



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

www.ct.gov/csc

September 19, 2012

Rick Woods
SBA Communications Corporation
One Research Dr. Suite 200C
Westborough, MA 01581

RE: **EM-SPRINT-046-120821** – Sprint Spectrum notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 275 North Street, Easton, Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Woods:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) hereby acknowledges your notice to modify this existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies with the following conditions:

- The coax lines and accessory equipment be installed in accordance with the recommendations made in the Structural Analysis Report prepared by FDH Engineering dated May 21, 2012 and stamped by Christopher Murphy;
- Following the installation of the proposed equipment, Sprint shall provide documentation certifying that the installation complied with the engineer's recommendation;
- Any deviation from the proposed modification as specified in this notice and supporting materials with Council shall render this acknowledgement invalid;
- Any material changes to this modification as proposed shall require the filing of a new notice with the Council;
- Not less than 45 days after completion of construction, the Council shall be notified in writing that construction has been completed;
- The validity of this action shall expire one year from the date of this letter; and
- The applicant may file a request for an extension of time beyond the one year deadline provided that such request is submitted to the Council not less than 60 days prior to the expiration;

The proposed modifications including the placement of all necessary equipment and shelters within the tower compound are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice dated August 20, 2012. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. This facility has also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on this tower.

This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Please be advised that the validity of this action shall expire one year from the date of this letter. Any additional change to this facility will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

Very truly yours,



Linda Roberts
Executive Director

LR/CDM/jbw

- c: The Honorable Thomas A. Herrmann, First Selectman, Town of Easton
- Robert Maquat, Planning & Zoning Official, Town of Easton
- Sean Gormley, SBA



August 23, 2012

David Martin and
Members of the Siting Council
Connecticut Siting Council
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

RE: Notice of Exempt Modification
275 North St.
Easton, CT 06612
Site # CT03XC361
N 41 ° 18' 59.10"
W 73 ° 18' 50.48"

RECEIVED
AUG 24 2012
CONNECTICUT
SITING COUNCIL

Dear Mr. Martin and Members of the Siting Council:

Pursuant to the exempt modification previously submitted for the above mentioned site I would like to add the following information:

1. The proposed changes will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by six decibels or more.

Thank you,

Rick Woods
SBA Communications Corporation
One Research Dr. Suite 200C
Westborough, MA 01581
508-366-5505 x 319 + T
508-366-5507 + F
508-614-0389 + C
rwoods@sbsite.com



EM-SPRINT-046-120821

August 20, 2012

David Martin and
Members of the Siting Council
Connecticut Siting Council
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

RE: Notice of Exempt Modification
275 North St.
Easton, CT 06612
N 41 ° 18' 59.10"
W 73 ° 18' 50.48"

ORIGINAL
RECEIVED
AUG 21 2012
CONNECTICUT
SITING COUNCIL

Dear Mr. Martin and Members of the Siting Council:

On behalf of Sprint Spectrum, SBA Communications is submitting an exempt modification application to the Connecticut Siting Council for modification of existing equipment at a tower facility located at 275 North St. Easton, CT.

The 275 North St. facility consists of a 185' Monopine Tower owned and operated by SBA Communications. In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, Sprint Spectrum plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. Please accept this letter and attachments as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter and attachments is being sent to the chief elected official of the municipality in which the affected cell site is located.

As part of Sprint's Network Vision modification project, Sprint desires to upgrade their equipment to meet the new standards of 4G technology. The new antennas and associated equipment will allow customers to download files and browse the internet at a high rate of speed while also allowing their phones to be compatible with the latest 4G technology.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in Sprint's operations at the site. Also included is documentation of the structural sufficiency of the tower to accommodate the revised antenna and equipment configuration along with the required fee of \$625.

The changes to the facility do not constitute modifications as defined in Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facility will not be



significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. The overall height of the structure will be unaffected.
2. The proposed changes will not extend the site boundaries. There will be no effect on the site compound other than the new equipment cabinets.
3. The changes in radio frequency power density will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons, SBA Communications on behalf of Sprint Spectrum, respectfully submits that the proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Please feel free to call me at (508) 614-0389 with any questions you may have concerning this matter.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Rick Woods". The signature is fluid and cursive.

