

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT  
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Student v. Bridgeport Board of Education

Appearing on behalf of the Student: *Mother, pro se*

Appearing on behalf of the Board: Attorney Susan Freedman  
Shipman & Goodwin LLP  
One Constitution Plaza  
Hartford, CT 06103

Appearing before: Attorney Mary Elizabeth Oppenheim  
Hearing Officer

**FINAL DECISION AND ORDER**

**ISSUES:**

1. Whether the Board's program for the Student for the 2012-13 school year is appropriate;
2. Whether the Student shall be placed in a therapeutic day school program;
3. Whether the Student is entitled to compensatory education for the Board's failure to provide homebound services;
4. Whether the Board's failure to provide the IEP documents to the Family in a timely manner was a procedural violation which resulted in a denial of a free appropriate public education to the Student.

**PROCEDURAL HISTORY/DISCUSSION:**

This request for hearing was received by the Board on January 8, 2013 and a prehearing conference convened on January 16, 2013. At the first prehearing conference, the Board was not present, so a second prehearing conference was scheduled. A second prehearing conference convened on January 24, 2013 with the Parent and the Board's attorney present. A resolution session was convened on January 22, 2013 and at the prehearing conference both parties indicated that they would agree to a mediation session if the matter was not resolved through the resolution session.

The Parent submitted notification which was received on January 28, 2013 that a resolution had been formulated and agreed upon and, therefore, the Parent was requesting a dismissal of the due process hearing request. Therefore, this matter is dismissed.

**FINAL DECISION AND ORDER:**

The matter is **DISMISSED**.