# **School Construction Policy Report**

# November 7, 2014

Issue/action

Improvements to the site analysis process.

The ED053 "Site Analysis for School Building Projects" form and the process of which it is a part, involve the environmental review and approval required for school construction projects to go forward. Prior to the consolidation of the Bureau of School Facilities and the Division of Construction Services, the ED053 form asked for information that was not related to an environmental analysis and was captured on other forms. In addition, the site selection process was disjointed from the process of reviewing school construction designs as part of the school construction grant process. These factors had resulted in site issues emerging well after the design process started. Now, districts are highly encouraged to make use of DCS environmental planning services prior to site selection and submitting an ED053, creating a more proactive process.

# **Background**

The environmental review process examines the suitability of a specific site for a proposed school construction project. The process considers issues such as floodplain and coastal hazards, wetlands and habitat impact, historical use and possible contaminations, consistency with state planning, utility services, and a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment and Remediation Action Plan (if necessary). Completing the ED053 involves reviewing existing documentation and a site visit. Site approval, when required, can be conditional, providing that certain stipulations are met.

As outlined in the regulations, the site analysis consists of an outline of the space needs for the proposed school construction project and, in the case of a proposed new school, a listing of other comparative sites, along with the site size, environmental characteristics, accessibility, proximity to utility services, and estimated costs. In addition, DAS/DCS can reject proposed site plans when health and safety issues are not addressed or cannot be mitigated.

#### **Previous Standard**

Prior to recent changes, the ED053 required districts to submit information which was immaterial to the environmental site analysis process and captured on other required forms. Evaluations and sign-offs were required of the project architect, engineer, land-use planner, representatives from each of the boards and committees and a representative from the DCS with expertise in project site analysis. Additionally, the form included a comparative scoring system based at least in part on preferences rather than ultimately focusing on the question of whether a site met the standards of various environmental regulations.

The ED053 form was only completed for projects seeking land acquisition reimbursement. Additionally, site plan approval would typically occur only after a school construction grant had been authorized for a project.

# Revision of the ED053 form and improvements to the process

Authorization to provide process improvement analyses was provided by P.J. Bud Salemi, Deputy Commissioner DAS/DCS and Chair of the School Building Projects Advisory Council.

The process and form were streamlined and updated by Jeffrey Bolton, Supervising Environmental Analyst with DAS/DCS, Craig Russell, Director of the Office of School Facilities with DAS/DCS, and Jenna Padula, Staff Attorney.

The revised ED053 form clearly prompts school districts to respond regarding whether there are various issues or conditions that could be of concern. Added to the form are resources and checklists from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) regarding flood plains; Connecticut Environmental and Coastal Hazards maps; and the Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Conservation and Development Guide Map of protected land and conservation areas. These new resources are readily accessible to the districts, making data collection more efficient.

The form has been streamlined. The number of required signatures on the form has been reduced to one. This provides a more focused contact point in the process and a direct line of communication to the districts regarding what is required to achieve compliance and approval.

The Office of School Facilities will now include the DAS/DCS Supervising Environmental Analyst in its PREP meetings with school districts. The updated process now requires that districts complete Form ED053 for a site analysis for *all School Construction projects* where previously it was required solely for site acquisition grants or expansions or major alterations requiring additional land. Form ED053 was updated to recommend that districts schedule a site plan review meeting prior to submitting an application for a school construction grant.

Comprehensive site plan reviews will afford districts an opportunity to become better informed on state and federal agency regulatory requirements and possible site constraints that could impede their construction schedule and cause costly delays. Site analysis prior to the submission of a grant application is consistent with the new standards set forth by the School Safety Infrastructure Council, which require that all new and renovate as new projects complete a uniform "all hazards" school facility assessment before deciding how to comply with the safety standards.

Completion of the ED053 form and site analysis should be required as part of the School Construction Grant Application (part of the grant application checklist) and that site analysis should be vetted (ED053 reviewed and approved) prior to grant authorization.

#### Efficiencies achieved

#### Reduction in Unexpected, Unforeseen Issues

These modifications will enable school districts to assess potential problems in their building and site designs at earlier stages in project development. This approach will allow school districts to consider the impact of challenges they might face before committing significant resources. A comprehensive and effective planning process identifies schedule threats, quantifies budget threats and seeks to preserve options as far into the project as possible in an effort to deliver the project's objectives while avoiding unexpected hurdles later in the project that can change costs and timetables significantly as the options to respond narrow.