Rick Woods
SBA Communications Corporation
One Research Dr. Suite 200C
Westborough, MA 01581
508-366-5505 x 319 + T
508-366-5507 + F
508-614-0389 + C
rwoods@sbsite.com



Sprint Spectrum Equipment Modification

275 North St. Easton, CT
Site number CT03XC361

Tower Owner: SBA Communications Corporation

Equipment Configuration: Monopine Tower

Current and/or approved: Six (6) CDMA Antennas @ 175'
Six (6) lines of 1-5/8" coax
Three (3) equipment cabinets

Planned Modifications: Remove Six (6) CDMA antennas & Six (6) lines of 1-5/8"
Install Three (3) Network Vision antennas & Six (6) RRHs @ 138'
Install Three (3) Hybriflex fiber cables
Install Three (3) Filters
Install Four (4) RETs
Install One (1) Fiber Distribution Box
Replace Three (3) existing equipment cabinets with Two (2) new cabinets

Structural Information:

The attached structural analysis demonstrates that the tower and foundation will have adequate structural capacity to accommodate the proposed modifications.

Power Density:

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the Sprint facility are 7.835% of the allowable FCC established general public limit. The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is 58.835% of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level.

Site Composite MPE %	
Carrier	MPE %
Sprint	7.835%
T-Mobile	4.290%
AT&T	17.230%
Verizon Wireless	26.880%
Nextel	2.600%
Total Site MPE %	58.835%



August 20, 2012

Honorable Thomas Herrmann
1st Selectman
Town of Easton
225 Center Road
Easton , CT 06612

RE: Telecommunications Facility-275 North St. Easton, CT 06612

Dear Mr. Herrmann,

In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, Sprint Spectrum will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (R.C.S.A.) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review Sprint's proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes Sprint's proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council's procedures, please call me at (508) 614-0389.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Rick Woods", is positioned above the typed name.

Rick Woods
SBA Communications Company
One Research Dr. Suite 200C
Westborough, MA 01581
508-366-5505 x 319 + T
508-366-5507 + F
508-614-0389 + C
rwoods@sbsite.com



EBI Consulting

environmental | engineering | due diligence

RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSIONS ANALYSIS REPORT EVALUATION OF HUMAN EXPOSURE POTENTIAL TO NON-IONIZING EMISSIONS

Sprint Existing Facility

Site ID: CT03XC361

Aspeth Easton
275 North Street
Easton, CT 06612

August 11, 2012

August 11, 2012

Sprint
Attn: RF Engineering Manager
1 International Boulevard, Suite 800
Mahwah, NJ 07495

Re: Emissions Values for Site **CT03XC361 – Aspeth Easton**

EBI Consulting was directed to analyze the proposed upgrades to the existing Sprint facility located at 275 North Street, Easton, CT, for the purpose of determining whether the emissions from the proposed Sprint equipment upgrades on this property are within specified federal limits.

All information used in this report was analyzed as a percentage of current Maximum Permissible Exposure (% MPE) as listed in the FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01 and ANSI/IEEE Std C95.1. The FCC regulates Maximum Permissible Exposure in units of microwatts per square centimeter ($\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$). The number of $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ calculated at each sample point is called the power density. The exposure limit for power density varies depending upon the frequencies being utilized. Wireless Carriers and Paging Services use different frequency bands each with different exposure limits, therefore it is necessary to report results and limits in terms of percent MPE rather than power density.

All results were compared to the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) radio frequency exposure rules, 47 CFR 1.1307(b)(1) – (b)(3), to determine compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for General Population/Uncontrolled environments as defined below.

General population/uncontrolled exposure limits apply to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general public would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of microwatts per square centimeter ($\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$). The general population exposure limit for the cellular band is approximately 567 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$, and the general population exposure limit for the PCS band is 1000 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$. Because each carrier will be using different frequency bands, and each frequency band has different exposure limits, it is necessary to report percent of MPE rather than power density.



Occupational/controlled exposure limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Additional details can be found in FCC OET 65.

CALCULATIONS

Calculations were done for the proposed upgrades to the existing Sprint Wireless antenna facility located at 275 North Street, Easton, CT, using the equipment information listed below. All calculations were performed per the specifications under FCC OET 65. All calculations were performed assuming the main lobe of the antenna was focused at the base of the tower to present a worst case scenario. Actual values seen from this site will be dramatically less than those shown in this report. For this report the sample point is the top of a 6 foot person standing at the base of the tower.

