David Martin and
Members of the Siting Council
Connecticut Siting Council
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

RE: Notice of Exempt Modification
270 Hubbard Road
Haddam, CT 06441
T-Mobile \#: CTHA523A
N $41^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 50.72^{\prime \prime}$
W $-72^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 31.18^{\prime \prime}$

Dear Mr. Martin and Members of the Siting Council:

On behalf of T-Mobile Northeast LLC, SBA Communications is submitting an exempt modification application to the Connecticut Siting council for modification of existing equipment at a tower facility located at 270 Hubbard Road, Haddam CT.

The 270 Hubbard Road facility consists of a $160^{\prime}$ MONOPOLE Tower owned and operated by SBA Towers II, LLC. In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, T-Mobile Northeast LLC plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. Please accept this letter and attachments as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter and attachments is being sent to the chief elected official of the municipality in which the affected cell site is located.

T-Mobile Northeast LLC wishes to upgrade their equipment to meet the new standards of 4G technology. The new equipment will allow customers to download files and browse the internet at a high rate of speed while also allowing their phones to be compatible with the latest 4 G technology.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in Sprint's operations at the site along with the required fee of $\$ 625$.

The changes to the facility do not constitute modifications as defined in Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facility will not be

## SBA

significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. The overall height of the structure will be unaffected.
2. The proposed changes will not extend the site boundaries. There will be no effect on the site compound other than the new equipment cabinets.
3. The proposed changes will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by six decibels or more.
4. The changes in radio frequency power density will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons, SBA Communications on behalf of T-Mobile Northeast LLC, respectfully submits that the proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Please feel free to call me at (508) 251-0720 $\times 3807$ with any questions you may have concerning this matter.


Peter Nute
SBA Communications Corporation
33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-251-0720 x 3807 + T
508-251-1755 + F
Pnute@sbasite.com

# T-Mobile Northeast LLC Equipment Modification 

## 270 Hubbard Road, Haddam CT Site number CTHA523A

## Tower Owner: <br> Equipment Configuration: <br> MONOPOLE Tower

## Current and/or approved:

- (3) RFS APXV18-206517LS-C
- (6) 1-5/8" Feed Lines

Planned Modifications:

- (3) RFS APX16DWV-16DWVS-C
- (3) RFS ATMAA1412D-1A20
- (3) Ericsson Double TMA 17/21
- (12) $7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ Feed Lines


## Structural Information:

The attached structural analysis demonstrates that the tower and foundation will have adequate structural capacity to accommodate the proposed modifications.

## Power Density:

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the T-Mobile facility are $0.374 \%$ of the allowable FCC established general public limit. The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is $0.374 \%$ of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level.

| Site Composite MPE \% |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Carrier | MPE \% |
| Metro MobilePCS | $0.374 \%$ |
| Total Site MPE \% | $0.374 \%$ |

August 06, 2014

Melissa J. Schlag
First Selectman
Town of Haddam
Town Hall
30 Field Park Drive
Haddam, CT 06438

RE: Telecommunications Facility @ 270 Hubbard Road, Haddam CT
Dear Ms. Schlag,

In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, T-Mobile Northeast LLC will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (R.C.S.A.) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review T-Mobile's proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes Sprint's proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council's procedures, please call me at (508) 251-0720 x 3807.

Thank you,


Peter Nute
SBA Communications Corporation
33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
$508-251-0720 \times 3807+\mathrm{T}$
508-251-1755 + F
Pnute@sbasite.com

Richard J. and Beverly A. Watral 292 Hubbard Rd.
Higganum CT 06441-4343

RE: Telecommunications Facility @ 270 Hubbard Road, Haddam CT

Dear Mr. \& Mrs. Watral,

In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, T-Mobile Northeast LLC will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (R.C.S.A.) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review T-Mobile's proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes Sprint's proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council's procedures, please call me at (508) 251-0720 x 3807.

