 | sliding (1) | 117:16 | specialty (1) |
| 214:17 | 21,25;137:3,4,6,14, | 209:17 | solely (1) | 213:8 |
| shot (1) | 24;138:4;144:18,2 | slight | 125:20 | species |
| 211:13 | 145:5,8;154:11; | 148:10 | solstice (1) | 151:7,9,24;152:13 |
| show (2) | 155:3;156:8,13 | slightly | 205:8 | 6;173:1;187:16,2 |
| 162:3;1 | 158:8;159:5,8; | 122:25;148:1 | lution | 22,23;188:3,8,17; |
| showed | 160:11;161:1,6; | 162:25 | 129:6 | 225:25;226:2 |
| 113:12 | 164:24;165:5;177 | slope (6) | solutions | specific (7) |
| shower (2) | 15,17;182:4,14; | 153:15;154:1,3,1 | 129:8 | 133:22;174:20 |
| 227:3 | 192:14;196:7,13 | 14,15 | someb | 84:9;192:10;203:10; |
| showing | 22;201:16;205:13,20, | slopes (6) | 191: | $208: 21 ; 226: 18$ |
| 178:22 | 24;206:5,17;217:3, | 153:9;154:4,18,2 | someone (2) | specifically (10) |
| 183:24;187 | 25;218:19;219:8,16 | 186:13,14 | 121:9;207:1 | 126:19;127:6; |
| shown (5) | 220:5,13,16,25; | slow (1) | sometimes (2) | 47:9,9;148:1 |
| 109:7;113:14,18 | 221:10,18;222:1 | 73:1 | 153:25;154:2 | 49:15;185:7;197: |
| 19;171:19 | 224:5;225:1,5,14,25 | slower | somewhat (1) | 224:9;226:9 |
| shows (1) | 226:5;227:8;228:11; | 204:4 | 179:8 | specifications (1) |
| 172:7 | 229:2,6;232:3,7,13, | slurry (3) | somewhere (2) | 197:14 |
| SHPO (6) | 15,18,24;233:2,4,12; | 160:4,17,2 | 149:16;193:9 | specified (1) |
| 150:17,24;223 | 235:23 | small (4) | soon (1) | 215:4 |
| 12,25;224:4 | similar (7) | 151:5;156:5;204:2 | 125: | specify (1) |
| shrink (1) | 155:13,25;156:3, | 223:18 | Sorry (9) | 160:7 |
| 205:10 | 10;203:22;204:23 | smaller | 111:8;135: | spending (2) |
| shrubby | simulations (2) | 179:16;209 | 170:23;203:25 | 173:7;175:3 |
| 187:23 | 228:7,8 | 219:14;228 | 205:22;207:4;208:17; | spillway (11) |
| shrunk (1) | single (7) | snow (10) | 225:8;233:1 | 183:18,20,21,23,25; |
| 228:5 | 117:2;120:18; | 182:11;209:8,16 | sort (6) | 184:2,4,6,22;228:15, |
| shut (2) | 122:19;128:4;18 | 22;210:2,4;217:7,14, | 156:18,20;175:1 | 17 |
| 211:24, | 184:25;185:14 | 21,23 | 181:14;194:10; | split (4) |
| shut-off (1) | single-cycle (1) | snowst | 225:22 | 129:14;131:18,20; |
| 212:9 | 222:23 | , | sound (6) | 148:18 |
| side (14) | site (53) | socks (1) | 169:19;197:3,6, | splitting (1) |
| 120:4;121:17,17 | 120:12;135:14 | 177:3 | 16;198:21 | 125:21 |
| 154:20;156:2,2; | 147:11,15;152:11, | Soderman (18) | sounding (1) | Sposato (8) |
| $160: 16 ; 185: 12,21$ | 23;153:2,7;158:7; | 111:19,20;112:19 | 169:21 | 137:8;141:25; |
| 186:9;189:20;198:16; | 161:4,9,19;163:13 | 114:2,10,11,24,25; | sounds (2) | 147:12;148:14; |
| 199:24;206:12 | 166:6,12,16,17 | 115:18,19;128:15,16, | 175:20;230:2 | 149:21;232:16; |
| sight (1) | 168:12;171:3;173:15, | 22;129:3,17,20; | south (2) | 234:20;235:11 |
| 120:2 | 18;174:15,22;175:24; | 133:21;134:2 | 108:17;118: | Sposatos (1) |
| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i g n }}$ (1) | 177:1,21,25;178:2,4, | soft (3) | southeast (1) | 136:22 |