For all calculations, all emissions were calculated using the following assumptions:

- 1) 2 CDMA Carriers (1900 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
- 2) 1 CDMA Carriers (850 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation
- 3) All radios at the proposed installation were considered to be running at full power and were uncombined in their RF transmissions paths per carrier prescribed configuration. Per FCC OET Bulletin No. 65 - Edition 97-01 recommendations to achieve the maximum anticipated value at each sample point, all power levels emitting from the proposed antenna installation are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.
- 4) For the following calculations the sample point was the top of a six foot person standing at the base of the tower. The actual gain in this direction was used per the manufactures supplied specifications.
- 5) The antenna used in this modeling is the RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20. This is based on feedback from the carrier with regards to anticipated antenna selection. This antenna has a 15.9 dBd gain value at its main lobe at 1900 MHz and 13.4 dBd at its main lobe for 850 MHz. All calculations were performed assuming the main lobe of the antenna was focused at the base of the tower to present a worst case scenario.

- 6) The antenna mounting height centerline of the proposed antennas is **175 feet** above ground level (AGL)
- 7) Emissions values for additional carriers were taken from the Connecticut Siting Council active database. Values in this database are provided by the individual carriers themselves.

All calculation were done with respect to uncontrolled / general public threshold limits



EBI Consulting

environmental | engineering | due diligence

Summary

All calculations performed for this analysis yielded results that were well within the allowable limits for general public exposure to RF Emissions.

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the Sprint facility are **7.835%** (**2.612% from each sector**) of the allowable FCC established general public limit considering all three sectors simultaneously sampled at the ground level.

The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is **58.835%** of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level. This is based upon values listed in the Connecticut Siting Council database for existing carrier emissions

FCC guidelines state that if a site is found to be out of compliance (over allowable thresholds), that carriers over a 5% contribution to the composite value will require measures to bring the site into compliance. For this facility, the composite values calculated were well within the allowable 100% threshold standard per the federal government

Scott Heffernan
RF Engineering Director

EBI Consulting

21 B Street
Burlington, MA 01803



FDH Engineering, Inc., 6521 Meridien Drive, Raleigh, NC 27616, Ph. 919.755.1012, Fax 919.755.1031

**Structural Analysis for
SBA Network Services, Inc.**

185' Monopine

**SBA Site Name: North Easton
SBA Site ID: CT00707-S
Sprint Site Name: Aspeth/Easton N.
Sprint Site ID: CT03XC361**

FDH Project Number 12-04B09E S1

Analysis Results

Tower Components	93.8%	Sufficient
Foundation	89.7%	Sufficient

Prepared By:

Gregory C. Clutter
Project Engineer

Reviewed By:

Christopher M. Murphy, PE
President
CT PE License No. 25842

FDH Engineering, Inc.

6521 Meridien Drive
Raleigh, NC 27616
(919) 755-1012
info@fdh-inc.com

May 21, 2012



Prepared pursuant to TIA/EIA-222-F Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures & 2005 Connecticut Building Code

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 3
 Conclusions
 Recommendations

APPURTENANCE LISTING..... 4

RESULTS..... 5

GENERAL COMMENTS..... 6

LIMITATIONS..... 6

APPENDIX..... 7

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the request of SBA Network Services, Inc., FDH Engineering, Inc. performed a structural analysis of the monopole located in Easton, CT to determine whether the tower is structurally adequate to support both the existing and proposed loads, pursuant to the *Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures, TIA/EIA-222-F and 2005 Connecticut Building Code*. Information pertaining to the existing/proposed antenna loading, current tower geometry, soil parameters, foundation dimensions, and member sizes was obtained from:

- Paul J. Ford and Company (Job No. 20099-146) original design drawings dated August 10, 1999
- Jaworski Geotech, Inc. (Project No. C98404G) Geotechnical Evaluation dated July 30, 1999
- SBA Network Services, Inc.

The *basic design wind speed* per the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and 2005 Connecticut Building Code is 85 mph without ice and 38 mph with 3/4" radial ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.