## Reduction in time for approval

A measurable improvement will be the significant decrease in time between when a site visit occurs and site approval. While it would be difficult to quantify the budgetary savings achieved by these changes, this is clearly an improved and updated a part of the school construction process, and serves as a model for improving other areas in this process.

# Appendix A. Old ED053 Form.

Rev. 6/07

Page 1 of 2

#### CONNECTICUT STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Finance and Internal Operations Bureau of School Facilities

#### Instructions

## Site Analysis Form (ED-053)

#### General Instructions

This form must be submitted whenever the local school district is acquiring land for the purpose of a new school construction project or expansion of existing facility. When additional land is added to an existing site, the grand total area indicates area after the purchase is completed.

No school building project for which state assistance is sought shall be undertaken except according to a plan and on a site approved by the State Department of Education, the town or regional board of education and by the building committee of such town or district.

#### Note:

The Site Analysis form can be a valuable tool for planning your facility space needs. Try to use the most accurate data available when filling out this form.

If you have any questions regarding this form, please contact George Semenec at george.semenec@ct.gov or (860) 713-6485.

# School District Information

Fill in the required information.

#### (1) Enrollment

Initial – Provide the anticipated student enrollment for the facility in its first year of occupancy.

Design - Provide the highest projected enrollment of the facility in the next 8 years.

# (2) Space Needs

Provide the square footage needs of the school district for the following areas (existing and/or proposed):

- A. Building footprint area (Including greenhouses for Vo-Ag Centers)
- B. Physical Education (outdoor)
- C. Outdoor Laboratories (experimental gardens or fields)
- D. Community Recreation Facilities
- E. Parking
- F. Walks, Drives, Planting, etc.
- G. Other areas (unused or for future use)

#### (3) Site Comparisons

Provide the names of site locations considered. Also indicate the new acreage and total acreage (existing and new) as well as an estimated cost for purchasing the site.

ED-053 Instructions Rev. 6/07

Page 2 of 2

- (4) Provide the name and title of the person completing the form. Also provide signature, telephone number and date the form was signed.
- (5) Provide the name, signature, telephone number, and the date signed of the local board of education chairperson.
- (6) Provide the name, signature, telephone number, and the date signed by the school building committee chairperson.
- (7) Provide the name, signature, telephone number, and the date signed by the Superintendent of Schools.

These must be original signatures. We do not accept photocopied signatures.

(8) Site Evaluation

This table provides areas to be considered by the local school district in weighing the appropriateness and feasibility of a site or sites considered for acquisition. The four areas of Size and Shape, Environment, Accessibility and Services are generally accepted architectural and design considerations when purchasing land. The points assigned to any one category, while arbitrary, should be consistently applied to all the sites considered. The Total Points provide an overall assessment of the site being considered.

(9) Costs

Provide the best estimated costs available at the time for the site or sites with regard to: Purchase Price
Development Costs
Maintenance Costs (on an annual basis)
Transportation Costs for bussing (on an annual basis)

- (10) Chosen Site
  - Indicate the site chosen by the school district (A, B, C or D).
- (11) Site Evaluators

indicate the names of the persons participating in the site evaluation.

(12) Subsoil Tests

Indicate the results of subsoil test, if applicable, conducted by the State Department of Health or other laboratory. Also, indicate the date of approval.

(13) Site Approval

Indicate the dates in which the local board of education and the local building committee approved the site acquisition.

ED053 Rev. 04/07 Statutory Ref.: CGS 10-2866, 10-291 STATE OF CONNECTICUT Department of Education Bureau of School Facilities 165 Capitol Avenue Hartford CT 06106-1630