Thank you,


Peter Nute
SBA Communications Corporation
33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-251-0720 x 3807 + T
508-251-1755 + F
Pnute@sbasite.com
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# RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSIONS ANALYSIS REPORT EVALUATION OF HUMAN EXPOSURE POTENTIAL TO NON-IONIZING EMISSIONS 

Metro MobilePCS Existing Facility

Site ID: CTHA523A
SBA Higganum Monopole
270 Hubbard Road
Haddam, CT 06441
July 22, 2014

EBI Project Number: 62143998

July 22, 2014

Metro MobilePCS USA<br>Attn: Jason Overbey, RF Manager<br>35 Griffin Road South<br>Bloomfield, CT 06002

## Re: Emissions Values for Site: CTHA523A - SBA Higganum Monopole

EBI Consulting was directed to analyze the proposed Metro MobilePCS facility located at 270 Hubbard Road, Haddam, CT, for the purpose of determining whether the emissions from the Proposed Metro MobilePCS Antenna Installation located on this property are within specified federal limits.

All information used in this report was analyzed as a percentage of current Maximum Permissible Exposure (\% MPE) as listed in the FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01and ANSI/IEEE Std C95.1. The FCC regulates Maximum Permissible Exposure in units of microwatts per square centimeter ( $\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm} 2$ ). The number of $\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm} 2$ calculated at each sample point is called the power density. The exposure limit for power density varies depending upon the frequencies being utilized. Wireless Carriers and Paging Services use different frequency bands each with different exposure limits, therefore it is necessary to report results and limits in terms of percent MPE rather than power density.

All results were compared to the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) radio frequency exposure rules, 47 CFR 1.1307 (b)(1) - (b)(3), to determine compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for General Population/Uncontrolled environments as defined below.

General population/uncontrolled exposure limits apply to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general public would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of microwatts per square centimeter $(\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm} 2)$. The general population exposure limit for the cellular band is $567 \mu \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm} 2$, and the general population exposure limit for the PCS and AWS bands is $1000 \mu \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm} 2$. Because each carrier will be using different frequency bands, and each frequency band has different exposure limits, it is necessary to report percent of MPE rather than power density.

Occupational/controlled exposure limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Additional details can be found in FCC OET 65.

## CALCULATIONS

Calculations were done for the proposed Metro MobilePCS Wireless antenna facility located at 270 Hubbard Road, Haddam, CT, using the equipment information listed below. All calculations were performed per the specifications under FCC OET 65. Since Metro MobilePCS is proposing highly focused directional panel antennas, which project most of the emitted energy out toward the horizon, the actual antenna pattern gain value in the direction of the sample area was used. For this report the sample point is a 6 foot person standing at the base of the tower

For all calculations, all equipment was calculated using the following assumptions:

1) 2 GSM channels ( 1935.000 MHz -to 1945.000 MHz ) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
2) 2 UMTS channels ( 2110.000 MHz to $2120.000 \mathrm{MHz} / 2140.000 \mathrm{MHz}$ to 2145.000 MHz ) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
3) 2 LTE channels ( 2110.000 MHz to $2120.000 \mathrm{MHz} / 2140.000 \mathrm{MHz}$ to 2145.000 MHz ) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
4) All radios at the proposed installation were considered to be running at full power and were uncombined in their RF transmissions paths per carrier prescribed configuration. Per FCC OET Bulletin No. 65 - Edition 97-01 recommendations to achieve the maximum anticipated value at each sample point, all power levels emitting from the proposed antenna installation are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.
5) For the following calculations the sample point was the top of a six foot person standing at the base of the tower. The actual gain in this direction was used per the manufactures supplied specifications.
6) The antenna used in this modeling is the RFS APX16DWV-16DWVS-E-A20 for LTE, UMTS and GSM. This is based on feedback from the carrier with regards to anticipated antenna selection. This antenna has a 16.3 dBd gain value at its main lobe. Actual antenna gain values were used for all calculations as per the manufacturers specifications.
environmental | engineering | due diligence
7) The antenna mounting height centerline of the proposed antennas is $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ feet above ground level (AGL).
8) Emissions values for additional carriers were taken from the Connecticut Siting Council active database. Values in this database are provided by the individual carriers themselves.