Conclusions

With the existing and proposed antennas from Sprint in place at 175', the tower meets the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and 2005 Connecticut Building Code provided the **Recommendations** listed below are satisfied. Furthermore, provided the foundation was designed and constructed to support the original design reactions (see Paul J. Ford Job No. 20099-146), the foundation should have the necessary capacity to support the existing and proposed loading. For a more detailed description of the analysis of the tower, see the **Results** section of this report.

Our structural analysis has been performed assuming all information provided to FDH Engineering, Inc. is accurate (i.e. the steel data, tower layout, existing antenna loading, and proposed antenna loading) and that the tower has been properly erected and maintained per the original design drawings.

Recommendations

To ensure the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and 2005 Connecticut Building Code are met with the existing and proposed loading in place, we have the following recommendations:

1. The existing coax inside the pole shaft should be used for the proposed loading.
2. RRU/RRH stipulation: the proposed equipment may be installed in any arrangement as determined by the client.

APPURTENANCE LISTING

The proposed and existing antennas with their corresponding cables/coax lines are shown in **Table 1**. *If the actual layout determined in the field deviates from this layout, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis.*

Table 1 – Appurtenance Loading

Existing Loading:

Antenna Elevation (ft)	Description	Coax and Lines	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	Mount Type
185	(3) EMS-RR90-17-02DP (6) Allen Telecom FE15S01P77/75 TMAs	(6) 1-5/8"	T-Mobile	185	(3) Cobra Arms
	6' Branches	---	---	---	---
179.5	6' Branches	---	---	---	---
175	(6) Decibel DB980H90E-M	(6) 1-5/8"	Sprint	175	(3) Cobra Arms
174.5	7' Branches	---	---	---	---
169.5	8' Branches	---	---	---	---
165	(2) Swedcom SLCP 2X6014 (1) Antel BXA 70063/6CF_4 (4) Swedcom SC-E 6014 Rev 2 (2) Antel LPA 80063/6CF (3) Antel BXA 171063/8BF (6) RFS FD9R6004/2C-3L Diplexers	(12) 1-5/8"	Verizon	165	(3) Cobra Arms
	8' Branches	---	---	---	---
159.5	9' Branches	---	---	---	---
155	(6) Powerwave 7770.00 (3) Powerwave P65-16-XLH-RR (6) Powerwave LGP 21401 TMAs (6) Powerwave LGP21903 Diplexers (6) Ericsson RRUS-11 RRUs (1) Raycap DC6-48-60-18-8F Surge Suppressor	(12) 1-5/8" (1) Fiber (2) DC Power	AT&T	155	(3) Cobra Arms
	9' Branches	---	---	---	---
149.5	10' Branches	---	---	---	---
144.5	11' Branches	---	---	---	---

Proposed Loading:

Antenna Elevation (ft)	Description	Coax and Lines	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	Mount Type
175	(3) RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20 (3) ALU 1900 MHz RRUs (3) ALU 800 MHz RRUs (3) ALU 800 MHz Filters (4) RFS ACU-A20-N RETs	(3) 1-1/4"	Sprint	175	(3) Cobra Arms

RESULTS

Based on information obtained from the original design drawings, the yield strength of steel for individual members was as follows:

Table 2 - Material Strength

Member Type	Yield Strength
Tower Shaft Sections	65 ksi
Base Plate	50 ksi
Anchor Bolts	75 ksi

Table 3 displays the summary of the ratio (as a percentage) of force in the member to their capacities. Values greater than 100% indicate locations where the maximum force in the member exceeds its capacity. *Note: Capacities up to 100% are considered acceptable.* **Table 4** displays the maximum foundation reactions.

If the assumptions outlined in this report differ from actual field conditions, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis. Furthermore, as no information pertaining to the allowable twist and sway requirements for the existing or proposed appurtenances was provided, deflection and rotation were not taken into consideration when performing this analysis.

See the **APPENDIX** for detailed modeling information.

Table 3 – Summary of Working Percentage of Structural Components

Section No.	Elevation ft	Component Type	Size	% Capacity	Pass Fail
L1	185 - 143.75	Pole	TP33.22x21.375x0.1875	93.8	Pass
L2	143.75 - 94.0833	Pole	TP47.108x31.6042x0.375	87.9	Pass
L3	94.0833 - 46.1667	Pole	TP60.118x44.6114x0.4375	84.4	Pass
L4	46.1667 - 0	Pole	TP72.5x56.9936x0.4375	89.4	Pass
		Anchor Bolts	(24) 2.25" ϕ w/ BC = 79.875" BC	82.6	Pass
		Base Plate	79.625" SQ. PL x 3.5" thk.	56.8	Pass

*Capacities include 1/3 allowable increase for wind.