| 141:13 | 6,14,181:1,2,11,22; | 189:1 | 118 | Sposato's (1) |
| significant (6) | 184:16;185:2,2 | soil (11) | southeastern (1) | 235:2 |
| 121:4;124:17; | 186:6,16,20;187:18 | 154:5;162:18,24; | 229: | spotted (1) |
| 191:3;210:1;213:8,20 | 191:11,14;206:3,4; | 165:8,14;166:16; | southern | 176:13 |
| significantly (4) | 211:8,21;212:21; | 168:16;219:2,7; | 229:12 | Spring (4) |
| 118:21;130:23; | 213:5,21;219:22 | 227: | spadefoot (11) | $151: 15 ; 12$ |
| 199:7;228:5 | sites (2) | soiling (2) | 153:2,10,22;154:2 | 224:12,18 |
| silent (1) | 131:24;163:13 | 209:21;210:2 | 21;171:2,20;172:19; | spring/summer (1) |


| 173:9 | Statutes (2) | 119:9;122:3;128:4; | 225:16 | switchyard (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| spur (1) | 108:10,22 | 164:19;165:4;167:17 | summary (3) | 117:14;156:4; |
| 123:5 | stay (4) | structures (11) | 225:8,10,11 | 200:19 |
| squared (1) | 134:22;154:23,24; | 117:3,5,9,15; | summer (3) | sworn (5) |
| 155:1 | 208:15 | 118:16;120:2;122:2; | 170:24;172:21; | 110:13,22;115:11; |
| squishy (1) | stays (1) | 123:25;124:3;130:25; | 205:8 | 138:7,17 |
| 189:18 | 182:12 | 63:20 | summertime (1) | system (15) |
| stability (1) | STC (3) | studied (2) | 202:8 | 128:5,17;129:4,6, |
| 166:16 | 146:18,20,21 | 128:7,16 | sun (9) | 22;163:4;164:12,21; |
| stabilization (4) | steep (8) | studies (3) | 196:20;197:21; | 194:23;195:22;196:4; |
| 165:8;166:10,16; | 153:9,18,21;154:1, | 129:22;153:16; | 204:21;205:18;210:2; | 200:13;206:2;213:20; |
| 219:7 | 2,4,8,12 | 200:6 | 216:6;226:11;227:4, | 230:25 |
| stabilizing (2) | steepen (1) | study (5) | 20 | systems (1) |
| 165:14;219:1 | 186:13 | 128:12,17;129:7 | sunfish (3) | 152:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { staff (3) } \\ & 116: 12 ; 135: \end{aligned}$ | step (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 200:14;224:13 } \\ & \text { studying (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 152: 13,15,19 \\ & \text { sunny (2) } \end{aligned}$ | T |
| stage (1) | Stephen (2) | 200:11 | 128:21;212:5 |  |
| 217:16 | 111:16;112:18 | stumps (3) | Super (1) | Tab (5) |
| stalk (1) | Steve (1) | 179:10;180:11,13 | 136:21 | 161:7,17;170:12; |
| 189:1 | 115:12 | subject (2) | supplemental (1) | 187:12;197:1 |
| stand (2) | stewards (1) | 201:6,13 | 155:11 | table (9) |
| 110:2,4 | 223:22 | submission (2) | support (1) | 109:5;151: |
| Standard (6) | still (9) | 230:12;233:20 | 152:15 | 168:17;197:2;224:24; |
| 146:22;152:4; | 120:8;150:12; | submitted (2) | suppose (1) | 225:8,9,10,13 |
| 157:9;159:11;168:10; | 154:4;177:13;192:20; | 170:15;201:13 | 120:25 | tables (1) |
| 169:24 | 199:12;212:5;218:9; | subsidies (1) | supposedly (1) | 163:9 |
| standards (3) | 230:25 | 206:23 | $172: 12$ | talk (2) |
| 126:2,3;156:25 | stone (2) | substantial (1) | supposition (1) | 175:13,18 |
| standpoint (7) | 223:7,18 | 164:20 | 134:8 | talked (4) |