Page 1 of 2

# SITE ANALYSIS FORM

DISTRICT NAME:		FACILITY NAME AND ADDRESS:								STATE	STATE PROJECT NUMBER:						
CONTACT PER	RSON & TELEPHONE NUM	IBER															
(1)		PK	K	1	2	3	1 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total Enrollment	
Enrollment	Initial											-					
	Design	<u> </u>						<u></u>									
	A. Building Area On Site (footprint)  B. Physical Education Facilities Needed:										Square Fe		Square Feet				
			Square Feet			t				Square Feet				1			
	Kindergarten Pla	Kindergarten Play Area					Volle	yball C	ourls								
	Primary Play Area	а				-	Soco	er Field	is						1		
	Intermediate Play	Area					Unas	signed	Play A	reas					İ		
	Apparatus Area		-				Other	r (Spec	lfy)								
	Softball Fields						Other (Specify)						w-os	1			
	Basketball Courts						Olher	(Spec	ify)								
		Total Physical Education Areas										В.					
(2) Space	C. Outdoor Labor  1.	alories	Neede	d: (iˈls	t Type:	s)							-		C. 1.		
Needs	2.								95						2.		
	D. Community Re	creation	ı Facili	ties N	eeded:	(List	Types)								D.		
(as indicated by	1.						25								1.		
the Local Board	2.								10000					900	2.		
of Education)	E. Parking Needs	: ,	Square Feel Square Feel														
	Staff Cars		-	Oquai	01600		Buses			-		Square	o I cel				
	Student Cars							of Com	munity						8		
	Visitors Cars				18		Other	(Spec	ify)								
					0.0000.000	301		ARTHUR MALLON		na					E.		
	Total Area for Parking Subtotal Area For Facility (A through E)																
											F.	-					
	G. Olher Areas:										O. 100			G.			
			Grand Total Area Needed:									3					
							Grand Total Acreage Needed:						į				
(3)	Names of Princi A.	Names of Principal Site Locations Considered				red		Purcha				Total	Acres		Es \$	slimaled Cost	
Site	8.				-										\$		
Comparisons			- 22	•			-					- 10		-	\$		
501117511100110	D.							-				to.					
(4) Name and Title of Person Completing Form					Signal	lure				Phone	)		\$ Date :	Signed			
											. į						
(5) Name of Local Board of Education Chairperson					Signal	lure				Phone			Date:	Signed .			
(6) Name of	School Buillding Co	ommille	e Chai	rperso	n .		Signature Phone					Date Signed					
(7) Name of	Superintendent of	Schools					Signal	lure		- 10		Phone	)		Date :	Signed	
						i		, -			į			i			

ED053 Rev. 04/07

Page 2 of 2

Note: Point values assigned for Site Evaluation, while arbitrary, are based upon experience and have been used for a number of years with generally satisfactory results.

(8)	Site Evaluation			Max. Points	Site A.	Site B	Sile C	Sile D	
Size	Amount of Usable Land		15						
And	Adaptability to Needs			10					
Shape	Elevation and Drainage	10							
(40 points)	Character of Soll		5						
	Cleanliness and Quietness		12	i	a particular de la constantina della constantina				
Environment	Freedom from Hazards		8						
(25 points)	Allractiveness			5	AL ARCHA S				
	Safety and Convenience of Approach	li	9. 5. 92	10					
Accessibility	Centrality to Area Served			6					
(20 points)	Convenience to Community	4							
	Electricity	8							
Services	Public Water Supply		2007 (A. C. S. S. C. S.	4					
(15 points)	Public Sewer System	2							
TYL TITALIA.	Nearby Fire Department			1					
	Total Points			100			1		
101			, A		В .	C		0	
(9)	Estimated Purchase price:		\$	\$		\$	\$ 		
Estimated	Estimated Development Costs		\$	\$		\$	\$		
Costs	Estimated Annual Maintenance Costs		\$	\$		\$	1	\$	
(10) Chosen Site	Estimated Annual Transportation cost Site (A, B, C, D) has been chosen for		\$	\$ Site:					
(11) Site Evaluators	List names of specialists participating in site evaluation  Architect:  Land-Use Planner:			Engineer: Other:					
(12)	Selected site approved for sewage dis (Omit response if public sewer system	Approved /			Day Yr.				
Subsoli Tests	Indicate results of other than State De	5	Tes	ls:					
(13) Site Approval	Date site choice approved by:	Mo. Day		al Building mmittee Date:	Mo.	Day Yr.			

(14) FOR STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION USE ONLY

(a) Comments:	 N (F) (W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W			
	 	/· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(b) Reviewed By:	 (Signature)		(Dale)	,
(a) Dala Entered:	D.v.		*	
(c) Dala Entered:	 By:		(Olanahura)	

# Appendix B. New ED053 Form.



ED053 SITE ANALYSIS FOR SCHOOL BUILDING PROJECTS

C.G.S. Secs. 10-286d & 10-291

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

Submittal of Form ED053 is required for all School Building Projects. The district should arrange for a planning meeting prior to submitting a State grant-in-aid application for a School Building Project involving new construction, expansion, replacement, and/or site acquisition.