Table 4 – Maximum Base Reactions

Base Reactions	Current Analysis (TIA/EIA-222-F)	Original Design (TIA/EIA-222-F)
Axial	56 k*	52 k
Shear	48 k	53 k
Moment	6,526 k-ft	7,275 k-ft

*Given our experience with foundations of a similar nature, the vertical load will not control.

GENERAL COMMENTS


This engineering analysis is based upon the theoretical capacity of the structure. It is not a condition assessment of the tower and its foundation. It is the responsibility of SBA Network Services, Inc. to verify that the tower modeled and analyzed is the correct structure (with accurate antenna loading information) modeled. If there are substantial modifications to be made or the assumptions made in this analysis are not accurate, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be notified immediately to perform a revised analysis.

LIMITATIONS

All opinions and conclusions are considered accurate to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty based upon the evidence available at the time of this report. All opinions and conclusions are subject to revision based upon receipt of new or additional/updated information. All services are provided exercising a level of care and diligence equivalent to the standard and care of our profession. No other warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, is offered. Our services are confidential in nature and we will not release this report to any other party without the client's consent. The use of this engineering work is limited to the express purpose for which it was commissioned and it may not be reused, copied, or distributed for any other purpose without the written consent of FDH Engineering, Inc.

APPENDIX

Section	1	2	3	4
Length (ft)	41.25	54.00	54.00	54.00
Number of Sides	18	18	18	18
Thickness (in)	0.1875	0.3750	0.4375	0.4375
Socket Length (ft)	4.33	6.08	7.83	
Top Dia (in)	21.3750	31.6007	44.6110	56.9935
Bot Dia (in)	33.2200	47.1080	60.1180	72.5000
Grade		A572-65		
Weight (K)	2.3	8.5	13.2	16.4



DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING


TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
Lightning Rod	185	8' Branches	169.5
RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	185	(2) SLCF 2X6014 w/Mount Pipe	165
RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	185	(2) LPA-80063/6CF w/ Mount Pipe	165
RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	185	Antel BXA-70063/6CF-4 W/Mount Pipe	165
(3) Empty Pipe Mount	185	(3) BXA-171063-8BF-EDIN-X w/ Mount Pipe	165
(3) Empty Pipe Mount	185	(4) SC-E 6014 Rev 2 w/ Mount Pipe	165
(2) FE15S01P77/75 TMA	185	(2) RFS FD9R6004/2C-3L Diplexer	165
(2) FE15S01P77/75 TMA	185	(2) RFS FD9R6004/2C-3L Diplexer	165
(2) FE15S01P77/75 TMA	185	(2) RFS FD9R6004/2C-3L Diplexer	165
(3) Cobra Mounts	185	(3) Cobra Mounts	165
6' Branches	185	8' Branches	164.5
6' Branches	179.5	9' Branches	159.5
(3) Empty Pipe Mount	175	(3) Cobra Mounts	155
(3) Empty Pipe Mount	175	(2) RRUS 11 RRU	155
(3) Empty Pipe Mount	175	Raycap DC6-48-60-18-8F Surge Suppressor	155
(3) Cobra Mounts	175	(2) RRUS 11 RRU	155
RFS APXVSP18-C-A20 w/Mount Pipe	175	(2) RRUS 11 RRU	155
RFS APXVSP18-C-A20 w/Mount Pipe	175	(2) 7770.00 W/Mount Pipe	155
RFS APXVSP18-C-A20 w/Mount Pipe	175	(2) 7770.00 W/Mount Pipe	155
ALU 1900MHz RRU	175	(2) 7770.00 W/Mount Pipe	155
ALU 1900MHz RRU	175	P65-16-XLH-RR w/Mount Pipe	155
ALU 1900MHz RRU	175	P65-16-XLH-RR w/Mount Pipe	155
ALU 800MHz RRU	175	P65-16-XLH-RR w/Mount Pipe	155
ALU 800MHz RRU	175	(2) LGP 21401 TMA	155
ALU 800MHz RRU	175	(2) LGP 21401 TMA	155
ALU 800MHz RRU	175	(2) LGP 21401 TMA	155
ALU 800MHz FILTER	175	(2) LGP21903 Diplexer	155
ALU 800MHz FILTER	175	(2) LGP21903 Diplexer	155
ALU 800MHz FILTER	175	(2) LGP21903 Diplexer	155
RFS ACU-A20-N RET	175	9' Branches	154.5
RFS ACU-A20-N RET	175	10' Branches	149.5
(2) RFS ACU-A20-N RET	175	11' Branches	144.5
7' Branches	174.5		