All calculations were done with respect to uncontrolled / general public threshold limits.


| Site Composite MPE \% |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Carrier | MPE \% |
| Metro MobilePCS | $0.374 \%$ |
| Total Site MPE \% | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 4 \%}$ |

## Summary

All calculations performed for this analysis yielded results that were well within the allowable limits for general public exposure to RF Emissions.

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the Metro MobilePCS facility are $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 4 \%}$ $\mathbf{( 0 . 1 2 5 \%}$ from each sector) of the allowable FCC established general public limit considering all three sectors simultaneously sampled at the ground level.

The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 4 \%}$ of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level. This is based upon values listed in the Connecticut Siting Council database for existing carrier emissions.

FCC guidelines state that if a site is found to be out of compliance (over allowable thresholds), that carriers over a $5 \%$ contribution to the composite value will require measures to bring the site into compliance. For this facility, the composite values calculated were well within the allowable $100 \%$ threshold standard per the federal government.


## Scott Heffernan

RF Engineering Director

## EBI Consulting

21 B Street
Burlington, MA 01803

# Structural Analysis for SBA Network Services, Inc. 

160' Monopole Tower

SBA Site Name: Madam
SBA Site ID: CT01700-S-02
T-Mobile Site ID: CTHA523A

FDH Project Number 1466XM1400
Analysis Results

| Tower Components | $34.9 \%$ | Sufficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foundation | $30.9 \%$ | Sufficient |

Prepared By:


Reviewed By: CT PE License No. 29630

FDH Engineering, Inc.
6521 Meridian Drive
Raleigh, NC 27616
(919) 755-1012
info@fdh-inc.com


Bradley R. Newman, PE Senior Project Engineer
Virginia Chriscoe
Project Engineer


June 11, 2014
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the request of SBA Network Services, Inc., FDH Engineering, Inc. performed a structural analysis of the monopole located in Higganum, CT to determine whether the tower is structurally adequate to support both the existing and proposed loads pursuant to the Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures ,TIA/EIA-222-F and the 2005 Connecticut State Building Code (CSBC). Information pertaining to the existing/proposed antenna loading, current tower geometry, foundation dimensions, and member sizes was obtained from:

- Valmont (Order No. 12825-00) Communication Pole Record Drawings dated October 27, 2000
- SBA Network Services, Inc.

The basic design wind speed per the TIA/EIA-222-F standards and 2005 CSBC is 85 mph without ice and 38 mph with $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ radial ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.

## Conclusions

With the existing and proposed antennas from T-Mobile in place at 157 ft , the tower meets the requirements of the TIA/EIA-222-F standards and 2005 CSBC standards provided the Recommendations listed below are satisfied. Furthermore, provided the foundation was constructed per the original design drawings (see Valmont Order No. 12825-00), the foundation should have the necessary capacity to support both the proposed and existing loading. For a more detailed description of the analysis of the tower, see the Results section of this report.

Our structural analysis has been performed assuming all information provided to FDH Engineering, Inc. is accurate (i.e., the steel data, tower layout, existing antenna loading, and proposed antenna loading) and that the tower has been properly erected and maintained per the original design drawings.

## Recommendations

To ensure the requirements of the TIA/EIA-222-F standards and 2005 CSBC standard are met with the existing and proposed loading in place, we have the following recommendations:

1. The proposed coax should be installed inside the pole's shaft.
2. The proposed TMAs should be installed directly behind the proposed panel antennas.

## APPURTENANCE LISTING

The proposed and existing antennas with their corresponding cables/coax lines are shown in Table 1. If the actual layout determined in the field deviates from the layout, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis.

