

From: Michael Siteman <mike.siteman@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 12:43 PM
To: Mathews, Lisa A <Lisa.A.Mathews@ct.gov>; CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>
Cc: Christopher B. Fisher, Esq. <cfisher@cuddyfeder.com>; christopher.forte@middletownct.gov; Joseph Barbagallo <barbagalloja@yahoo.com>; kelly.pugliares@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Docket No. 506 Council Draft Findings of Fact Comments Memorandum

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Connecticut Siting Council,

Below are our comments on Docket 506's Draft Findings of Fact:

#78

AT&T originally submitted and testified that the Lawrence School property was considered for tower development. They later testified and emphasized in their post-hearing brief that "*AT&T does not pursue public school properties in the first instance for tower siting given the Legislature's preference to avoid such sites as set forth in Section 16-50p(a)(3)(F(ii))*". Both testimonies conflict with each other. The applicant attempted to show a second location was considered, but the criteria from their own testimony would have immediately removed it from the list of viable locations.

#93 Table

There is a missing option for a shared monopole tower at an alternative location on the 499 Mile Lane property.

Additionally, the "AT&T reason for rejection" on the last row (monopole at different locations, in addition to the current lattice tower) is misleading. The City testified openness to alternative locations on the existing parcel. Specifically when "Site A" was discussed.

#178

The preference of the members of TT is a shared monopole tower design at **an alternative location** on the 499 Mile Lane property as discussed in the 2/3/22 hearing.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,
Michael Siteman
29 Talias Trail