MATERIAL STRENGTH

GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu
A572-65	65 ksi	80 ksi			

TOWER DESIGN NOTES

1. Tower is located in Fairfield County, Connecticut.
2. Tower designed for a 85 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
3. Tower is also designed for a 38 mph basic wind with 0.75 in ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.
4. Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.
5. TOWER RATING: 93.8%



 Tower Analysis	FDH Engineering, Inc. 6521 Meridian Drive Raleigh, NC 27616 Phone: (919) 755-1012 FAX: (919) 755-1031	Job: North Easton, CT00707-S Project: 12-04B09E S1 Client: SBA Network Services, Inc. Code: TIA/EIA-222-F Path: C:\Users\Greg Clutter\Desktop\North Easton, CT\Analysis\North Easton, CT - CT00707-S.dwg	Drawn by: Greg Clutter Date: 05/21/12 App'd: Scale: NTS Dwg No: E-1
--------------------	--	---	---

STRUCTURAL NOTE:

STRUCTURAL DESIGNS AND DETAILS FOR ANTENNA MOUNTS AND RRH MOUNTS COMPLETED BY HUDSON DESIGN GROUP LLC ON BEHALF OF ALCATEL-LUCENT ARE INCLUSIVE OF THE ENTIRE ANTENNA FRAME/PLATFORM/ANTENNA/RRH MOUNTS SECURED TO THE TOWER STRUCTURE.

STRUCTURAL NOTE:

STRUCTURAL INFORMATION TAKEN FROM STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS PERFORMED BY FDH ENGINEERING, INC. DATED: MAY 21, 2012

SBA SITE #: CT00707-S

SBA SITE NAME: NORTH EASTON

Sprint VISION

SITE NUMBER:
CT03XC361

SITE NAME:

ASPETH/EASTON

SITE ADDRESS:

**275 NORTH STREET
EASTON, CT 06612**

NOTE:

OWNER AND TENANT MAY, FROM TIME TO TIME AT TENANT'S OPTION, REPLACE THIS EXHIBIT WITH AN EXHIBIT SETTING FORTH THE LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SITE, OR WITH ENGINEERED OR AS-BUILT DRAWING DEPICTING THE SITE OR ILLUSTRATING STRUCTURAL MODIFICATIONS OR CONSTRUCTION PLANS OF THE SITE. ANY VISUAL OR TEXTUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE EQUIPMENT LOCATED WITHIN THE SITE CONTAINED IN THESE OTHER DOCUMENTS IS ILLUSTRATIVE ONLY, AND DOES NOT LIMIT THE RIGHTS OF SPRINT AS PROVIDED FOR IN THE AGREEMENT. THE LOCATIONS OF ANY ACCESS AND UTILITY EASEMENTS ARE ILLUSTRATIVE ONLY. ACTUAL LOCATIONS MAY BE DETERMINED BY TENANT AND/OR THE SERVICING UTILITY COMPANY IN COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS.

