CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

IN RE:

THE UNITED ILLUMINATING COMPANY (UI)

APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC

NEED FOR THE FAIRFIELD TO CONGRESS

RAILROAD TRANSMISSION LINE 115-KV

REBUILD PROJECT THAT CONSISTS OF THE

RELOCATION AND REBUILD OF ITS EXISTING

115-KILOVOLT (KV) ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION

LINES FROM THE RAILROAD CATENARY

STRUCTURES TO NEW STEEL MONOPOLE

STRUCTURES AND RELATED MODIFICATIONS

ALONG APPROXIMATELY 7.3 MILES OF THE

CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF

TRANSPORTATION'S METRO-NORTH RAILROAD

CORRIDOR BETWEEN STRUCTURE B648S

LOCATED EAST OF SASCO CREEK IN FAIRFIELD

AND UI'S CONGRESS STREET SUBSTATION IN

BRIDGEPORT, AND THE REBUILD OF TWO

EXISTING 115-KV TRANSMISSION LINES ALONG

0.23 MILES OF EXISTING UI RIGHT-OF-WAY TO

FACILITATE INTERCONNECTION OF THE

DEDILIT 115 IV/ ELECTRIC TRANSMICCION

REBUILT 115-KV ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION

LINES AT UI'S EXISTING ASH CREEK, RESCO,

PEQUONNOCK AND CONGRESS STREET

SUBSTATIONS TRAVERSING THE

MUNICIPALITIES OF BRIDGEPORT AND

FAIRFIELD, CONNECTICUT

DOCKET NO. 516

NOVEMBER 2, 2023

PRE-FILED TESTIMONY STEPHEN OZYCK

- Q1. Please state your name and address.
- A1. Stephen Ozyck, 267 South Gate Lane, Southport, CT 06890.
- Q2. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- A2. I am testifying on behalf of the Sasco Creek Neighbors Environmental Trust Inc. ("Trust"), where I am a Co-Founder. The Trust was formed by myself, my wife Andrea Ozyck, and other neighbors who were directly impacted by the proposed project.
- Q3. How close do you live to the United Illuminating Company's ("UI") proposed 115-kV Transmission Right of Way?

A3. My property directly abuts the Connecticut Department of Transportation ("CTDOT") right of way in the vicinity of Ul's proposed structures P649S and P650S, as depicted on Sheet 1 of 7 of Attachment V2.3 of Ul's Project Mapping and Drawings.

Q4. Have you fully reviewed the Application (Docket 516) filed by UI?

A4. Yes. I learned about the documentation available at the Siting Council's website in July 2023, and have read extensively about the project since then.

Q5. <u>After reviewing the Application and conferring with your colleagues, does</u> the Trust have any concerns about UI's proposal?

A5. Yes. We have serious concerns about the Application. If the project goes forward, we believe it will profoundly and irreversibly harm my community.

Q6. Can you describe each concern that the Trust has about the Application?

- A6. We are concerned about many issues, including but not limited to:
 - 1. Environmental impact on inland and tidal wetlands.
 - 2. Environmental impact on indigenous wildlife, including species designated on both the federal and state level as endangered, threatened or species of special concern.
 - 3. Adverse direct and indirect impacts to the Southport Historic District, including the loss of its historic integrity and character.
 - 4. Negative impact on my property value and that of my neighbors, including from easements and visual pollution.
 - 5. Inconclusive health risks, including from EMF emissions.
 - 6. Safety risks, including from extreme weather events which could damage the power lines and high monopoles.

Q7. Can you elaborate on these concerns, and explain how they came about?

A7. As I learned more about the project, it became clear that the need for easements and the visual appearance of the poles would change the character of many neighborhoods, including culturally significant areas like the Southport Historic District. Expert discussions and reports, however, have shown that the effects are much broader. Deforestation would impact protected wildlife and wetlands. And the lines themselves pose several risks. The risk of harmful EMF emissions might be significant in some areas, especially if the demands made on the power grid increase. Lastly, the risk of damage or failure of the poles and power lines poses major safety risks. A derailment or similar accident could seriously endanger neighboring properties, given the size of the poles. Given the increased risk of major hurricanes and tropical storms in the northeast due to climate change, the fact that the lines are protected only against Category 3 winds also seems inadequate. And the risk of wildfires may be serious in the long

term, especially given the role of similar power lines in starting wildfires on the West Coast, and given the higher risk of summer drought conditions due to climate change.

- Q8. Based upon the information, evidence and testimony that you have observed so far, does the Trust think that the Connecticut Siting Council should approve or deny this application?
- A8. Based on what we have observed so far, we think the Connecticut Siting Council needs to deny this application.
- Q9. If the Connecticut Siting Council were to approve UI's application, does the Trust think that the approval should require any changes to what UI has proposed so far?
- A9. Yes.

Q10. What changes do you suggest?

- A10. If UI's application is approved, we think the Connecticut Siting Council should require the following conditions of approval:
 - a) The adverse visual impact of Ul's proposed project on the Southport Historic District must be mitigated either through undergrounding (within the CTDOT right of way, U.S. Route 1 or within a new right of way further removed from the Historic District), installation of new transmission lines on existing or rebuilt railway catenary structures, or colocation on existing electric utility monopoles installed on the north side of the CTDOT railroad right of way.
 - b) UI's proposed easement must be reduced in both size and scope so as not to negatively impact structures designated as historic or contributing on the State or National Register of Historic Places, or eligible for such designation.

the best of my knowledge and belief. Stephen Ozyck The above signed, Stephen Ozyck, personally appeared before me and verified that the above pre-file testimony for the Connecticut Siting Council is true and accurate and that he adopted it by his free act and deed on this 2 day of November, 2023. Commissioner of Superior Court **Notary Public/** My Commission expires LUANNE M. RYAN NOTARY PUBLIC State of Connecticut RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY: MY COMMISSION EXPIRES AUG. 31, 2027 STEPHEN OZYCK, ON BEHALF OF SASCO CREEK NEIGHBORS ENVIROMENTAL TRUST INC. BY: Mario F. Coppola, Esq. Matthew L. Studer, Esq. Berchem Moses PC 1221 Post Road East

Westport, CT 06880

Telephone No.: (203) 227-9545 Facsimile No.: (203) 226-1641 mcoppola@berchemmoses.com mstuder@berchemmoses.com

I, STEPHEN OZYCK, duly sworn, hereby verify that this statement was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and is believed to be true and accurate to