| 117:9,12,21,24; | stood (1) | substantially (3) | Sure (30) | 165:25;187:1; |
| 118:1;119:8;122:7 | $120: 25$ | 119:20;121:21; | 113:5,10;120:17; | 199:11;230:9 |
| start (7) | storage (11) | 122:8 | $136: 13 ; 152: 18$ | talking (12) |
| 112:1;156:16,19; | 178:5,8;215:19,19, | substantive (2) | 166:25;167:2,10; | 135:10;165:8; |
| 206:21,25;217:6; | 21,23;216:9,15,20,22; | 117:19;120:23 | 169:5;172:13;173:14; | 166:20;170:16,19; |
| 220:7 | 217:2 | Substation (18) | 174:6,9,11;175:7; | 174:8;178:7,18; |
| started (2) | store (1) | 113:15;133:2; | 177:17;179:24; | 202:2;210:23;217:7; |
| 136:10;228:24 | 177:23 | 134:9;148:17;155:15; | 181:24;182:11; | $219: 9$ |
| Starting (1) | storm (2) | 168:15;170:4;197:5, | 183:11;185:3;192:7; | talks (7) |
| 139:14 | 184:21;226:12 | 10;198:2,5,6,9,16,21, | 205:24;208:7;212:6; | $159: 10 ; 161: 8,18$ |
| starts (3) | storms (3) | 24;199:4,8 | 219:24;220:8;225:17; | $167: 8 ; 168: 23 ; 176: 15$ |
| 200:11;204:21; | 208:6;209:5,9 | substrate (1) | $233: 2,7$ | 189:4 |
| 231:4 | stormwater (2) | 225:23 | surprised (1) | tall (1) |
| state (11) | 152:6;184:23 | successful (2) | 165:13 | 117:4 |
| 112:25;150:6; | straight (2) | 171:22;172:2 | surrounding (3) | taller (2) |
| 152:13;158:17; | 203:9;211:13 | sudden (2) | 117:7;176:16; | 205:17;206:4 |
| 191:25;192:1,8; | strategy (1) | 173:17;226:12 | 225:24 | tank (1) |
| 206:14,22;208:23; | 172:1 | suffice (1) | survey (6) | $121: 3$ |
| 223:3 | stream (1) | 189:16 | 131:22;151:11; | target (1) |
| stated (2) | 151:9 | sufficiently (1) | 153:1;161:16;225:16; | $145: 14$ |
| 147:7;209:21 | streams (1) | 217:22 | 226:4 | taught (1) |
| statement (2) | 152:22 | suggest (1) | surveys (6) | 213:4 |
| 171:23;224:21 | Street (2) | 223:7 | 172:20,20;173:3,8, | $\boldsymbol{t a x}$ (3) |
| statements (1) | 107:5,13 | suggested (1) | 15;175:1 | 206:22;207:3,6 |
| 234:2 | strength (2) | 173:10 | suspect (1) | team (2) |
| state's (1) | 162:17;168:7 | suggesting (1) | 197:8 | 207:23;215:16 |
| 159:1 | striking (1) | 219:21 | swear (2) | teams (1) |
| station (15) | 191:20 | suggestions (1) | 137:17;138:3 | $212: 10$ |
| 124:8,10;127:7; | string (1) | 219:7 | swearing (1) | Tech (2) |
| 133:2,20;134:13,14, | 212:8 | suitable (3) | 110:6 | 153:2;197:1 |
| 19,22;135:1;161:10, | structural (2) | 152:14,17;175:2 | switching (9) | technically (1) |
| 13,19,22;186:2 | 116:25;219:14 | sulfur (2) | 124:8,10;127:6; | 119:10 |
| status (1) | structure (9) | $124: 14 ; 155: 18$ | 133:2;134:13,19,22, | techniques (1) |
| 112:2 | 113:17,18;118:24; | summarize (1) | $25 ; 186: 1$ | 164:5 |


| technologies (3) | 174:17 | 123:3 | truck (4) | 204:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 214:22;215:10; | thereafter (1) | TORRANCE (1) | 157:19;182:16,23; | Typically (14) |
| 217:16 | 234:5 | 107:12 | 223:19 | $128: 18,23 ; 152: 21$ |
| Technology (7) | therefore (5) | total (6) | trucks (9) | 157:18;160:3,18; |
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