Sprint VISION

1 INTERNATIONAL BLVD, SUITE 800
MAHWAH, NJ 07495
TEL: (800) 337-7641

SBA

SBA COMMUNICATIONS CORP.
5900 BROKEN SOUND PARKWAY
BOCA RATON, FL 33487-2797
TEL: (561) 226-9523
FAX: (561) 226-3572

Hudson Design Group LLC


1600 OSGOOD STREET
BUILDING 20 NORTH, SUITE 2-101
N. ANDOVER, MA 01845
TEL: (978) 557-5553
FAX: (978) 336-5586



SITE INFORMATION

SITE NUMBER:	CT03XC361	LOCAL POWER COMPANY:	UNITED ILLUMINATING COMP.
SITE NAME:	ASPETH/EASTON	LOCAL TELCO COMPANY:	VERIZON
SITE ADDRESS:	275 NORTH STREET EASTON, CT 06612	APPLICANT:	SPRINT 1 INTERNATIONAL BLVD, SUITE 800 MAHWAH, NJ 07495
COUNTY:	FAIRFIELD	APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE:	ALCATEL-LUCENT TODD AMANN 600 MOUNTAIN AVE. MURRAY HILL, NJ 07974 (914)715-9363
ZONING:	RESIDENTIAL	SITE ACQUISITION CONSULTANT:	SBA COMMUNICATIONS CORP. ONE RESEARCH DRIVE SUITE 200C WESTBOROUGH, MA 01581
PARCEL ID:	MAP: 3784; LOT:2 AND 2A	A&E CONSULTANT:	HUDSON DESIGN GROUP LLC 1600 OSGOOD STREET BLDG 20 NORTH, SUITE 2-101 NORTH ANDOVER, MA 01845 TEL: (978) 557-5553 FAX: (978) 336-5586
COORDINATES(*):	N 41° 18' 59.10" W 73° 18' 50.48"		
GROUND ELEV.(*):	573± (AMSL)		
STRUCTURE TYPE:	MONOPINE		
STRUCTURE HEIGHT:	185± (AGL)		
ANTENNA RAD CENTER:	175± (AGL)		
PROPERTY OWNER:	TOWN OF EASTON 225 CENTER ROAD, PO BOX 61 EASTON, CT 06612		
STRUCTURE OWNER:	SBA TOWERS, LLC 5900 BROKEN SOUND PKWY BOCA RATON, FL 33487		

VICINITY MAP



DIRECTIONS FROM 1 INTERNATIONAL BLVD, MAHWAH, NJ 07495:
PROCEED NORTH ON INTERNATIONAL BLVD. EXIT ROUNDABOUT AT LEISURE LANE. ENTER RAMP FOLLOWING THE SIGN RT-17 N. BEAR RIGHT TAKING THE RAMP TO TAPPAN ZEE BR/NEW YORK CITY/I-87 S/I-287/NEW YORK STATE THRUWAY SOUTH. CONTINUE ON NEW YORK STATE THRUWAY S/I-287 E/I-87 S. KEEP LEFT TO WHITE PLAINS RYE/I-287 E (EXIT 8). EXIT RIGHT FOLLOWING THE SIGN HUTCHINSON PKWY/WHITESTONE BR/MERRITT PKWY (EXIT 9S-N). ENTER RAMP (RIGHT) FOLLOWING THE SIGN MERRITT PKWY (EXIT 9N)/HUTCHINSON PKWY NORTH. KEEP RIGHT TO MERRITT PKWY/HUTCHINSON PKWY. EXIT RIGHT FOLLOWING THE SIGN FAIRFIELD(CT-59)/EASTON (EXIT 46). EXIT RAMP (RIGHT) AT JEFFERSON ST. TURN RIGHT ON EASTON TPKE/CT-59. TAKE RIGHT TO STEPNEY RD/CT-59. TURN LEFT ON N PARK AVE. TURN RIGHT CONTINUING ON N PARK AVE. ROAD FORKS, KEEP RIGHT NORTH ST. ARRIVE AT YOUR DESTINATION ON THE RIGHT.

SHEET INDEX

SHEET NO.	DESCRIPTION
T-1	TITLE SHEET
GN-1	GENERAL NOTES
A-1	COMPOUND PLAN AND ELEVATION
A-2	ANTENNA SCENARIO & EQUIPMENT LAYOUT
A-3	DETAILS
A-4	RF DATA SHEET
A-5	CABINET & ANTENNA WIRING DIAGRAM
S-1	STRUCTURAL DETAILS
E-1	TYPICAL POWER & GROUNDING ONE LINE DIAGRAM

APPROVALS

THE FOLLOWING PARTIES HEREBY APPROVE AND ACCEPT THESE DOCUMENTS AND AUTHORIZE THE CONTRACTOR TO PROCEED WITH THE CONSTRUCTION DESCRIBED HEREIN. ALL DOCUMENTS ARE SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY THE LOCAL BUILDING DEPARTMENT AND MAY IMPOSE CHANGES OR MODIFICATIONS.

