



Daniel F. Caruso
Chairman

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

Internet: ct.gov/csc

January 7, 2011

Christopher B. Fisher, Esq.
Lucia Chiocchio, Esq.
Cuddy & Feder LLP
445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th Floor
White Plains, NY 10601

RE: **DOCKET NO. 413** - Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 723 Leetes Island Road, Branford, Connecticut.

Dear Attorneys Fisher and Chiocchio:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than February 3, 2011. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 20 copies to this office. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Yours very truly,

Linda Roberts
Executive Director

LR/cdm

c: Michele Briggs, AT&T
Council Members
Parties and Intervenors

**Docket 413: AT&T
Branford, Connecticut
Pre-Hearing Interrogatories, Set One**

1. What frequencies is AT&T licensed to use in the area of the proposed facility?
2. Provide the following information: number of channels per sector for each antenna system that would be installed on the proposed tower, ERP per channel for each antenna system, and frequency at which each antenna system would operate.
3. Would AT&T's antennas comply with E911 requirements?
4. Identify AT&T's adjacent sites with which the proposed site would hand off signals. Include addresses of these sites.
5. For each of AT&T's licensed frequencies, provide propagation maps showing AT&T's existing coverage in the vicinity of the proposed facility and what AT&T's coverage would be with its antennas installed at their proposed height.
6. What is the lowest height at which AT&T's antennas could achieve its coverage objectives from this site? Submit propagation maps showing the coverage at ten feet below this height.
7. What is the signal strength for which AT&T designs its system? For in-vehicle coverage? For in-building coverage? Does this signal strength differ according the different frequencies AT&T is licensed to use?
8. What are AT&T's existing signal strengths in those areas it is seeking to cover from this site? At what frequencies?
9. Does AT&T have any statistics on dropped calls in the vicinity of the proposed facility? If so, what do they indicate? Does AT&T have any other indicators of substandard service in this area?
10. What are the lengths of the respective coverage gaps on Route 146 and along the Amtrak rail line that AT&T is seeking to cover from the proposed site at cellular frequencies? At PCS frequencies?
11. What are the coverage gaps on local streets that AT&T would cover from the proposed site at cellular frequencies? At PCS frequencies?
12. What distances on AT&T's target areas would AT&T cover from the proposed facility?
13. Describe the antenna array AT&T would install on the proposed facility.