

DRAFT Meeting Minutes

Special meeting of the School Building Projects Advisory Council School Safety Infrastructure Criteria Subcommittee

November 14, 2022 at 11:30 am Held via Microsoft Teams

Meeting Video: https://ctvideo.ct.gov/das/SBPAC_School_Safety_Infrastructure_Criteria_Subcommittee-20221114_113040-Meeting-Recording.mp4

Members present:	
First	Last
Noel	Petra
Paul	Hinsch
Don	Poulin
Omarys	Vasquez
Julia	McFadden
Angela	Cahill

Legislators present:

Rep. Robert Sanchez, Chair, Education Committee

Departmental representatives and staff present:

- Douglas Rogers, Director of the Office of School Construction Grants and Review
- John Woodmansee, CTECS
- Robert Celmer, DAS OSCGR
- John McKay, DAS
- Timothy O'Brien, DAS
- 1. Called to order by Deputy Commissioner Petra at 11:31 am.
- 2. Deputy Commissioner Petra gave opening remarks, including introducing Douglas Rogers, the new Director of the Office of School Construction Grants and Review.
- 3. Deputy Commissioner Petra introduced Julia McFadden, a Senior Associate at TSPK Studios and a member of the SBPAC, and Phil A. Santore, Vice President Managing Principal, Ross & Baruzzini, for discussion of SSIC features they implemented at Sandy Hook School.
 - a. Presentation (McFadden, Santore) (see attached slides) on the design considerations used in the new Sandy Hook Elementary School. Discussion (McFadden, Santore, Petra, Cahill, Rogers, Vasquez) included:
 - i. Driveway gates and parking,
 - ii. Walking entrances,



DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

- iii. "Forced entry" protection standards more advisable than blast resistance standards,
- iv. SSIC not specifying level of ballistic hardening,
- v. Threat assessment essentially same for every school and not cost effective to have at individual school level,
- vi. Best strategy built around keeping potential perpetrators outside schools or classrooms as long as possible for law enforcement to arrive,
- vii. Need for end user training,
- viii. Design features (example: automatic door closers) being used to implement procedural safety needs,
- ix. Requiring school security committees,
- x. Operable windows.
- 4. Discussion (Petra) of plan to meet again in two weeks.
- 5. Adjourned at 12:31 am.

SVIGALS + PARTNERS

Security Consulting & Engineering

School Security: The Approach at Sandy Hook

Presentation by: Julia McFadden, AIA, Svigals + Partners Phil Santore, DVS Security Consultants

Agenda

- 1. Standards for school security
- 2. Developing a security program
- 3. The 3 legs of school security
- 4. Uncompromising Architecture
- 5. Sandy Hook School
- 6. Technology
- 7. Operations
- 8. Questions



Security Standards Development

- + What is being done to generate standards for security?
 - Prevention, prevention, prevention...
 - No standards from building code, security industry, or other recognized sanctioning body.
 - There continues to be work done at the federal and state level, but still no true "standards" have been developed or legislated.
 - Wide variance in school setting and demographic make standard development difficult.

- Establish security program guidelines:
 - Threats
 - Vulnerabilities
 - Mitigation
 - Subsequent risks



It's all about time...



Security Program Development

+ How did we arrive at our program?

- Working group meetings
- Determine resource base/commitment
- Identification of threats
- Understand vulnerabilities resulting from threats
- Understand the risk profile
- Develop mitigation strategies using the three legs of school security
- Reviewed available tools for mitigation
 - Landscape and traffic
 - Architectural features
 - Construction materials
 - Technology
 - Staffing



Three Legs of School Security





School Layout Traffic Sightlines Fencing Portals



Surveillance Access Control Alarm Point Monitoring Communications Visitor

management





SVIGALS + PARTNERS

Uncompromising Architecture Elements of a Nurturing Learning Environment

- + Welcoming Entry
- + Sense of "Home"
- + Coherent Circulation
- + Daylight / Views / Air
- + Nature / Biophilia















Security through Architecture Using Layers to Deter, Detect and Delay





Site Strategies

+ Define Area / Express Ownership

- Fences
- Gates / Intercom
- Natural Features / Modify Landscape
- Signage / Lighting
- Barriers
- + Parking Management
 - Controlled lots
 - Early warning of approaching vehicles

+ Maximize Natural Surveillance

- View Corridor to/from Building Entry
- "Eyes on the Street"













+ Perimeter Enhancement



+ Access Controls



+ Bus & Service Circulation



+ Staff Circulation



+ Visitor Circulation



+ Composite Circulation



+ Rain Garden



+ Rain Garden

Security through Architecture Building Strategies

+ Discourage Easy Access

- Plinthing the building
- Landscaping

+ Layer the Building

- Managed entries
- Public spaces
- Partitionable wings







+ Enclosed Courtyards and Playgrounds



+ Building Organization



+ Building Organization



+ Natural Surveillance



+ Hardened Entry / Human Interaction



+ Hardened Entry / Human Interaction



+ Building Zoning / Extra Delay Layers

Security through Architecture Approaches for the Classroom

+ The Classroom as a Safe Room

- Door / Wall construction
- Forced entry resistance
- Alternate escape route?

+ Views In / Out

- Hardened glass on first floor windows and Sidelites / Door lites
- Operable exterior windows
- Door Hardware
 - Automatic locking for ease of mind
- + Communication
 - Phones / PA system
 - Panic button
 - Cell phones / text message





SVIGALS + PARTNERS

Security through Architecture Classroom as the Safe Zone





Security through Technology Designing the Right Tools for the Environment



Is this man effectively monitoring video cameras?

+ Common Technology Pitfalls/Misconceptions:

- Technology supports security, and more tools provide more security
- Support tools and technology should form the basis of any security program
- Security staff are incredibly efficient and effective at using security technology tools



Security through Technology Designing the Right Tools for the Environment

- + Technology Design Best Practices:
 - Develop the security program <u>first</u>, then the supportive tools to go with it
 - Use technology as a tool to help mitigate your school's risk profile
 - Understand your manpower and what they are capable of
 - Organize and implement your security technology so it can be used as a force <u>multiplier</u>





Security through Technology Designing the Right Tools for the Environment

+ Technology Design – Most Common System Elements:

- Leverage existing technology systems and investments
- Alarm monitoring of perimeter doors
- Access control for building and city staff
- Visitor management system implementation
- Semi-automated dispatch and lockdown capabilities
- Video surveillance of entryways and perimeter locations
- Digital video recording for archival and pre-alarm response
- Local monitoring and control capabilities
- Networking of multiple schools for centralized monitoring and management
- Intercom communications to all exterior reader controlled doors and gates
- Vehicle management through gates, card readers, intercoms
- Vehicle detection loops for automatic detection of vehicles in specific areas



SVIGALS + PARTNERS μ

Security through Technology A Few Advanced Tools for Particular Applications





Security through Operations

- + It all comes down to a live, thinking human being (no robots...yet)
 - Situational awareness what is happening around and in my school?
 - The value of the permanent post
 - C3I Communications, Coordination, Control, and Information
 - Training, training, training
 - Staff (after hours)
 - Teachers (after hours)
 - Other town or district stakeholders
 - How are operations affected by the type of school?





Architecture + Art

SVIGALS + PARTNERS

Security Consulting & Engineering

Questions?