CONSTRUCTION:	_____	DATE:	_____
LEASING/ SITE ACQUISITION:	_____	DATE:	_____
RF ENGINEER:	_____	DATE:	_____
LANDLORD/ PROPERTY OWNER:	_____	DATE:	_____

APPROVED
By Bryan Bakis, P.E. for SBA Communications Corp. at 2:32 pm, Jul 18, 2012

GENERAL NOTES

- THIS IS AN UNMANNED TELECOMMUNICATION FACILITY AND NOT FOR HUMAN HABITATION:
-HANDICAPPED ACCESS NOT REQUIRED
- POTABLE WATER OR SANITARY SERVICE IS NOT REQUIRED
- NO OUTDOOR STORAGE OR ANY SOLID WASTE RECEPTACLES REQUIRED
- CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL PLANS, EXISTING DIMENSIONS, AND CONDITIONS ON JOB SITE. CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEER IN WRITING OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. FAILURE TO NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEER PLACE THE RESPONSIBILITY ON THE CONTRACTOR TO CORRECT THE DISCREPANCIES AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE.
- DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF THE SITE WILL CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE CODES AND ORDINANCES.
BUILDING CODE: 2003 IBC WITH 2005 CT SUPPLEMENT & 2009 CT AMENDMENT
ELECTRICAL CODE: 2005 NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE
STRUCTURAL CODE: TIA/EIA-222-F STRUCTURAL STANDARDS FOR ANTENNA SUPPORTING STRUCTURES AND ANTENNAS

SCOPE OF WORK

- REPLACE EXISTING MOD CELL WITH (1) MM-BTS CABINET & INSTALL FIBER DISTRIBUTION BOX WITHIN EXISTING LEASE AREA. REPLACE EXISTING BATTERY CABINET WITH (2) BBU CABINETS.
- REMOVE (6) EXISTING CDMA ANTENNAS AND REPLACE WITH (3) NETWORK VISION ANTENNAS & (6) RRH'S.
- REMOVE EXISTING CDMA COAX CABLES & INSTALL (3) HYBRIFLEX CABLES FROM EQUIPMENT CABINET TO ANTENNA
- REMOVE EXISTING GPS ANTENNA AND REPLACE WITH NEW GPS ANTENNA
CALL BEFORE YOU DIG
1-800-922-4455 OR DIAL 811



CHECKED BY: KB

APPROVED BY: DPH

SUBMITTALS			
REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
2	05/30/12	FOR CONSTRUCTION	SF
1	04/02/12	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	DD



SITE NUMBER:
CT03XC361
SITE NAME:
ASPETH/EASTON
SITE ADDRESS:
275 NORTH STREET
EASTON, CT 06612

SHEET TITLE
TITLE SHEET

SHEET NUMBER
T-1



STRUCTURAL NOTE:
 STRUCTURAL INFORMATION TAKEN FROM
 STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS PERFORMED BY FDH
 ENGINEERING, INC. DATED: MAY 21, 2012




NOTES:
 1) VERIFY EXACT ANTENNA MODEL & AZIMUTHS WITH RF ENGINEER PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.
 2) REMOVE EXISTING GPS ANTENNA AND REPLACE WITH NEW GPS ANTENNA.

NOTE:
 ALL PROPOSED EQUIPMENT MOUNTED TO MONOPINE SHALL BE PAINTED TO MATCH THE COLOR OF MONOPINE, INCLUDING ANTENNAS, RRH'S, ALL BRACKETS, PIPES, & GPS ANTENNA.


- 2 A-1
- 1 S-1
- 2 A-3
- 1 A-3
- 5 A-3
- 2 A-3
- 1 A-3
- 6 A-3



COMPOUND PLAN
 SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"



EAST ELEVATION
 SCALE: 3/32"=1'-0"



CHECKED BY: KB

APPROVED BY: DPH

SUBMITTALS			
REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
2	05/30/12	FOR CONSTRUCTION	SF
1	04/02/12	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	DD

SITE NUMBER:
 CT03XC361
 SITE NAME:
 ASPETH/EASTON
 SITE ADDRESS:
 275 NORTH STREET
 EASTON, CT 06612

SHEET TITLE
 COMPOUND PLAN
 AND ELEVATION

SHEET NUMBER
 A-1