For new construction, expansion, or replacement projects (even within new areas of an existing school property and/or site improvements), the district must complete all Parts of Form ED053 except Part III. For an acquisition grant, the district must complete all parts of Form ED053. For all other types of projects complete applicable sections of Parts I and IV.

<u>Prior</u> to submitting an ED053 Form or seeking DAS Site Approval, the district's representative or the district's municipal planning department will need to assess whether the project would directly or indirectly impact environmental resources. Review the following environmental mapping websites and the noted resources and document the findings on Form ED053.

- FEMA: <a href="https://msc.fema.gov">https://msc.fema.gov</a>
- Environmental Conditions Online http://ctecoapp1.uconn.edu/advancedviewer
- Coastal Hazards Viewer <a href="http://ctecoapp1.uconn.edu/ctcoastalhazards">http://ctecoapp1.uconn.edu/ctcoastalhazards</a>
- State Plan of Conservation and Development Locational Guide Map <a href="http://www.dir.ct.gov/opm/igp/lgm/index.html">http://www.dir.ct.gov/opm/igp/lgm/index.html</a>

Additional information listed at the end of this form must be submitted with the completed Form ED053.

PART I: PROJECT INFORMATION							
DISTRICT NAME:	FACILITY NAME AND ADDRES	S:	STATE OSF PROJECT NUMBER:				
CONTACT PERSON & TELEPHONE NUMBER:	PROJECT DESCRIPTION (new construction, expansion, replacement, site acquisition grant, square footage, etc.):  NEW CONSTRUCTION   EXPANSION   REPLACEMENT   SITE ACQUISITION   OTHER						
IS THIS A REVISED SITE ANALYSIS? YE ARE MULTIPLE SITES BEING CONSIDERED IF YES, PROVIDE THE NUMBER OF SITES:	<u> </u>	HAS THE SUBJECT SITE BEEN APPROVED BY THE STATE UNDER A SEPARATE SCHOOL BUILDING PROJECT? ☐ YES ☐ NO  IF YES, DATE OF APPROVAL:  HAS ANY STATE BONDING BEEN APPROVED: ☐ YES ☐ NO					
EXISTING STUDENT ENROLLMENT: PROJECTED STUDENT ENROLLMENT: EXISTING GROSS SQUARE FOOTAGE: PROPOSED DEMOLITION: PROPOSED NEW GROSS SQUARE FOOTAGE	GE:	EXISTING PARKING SPACES:  PROPOSED NEW PARKING SPACES:  TOTAL PARCEL/SITE SIZE (AC.):  PROPOSED AREA FOR PROJECT (AC):  DEVELOPABLE AREA (AC):					
PART II: SITE INFORMATION (check all that apply)							
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA) ISSUED FLOOD MAPS (https://msc.fema.gov)							
100-YR Floodplain:  500-YR Floodplain:  Floodway:  Coastal Hazard Zones:	Direct Impact Ind	irect Impact	No Impact No Impact No Impact No Impact				

CT DCS - ED053 (Rev: 11.07.14)