Table 1 - Appurtenance Loading

## Existing Loading:

| Antenna <br> Elevation <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Description | Feedlines $^{1}$ | Carrier | Mount <br> Elevation <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Mount Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 157 | $(3)$ RFS APXV18-206517LS-C | $(6) 1-5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | T-Mobile | 157 | (3) Standoffs |

1. The feed lines are installed inside the monopole shaft, unless otherwise noted.

Proposed Carrier Final Loading:

| Antenna <br> Elevation <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Description | Feedlines | Carrier | Mount <br> Elevation <br> (ft) | Mount Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 157 | (3) RFS APX16DWV-16DWVS-C <br> (3) RFS ATMAA1412D-1A20 <br> (3) Ericsson Double TMA 17/21 | $(12) 7 / 8 "$ | T-Mobile | 157 | (3) Standoffs |

## RESULTS

The following yield strength of steel for individual members was used for analysis:
Table 2 - Material Strength

| Member Type | Yield Strength |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tower Shaft Sections | 65 ksi |
| Base Plate | 60 ksi |
| Anchor Bolts | 75 ksi |

Table 3 displays the summary of the ratio (as a percentage) of force in the member to their capacities. Values greater than $100 \%$ indicate locations where the maximum force in the member exceeds its capacity. Note: Capacities up to $100 \%$ are considered acceptable. Table 4 displays the maximum foundation reactions.

If the assumptions outlined in this report differ from actual field conditions, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis. Furthermore, as no information pertaining to the allowable twist and sway requirements for the existing or proposed appurtenances was provided, deflection and rotation were not taken into consideration when performing this analysis.

See the Appendix for detailed modeling information
Table 3 - Summary of Working Percentage of Structural Components

| Section No. | Elevation ft | Component Type | Size | \% Capacity* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pass } \\ & \text { Fail } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | 160-129.417 | Pole | TP38.07×31.65×0.25 | 6.0 | Pass |
| L2 | 129.417-91.5833 | Pole | TP45.52x36.398×0.375 | 14.1 | Pass |
| L3 | 91.5833-45.3334 | Pole | TP54.5×43.3868×0.5 | 21.0 | Pass |
| L4 | 45.3334-0 | Pole | TP63x51.9399×0.5 | 23.0 | Pass |
|  |  | Anchor Bolts | (24) $2.25 " \varnothing \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{BC}=71.72 \mathrm{l}$ | 20.5 | Pass |
|  |  | Base Plate | PL 77.72 ø 3 " thk | 21.3 | Pass |

*Capacities include $1 / 3$ allowable increase for wind per TIA/EIA-222-F Standards.

Table 4 - Maximum Base Reactions

| Base Reactions | Current Analysis <br> (TIA/EIA-222-F) | Original Design <br> (TIA/EIA-222-F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Axial | 42 k | 76 k |
| Shear | 25 k | 49 k |
| Moment | $2,068 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ | $6,690 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |

## GENERAL COMMENTS

This engineering analysis is based upon the theoretical capacity of the structure. It is not a condition assessment of the tower and its foundation. It is the responsibility of SBA Network Services, Inc. to verify that the tower modeled and analyzed is the correct structure (with accurate antenna loading information) modeled. If there are substantial modifications to be made or the assumptions made in this analysis are not accurate, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be notified immediately to perform a revised analysis.

## LIMITATIONS

All opinions and conclusions are considered accurate to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty based upon the evidence available at the time of this report. All opinions and conclusions are subject to revision based upon receipt of new or additional/updated information. All services are provided exercising a level of care and diligence equivalent to the standard and care of our profession. No other warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, is offered. Our services are confidential in nature and we will not release this report to any other party without the client's consent. The use of this engineering work is limited to the express purpose for which it was commissioned and it may not be reused, copied, or distributed for any other purpose without the written consent of FDH Engineering, Inc.

## APPENDIX
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