School Building Project Forms

CONNECTICUT COAS	TAL HAZARDS VIE	WER (UCONN-CLI	EAR) (http://ctecoapp1.uconn.edu/ctcoastalhazards)
		_	
Hurricane Surge Inc	A MARKEN	Direct Impact	☐ Indirect Impact ☐ No Impact List the Highest Zone:
Erosion Susceptibili		Direct Impact	☐ Indirect Impact ☐ No Impact
Mean High Water (I Inundation	VIHVV)	Direct Impact	☐ Indirect Impact ☐ No Impact
MHW Inundation +	6 in	Direct Impact	☐ Indirect Impact ☐ No Impact
MHW Inundation +	12 in	Direct Impact	☐ Indirect Impact ☐ No Impact
MHW Inundation +	18 in	Direct Impact	☐ Indirect Impact ☐ No Impact
MHW Inundation +	24 in	Direct Impact	☐ Indirect Impact ☐ No Impact
MHW Inundation +	36 in	Direct Impact	☐ Indirect Impact ☐ No Impact
MHW Inundation +	60 in	Direct Impact	☐ Indirect Impact ☐ No Impact
MHW Inundation +	79 in	Direct Impact	☐ Indirect Impact ☐ No Impact
STATE PLAN OF CONS	SERVATION AND D	EVELOPMENT LO	CATIONAL GUIDE MAP (OPM) (http://www.dir.ct.gov/opm/igp/lgm/index.html)
Protected Lands:	1	Direct Impact	☐ Indirect Impact ☐ No Impact
Local Historic Distri		Direct Impact	Indirect Impact No Impact
Undesignated Land	1	Direct Impact Direct Impact	☐ Indirect Impact ☐ No Impact ☐ No Impact
Conservation Areas		Direct Impact	☐ Indirect Impact ☐ No Impact
Conservation Areas			Indirect impact No impact
MUNICIPAL O	WNED PROPERTY	☐ DEVI	ELOPED OTHER RESOURCES:
☐ PRIVATE PROF	PERTY	☐ VAC	ANT OTHER RESOURCES:
☐ NEW SITE		☐ COA	STAL BOUNDARY OTHER RESOURCES:
EXISTING LAND USE	:		SURROUNDING LAND USES:
CURRENT LOCAL ZO	NING CLASSIFICAT	ON:	IS A ZONE CHANGE REQUIRED FOR THE PROJECT: $\square$ YES $\square$ NO
IS THE PROXIMITY TO	O OTHER EXISTING	SCHOOL FACILITI	IES ADEQUATE? YES NO UNDETERMINED
IS THE SIZE AND SHA	PE ADEQUATE TO	SUPPORT THE PR	OPOSED SCHOOL FACILITIES? YES NO UNDETERMINED
IS THE ACCESSIBILITY	TO THE SITE ADE	QUATE? YES	□ NO □ UNDETERMINED
HAS THE PROJECT CO	ONSIDERED DEMO	GRAPHIC AND PO	PULATION TRENDS? YES NO UNDETERMINED
UTILITY SERVICES	AVAILABLE?	ADEQUATE?	COMMENTS
Water			
Sanitary Sewers			
Electricity			
Fire services			
	1	1	1

CT DCS - ED053 (Rev: 11.07.14)

School Building Project Forms

PART III: LIST COSTS (include other sites being considered):								
Site Name:								
Acquisition (Purchase Price):								
Est. Development Costs:								
Est. Annual Maintenance Costs:								
Est. Annual Transportation Costs:								
TOTAL:								
List the Selected Site:								
Date Site Selection Approved: Local E	Soard of Education	l	ocal Building Com	nmittee				
Comments:								
	P	ART IV: AUTHOR	IZED SIGNATURE:					
undetermined or unknown information will be obtained and provided to DCS prior to site approval. Furthermore, should any of the above information change during the grant process; the district (grant applicant) shall submit a revised page to DCS Environmental Planning. Based upon revised information, previous site approval may be withdrawn by DCS, pending a revised site approval analysis.  AUTHORIZED DISTRICT  SIGNATURE:  (Signature)  DATE:								
PRINT NAME:			PHONE NU	MBER:				
ubmit the following information in digital format (PDF):								
Completed ED053								
	Environmental Site Assessment(s) (Phase I, II, or III)							
8.5x11 parcel map of property(ies) to be acquired								
Proposed Site Plan (if available)								
FEMA issued Flood Map for the subject site								
Print out of the sit	e from Connecti	cut Environme	ental Condition	s Online				
Print out of the sit	e from Connecti	cut Coastal Ha	zards Viewer (	if applicable)				
Print out of the site from State Plan of Conservation and Development Locational Guide Map								
CT DCS - ED053 (Rev: 11.07.14)					School Bui	Iding Project Forms		

School Construction Policy Report, November 7, 2014, rev. 11/7/2014 3:22 PM | P a g e 9

Send to: Jeff Bolton, Supervising Environmental Analyst

DAS - Division of Construction Services Environmental Planning

165 Capitol Avenue, Room 482 Hartford, Connecticut 06106 jeffrey.bolton@ct.gov

#### DCS USE ONLY

DATE OF SITE VISIT:	
SITE VISIT CONDUCTED BY:	DATE ENTERED IN SCGMS:

CT DCS - ED053 (Rev: 11.07.14)

School Building Project Forms